
This is an electronic reprint of the original article.
This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Shafi, Abde Mayeen; Uddin, Md Gius; Cui, Xiaoqi; Ali, Fida; Ahmed, Faisal; Radwan, Mohamed; Das, Susobhan; Mehmood, Naveed; Sun, Zhipei; Lipsanen, Harri
Strain Engineering for Enhancing Carrier Mobility in MoTe₂ Field-Effect Transistors

Published in:
Advanced Science

DOI:
[10.1002/advs.202303437](https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.202303437)

Published: 17/10/2023

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published under the following license:
CC BY

Please cite the original version:
Shafi, A. M., Uddin, M. G., Cui, X., Ali, F., Ahmed, F., Radwan, M., Das, S., Mehmood, N., Sun, Z., & Lipsanen, H. (2023). Strain Engineering for Enhancing Carrier Mobility in MoTe₂ Field-Effect Transistors. *Advanced Science*, 10(29), Article 2303437. <https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.202303437>

This material is protected by copyright and other intellectual property rights, and duplication or sale of all or part of any of the repository collections is not permitted, except that material may be duplicated by you for your research use or educational purposes in electronic or print form. You must obtain permission for any other use. Electronic or print copies may not be offered, whether for sale or otherwise to anyone who is not an authorised user.

Strain Engineering for Enhancing Carrier Mobility in MoTe₂ Field-Effect Transistors

Abde Mayeen Shafi,* Md Gius Uddin, Xiaoqi Cui, Fida Ali, Faisal Ahmed, Mohamed Radwan, Susobhan Das, Naveed Mehmood, Zhipei Sun, and Harri Lipsanen*

Molybdenum ditelluride (MoTe₂) exhibits immense potential in post-silicon electronics due to its bandgap comparable to silicon. Unlike other 2D materials, MoTe₂ allows easy phase modulation and efficient carrier type control in electrical transport. However, its unstable nature and low-carrier mobility limit practical implementation in devices. Here, a deterministic method is proposed to improve the performance of MoTe₂ devices by inducing local tensile strain through substrate engineering and encapsulation processes. The approach involves creating hole arrays in the substrate and using atomic layer deposition grown Al₂O₃ as an additional back-gate dielectric layer on SiO₂. The MoTe₂ channel is passivated with a thick layer of Al₂O₃ post-fabrication. This structure significantly improves hole and electron mobilities in MoTe₂ field-effect transistors (FETs), approaching theoretical limits. Hole mobility up to 130 cm⁻² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ and electron mobility up to 160 cm⁻² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ are achieved. Introducing local tensile strain through the hole array enhances electron mobility by up to 6 times compared to the unstrained devices. Remarkably, the devices exhibit metal-insulator transition in MoTe₂ FETs, with a well-defined critical point. This study presents a novel technique to enhance carrier mobility in MoTe₂ FETs, offering promising prospects for improving 2D material performance in electronic applications.

and potential to replace silicon in various applications.^[1-3] A few-layer 2H-MoTe₂ has an indirect bandgap of around 0.9 eV which makes it promising for applications in state-of-the-art electronic and optoelectronic devices operating in the visible to near-infrared range.^[4,5] The weak Fermi-level pinning in MoTe₂ and metal contacts allows for easy modulation of device polarity through contact engineering.^[6] Despite its potential, low carrier mobility and high contact resistance in MoTe₂ field effect transistors (FETs), along with the material instability in atmospheric conditions, remain major obstacles to its integration into electronic devices.^[7,8] Theoretically, the room-temperature hole and electron mobilities in MoTe₂ devices can reach up to 200 cm⁻² V⁻¹ s⁻¹,^[9,10] but in practice, the actual carrier mobilities of MoTe₂ fall significantly below this theoretical range.^[4,11,12]

Several techniques have been developed to improve the performance of 2D-material-based devices, including chemical doping, inducing strain, and substrate engineering.^[13-15] High dielectric constant

(high- κ) materials can also enhance the carrier mobility in 2D materials by strongly damping scattering from Coulombic impurities.^[16,17] Atomic layer deposition (ALD) grown Al₂O₃ ($\kappa = 9.1$) is one of the widely used dielectric materials for 2D transistors due to its role to reduce defects and suppress charge trapping effects at the interface.^[18-20] Additionally, ALD-grown Al₂O₃ serves as a passivation layer for 2D transistors to improve thermal and chemical stability. Moreover, the metal-oxide dopes the 2D materials with n-type carriers and elevates the electron current level. While possessing all these advantages, another potential aspect of this ALD layer is its ability to introduce tensile strain to the 2D material when the substrate is engineered in such a way that the weight of the passivated ALD layer and the thermal expansion difference between Al₂O₃ and MoTe₂ exert tensile strain on the material, thereby enhancing device performance.

In this work, we first demonstrate a simple technique to improve the performance of MoTe₂ FETs. Our approach involves creating a hole array in the substrate, using ALD-grown Al₂O₃ as an additional dielectric layer on SiO₂, and employing Al₂O₃ as a passivation layer for the MoTe₂ FET. Our proposed structure induces tensile strain on MoTe₂, leading to significant enhancement of the carrier mobility and on-off ratio. The improved

1. Introduction

MoTe₂ has emerged as a promising 2D transition metal dichalcogenide (TMDC) in recent years, owing to its unique properties

A. M. Shafi, M. G. Uddin, X. Cui, F. Ali, F. Ahmed, M. Radwan, S. Das, N. Mehmood, Z. Sun, H. Lipsanen

Department of Electronics and Nanoengineering
Aalto University

Tietotie 3 FI-02150, Finland

E-mail: abde.shafi@aalto.fi; harri.lipsanen@aalto.fi

Z. Sun

QTF Centre of Excellence

Department of Applied Physics

Aalto University

Aalto FI-00076, Finland

 The ORCID identification number(s) for the author(s) of this article can be found under <https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.202303437>

© 2023 The Authors. Advanced Science published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

DOI: 10.1002/advs.202303437

performance of the MoTe₂ devices can be attributed to the significant damping of the Coulomb and electron–phonon scattering as a result of the change in the band structure of MoTe₂. Additionally, the stable and good device quality leads to the metal–insulator transition (MIT) in the strained MoTe₂ devices during temperature-dependent electrical measurements, characterized by a sharp MIT critical point. This observation highlights the potential of MoTe₂ for the development of fast-switching devices and memory elements, with applications in efficient sensors, photodetectors, and energy storage devices.

2. Results and Discussion

Figure 1a illustrates the crystal structure of 2H phase MoTe₂, where a 2D hexagonal lattice structure is formed by sandwiching one layer of Mo atoms between two layers of S atoms. Relatively, 2H-MoTe₂ is thermodynamically more stable compared to other phases. Figure 1b presents a schematic of a FET on a typical Si/SiO₂ substrate using a few-layer MoTe₂ as the channel material. The room-temperature Raman spectrum of pristine MoTe₂ obtained using a 532 nm (≈ 2.33 eV) laser excitation is depicted in Figure 1c. The distinctive Raman modes of MoTe₂ appear at 173, 233, and 288 cm⁻¹ corresponding to out-of-plane A_{1g}, in-plane E_{2g}¹, and bulk in-active B_{2g}¹ modes, respectively.^[21] The transfer characteristics curve of a ≈ 2 nm thick MoTe₂ FET at room temperature is shown in Figure 1d, revealing a p-dominant ambipolar behavior. The calculated mobility (μ) of the device is 17

cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹. It is worth noting that, we observe a very thin layer (≈ 2 nm) of MoTe₂ behaving as p-type or p-dominant ambipolar with Ti/Au electrodes. However, as the thickness of MoTe₂ increases, the n-branch of the transfer curve becomes stronger, leading to n-type MoTe₂ FET.

Usually, Al₂O₃ grown by ALD induces n-doping in 2D materials when the metal oxide is used as a dielectric material and also as a passivation layer for 2D transistors. The effect of ALD doping is particularly noticeable in the electrical response of 2D FETs when compared with bare 2D FETs on Si/SiO₂ substrate. Al₂O₃ dopes the material by injecting electrons in the channel, leading to a transition in carrier type from p-type to p-dominant, n-dominant ambipolar, or even n-type behavior. The μ_e in the devices also increases with an elevated electron current level. Meanwhile, Al₂O₃ contributes to a reduction in device hysteresis by minimizing carrier trapping and promoting a high-quality interface. The high-quality interface facilitated by Al₂O₃ may also enhance μ_h in the devices. Detailed discussion on the mechanism of doping by ALD-grown Al₂O₃ is provided in the last section of this study.

In this experiment, we investigate the role of local strain on MoTe₂ induced by hole-array pattern on the Si/SiO₂ substrate, while considering the ALD influences on the material. To achieve this, we fabricate hole arrays with various diameters on Si/SiO₂, followed by deposition of 5 nm Al₂O₃ on the substrate. Subsequently, we transfer MoTe₂ flakes onto the hole-array substrate using the hot pick-up technique.^[22] The adhesion between MoTe₂




Figure 1. FET of 2H-MoTe₂ channel material. a) Illustration of the crystal structure of 2H-MoTe₂. b) Schematic of a back-gated MoTe₂ FET with Ti/Au electrodes on Si/SiO₂ substrate. c) Room-temperature Raman spectrum of a few-layer MoTe₂ and d) Transfer characteristics of the device with Ti/Au electrodes. The inset shows the device image with an atomic force microscopy (AFM) height profile of the flake with a scale bar of 5 μ m.




Figure 2. Electrical responses of strained MoTe₂ FETs. a) A schematic of the device structure with a hole array in the substrate and Al₂O₃ passivation layer. b) The transfer curve of a strained ≈ 2.7 nm thick MoTe₂ exhibiting p-dominant ambipolar device. The hole mobility (μ_h) is presented in the figure. The inset shows an optical image of the device where the red dashed line defines the boundary of the flake. c) Transfer curve of the n-type device with ≈ 5.8 nm thick MoTe₂. The calculated electron mobility (μ_e) is also shown in the image. The image of the device is shown in the inset of the figure. A drain voltage of $V_d = 1$ V is used for both of the transfer characteristic measurements. The scale bars in the inset of b) and c) are 3 μ m.

and ALD-grown Al₂O₃ substrate relies on van der Waals bonding. The high-quality and uniformity of layer-by-layer growth of Al₂O₃ in the ALD process enhances the adhesion. MoTe₂ FETs with Ti/Au contacts are fabricated on the substrate, and an additional 50 nm layer of Al₂O₃ is deposited on the devices using a seeding layer to ensure uniform coverage on the MoTe₂ because of the absence of dangling bonds on the surface of 2D materials (see the Experimental Section for more details). **Figure 2a** shows a schematic of the device structure. The holes on the substrate are 200 nm in diameter and ≈ 50 nm in depth after the deposition of 5 nm Al₂O₃. Two different thicknesses of MoTe₂ flakes are selected to analyze the strain effects: a ≈ 2.7 nm flake thickness exhibits p-dominant ambipolar behavior, as shown in **Figure 2b**, while a thicker flake (≈ 5.8 nm) exhibits n-type behavior. The transfer characteristics of the devices are presented in **Figure 2c**, with both devices exhibiting an on-off ratio of 10^5 . The AFM measurement results of these samples are shown in **Figure S2** (Supporting Information).

The 2-probe field effect mobilities of these devices are calculated using the following equation

$$\mu = \frac{L}{W} \left(\frac{1}{C_{\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3}} + \frac{1}{C_{\text{SiO}_2}} \right) \frac{1}{V_d} \frac{dI_d}{dV_g} \quad (1)$$

where L and W denote the length and width of the channel, respectively, $C_{\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3}$ and C_{SiO_2} are the capacitances of Al₂O₃ and

SiO₂, respectively, V_d represents the drain voltage, and $\frac{dI_d}{dV_g}$ is the extrinsic transconductance of the devices. The thicknesses of the SiO₂ and Al₂O₃ layers are 285 and 5 nm, respectively. The calculated μ_h of the device in **Figure 2b** is ≈ 127 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹, while μ_e of the device in **Figure 2c** is ≈ 158 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹. Note that the mentioned mobility values are underestimated due to the 2-probe measurements, which include the effect of contact resistance. Accurate mobility numbers can be extracted from 4-probe measurements.

In order to get a deeper understanding, we create hole arrays in the substrate with different diameters, such as 100, 200, 300, and 400 nm. The target depth of the holes is 70 nm; however, the actual depth ranges from 40 to 55 nm after 5 nm Al₂O₃ deposition (for details see the Experimental Section). The as-transferred flakes of MoTe₂ having a thickness of ≈ 5 nm, are suspended on the hole arrays experience relatively small strain. To enhance the localized tensile strain on the MoTe₂ flakes, we grow a 50 nm layer of Al₂O₃ using the ALD process. As we know that Raman spectroscopy is a well-established tool to investigate the strain in 2D materials, we use a 532 nm laser to characterize the nature and magnitude of strain in both strained and unstrained MoTe₂. The distinct Raman peaks observed in both samples confirm the intact and high-quality of the flakes. We notice that the Raman modes of strained MoTe₂ are redshifted compared to the unstrained regions of the flake, as shown in **Figure 3a**. Additionally, the intensities of the Raman peaks are decreased in the




Figure 3. The hole-array and top Al_2O_3 induced strain effects on MoTe_2 FETs. a) Comparison of the Raman shifts of strained and unstrained samples. b) Variation in the Raman peak shifts with different hole diameters. c) Change in the current and μ_e of the devices with and without strain. Inset illustrates the simplified band diagram where E_{GT} and ΔE_{QK} refer to the bandgap and the gap between the Q and K point of the conduction band after application of tensile strain, respectively. Note that the energy bands with red colors correspond to unstrained MoTe_2 and the black lines correspond to bands of strained MoTe_2 . d) Mobility enhancement factor (EF) of the devices as a function of hole diameters.

strained samples. These findings are consistent with previous reports.^[23–25] Especially, in our samples, most strained samples exhibit a redshift of ≈ 2.98 and $\approx 2.22 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for prominent E_{2g}^1 and A_{1g} modes, respectively. Notably, in the previous reports,^[23–25] the shift in the A_{1g} mode under tensile strain was almost negligible. We notice a significant shift in the A_{1g} mode of strained MoTe_2 . We assume that the shift is the result of using a few-layer and unsupported MoTe_2 or the effect of change in the dielectric environment^[26] in the hole-array regions of our samples. Another major reason behind this larger shift in A_{1g} could be the result of the high level of doping in MoTe_2 induced by Al_2O_3 .^[25,27,28] Figure 3b illustrates the effect of hole diameter variation on the shift of each Raman mode in strained MoTe_2 samples compared to the unstrained samples. It is evident that the shift of all Raman peaks is increasing with the increase in the hole diameter, with the highest shift observed when the diameter is 300 nm. This indicates that the 300 nm hole diameter exerts the strongest tensile strain on the MoTe_2 flake around the peripheral region of the hole. The amount of strain approximated from the shift of E_{2g}^1 mode is $\approx 0.67\%$.^[23] The strain in the material decreases at 400 nm, which could be attributed to the increased flat or less strained region at the center of the hole.

Next, we analyze the influence of the hole-array pattern and top Al_2O_3 -induced strain in the MoTe_2 device performance. Local tensile strain resulting from our proposed structure enhances the

electron current and mobility of MoTe_2 FETs by up to ≈ 6 times compared to the unstrained flat sample. Figure 3c shows the comparison of the current and μ_e of the strained and unstrained samples. The optical image and height profile of the MoTe_2 flake are presented in Figure S3 (Supporting Information). The performance enhancement in our devices could be attributed to the reduced electron–phonon scattering due to the band structure changes upon application of the tensile strain to MoTe_2 .^[15,29] A schematic of a simplified band structure of MoTe_2 before and after strain is presented in the inset of Figure 3c. The red and black colored energy bands in the figure correspond to unstrained and strained few-layer MoTe_2 . The bandgap E_{GT} of MoTe_2 decreases as the valley of the conduction band at the K point is lowered when tensile strain is applied to the few-layer MoTe_2 flake.^[29] As a result, the energy difference between K point and Q point (denoted as ΔE_{QK}) increases, resulting in very low electron intervalley scattering by reducing the electron effective mass.^[15] This could be the major reason for the enhancement of μ_e in MoTe_2 devices. In addition, the contact resistance of the devices decreases by reducing the Schottky barrier height in the devices when tensile strain is applied to 2D materials, also leading to the high μ_e .^[30,31] Among the 2D TMDCs, MoTe_2 is more susceptible to defect formation and charge trapping.^[7] The application of tensile strain to MoTe_2 could potentially enhance the carrier lifetime by mitigating the trapping of the charge carriers.^[32,33]




Figure 4. Temperature-dependent transport and carrier mobility of strained MoTe₂ FET. a) Variation in conductance (σ) for different values of V_g . Inset shows the temperature-dependent σ of the device. b) Change of carrier mobility μ as a function of temperature.

This could also lead to high current and mobility in the devices.

Figure 3d illustrates the hole diameter-dependent mobility EF. The mobility increases with increasing hole diameter up to 300 nm, which also corresponds to the highest mobility EF. A close value of the EF is also noticed at 200 nm diameter. This trend in the mobility EF is analogous to the hole diameter-dependent strain observed in the samples presented in Figure 3b. From these results, it is apparent that the tensile strain induced by the hole-array pattern in the substrate and top Al₂O₃ layer enhances the MoTe₂ device performance significantly.

High- κ dielectric materials improve the device performance by strongly reducing Coulomb scattering^[16,17] and enhancing gate capacitance.^[19] An additional layer of Al₂O₃ on SiO₂ has a similar influence on the device performance. Al₂O₃ provides strong gate controllability and reduces the Schottky barrier height (SBH) between metal and semiconductor, resulting in increased carrier mobility through the thermionic emission process.^[20]

For further analysis of the Schottky barrier, we investigate the transfer characteristics of the devices at different temperatures. **Figure 4a** shows the transfer curves of a n-type MoTe₂ transistor made with Ti/Au contacts at various temperatures. Remarkably, we observe MIT in MoTe₂ devices. The drain current, measured as a function of gate voltage, shows a crossover at a specific gate voltage. This crossover point, also known as critical or MIT point is at $\approx V_g = 62$ V. Below the point, the MoTe₂ device behaves as an insulator, as device conductivity increases with temperature increase. Meanwhile, above the MIT point, the conductivity decreases with increasing temperature, behaving as a metal. At the MIT point, the carrier density is estimated to be $n_D \approx 3.74 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, calculated using $n_D = \frac{C_{ox}(V_g - V_{th})}{q}$, where C_{ox} is the overall capacitance of the dielectrics, V_{th} is the threshold voltage, and q is the charge of an electron. The dependency of the conductivity on the temperature is shown in the inset of Figure 4a. In 2D materials, temperature-dependent transport in the channel is typically divided into two different regimes, separated by the mobility or conduction band edge E_c .^[34–36] In the insulating regime, the Fermi energy E_F moves away from the mobility edge which results in strong localization of the charge carriers. Therefore,

the conductivity increases with the increase in the temperature due to thermal activation. Conversely, E_F moves toward E_c in the metallic regimes, and charge carriers are weakly localized. As the temperature increases, phonon scattering becomes more dominant, leading to a decrease in the conductivity in this regime.^[37,38]

The variation of mobility of the device with temperature is shown in Figure 4b. The mobility is $\approx 250 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at 80 K, indicating strong screening of Coulomb scattering due to the low temperature and thin Al₂O₃ dielectric layer. The mobility of the transistor decreases with the temperature increase as the phonon scattering becomes dominant over Coulomb scattering. The hysteresis in the device increases with the temperature increase as well; however, the value of hysteresis is very small, even at room temperature compared to the FET made solely on flat Si/SiO₂ substrate, as shown in Figure S5 (Supporting Information). This indicates the higher quality of the layers of Al₂O₃ than SiO₂ in terms of low surface defects resulting from the layer-by-layer deposition of Al₂O₃ in the ALD process. This results in less carrier trapping during the conduction.

From the temperature-dependent transport measurements of MoTe₂ devices, we can also analyze the quality of the contacts (Ti/Au) on the MoTe₂ channel while considering the influence of top-bottom Al₂O₃. The Arrhenius plot in **Figure 5a** is obtained from the thermionic emission model, $I_d \propto T^{\frac{3}{2}} \cdot \exp[-q\phi_B/k_B T]$, where ϕ_B represents the Schottky barrier height (SBH) and k_B is the Boltzmann constant. The extracted SBH as a function of V_g is presented in Figure 5b with a schematic of the thermionic emission of the electrons over the SBH in the inset. The low value and nearly linear dependence on V_g of the SBH indicate thermionic emission of the carriers contributing to the current and leading to high mobility in the devices. This could be the result of the combined effect of the top Al₂O₃ used for inducing strain and the bottom Al₂O₃ used as an additional dielectric layer for MoTe₂ FETs. Understanding the mechanism of doping in MoTe₂ by ALD-grown Al₂O₃ can provide further insight into the enhancement of device performance.

The n-type doping in 2D materials by ALD-grown Al₂O₃ is mainly attributed to the presence of oxygen vacancies in Al₂O₃.^[39,40] These oxygen vacancies create defect states within the bandgap of Al₂O₃ as shown in Figure 5c.^[41] Depending on the




Figure 5. Effect of ALD Al_2O_3 on strained MoTe_2 FET performance. a) Arrhenius plot as per thermionic emission model at different gate voltages. b) The extracted Schottky barrier heights (SBHs) at various V_g . c) ALD Al_2O_3 induced doping mechanism.

electron occupation, each of the defect states has a charge and an energy level. At the interface of oxide- MoTe_2 , if the defects occupy energy levels above the MoTe_2 conduction band and donate their electrons to become positively charged, then MoTe_2 becomes n-doped, leading to high density and mobility of the electrons in the channel. The presence of Al_2O_3 both below and above MoTe_2 enhances the doping effect, thus resulting in improved device performance.

3. Conclusion

In summary, we demonstrate a simple and effective method to modulate the carrier type and enhance the performance of few-layer MoTe_2 FETs. The combination of the hole-array pattern in the substrate and ALD-grown Al_2O_3 layers as an additional dielectric and passivation layer enables us to achieve significant enhancements in both the hole and electron mobility of MoTe_2 devices. The current and the electron mobility of our MoTe_2 devices are increased by up to 6 times due to the application of tensile strain on MoTe_2 by the proposed structure. The strain applied to MoTe_2 changes the band structure of the material and reduces the electron-phonon scattering in the samples, leading to high electron mobility in the devices. Additionally, we observe MIT in MoTe_2 during analyzing the temperature-dependent behavior of the devices. Overall, our proposed structure represents a promising approach for advancing the performance of MoTe_2 devices for future electronics.

4. Experimental Section

Hole-Array Substrate: For making hole arrays, a p-doped silicon substrate ($0.001\text{--}0.005\ \Omega\ \text{cm}$) covered with a 285 nm thick thermally grown SiO_2 was cleaned with acetone and IPA. Patterns with different diameters of circles were created by electron beam lithography (EBL Vistec, EPBG 5000) after coating the substrate with PMMA A4. Then the substrate was subjected to a reactive ion etching (RIE) tool (Plasmalab 80 Plus, Oxford Instruments) for SiO_2 etching. CHF_3 and O_2 were used as process gases and the rate was optimized to get $40 \pm 5\ \text{nm}\ \text{min}^{-1}$ etch rate. The etching was carried out for 2 min to get 70 nm of SiO_2 etching from the PMMA opening. After etching the PMMA was dissolved in acetone.

Al_2O_3 Growth in ALD: The substrates with hole arrays were inserted into the ALD tool (Beneq TFS-500). A 5 nm Al_2O_3 was grown on the hole-array substrate using trimethylaluminum (TMA) and water at $200\ ^\circ\text{C}$, while the process parameters were optimized to deposit Al_2O_3 with 0.1 nm per cycle rate. Another deposition of Al_2O_3 was carried out after device fabrication. However, this time before growing the oxide, a 2 nm Al was deposited as a seed layer using e-beam evaporation on the samples because MoTe_2 has no dangling bond on the surface, therefore uniform growth of Al_2O_3 on 2D materials requires this seeding layer. After that, a 50 nm Al_2O_3 was grown using the same growth method mentioned before.

Device Fabrication: The few-layer MoTe_2 flakes were mechanically exfoliated from the commercially available MoTe_2 crystal (2D Semiconductors) on a p-doped silicon substrate ($0.001\text{--}0.005\ \Omega\ \text{cm}$) covered with a 285 nm thick thermally grown SiO_2 . A custom-built 2D transfer setup was used to prepare the samples. After exfoliation, electron beam lithography (EBL Vistec, EPBG 5000) and metallization were carried out by using electron beam evaporator (MASA, IM-9912) under $\approx 10^{-7}$ torr chamber pressure to deposit a 5 nm Ti adhesion layer followed by 50 nm thick Au. The height of the flakes were determined using an atomic force microscope (Bruker, Dimension Icon).

Raman Spectroscopy: The room-temperature Raman spectra were collected in back-scattering geometry with a confocal micro-Raman system (WITec alpha300 RA+). Samples were excited using a 532 nm laser with a spot size of less than $1\ \mu\text{m}$ ($\times 100$ objective, 0.9 NA). Low laser power ($< 500\ \mu\text{W}$) was used to avoid laser-induced damage in the samples.

Electrical and Temperature-Dependent Measurements: All the electrical measurements were carried out with a custom-built setup based on a Linkam LN600-P probe station with environmental and temperature controller (T96-S) using a source-measure unit (Keithley 2400) and a Keithley 2700 multiplexing/voltage measurement unit. The temperature measurement system could achieve a $150\ ^\circ\text{C}\ \text{min}^{-1}$ heating rate and $100\ ^\circ\text{C}\ \text{min}^{-1}$ cooling rate with $< 0.1\ ^\circ\text{C}$ temperature stability. All the measurements were repeated twice at each point to get confident data.

Supporting Information

Supporting Information is available from the Wiley Online Library or from the author.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the GrapheneCore3 No. 881603 and the Academy of Finland [Grant No. 320167 (PREIN Flagship – Aalto University)]. The authors would like to acknowledge Micronova for its fabrication and characterization infrastructure.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Keywords

MoTe₂, Al₂O₃, tensile strain, carrier mobility, metal–insulator transition

Received: May 26, 2023

Revised: July 20, 2023

Published online: August 8, 2023

- [1] W. Hou, A. Azizimanesh, A. Sewaket, T. Peña, C. Watson, M. Liu, H. Askari, S. M. Wu, *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2019**, *14*, 668.
- [2] R. Maiti, C. Patil, M. A. S. R. Saadi, T. Xie, J. G. Azadani, B. Uluutku, R. Amin, A. F. Briggs, M. Miscuglio, D. Van Thourhout, S. D. Solares, T. Low, R. Agarwal, S. R. Bank, V. J. Sorger, *Nat. Photonics* **2020**, *14*, 578.
- [3] F. Ahmed, C. Rodríguez-Fernández, H. A. Fernandez, Y. Zhang, A. M. Shafi, M. G. Uddin, X. Cui, H. H. Yoon, N. Mehmood, A. C. Liapis, L. Yao, H. Caglayan, Z. Sun, H. Lipsanen, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2023**, *33*, 2302051.
- [4] I. G. Lezama, A. Ubaldini, M. Longobardi, E. Giannini, C. Renner, A. B. Kuzmenko, A. F. Morpurgo, *2D Mater.* **2014**, *1*, 021002.
- [5] D. Qu, X. Liu, M. Huang, C. Lee, F. Ahmed, H. Kim, R. S. Ruoff, J. Hone, W. J. Yoo, *Adv. Mater.* **2017**, *29*, 1606433.
- [6] S. Nakaharai, M. Yamamoto, K. Ueno, K. Tsukagoshi, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2016**, *8*, 14732.
- [7] F. Ahmed, A. M. Shafi, D. M. A. Mackenzie, M. A. Qureshi, H. A. Fernandez, H. H. Yoon, M. G. Uddin, M. Kuittinen, Z. Sun, H. Lipsanen, *Adv. Mater. Interfaces* **2021**, *8*, 2100950.
- [8] H. M. Li, D. Lee, D. Qu, X. Liu, J. Ryu, A. Seabaugh, W. J. Yoo, *Nat. Commun.* **2015**, *6*, 6564.
- [9] Y.-F. Lin, Y. Xu, S.-T. Wang, S.-L. Li, M. Yamamoto, A. Aparecido-Ferreira, W. Li, H. Sun, S. Nakaharai, W.-B. Jian, K. Ueno, K. Tsukagoshi, *Adv. Mater.* **2014**, *26*, 3263.
- [10] S. Ahmed, J. Yi, *Nano-Micro Lett.* **2017**, *9*, 50.
- [11] S. Nakaharai, M. Yamamoto, K. Ueno, Y.-F. Lin, S.-L. Li, K. Tsukagoshi, *ACS Nano* **2015**, *9*, 5976.
- [12] N. R. Pradhan, D. Rhodes, S. Feng, Y. Xin, S. Memaran, B.-H. Moon, H. Terrones, M. Terrones, L. Balicas, *ACS Nano* **2014**, *8*, 5911.
- [13] M. W. Iqbal, A. Amin, M. A. Kamran, H. Ateeq, E. Elahi, G. Hussain, S. Azam, S. Aftab, T. Alharbi, A. Majid, *Superlattices Microstruct.* **2019**, *135*, 106247.
- [14] T. Liu, S. Liu, K. H. Tu, H. Schmidt, L. Chu, D. Xiang, J. Martin, G. Eda, C. A. Ross, S. Garaj, *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2019**, *14*, 223.
- [15] I. M. Datye, A. Daus, R. W. Grady, K. Brenner, S. Vaziri, E. Pop, *Nano Lett.* **2022**, *22*, 8052.
- [16] D. Jena, A. Konar, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2007**, *98*, 136805.
- [17] A. Konar, T. Fang, D. Jena, *Phys. Rev. B* **2010**, *82*, 115452.
- [18] L. Liao, J. Bai, Y. Qu, Y. Huang, X. Duan, *Nanotechnology* **2010**, *21*, 015705.
- [19] S. Kim, A. Konar, W. S. Hwang, J. H. Lee, J. Lee, J. Yang, C. Jung, H. Kim, J. B. Yoo, J. Y. Choi, Y. W. Jin, S. Y. Lee, D. Jena, W. Choi, K. Kim, *Nat. Commun.* **2012**, *3*, 1011.
- [20] W. Chen, R. Liang, J. Wang, S. Zhang, J. Xu, *Sci. Bull.* **2018**, *63*, 997.
- [21] C. Ruppert, B. Aslan, T. F. Heinz, *Nano Lett.* **2014**, *14*, 6231.
- [22] F. Pizzocchero, L. Gammelgaard, B. S. Jessen, J. M. Caridad, L. Wang, J. Hone, P. Bøggild, T. J. Booth, *Nat. Commun.* **2016**, *7*, 11894.
- [23] H. J. Conley, B. Wang, J. I. Ziegler, R. F. Haglund, S. T. Pantelides, K. I. Bolotin, *Nano Lett.* **2013**, *13*, 3626.
- [24] C. Rice, R. J. Young, R. Zan, U. Bangert, D. Wolverson, T. Georgiou, R. Jalil, K. S. Novoselov, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **2013**, *87*, 081307.
- [25] A. Castellanos-Gomez, R. Roldán, E. Cappelluti, M. Buscema, F. Guinea, H. S. J. van der Zant, G. A. Steele, *Nano Lett.* **2013**, *13*, 5361.
- [26] M. Buscema, G. A. Steele, H. S. J. van der Zant, A. Castellanos-Gomez, *Nano Res.* **2014**, *7*, 561.
- [27] N. Mao, Y. Chen, D. Liu, J. Zhang, L. Xie, *Small* **2013**, *9*, 1312.
- [28] B. Chakraborty, A. Bera, D. V. S. Muthu, S. Bhowmick, U. V. Waghmare, A. K. Sood, *Phys. Rev. B* **2012**, *85*, 161403.
- [29] S. Postorino, D. Grassano, M. D. Alessandro, A. Pianetti, O. Pulci, M. Palummo, *Nanomater. Nanotechnol.* **2020**, *10*, 1847980420902569.
- [30] T. Shen, A. V. Penumatcha, J. Appenzeller, *ACS Nano* **2016**, *10*, 4712.
- [31] A. P. John, A. Thenappambal, M. Thalukulam, *Nanotechnology* **2020**, *31*, 275703.
- [32] H. Hong, Y. Cheng, C. Wu, C. Huang, C. Liu, W. Yu, X. Zhou, C. Ma, J. Wang, Z. Zhang, Y. Zhao, J. Xiong, K. Liu, *Chin. Phys. B* **2020**, *29*, 077201.
- [33] R. Maiti, M. A. S. R. Saadi, R. Amin, V. O. Ozcelik, B. Uluutku, C. Patil, C. Suer, S. Solares, V. J. Sorger, *ACS Appl. Electron. Mater.* **2021**, *3*, 3781.
- [34] B. Radisavljevic, A. Kis, *Nat. Mater.* **2013**, *12*, 815.
- [35] D. Ovchinnikov, A. Allain, Y.-S. Huang, D. Dumcenco, A. Kis, *ACS Nano* **2014**, *8*, 8174.
- [36] J. Xue, S. Huang, J. Y. Wang, H. Q. Xu, *RSC Adv.* **2019**, *9*, 17885.
- [37] F. Ali, N. Ali, M. Taqi, T. D. Ngo, M. Lee, H. Choi, W. Park, E. Hwang, W. J. Yoo, *Adv. Electron. Mater.* **2022**, *8*, 2200046.
- [38] N. Ali, M. Lee, F. Ali, H. Shin, T. D. Ngo, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, B. Oh, W. J. Yoo, *ACS Appl. Nano Mater.* **2022**, *5*, 18376.
- [39] A. Valsaraj, J. Chang, A. Rai, L. F. Register, S. K. Banerjee, *2D Mater.* **2015**, *2*, 045009.
- [40] A. Leonhardt, D. Chiappe, V. V. Afanas'Ev, S. El Kazzi, I. Shlyakhov, T. Conard, A. Franquet, C. Huyghebaert, S. De Gendt, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2019**, *11*, 42697.
- [41] C. J. McClellan, E. Yalon, K. K. H. Smithe, S. V. Suryavanshi, E. Pop, *ACS Nano* **2021**, *15*, 1587.