



This is an electronic reprint of the original article. This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Nguyen, H. Q.; Sabonis, D.; Razmadze, D.; Mannila, E. T.; Maisi, V. F.; van Zanten, D. M.T.; O'Farrell, E. C.T.; Krogstrup, P.; Kuemmeth, F.; Pekola, J. P.; Marcus, C. M. **Electrostatic control of quasiparticle poisoning in a hybrid semiconductor-superconductor island**

Published in: Physical Review B

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.108.L041302

Published: 15/07/2023

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Please cite the original version:

Nguyen, H. Q., Sabonis, D., Razmadze, D., Mannila, E. T., Maisi, V. F., van Zanten, D. M. T., O'Farrell, E. C. T., Krogstrup, P., Kuemmeth, F., Pekola, J. P., & Marcus, C. M. (2023). Electrostatic control of quasiparticle poisoning in a hybrid semiconductor-superconductor island. *Physical Review B*, *108*(4), 1-6. Article L041302. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.108.L041302

This material is protected by copyright and other intellectual property rights, and duplication or sale of all or part of any of the repository collections is not permitted, except that material may be duplicated by you for your research use or educational purposes in electronic or print form. You must obtain permission for any other use. Electronic or print copies may not be offered, whether for sale or otherwise to anyone who is not an authorised user.

Electrostatic control of quasiparticle poisoning in a hybrid semiconductor-superconductor island

H. Q. Nguyen,^{1,2} D. Sabonis,¹ D. Razmadze,¹ E. T. Mannila,³ V. F. Maisi,³ V. F. Maisi,³ D. M. T. van Zanten,¹

E. C. T. O'Farrell,¹ P. Krogstrup,¹ F. Kuemmeth[®],¹ J. P. Pekola,³ and C. M. Marcus[®]

¹Center for Quantum Devices, Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

³QTF Centre of Excellence, Department of Applied Physics, Aalto University, FI-00076 Aalto, Finland ⁴Division of Solid State Physics and NanoLund, Lund University, SE-22100 Lund, Sweden

(Received 11 February 2022; revised 14 June 2023; accepted 26 June 2023; published 18 July 2023)

The performance of superconducting devices is often degraded by the uncontrolled appearance and disappearance of quasiparticles, a process known as poisoning. We demonstrate the electrostatic control of quasiparticle poisoning in the form of single-charge tunneling across a fixed barrier onto a Coulomb island in an InAs/AI hybrid nanowire. High-bandwidth charge sensing was used to monitor the charge occupancy of the island across Coulomb blockade peaks, where tunneling rates were maximal, and Coulomb valleys, where tunneling was absent. Electrostatic gates changed the on-peak tunneling rates by two orders of magnitude for a barrier with fixed normal-state resistance, which we attribute to the gate dependence of the size and softness of the induced superconducting gap on the island, corroborated by separate density-of-states measurements. Temperature and magnetic field dependence of tunneling rates are also investigated.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.108.L041302

Recent advances in hybrid semiconductor-superconductor materials [1] have led to new modalities of control of superconducting devices from multiplexers to detectors to qubits. For instance, in hybrid nanowires (NWs), the combination of superconductivity, spin-orbit interactions, and Zeeman coupling can give rise to Majorana zero modes [2–5], expected to exhibit non-Abelian braiding statistics potentially useful for error-protected quantum computing [6]. For this and other applications [7–10] it is vital to engineer a long parity lifetime in these new systems [11].

A superconducting island coupled to electronic reservoirs via tunneling barriers has a ground state with all electrons paired whenever the superconducting gap Δ exceeds the charging energy $E_{\rm C}$. On the other hand, if $E_{\rm C} > \Delta$, charge states involving an unpaired electron can become energetically favorable, and ground states show alternating even-odd charge occupation as a function of gate-induced charge N_g [see Fig. 1(b)]. At elevated temperatures or out of equilibrium, unpaired quasiparticles (QPs) generated within the device or entering via tunneling restore 1*e* periodicity via a process termed QP poisoning.

Experiments have previously shown that intentionally engineering the superconducting gaps of the island Δ_{Island} , and lead Δ_{Lead} , to be unequal can strongly influence the tunneling rates of the island [12,13]. In particular, for $\Delta_{Lead} < \Delta_{Island}$, where, on average, QPs should be repelled from the island, it was found experimentally that the low-temperature Coulomb blockade was 2*e* periodic. When $\Delta_{Island} < \Delta_{Lead}$, where QPs should be, on average, attracted to the island, 1*e* periodicity was observed, indicating rapid poisoning of the island from the lead.

In this Letter, we investigate the on-resonance tunneling of 1*e* charge onto and off of a tunnel-coupled Coulomb island (QP poisoning) in an epitaxial InAs/Al NW device with an integrated charge sensor and a lead made from the same NW, with separate gates controlling the potential and density on the island and the density in the semiconductor part of the lead. The island has $E_{\rm C} > \Delta$ [5,11,14]. Consistent with Ref. [15], we find that deep in the Coulomb blockade valley, the charge configuration was stable and no tunneling was observed. Close to a charge transition of the island, where protection by $E_{\rm C}$ is lifted, QP tunneling was observed in real time. More importantly, we found that the tunneling rate at the charge transition was controllable over two orders of magnitude by gating the lead. Correlating this behavior with bias spectroscopy suggests that it is the influence of gate voltages on the induced gap on the island and leads that is responsible for the gate-dependent tunneling, comparable to Refs. [12,13]. Increased tunneling with magnetic field and temperature was also investigated.

The tunneling of QPs on μ s to ms timescales has previously been detected in real time using fast radio-frequency (rf) reflectometry [15–20]. QP poisoning rates of superconducting islands have previously been estimated based on the statistics of switching current while changing the current ramping rate [21,22]. Here, we implement a direct method, by directly reading the island charge using a high-bandwidth integrated charge sensor [23–27]. By controlling the induced gaps through adjacent gate voltages, a change of two orders of magnitude was observed in the rate of charge jumps.

Figure 1(a) shows a schematic of the measurement configuration. The Coulomb blockaded superconducting island (blue) is capacitively coupled to an rf charge sensor (green) using a floating metallic coupler (yellow). The energy diagram of the superconducting island is shown in Fig. 1(b). The evenodd regime is characterized by alternating spacing between the charge degeneracies when $E_C > \Delta$. The superconducting island was tunnel coupled to a superconducting lead (red),

²Nano and Energy Center, Hanoi University of Science, VNU, 120401 Hanoi, Vietnam



FIG. 1. (a) Schematic of the measurement configuration: The superconducting island (blue) is capacitively coupled to an rf charge sensor (green) using a floating metallic coupler (yellow). Highbandwidth readout allows detection of the charge state of the island with a time resolution of a few microseconds. (b) Electrostatic energy diagram of the superconducting island in the even-odd regime when the charging energy $E_{\rm C}$ is larger than the induced superconducting gap Δ . $N_g = 1$ corresponds to a gate voltage $V_{\rm Island} \sim 2$ mV as seen in Fig. 2(b). (c) False-color electron micrograph of the nanowire with colored segments corresponding to the schematic in (a). Long plunger gates control the electron density of the corresponding nanowire segment while the short cutter gates control tunnel barriers. Quasiparticle tunneling is controlled by $V_{\rm Lead}$.

fabricated on the same NW, ohmically connected to a normalmetal reservoir. Figure 1(c) shows a false-color micrograph of the device. The 100-nm-diameter NW is grown using the vapor-liquid-solid technique in a molecular beam epitaxy system with InAs [111] substrate crystal orientation. Following the NW growth, Al is deposited epitaxially in situ on three facets of the NW with an average thickness of 10 nm [28]. The NW is then manually positioned on a chip with few-um precision. Using electron beam lithography and Transene D wet etch, the Al shell was removed from the nanowire near narrow gates denoted LCut, MCut, and RCut (cutters). Extended gates denoted L, Island, Lead, and R (plungers) tune the density in the corresponding segment of the NW [29,30]. Charge detection was performed using the capacitively coupled charge sensor with a 20 µs integration time [23]. As seen in the scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image [Fig. 1(c)], the right side charge sensor has a broken capacitive coupler. This sensor was not used in the experiment. During the counting experiment, the bias voltage across the superconducting island was set to zero, $V_{SD} = 0$, thereby grounding the leads. The measurements were performed in a dilution refrigerator with a base temperature of 20 mK and a 1-1-6 T vector magnet.

For all measurements, $V_L = V_R = 0$ V, and V_{RCut} was set positive to fully open the RCut junction. For charge-counting measurements, a single-lead Coulomb island was formed by setting V_{LCut} strongly negative, disconnecting the left side of the island, while V_{MCut} was set so that the normal-state conductance of MCut was ~0.35 e^2/h , checked via transport with LCut fully open. Typical charge sensing data for $V_{Lead} = 0$ V are shown in Fig. 2(a), with each trace shifted for clarity. For each time trace, the demodulated reflectometry voltage



FIG. 2. (a) Time traces (rows offset) showing 1e tunneling of the island (blue segment in Fig. 1) as the plunger voltage V_{Island} was swept over several Coulomb valleys. Near charge degeneracies, individual switching events are visible in the demodulated rf signal $V_{\rm rf}$, while island charge is stable within Coulomb valleys. (b) Timeaveraged sensor signal $V_{\rm rf}$ (sawtooth shape reflecting cross coupling combined with change in charge state) with color map indicating alternation of average charge. (c) A zoom-in time trace close to charge degeneracy showing single-electron tunneling in real time. The background colors show the digitized data binned to two levels using a thresholding algorithm and corresponding to one excess electron on or off the island. (d) Tunneling rates $\Gamma_{e\to o}$ (green) and $\Gamma_{o \rightarrow e}$ (red) as a function of island plunger voltage V_{Island} . The gate dependences of the crossing points of the two rates show an even-odd effect, as shown by the arrows and their corresponding labels. The average equilibrium (on resonance) tunneling rate Γ_{eq} is found by averaging rates at several crossing points. All data at zero magnetic field.

 $V_{\rm rf}$ was sampled at a fixed plunger voltage $V_{\rm Island}$, then $V_{\rm Island}$ was stepped to the next value. Near charge degeneracies rapid tunnelings were observed, while away from transitions the switching vanished, reflecting stable charge configurations in the Coulomb valleys. Averaging each time trace yielded a single average charge-sensor signal, which is plotted as a function of $V_{\rm Island}$ in Fig. 2(b). From Fig. 1(b), when $\Delta/E_{\rm C} \ll$ 1, transition spacings are uniform, and when $\Delta/E_{\rm C} = 1$ the odd peaks disappear [31]. The degree of even-odd spacing of the transitions in Fig. 2(b) indicates $\Delta/E_{\rm C} \sim 1/2$. An induced superconducting gap $\Delta \sim 250 \ \mu eV$ (see Fig. 4) thus yields $E_{\rm C} \sim 500 \ \mu eV$.

Figure 2(c) shows a time trace acquired with V_{Island} fixed near a charge degeneracy. The high signal-to-noise ratio of the sensor signal (SNR > 3 [32]) allowed the use of simple thresholding to determine the transitions between odd and even occupations [color coded in Fig. 2(c)] rather than more sophisticated thresholding techniques [16,33]. Specifically, tunneling rates were determined from time traces such as this by dividing the number of transitions out of a charge state, even or odd, $N_{e(o)\to o(e)}$, by the total time $\sum t_{e(o)}$ spent in that state within the time trace, $\Gamma_{e(o)\to o(e)} = N_{e(o)\to o(e)} / \sum t_{e(o)}$ [34].

The resulting tunneling rates $\Gamma_{e\to o}$ (green) and $\Gamma_{o\to e}$ (red) are shown in Fig. 2(d). The two rates cross at each charge degeneracy, identifying both the value of V_{Island} where even and odd occupancies are equally likely, and the tunneling rate (1*e* charge transition rate) at that transition, indicated by black arrows in Fig. 2(d).

Motivated by Refs. [12,13], which demonstrated that QPs are attracted to small-gap regions, we investigate how QP tunneling of the island depends on plunger voltage V_{Lead} , which can alter the induced gap of the nanowire lead. To do so, we associate the QP tunneling rate with the equilibrium (on resonance) tunneling rate Γ_{eq} found by averaging $\Gamma_{e(0)\to 0(e)}$ [green(red)] over several adjacent charge degeneracies [arrows in Fig. 2(d)] for fixed V_{Lead} . Values for Γ_{eq} are determined in a similar manner for various values of V_{Lead} .

Figures 3(a) and 3(b) show tunneling rates $\Gamma_{e(0)\to o(e)}$ as V_{Island} drives the island through several Coulomb valleys for widely different lead plunger voltages, $V_{\text{Lead}} = -2$ and +4 V. Because of the unavoidable capacitive coupling of V_{Lead} to the tunnel barrier, it is necessary to adjust V_{MCut} in order to keep the effective tunnel barrier constant. Otherwise, changes in Γ_{eq} could simply reflect changes in the barrier transmission with changing V_{Lead} . To compensate this cross coupling, V_{MCut} is adjusted whenever V_{Lead} is changed, such that the normal-state conductance for this barrier remains at $G_N = 0.35e^2/h$ using a separate transport measurement. For instance, in Fig. 3(a), $V_{MCut} = -2.53$ V, and in Fig. 3(b), $V_{\rm MCut} = -3.03$ V. The average tunneling rate $\Gamma_{\rm eq}$ at the crossing points is $\Gamma_{eq} = 5.1 \pm 1.3$ kHz for $V_{Lead} = +4$ V, and $\Gamma_{eq} = 50 \pm 30$ Hz for $V_{Lead} = -2$ V. In other words, the compensated increase of V_{Lead} by 6 V between Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) increases the tunneling rate by two orders of magnitude without changing the normal-state resistance.

Figure 3(c) shows Γ_{eq} as V_{Lead} is varied from -5 to +5 V, with V_{Island} fixed near +0.3 V and the middle cutter compensated using V_{MCut} as described above. Resonances that depend on V_{MCut} , presumably due to disorder in the middle-cutter junction, give rise to a nonmonotonic dependence of tunneling with V_{MCut} and corresponding nonmonotonic normal-state conductance G_N as a function of V_{MCut} . Before the counting experiment, we open V_{LCut} and V_{RCut} , and use a transport measurement to verify that $G_N \sim 0.35e^2/h$ for each set of (V_{Lead}, V_{MCut}) [35]. Figure 3(c) shows a change in Γ_{eq} by two orders of magnitude when the difference between V_{Island} and V_{Lead} is about 1 V. For larger gate-voltage differences, $|V_{Island} - V_{Lead}| > 1$ V, the tunneling rate saturates at a low and high value with little gate dependence.

Previous experiments on similar NWs have demonstrated that the induced superconducting gap in the NW can be controlled by the plunger gate voltage [14]. Our interpretation of the origin of the changes in Γ_{eq} with V_{Lead} is that at more positive values of V_{Lead} the superconducting gap is smaller on the lead than in the island, $\Delta_{Lead} < \Delta_{Island}$. In addition, as V_{Lead} becomes more positive there is a softening



FIG. 3. [(a), (b)] Tunneling rates $\Gamma_{e \to o}$ (green) and $\Gamma_{o \to e}$ (red) for (a) $V_{\text{Lead}} = -2$ V. $V_{\text{MCut}} = -2.53$ V keeps the normal-state conductance of the middle cutter at $\sim 0.35e^2/h$. (b) $V_{\text{Lead}} = +4$ V. $V_{\text{MCut}} =$ -3.03 V keeps the normal-state conductance of the middle cutter at $\sim 0.35e^2/h$ as in (a). (c) Average equilibrium (on resonance) tunneling rate Γ_{eq} as a function of V_{Lead} for $V_{\text{Island}} \sim 0.3$ V. All data at zero magnetic field.

of the induced superconducting gap. Both of these effects are evident in superconductor-insulator-superconductor (SIS) transport measurements performed on the tunnel junction between the island and the lead. The distance between the two coherence peaks, marked by two black arrows in the insets of Fig. 4, gives $2(\Delta_{Island} + \Delta_{Lead})$, where $\Delta_{Island(Lead)}$ is the superconducting gap on the island (lead) side of the tunnel barrier. We set all plungers V_L , V_{Island} , V_{Lead} , and V_R to the same value, denoted V_{PL} , and consider $\Delta \sim \Delta_{\text{Island}} \sim \Delta_{\text{Lead}}$. Figure 4 shows the change in induced superconducting gap Δ while varying $V_{\rm PL}$. The induced superconducting gap decreases linearly from 270 to 210 µeV as the plunger voltage is increased. This is because an increase in gate voltage increases the electron density in the semiconductor, which weakens the proximity effect induced from the ultrathin Al layer. Based on the data in Fig. 4, the drastic change in Γ_{eq} in Fig. 3(c) over a voltage range $|V_{\text{Island}} - V_{\text{Lead}}| \simeq 1$ V corresponds to a



FIG. 4. Dependence of induced gap Δ on gate voltage V_{PL} applied to all plunger gates (see text). Δ is measured by forming an SIS junction with the middle cutter while the other cutters are open by applying positive gate voltages. Δ is determined from the positions of the peaks in the SIS differential conductance *G* as a function of voltage bias V_{SD} . The insets show two dI/dV bias traces measured at $V_{PL} = \pm 5$ V. All data at zero magnetic field.

change of superconducting gap of $\Delta_{Island} - \Delta_{Lead} \simeq 5 \ \mu eV$. This energy matches approximately the base temperature of our cryostat, suggesting that thermal smearing governs the crossover from low to high tunneling rates in the observed $\Gamma_{eq}(V_{Lead})$.

Single-charge tunneling rates were also investigated as a function of the temperature and magnetic field. Keeping the same gate configuration as in Fig. 2, we repeat the counting measurement. Inside a Coulomb valley, the charge state is always stable, similar to Fig. 2(a). Next, we focus on the tunneling rate at degeneracy as introduced above. Γ_{eq} increases with temperature [Fig. 5(a)] and magnetic field applied perpendicular to the substrate [Fig. 5(b)] and along the NW [Fig. 5(c)]. The increased rate as a function of these parameters is consistent with the softening and reduction of the induced superconducting gap leading to an enhancement of QP generation rates and Γ_{eq} . We fit data in Fig. 5 using $\Gamma/\Gamma_0 = 1 + \gamma \sqrt{2\pi k_b T/\Delta} \exp(-\Delta/k_B T)$ with $\Gamma_0=\Gamma_{eq}$ (25 mK) and $\gamma=0.0154,$ following Eq. (85) in Ref. [36], yielding $\Delta_{\text{fit}} = 180 \,\mu\text{V}$ at $B = 0 \,\text{T}$. At base temperature, taking T = 100 mK, and a field-reduced gap $\Delta(B) =$ $\Delta_0 \sqrt{1 - (\frac{B}{B_c})^2}$ with $\Delta_0 = \Delta_{\text{fit}}$ yields a critical perpendicular field 46 mT and a critical parallel field of 350 mT. The values for two critical fields are consistent with our expectation regarding their directions. At the highest measured axial magnetic field value in Fig. 2(b), $B_{\parallel} = 300 \text{ mT}$, the island changes its ground state configuration approximately every 300 µs ($\Gamma_{eq} \approx 3$ kHz), similar to metallic devices [17,20,27].

In summary, we fabricated and investigated a gate-defined Coulomb island in an InAs/Al nanowire such that quasiparticles can only tunnel from one side of the island. Employing reflectometry, we count tunneling events on an island through that tunnel barrier in real time. Deep in the Coulomb valley the island shows no signal of quasiparticle tunneling on timescales ranging from submicrosecond timescales to hours



FIG. 5. Average tunneling rate Γ_{eq} as a function of (a) temperature at zero magnetic field, (b) magnetic field perpendicular to the substrate at base temperature, and (c) axial field along the nanowire at base temperature for the same gate configuration as in Fig. 2 and $V_{SD} = 0$ mV. Increasing the temperature leads to a reduction and softening of the induced gap, increasing the quasiparticle population. Similar interpretations can be made for the field dependences. Dashed lines are fits to $\Gamma/\Gamma_0 = 1 + \gamma \sqrt{2\pi k_b T/\Delta} \exp(-\Delta/k_B T)$, yielding $\Delta_{fit} = 180 \ \mu$ V, a critical perpendicular field of 46 mT, and a critical parallel field of 350 mT.

[15,36,37]. At charge degeneracy points, the tunneling rate varies by orders of magnitude with electrostatic gating of the lead [Fig. 3(c) and Supplemental Fig. S1 [35]]. We interpret the dependence as arising from the gate dependence of the relative sizes of the induced gaps in the island and lead [19,26,38] as well as the softness of the induced gaps. Tunneling rates also show a strong dependence on temperature and magnetic field (effects not yet modeled). We note rapid off-on-off and on-off-on transitions beyond the bandwidth of the experiment make the observed rates a lower bound on the total transition rate. Such transitions can cause qubit dephasing, depending on the energy difference between qubit states. For instance, for Majorana qubits, where parity states are degenerate, random on-off-on transitions should not have deleterious effects on qubit coherence.

We thank Roman Lutchyn, Dmitry Pikulin, Judith Suter, and Jukka Vayrynen for valuable discussions, and Shiv Upadhyay for help with fabrication. Research is supported by Microsoft, the Danish National Research Foundation, and the European Research Commission, Grant No.

- R. M. Lutchyn, E. P. A. M. Bakkers, L. P. Kouwenhoven, P. Krogstrup, C. M. Marcus, and Y. Oreg, Majorana zero modes in superconductor-semiconductor heterostructures, Nat. Rev. Mater. 3, 52 (2018).
- [2] Y. Oreg, G. Refael, and F. von Oppen, Helical Liquids and Majorana Bound States in Quantum Wires, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 177002 (2010).
- [3] R. M. Lutchyn, J. D. Sau, and S. Das Sarma, Majorana Fermions and a Topological Phase Transition in Semiconductor-Superconductor Heterostructures, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 077001 (2010).
- [4] V. Mourik, K. Zuo, S. M. Frolov, S. R. Plissard, E. P. A. M. Bakkers, and L. P. Kouwenhoven, Signatures of Majorana fermions in hybrid superconductor-semiconductor nanowire devices, Science 336, 1003 (2012).
- [5] S. M. Albrecht, A. P. Higginbotham, M. Madsen, F. Kuemmeth, T. S. Jespersen, J. Nygård, P. Krogstrup, and C. M. Marcus, Exponential protection of zero modes in Majorana islands, Nature (London) 531, 206 (2016).
- [6] J. Alicea, Y. Oreg, G. Refael, F. Von Oppen, and M. P. Fisher, Non-Abelian statistics and topological quantum information processing in 1D wire networks, Nat. Phys. 7, 412 (2011).
- [7] T. W. Larsen, K. D. Petersson, F. Kuemmeth, T. S. Jespersen, P. Krogstrup, J. Nygård, and C. M. Marcus, Semiconductor-Nanowire-Based Superconducting Qubit, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 127001 (2015).
- [8] G. de Lange, B. van Heck, A. Bruno, D. J. van Woerkom, A. Geresdi, S. R. Plissard, E. P. A. M. Bakkers, A. R. Akhmerov, and L. DiCarlo, Realization of Microwave Quantum Circuits Using Hybrid Superconducting-Semiconducting Nanowire Josephson Elements, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 127002 (2015).
- [9] L. Casparis, M. R. Connolly, M. Kjaergaard, N. J. Pearson, A. Kringhøj, T. W. Larsen, F. Kuemmeth, T. Wang, C. Thomas, S. Gronin, G. C. Gardner, M. J. Manfra, C. M. Marcus, and K. D. Petersson, Superconducting gatemon qubit based on a proximitized two-dimensional electron gas, Nat. Nanotechnol. 13, 915 (2018).
- [10] M. Hays, V. Fatemi, D. Bouman, J. Cerrillo, S. Diamond, K. Serniak, T. Connolly, P. Krogstrup, J. Nygård, A. L. Yeyati, A. Geresdi, and M. H. Devoret, Coherent manipulation of an Andreev spin qubit, Science **373**, 430 (2021).
- [11] A. P. Higginbotham, S. M. Albrecht, G. Kiršanskas, W. Chang, F. Kuemmeth, P. Krogstrup, T. S. Jespersen, J. Nygård, K. Flensberg, and C. M. Marcus, Parity lifetime of bound states in a proximitized semiconductor nanowire, Nat. Phys. 11, 1017 (2015).
- [12] S. J. MacLeod, S. Kafanov, and J. P. Pekola, Periodicity in Al/Ti superconducting single electron transistors, Appl. Phys. Lett. 95, 052503 (2009).
- [13] J. Aumentado, M. W. Keller, M. H. Devoret, and J. M. Martinis, Nonequilibrium Quasiparticles and 2*e* Periodicity in Single-Cooper-Pair Transistors, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 066802 (2004).
- [14] W. Chang, S. M. Albrecht, T. S. Jespersen, F. Kuemmeth, P. Krogstrup, J. Nygård, and C. M. Marcus, Hard gap in epitaxial

716655, and a grant (Project No. 43951) from VILLUM FONDEN.

semiconductor-superconductor nanowires, Nat. Nanotechnol. **10**, 232 (2015).

- [15] G. C. Menard, F. K. Malinowski, D. Puglia, D. I. Pikulin, T. Karzig, B. Bauer, P. Krogstrup, and C. M. Marcus, Suppressing quasiparticle poisoning with a voltage-controlled filter, Phys. Rev. B 100, 165307 (2019).
- [16] N. J. Lambert, A. A. Esmail, F. A. Pollock, M. Edwards, B. W. Lovett, and A. J. Ferguson, Microwave irradiation and quasiparticles in a superconducting double dot, Phys. Rev. B 95, 235413 (2017).
- [17] M. D. Shaw, R. M. Lutchyn, P. Delsing, and P. M. Echternach, Kinetics of nonequilibrium quasiparticle tunneling in superconducting charge qubits, Phys. Rev. B 78, 024503 (2008).
- [18] J. Bylander, T. Duty, and P. Delsing, Current measurement by real-time counting of single electrons, Nature (London) 434, 361 (2005).
- [19] O. Naaman and J. Aumentado, Time-domain measurement of quasiparticle tunneling rates in a single-Cooper-pair transistor, Phys. Rev. B 73, 172504 (2006).
- [20] N. A. Court, A. J. Ferguson, R. Lutchyn, and R. G. Clark, Quantitative study of quasiparticle traps using the single-Cooper-pair transistor, Phys. Rev. B 77, 100501(R) (2008).
- [21] D. J. van Woerkom, A. Geresdi, and L. P. Kouwenhoven, One minute parity lifetime of a NbTiN Cooper-pair transistor, Nat. Phys. 11, 547 (2015).
- [22] J. van Veen, A. Proutski, T. Karzig, D. Pikulin, R. Lutchyn, J. Nygård, P. Krogstrup, A. Geresdi, L. P. Kouwenhoven, and J. D. Watson, Magnetic-field-dependent quasiparticle dynamics of nanowire single-Cooper-pair transistors, Phys. Rev. B 98, 174502 (2018).
- [23] D. Razmadze, D. Sabonis, F. K. Malinowski, G. C. Menard, S. Pauka, H. Q. Nguyen, D. M. T. van Zanten, E. C. T. O. Farrell, J. Suter, P. Krogstrup, F. Kuemmeth, and C. M. Marcus, Radio-Frequency Methods for Majorana-Based Quantum Devices: Fast Charge Sensing and Phase-Diagram Mapping, Phys. Rev. Appl. 11, 064011 (2019).
- [24] R. J. Schoelkopf, P. Wahlgren, A. A. Kozhevnikov, P. Delsing, and D. E. Prober, The radio frequency single electron transistor (RF-SET): A fast and ultrasensitive electrometer, Science 280, 1238 (1998).
- [25] M. A. Sillanpää, L. Roschier, and P. J. Hakonen, Inductive Single-Electron Transistor, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 066805 (2004).
- [26] A. J. Ferguson, N. A. Court, F. E. Hudson, and R. G. Clark, Microsecond Resolution of Quasiparticle Tunneling in the Single-Cooper-Pair Transistor, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 106603 (2006).
- [27] V. F. Maisi, D. Kambly, C. Flindt, and J. P. Pekola, Full Counting Statistics of Andreev Tunneling, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 036801 (2014).
- [28] P. Krogstrup, N. L. B. Ziino, W. Chang, S. M. Albrecht, M. H. Madsen, E. Johnson, J. Nygård, C. M. Marcus, and T. S. Jespersen, Epitaxy of semiconductor-superconductor nanowires, Nat. Mater. 14, 400 (2015).
- [29] S. Vaitiekėnas, M. T. Deng, J. Nygård, P. Krogstrup, and C. M. Marcus, Effective g Factor of Subgap States in Hybrid Nanowires, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 037703 (2018).

- [30] M. W. A. de Moor, J. D. S. Bommer, D. Xu, G. W. Winkler, A. E. Antipov, A. Bargerbos, G. Wang, N. van Loo, R. L. M. Op het Veld, S. Gazibegovic, D. Car, J. A. Logan, M. Pendharkar, J. S. Lee, E. P. A. M. Bakkers, C. J. Palmstrøm, R. M. Lutchyn, L. P. Kouwenhoven, and H. Zhang, Electric field tunable superconductor semiconductor coupling in Majorana nanowires, New J. Phys. 20, 103049 (2018).
- [31] T. M. Eiles, J. M. Martinis, and M. H. Devoret, Even-Odd Asymmetry of a Superconductor Revealed by the Coulomb Blockade of Andreev Reflection, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 1862 (1993).
- [32] The signal-to-noise ratio is defined as SNR = $\mu/\sqrt{2}\sigma$, where μ denotes the mean value and σ denotes the standard deviation of the data.
- [33] J. R. Prance, B. J. Van Bael, C. B. Simmons, D. E. Savage, M. G. Lagally, M. Friesen, S. N. Coppersmith, and M. A. Eriksson, Identifying single electron charge sensor events using wavelet edge detection, Nanotechnology 26, 215201 (2015).
- [34] V. F. Maisi, O. P. Saira, Yu. A. Pashkin, J. S. Tsai, D. V. Averin, and J. P. Pekola, Real-Time Observation of Discrete

Andreev Tunneling Events, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 217003 (2011).

- [35] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/ 10.1103/PhysRevB.108.L041302 for data that confirm there is a plateau for Γ_{eq} that depends weakly on the value of V_{MCut} , which we obtained by performing an extra counting measurement with a fixed V_{Lead} , and change V_{MCut} from open to closed to further rule out the effect of resonances associated with the middle cutter V_{MCut} .
- [36] G. Catelani, R. J. Schoelkopf, M. H. Devoret, and L. I. Glazman, Relaxation and frequency shifts induced by quasiparticles in superconducting qubits, Phys. Rev. B 84, 064517 (2011).
- [37] E. T. Mannila, P. Samuelsson, S. Simbierowicz, J. T. Peltonen, V. Vesterinen, L. Gronberg, J. Hassel, V. F. Maisi, and J. P. Pekola, A superconductor free of quasiparticles for seconds, Nat. Phys. 18, 145 (2022).
- [38] E. T. Mannila, V. F. Maisi, H. Q. Nguyen, C. M. Marcus, and J. P. Pekola, Detecting parity effect in a superconducting device in the presence of parity switches, Phys. Rev. B 100, 020502 (2019).