



This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Aghajani, Asadollah; Kinnunen, Juha

Supersolutions to nonautonomous Choquard equations in general domains

Published in: Advances in Nonlinear Analysis

DOI:

10.1515/anona-2023-0107

Published: 01/01/2023

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published under the following license: CC BY

Please cite the original version:

Aghajani, A., & Kinnunen, J. (2023). Supersolutions to nonautonomous Choquard equations in general domains. *Advances in Nonlinear Analysis*, 12(1), Article 20230107. https://doi.org/10.1515/anona-2023-0107

This material is protected by copyright and other intellectual property rights, and duplication or sale of all or part of any of the repository collections is not permitted, except that material may be duplicated by you for your research use or educational purposes in electronic or print form. You must obtain permission for any other use. Electronic or print copies may not be offered, whether for sale or otherwise to anyone who is not an authorised user.

Research Article

Asadollah Aghajani and Juha Kinnunen*

Supersolutions to nonautonomous Choquard equations in general domains

https://doi.org/10.1515/anona-2023-0107 received March 18, 2023; accepted July 25, 2023

Abstract: We consider the nonlocal quasilinear elliptic problem:

$$-\Delta_m u(x) = H(x)((I_a^*(Qf(u)))(x))^\beta g(u(x)) \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

where Ω is a smooth domain in \mathbb{R}^N , $\beta \geq 0$, I_a , 0 < a < N, stands for the Riesz potential, $f,g:[0,a) \to [0,\infty)$, $0 < a \leq \infty$, are monotone nondecreasing functions with f(s),g(s)>0 for s>0, and $H,Q:\Omega\to\mathbb{R}$ are nonnegative measurable functions. We provide explicit quantitative pointwise estimates on positive weak supersolutions. As an application, we obtain bounds on extremal parameters of the related nonlinear eigenvalue problems in bounded domains for various nonlinearities f and g such as e^u , $(1+u)^p$, and $(1-u)^{-p}$, p>1. We also discuss the Liouville-type results in unbounded domains.

Keywords: quasilinear elliptic equations, m-Laplace operator, Liouville-type theorems, eigenvalue problems

MSC 2020: 35J92, 35A23, 35B09, 35B53, 47J10

1 Introduction

This work discusses positive supersolutions to the nonlocal quasilinear elliptic problem:

$$-\Delta_m u(x) = H(x)((I_a^*(Qf(u)))(x))^\beta g(u(x)) \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$
(1.1)

with $\beta \geq 0$. Here, Ω is a domain in \mathbb{R}^N :

$$\Delta_m u(x) = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u(x)|^{m-2} \nabla u(x)), \quad 1 < m < \infty,$$

is the m-Laplace operator and

$$(I_a^*(Qf(u)))(x) = \int_{\Omega} I_a(x-y)Q(y)f(u(y))\mathrm{d}y,$$

where $I_{\alpha}: \mathbb{R}^{N} \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$I_{\alpha}(x) = \frac{A_{\alpha}}{|x|^{N-\alpha}}, \quad \text{with } A_{\alpha} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{N-\alpha}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}2^{\alpha}},$$

which is the Riesz potential of order $0 < \alpha < N$. We assume that

^{*} Corresponding author: Juha Kinnunen, Department of Mathematics, Aalto University, P.O. Box 11100, FI-00076 Aalto, Finland, e-mail: juha.k.kinnunen@aalto.fi

Asadollah Aghajani: School of Mathematics, Iran University of Science and Technology, P.O. Box 16844-13114, Narmak, Tehran, Iran, e-mail: aghajani@iust.ac.ir

(C) $f, g : [0, a) \to [0, \infty)$, $0 < a \le \infty$, are the monotone nondecreasing functions with f(s), g(s) > 0 for s > 0. Moreover, we assume that $H, Q : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ are nonnegative measurable functions.

Our motivation for the study of (1.1) comes from the general Choquard equation:

$$-\Delta u(x) = (I_a * u^p)(x)u(x)^q \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{1.2}$$

and some of its variants have received a lot of attention in the literature [1,4,5,8,12–17,23–26,28,30,33,34,36–41,46]. For $\alpha = p = 2$, q = 1, and $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3$, Problem (1.2) was introduced in [42] and it is known as the Choquard or Choquard-Pekar equation. It arises, for example, as a model in quantum theory of a Polaron at rest [19,42], an electron trapped in its own hole, in an approximation to the Hartree-Fock theory of one-component plasma [34], and in a self-gravitating matter model (see [32,35]), where it is referred to as the Schrödinger-Newton equation.

Using nonvariational methods, Moroz and Schaftingen [37] obtained sharp conditions for the nonexistence of nonnegative supersolutions to (1.2) in an exterior domain of \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 3$. They accomplished this by using nonlocal version of the Agmon-Allegretto-Piepenbrink positivity principle and an integral version of the comparison principle for the Laplacian in exterior domains. Very recently, Ghergu et al. [23] studied the existence and nonexistence of positive supersolutions for the quasilinear elliptic problem:

$$-\operatorname{div}\mathcal{A}(x, u, \nabla u) = (I_a * u^p)(x)u(x)^q \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

for a large class of operators, which includes the m-Laplace and the m-mean curvature operators, and obtained optimal ranges of exponents p, q, and α for which positive solutions exist.

This work provides pointwise estimates on positive weak supersolutions to (1.1). We emphasize that we allow $\beta = 0$, and hence, our results apply to quasilinear equations

$$-\Delta_m u(x) = H(x)g(u(x))$$
 in Ω .

Our approach is based on the maximum principle and thus applies to many nonlocal quasilinear elliptic problems. For instance, as an application of our main results (Theorems 2.3 and 2.5), we obtain the Liouville-type results for

$$-\Delta_m u(x) = H(x) \left[\int_{\Omega} \frac{Q(y)u(y)^p}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} dy \right]^{\beta} u(x)^q \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

in an unbounded domain Ω such as \mathbb{R}^N , $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$, exterior domains or more general unbounded domains with the property

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega} \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega) = \infty \quad \text{and/or} \quad \limsup_{x \in \Omega, \ |x| \to \infty} \frac{d_{\Omega}(x)}{|x|^{s}} = \infty$$

for some 0 < s < 1, with the weights H(x), $Q(x) = |x|^{\gamma}$, $e^{\gamma x_1}$ or $|x_1|^{\gamma}$, $\gamma > 0$.

We also consider the eigenvalue problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_m u(x) = \lambda |x|^{\gamma} (I_a^* f(u)(x))^{\beta} g(u(x)) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$
(1.3)

where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N and f and g satisfy (C) and some further assumptions. The extremal parameter of Problem (1.3) is defined as:

$$\lambda^* = \sup\{\lambda > 0: (1.3) \text{ has a positive supersolution}\}.$$
 (1.4)

With $\beta = \gamma = 0$ and with the zero Dirichlet boundary condition, Problem (1.3) becomes

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_m u(x) = \lambda g(u(x)) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$
 (1.5)

where $g:[0,a)\to\mathbb{R},\ 0< a\le\infty$, is an increasing smooth function such that g(0)>0 and $\lim_{s\to a}\frac{g(s)}{s^{m-1}}=\infty$, is interesting already in the case m=2. Typical examples of nonlinearities g are e^u , $(1+u)^p$, and $(1-u)^{-p}$ for

p > m - 1. Under the assumptions on g, it is known that there exists an extremal parameter $0 < \lambda^* < \infty$ such that if $0 < \lambda < \lambda^*$, then Problem (1.5) admits a minimal regular solution u_{λ} , while if $\lambda > \lambda^*$, then it admits no regular solution. Furthermore, the family $\{u_{\lambda}\}$ is increasing in λ , every u_{λ} is stable and we may consider $u^* = \lim_{\lambda \to \lambda^*} u_{\lambda}$, which is a weak solution of (1.5) with $\lambda = \lambda^*$. The solution u^* is also stable, and it is called the extremal solution (see [7,11]). Regularity properties of the extremal solution u^* and estimates for the extremal parameter λ^* of Problem (1.5) have attracted a lot of attention.

We consider the following special case of (1.3) with a singular nonlinearity

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_m u(x) = \lambda |x|^p \left(I_a * \frac{1}{(1 - u(x))^p} \right)^{\beta} \frac{1}{(1 - u(x))^q} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ 0 < u(x) < 1 & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$
 (1.6)

where p, q > 0, $\Omega = B_R(0) = B_R$ and prove that the extremal parameter of Problem (1.6) satisfies

$$\lambda^* \le \left(\frac{\alpha}{N\omega_N A_\alpha}\right)^\beta \mathcal{B}(\gamma + N, 1 + \alpha\beta)^{-1} \left(\frac{\alpha\beta + m + \gamma}{\beta p + q + m - 1}\right)^{m-1} R^{-(\alpha\beta + m + \gamma)},\tag{1.7}$$

where $\omega_N = \frac{\pi^{\frac{N}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2}+1)}$ is the volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^N and \mathcal{B} stands for the beta function. Note that Problem

(1.6) with $\beta = 0$ becomes

$$\begin{cases}
-\Delta_m u(x) = \frac{\lambda |x|^{\gamma}}{(1 - u(x))^q} & \text{in } \Omega, \\
0 < u(x) < 1 & \text{in } \Omega.
\end{cases}$$
(1.8)

This equation appears in the so-called MEMS (micro-electro-mechanical systems) technology. In the twodimensional case with q = 2, this equation models a steady state of a simple MEMS device, consisting of a dielectric elastic membrane covered by a thin conducting film attached to $\partial\Omega$. Here, λ is proportional to the applied voltage, and the permittivity profile $|x|^{\gamma}$ allows for varying dielectric properties of the membrane (see [18,20,21,27,29]). Since λ^* is the critical voltage beyond which a snap-through occurs and u^* is the optimal membrane deflection, it is important for the design of MEMS devices to know how the critical voltage λ^* (called pull-in voltage) and the pull-in distance $||u^*||_{L^{\infty}}$ depend on the membrane geometry and permittivity profile [18,20]. Our main result gives an explicit upper bound (1.7) for λ^* and lower bound for $\|u_{\lambda}\|_{\infty}$ for any positive supersolution u_{λ} . As far as we are aware, this result is new for Problem (1.3) even for the classical case $\beta = 1$.

2 Main results

We begin with a definition of weak solution to (1.1).

Definition 2.1. Let $1 < m < \infty$, $\beta \ge 0$, $0 < \alpha < N$ and assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a domain. A function $u \in W^{1,m}_{loc}(\Omega)$ is a positive weak supersolution to (1.1)

(i) if u > 0 and

$$H(x)\left|\int\limits_{\Omega}\frac{Q(y)f(u(y))}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}}\mathrm{d}y\right|^{\beta}g(u(x))\in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega);$$

(ii) if $\beta > 0$, then u satisfies

$$\int_{0}^{\frac{Q(y)f(u(y))}{1+|y|^{N-\alpha}}} dy < \infty;$$

(iii) for any $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with $\phi \ge 0$, we have

$$\int\limits_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^{m-2} \nabla u(x) \cdot \nabla \phi(x) \mathrm{d}x \geq \int\limits_{\Omega} H(x) ((I_{\alpha} * (Qf(u)))(x))^{\beta} g(u(x)) \phi(x) \mathrm{d}x.$$

For $x \in \Omega$ and $0 < r < d_{\Omega}(x) = \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)$, we denote

$$m_x(r) = \inf_{y \in B_r(x)} u(y), \quad H_x(r) = \inf_{y \in B_r(x)} H(y), \quad \text{and} \quad Q_x(r) = \inf_{y \in B_r(x)} Q(y),$$
 (2.1)

where \inf_A denotes the essential infimum on the set A and $B_r(x) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : |y - x| < r\}$ is the open ball with the center x and radius r > 0.

Remark 2.2. We note that if $u \in W_{loc}^{1,m}(\Omega)$ is a solution to (1.1), then it is a weak supersolution to the m-Laplace equation. By [31, Theorem 3.63], we conclude that u is locally essentially bounded from below and that there exists a lower semicontinuous representative of u that satisfies

$$u(x) = \operatorname{ess \ liminf} u(y)$$

for every $x \in \Omega$. Here,

ess
$$\lim_{y \to x} u(y) = \lim_{r \to 0} \underset{B_r(x)}{\text{ess inf }} u.$$

In particular,

$$m_{x}(0)=\lim_{r\to 0}m_{x}(r)=u(x).$$

Weak supersolutions to (1.1) are, a priori, defined only up to a set of Lebesgue measure zero, but the aforementioned lower semicontinuous representative allows us to discuss pointwise defined supersolutions. When it is useful, we may replace u by its lower semicontinuous representative denoted again by u.

The following pointwise estimate is our main result.

Theorem 2.3. Let $1 < m < \infty$, $\beta \ge 0$, $0 < \alpha < N$ and assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a domain. Assume that f, g, H, and Q satisfy (C) and let u be a positive supersolution to (1.1) in Ω . Then,

$$\int_{m_{-}(r)}^{u(x)} (f^{\beta}(s)g(s))^{-\frac{1}{m-1}} ds \ge C_{\alpha,\beta,N}^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \int_{0}^{r} (s^{\alpha\beta+1}H_{x}(s)Q_{x}(s)^{\beta})^{\frac{1}{m-1}} ds, \tag{2.2}$$

for every $x \in \Omega$ and $0 < r < d_0(x)$, with

$$C_{\alpha,\beta,N} = \left(\frac{N\omega_N A_\alpha}{\alpha}\right)^{\beta} \int_0^1 t^{N-1} (1-t)^{\alpha\beta} dt.$$
 (2.3)

Here, $\omega_N = \frac{\frac{N}{T}}{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2}+1)}$ is the volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^N .

Proof. Let $x \in \Omega$ with $0 < r < d_{\Omega}(x)$. From Definition 2.1, we obtain

$$\int\limits_{B_r(x)} |\nabla u(z)|^{m-2} \nabla u(z) \cdot \nabla \phi(z) \mathrm{d}z \geq \int\limits_{B_r(x)} H(z) g(u(z)) ((I_\alpha{}^*(Qf(u)))(z))^\beta \phi(z) \mathrm{d}x$$

for every $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(B_r(x))$ with $\phi \ge 0$. For short, we write

$$-\Delta_m u(z) \ge H(z)g(u(z))((I_a^*(Qf(u)))(z))^{\beta} \quad \text{in } B_r(x).$$

For $z \in B_r(x)$, we set $r_z = r - |x - z|$. Then, we have $|y - x| \le |y - z| + |x - z| < r_z + |x - z| = r$ for $y \in B_{r_z}(z)$, which implies that $y \in B_r(x)$. We observe that

$$\begin{split} H(z)g(u(z))((I_a^*(Qf(u)))(z))^{\beta} &= H(z)g(u(z)) \left(\int_{\Omega} I_a(z-y)Q(y)f(u(y))\mathrm{d}y\right)^{\beta} \\ &\geq H_x(r)g(m_x(r)) \left(\int_{B_{r_z}(z)} I_a(z-y)Q(y)f(u(y))\mathrm{d}y\right)^{\beta} \\ &\geq H_x(r)g(m_x(r))Q_x(r)^{\beta}f(m_x(r))^{\beta} \left(\int_{B_{r_z}(z)} \frac{A_a}{|z-y|^{N-a}}\mathrm{d}y\right)^{\beta} \end{split}$$

for almost every $z \in B_r(x)$. A simple calculation gives

$$\int_{B_{r_z}(z)} \frac{A_{\alpha}}{|z - y|^{N - \alpha}} dy = \int_{0 \partial B_s(z)}^{r_z} \frac{A_{\alpha}}{|z - y|^{N - \alpha}} d\sigma ds$$

$$= \int_{0}^{r_z} \frac{A_{\alpha}}{s^{N - \alpha}} N \omega_N s^{N - 1} ds$$

$$= N \omega_N A_{\alpha} \int_{0}^{r_z} s^{\alpha - 1} ds$$

$$= \frac{N \omega_N A_{\alpha}}{\alpha} r_z^{\alpha},$$

which implies that

$$\int_{B_{r}(x)} |\nabla u(z)|^{m-2} \nabla u(z) \cdot \nabla \phi(z) dz$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{N \omega_{N} A_{\alpha}}{\alpha} \right)^{\beta} H_{x}(r) g(m_{x}(r)) Q_{x}(r)^{\beta} f(m_{x}(r))^{\beta} \int_{B_{r}(x)} (r - |x - z|)^{\alpha \beta} \phi(z) dz$$

for every $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(B_r(x))$ with $\phi \ge 0$, i.e.,

$$-\Delta_m u(z) \ge \left(\frac{N\omega_N A_\alpha}{\alpha}\right)^\beta H_x(r)g(m_x(r))Q_x(r)^\beta f(m_x(r))^\beta (r-|x-z|)^{\alpha\beta} \quad \text{in } B_r(x). \tag{2.4}$$

We consider an auxiliary function

$$\Phi(z) = \Phi(|z|) = \int_{|z|}^{1} \int_{0}^{s} \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{N-1} (1-t)^{\alpha\beta} dt \int_{m-1}^{\frac{1}{m-1}} ds,$$

which is the unique radial solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_m \Phi(z) = (1 - |z|)^{\alpha \beta} & \text{in } B_1(0), \\ \Phi'(0) = \Phi(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

By a scaling and translation argument, we observe that the function

$$\Psi(z) = r^{\frac{m+\alpha\beta}{m-1}} \Phi\left(\frac{|z-x|}{r}\right)$$

is a solution to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_m \Psi(z) = (r - |z - x|)^{\alpha\beta} & \text{in } B_r(x), \\ \Psi = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_r(x). \end{cases}$$

From (2.4), we obtain

$$\begin{split} -\Delta_m u(z) &\geq \left(\frac{N\omega_N A_\alpha}{\alpha}\right)^\beta H_X(r) g(m_X(r)) Q_X(r)^\beta f(m_X(r))^\beta (r-|x-z|)^{\alpha\beta} \\ &\geq -\left(\frac{N\omega_N A_\alpha}{\alpha}\right)^\beta H_X(r) g(m_X(r)) Q_X(r)^\beta f(m_X(r))^\beta \Delta_m \Psi(z) \quad \text{in } B_r(x). \end{split}$$

Let $v(z) = u(z) - m_x(r)$, $z \in B_r(x)$, and

$$w(z) = AH_{x}(r)^{\frac{1}{m-1}}g(m_{x}(r))^{\frac{1}{m-1}}Q_{x}(r)^{\frac{\beta}{m-1}}f(m_{x}(r))^{\frac{\beta}{m-1}}\Psi(z), \quad z \in B_{r}(x),$$

with

$$A = \left(\frac{N\omega_N A_\alpha}{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{m-1}}.$$
 (2.5)

By the facts that Δ_m is positively homogeneous of order m-1 and that constants can be added to a solution, we obtain

$$-\Delta_m v(z) \ge -\Delta_m w(z)$$
 in $B_r(x)$,

i.e.,

$$\int\limits_{B_r(x)} |\nabla v(z)|^{m-2} \nabla v(z) \cdot \nabla \phi(z) \mathrm{d}z \ge \int\limits_{B_r(x)} |\nabla w(z)|^{m-2} \nabla w(z) \cdot \nabla \phi(z) \mathrm{d}z$$

for every $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(B_r(x))$ with $\phi \ge 0$. Since $v \ge 0$ on $\partial B_r(x)$ and w = 0 on $\partial B_r(x)$, by a comparison result, see Tolksdorf [45] or [43, Corollary 3.4.2], we have $v \ge w$ in $B_r(x)$. It follows that

$$u(z) - m_x(r) \ge AH_x(r)^{\frac{1}{m-1}}g(m_x(r))^{\frac{1}{m-1}}Q_x(r)^{\frac{\beta}{m-1}}f(m_x(r))^{\frac{\beta}{m-1}}r^{\frac{m+\alpha\beta}{m-1}}\Phi\left[\frac{|x-z|}{r}\right]$$

in $B_r(x)$. Since Φ is decreasing, we have $\Phi\left(\frac{|x-z|}{r}\right) \ge \Phi\left(\frac{h}{r}\right)$ for $z \in B_h(x)$ with 0 < h < r. It follows that

$$u(z) - m_{x}(r) \ge AH_{x}(r)^{\frac{1}{m-1}}g(m_{x}(r))^{\frac{1}{m-1}}Q_{x}(r)^{\frac{\beta}{m-1}}f(m_{x}(r))^{\frac{\beta}{m-1}}r^{\frac{m+\alpha\beta}{m-1}}\Phi\left[\frac{h}{r}\right].$$

By taking essential infimum on the left-hand side over $z \in B_h(x)$, we obtain

$$m_X(h) - m_X(r) \ge AH_X(r)^{\frac{1}{m-1}}g(m_X(r))^{\frac{1}{m-1}}Q_X(r)^{\frac{\beta}{m-1}}f(m_X(r))^{\frac{\beta}{m-1}}r^{\frac{m+\alpha\beta}{m-1}}\Phi\left\{\frac{h}{r}\right\},$$

and then dividing both sides by r - h, we arrive at

$$\frac{m_{X}(h) - m_{X}(r)}{r - h} \ge AH_{X}(r)^{\frac{1}{m-1}}g(m_{X}(r))^{\frac{1}{m-1}}Q_{X}(r)^{\frac{\beta}{m-1}}f(m_{X}(r))^{\frac{\beta}{m-1}}r^{\frac{m+\alpha\beta}{m-1}}\frac{\Phi\left(\frac{h}{r}\right)}{r - h}.$$

By letting $h \rightarrow r$ and using the fact that

$$\lim_{h \to r} \frac{\Phi\left(\frac{h}{r}\right)}{r - h} = -\frac{\Phi'(1)}{r} = \frac{1}{r} \left(\int_{0}^{1} t^{N-1} (1 - t)^{\alpha\beta} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}},$$

we obtain the following ordinary differential inequality with an initial value condition:

$$\begin{cases}
-m_{\chi}'(r) \ge AH_{\chi}(r)^{\frac{1}{m-1}}g(m_{\chi}(r))^{\frac{1}{m-1}}Q_{\chi}(r)^{\frac{\beta}{m-1}}f(m_{\chi}(r))^{\frac{\beta}{m-1}}r^{\frac{\alpha\beta+1}{m-1}} \\
\cdot \left[\int_{0}^{1} t^{N-1}(1-t)^{\alpha\beta} dt\right]^{\frac{1}{m-1}} & \text{a.e.} \quad r \in (0, d_{\Omega}(x)), \\
m_{\chi}(0) = u(x).
\end{cases} (2.6)$$

Dividing through by $g(m_x(r))^{\frac{1}{m-1}}f(m_x(r))^{\frac{\beta}{m-1}}$, we may rewrite (2.6) as:

$$J'(r) \ge C_{a,\beta,N}^{\frac{1}{m-1}} r^{\frac{\alpha\beta+1}{m-1}} H_X(r)^{\frac{1}{m-1}} Q_X(r)^{\frac{\beta}{m-1}} \quad \text{a.e. } r \in (0, d_{\Omega}(X)),$$
 (2.7)

where $I:(0,d_0(x))\to\mathbb{R}$ is defined by:

$$J(r) = \int_{m_x(r)}^{u(x)} (f^{\beta}(s)g(s))^{-\frac{1}{m-1}} ds.$$

Since $m_x(r)$ is nonincreasing and f and g are the positive functions, the function J is nondecreasing. By the Lebesgue differentiation theorem,

$$\int_{0}^{r} J'(s) \mathrm{d}s \le J(r) - J(0) = J(r).$$

Thus, integrating (2.7) from 0 to r yields

$$\int_{m_{x}(r)}^{u(x)} (f^{\beta}(s)g(s))^{-\frac{1}{m-1}} ds \ge C_{\alpha,\beta,N}^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \int_{0}^{r} (s^{\alpha\beta+1}H_{x}(s)Q_{x}(s)^{\beta})^{\frac{1}{m-1}} ds,$$

which proves (2.2).

The following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.3 with $\beta = 0$.

Corollary 2.4. Let $1 < m < \infty$ and $0 < \alpha < N$ and assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a domain. Assume that g and H satisfy (C) and let u be a positive supersolution to

$$-\Delta_m u(x) = H(x)g(u(x))$$
 in Ω .

Then,

$$\int_{m-r}^{u(x)} g(s)^{-\frac{1}{m-1}} ds \ge N^{-\frac{1}{m-1}} \int_{0}^{r} (sH_{x}(s))^{\frac{1}{m-1}} ds,$$

for every $x \in \Omega$ and $0 < r < d_{\Omega}(x)$. In particular, if $H \equiv 1$, then

$$\int_{m_{r}(r)}^{u(x)} g(s)^{-\frac{1}{m-1}} ds \ge \frac{m-1}{mN^{\frac{1}{m-1}}} r^{\frac{m}{m-1}},$$

for every $x \in \Omega$ and $0 < r < d_{\Omega}(x)$.

Observe that in those points $x \in \Omega$, where $H_x(x) = 0$ or $Q_x(x) = 0$, the right-hand side of (2.2) becomes zero; hence, we gain nothing. In this case, we have the following result, which can be proved by making a slight modification to the proof of Theorem 2.3. We only consider the case when $H(x) = |x - x_0|^{\gamma}$ for some $\gamma \ge 0$ and $x_0 \in \Omega$.

Theorem 2.5. Let $1 < m < \infty$, γ , $\beta \ge 0$, $0 < \alpha < N$, Ω a domain in \mathbb{R}^N , and $x_0 \in \Omega$. Assume that f and g satisfy (C) and let u be a positive supersolution to

$$-\Delta_m u(x) = |x - x_0|^{\gamma} ((I_a * f(u))(x))^{\beta} g(u(x)) \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$
 (2.8)

Then,

$$\int_{m_{ro}(r)}^{u(x_0)} (f^{\beta}(s)g(s))^{-\frac{1}{m-1}} ds \ge C \frac{1}{r^{\frac{1}{m-1}}} \frac{m-1}{\gamma + \alpha\beta + m} r^{\frac{\gamma + \alpha\beta + m}{m-1}}$$

for every $0 < r < d_{\Omega}(x_0)$, with

$$C_{\gamma,\alpha,\beta,N} = \left(\frac{N\omega_N A_\alpha}{\alpha}\right)^{\beta} \int_0^1 t^{N-1+\gamma} (1-t)^{\alpha\beta} dt.$$
 (2.9)

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 2.3, by (2.8), we obtain

$$\int_{B_{r}(x_{0})} |\nabla u(z)|^{m-2} \nabla u(z) \cdot \nabla \phi(z) dz$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{N \omega_{N} A_{\alpha}}{\alpha} \right)^{\beta} g(m_{x_{0}}(r)) f(m_{x_{0}}(r))^{\beta} \int_{B_{r}(x_{0})} |z - x_{0}|^{\gamma} (r - |x_{0} - z|)^{\alpha \beta} \phi(z) dz$$

for every $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(B_r(x_0)), 0 < r < d_{\Omega}(x_0)$, with $\phi \ge 0$, i.e.,

$$-\Delta_m u(z) \ge \left(\frac{N\omega_N A_\alpha}{\alpha}\right)^\beta g(m_{x_0}(r)) f(m_{x_0}(r))^\beta |z-x_0|^\gamma (r-|z-x_0|)^{\alpha\beta} \quad \text{in } B_r(x_0). \tag{2.10}$$

We consider an auxiliary function

$$\Phi(z) = \Phi(|z|) = \int_{|z|}^{1} \int_{0}^{s} \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{N-1} t^{\gamma} (1-t)^{\alpha\beta} dt \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{m-1}} ds,$$

which is the unique radial solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_m \Phi(z) = |z|^{\gamma} (1 - |z|)^{\alpha \beta} & \text{in } B_1(0), \\ \Phi'(0) = \Phi(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

We observe that the function

$$\Psi(z) = r^{\frac{m+\gamma+a\beta}{m-1}} \Phi\left(\frac{|z-x_0|}{r}\right)$$

is a solution to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_m \Psi(z) = |z - x_0|^{\gamma} (r - |z - x_0|)^{\alpha\beta} & \text{in } B_r(x_0), \\ \Psi = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_r(x_0). \end{cases}$$

Let $v(z) = u(z) - m_{x_0}(r), z \in B_r(x_0)$, and

$$w(z) = A(g(m_{x_0}(r)))^{\frac{1}{m-1}} f(m_{x_0}(r))^{\frac{\beta}{m-1}} \Psi(z), \quad z \in B_r(x_0),$$

with A as in (2.5). From (2.10), we obtain

$$-\Delta_m v(z) \ge -\Delta_m w(z)$$
 in $B_r(x_0)$,

i.e.,

$$\int_{B_{r}(x_{0})} |\nabla v(z)|^{m-2} \nabla v(z) \cdot \nabla \phi(z) dz \ge \int_{B_{r}(x_{0})} |\nabla w(z)|^{m-2} \nabla w(z) \cdot \nabla \phi(z) dz$$

for every $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(B_r(x_0))$, with $\phi \ge 0$. The rest of the proof is quite similar to the proof of Theorem 2.3; using the comparison principle and the fact that this time we have

$$\lim_{h \to r} \frac{\Phi\left(\frac{h}{r}\right)}{r - h} = \frac{-\Phi'(1)}{r} = \frac{1}{r} \left[\int_{0}^{1} t^{N-1+\gamma} (1 - t)^{\alpha\beta} dt \right]^{\frac{1}{m-1}},$$

we arrive at

$$\begin{cases}
-m'_{x_0}(r) \ge Ag\left(m_{x_0}(r)\right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}} f\left(m_{x_0}(r)\right)^{\frac{\beta}{m-1}} r^{\frac{\gamma+a\beta+1}{m-1}} \\
\cdot \left(\int_0^1 t^{N-1+\gamma} (1-t)^{a\beta} dt\right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}} & \text{a.e.} \quad r \in (0, d_{\Omega}(x_0)), \\
m_{x_0}(0) = u(x_0).
\end{cases}$$
(2.11)

3 Estimates for supersolutions and extremal parameters

Theorem 2.3 leads to explicit estimates on supersolutions to (1.1). Assume that f and g satisfy (C). Let

$$J(t) = \int_{0}^{t} (f^{\beta}(s)g(s))^{-\frac{1}{m-1}} ds,$$
 (3.1)

and let I^{-1} be the inverse function of I. In the next result, we are interested in the case where $I(t) < \infty$ for some $0 < t \le \infty$, and the other case will be considered later in Section 4. As an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.3, we have the following.

Proposition 3.1. Let $1 < m < \infty$, $\beta \ge 0$, $0 < \alpha < N$ and assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a domain. Assume that f, g, H, and Q satisfy (C) and let u be a positive supersolution to (1.1) in Ω . Then,

$$C_{\alpha,\beta,N}^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \int_{0}^{d_{\Omega}(x)} (s^{\alpha\beta+1} H_{x}(s) Q_{x}(s)^{\beta})^{\frac{1}{m-1}} ds \le J(u(x))$$
 (3.2)

for every $x \in \Omega$. Here, $C_{\alpha,\beta,N}$ is the constant in (2.3).

We point out that Theorem 3.1 gives pointwise estimates for positive supersolutions. It follows from (3.2) that

$$u(x) \ge J^{-1} \left[C_{\alpha,\beta,N}^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \int_{0}^{d_{\Omega}(x)} (s^{\alpha\beta+1} H_{x}(s) Q_{x}(s)^{\beta})^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \mathrm{d}s \right]$$

for every $x \in \Omega$. In particular, if $H \equiv Q \equiv 1$, then (3.2) implies that

$$J(u(x)) = \int_{0}^{u(x)} (f^{\beta}(s)g(s))^{-\frac{1}{m-1}} ds \ge \frac{m-1}{\alpha\beta+m} C_{\alpha,\beta,N}^{\frac{1}{m-1}} d_{\Omega}(x)^{\frac{\alpha\beta+m}{m-1}},$$

for every $x \in \Omega$, from which we obtain

$$u(x) \ge J^{-1} \left(\frac{m-1}{\alpha\beta + m} C_{\alpha,\beta,N}^{\frac{1}{m-1}} d_{\Omega}(x)^{\frac{\alpha\beta + m}{m-1}} \right)$$
 (3.3)

for every $x \in \Omega$.

Next, we discuss estimates for the extremal parameter defined in (1.4).

Corollary 3.2. The extremal parameter λ^* of Problem (1.3) with $\gamma = 0$ satisfies

$$\lambda^* \le C_{a,\beta,N}^{-1} \left(\frac{\alpha\beta + m}{m - 1} \right)^{m-1} \left[\sup_{x \in \Omega} d_{\Omega}(x) \right]^{-(\alpha\beta + m)}. \tag{3.4}$$

Moreover, if u_{λ} is a positive supersolution to (1.3) for some $0 < \lambda \le \lambda^*$, then

$$u_{\lambda}(x) \geq J^{-1}\left(\frac{m-1}{\alpha\beta+m}(\lambda C_{\alpha,\beta,N})^{\frac{1}{m-1}}d_{\Omega}(x)^{\frac{\alpha\beta+m}{m-1}}\right)$$

for every $x \in \Omega$. Here, $C_{\alpha,\beta,N}$ is the constant in (2.3).

Proof. Proposition 3.1 with $H \equiv Q \equiv 1$ and replacing g(s) with $\lambda g(s)$ imply that

$$C_{\alpha,\beta,N}^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \frac{m-1}{\alpha\beta + m} d_{\Omega}(x)^{\frac{\alpha\beta + m}{m-1}} = C_{\alpha,\beta,N}^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \int_{0}^{d_{\Omega}(x)} s^{\frac{\alpha\beta + 1}{m-1}} ds$$

$$\leq \lambda^{-\frac{1}{m-1}} \int_{0}^{u(x)} (f^{\beta}(s)g(s))^{-\frac{1}{m-1}} ds$$

$$= \lambda^{-\frac{1}{m-1}} I(u_{\lambda}(x))$$

for every $x \in \Omega$. By taking supremum over $x \in \Omega$ on both sides and rearranging the terms, we obtain

$$\lambda \leq C_{\alpha,\beta,N}^{-1} \left(\frac{\alpha\beta+m}{m-1}\right)^{m-1} J \left[\sup_{x\in\Omega} u_{\lambda}(x)\right]^{m-1} \left[\sup_{x\in\Omega} d_{\Omega}(x)\right]^{-(\alpha\beta+m)}.$$

The lower bound for u_{λ} follows from (3.3).

Example 3.3. Let $\Omega = B_R(0)$ in Corollary 3.2, and note that in this case, $\sup_{x \in \Omega} d_{\Omega}(x) = R$.

(i) If $f(s) = g(s) = e^{s}$, then

$$J(\infty) = \int_{0}^{\infty} (f^{\beta}(s)g(s))^{-\frac{1}{m-1}} ds = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\beta+1}{m-1}s} ds = \frac{m-1}{\beta+1}.$$

By (3.4), we have

$$\lambda^* \leq C_{\alpha,\beta,N}^{-1} \left(\frac{\alpha\beta+m}{\beta+1}\right)^{m-1} R^{-(\alpha\beta+m)}.$$

(ii) If $f(s) = (1 + s)^p$ and $g(s) = (1 + s)^q$ with p, q > 0 and $\beta p + q > m - 1$, then

$$J(\infty) = \int_{0}^{\infty} (1+s)^{-\frac{\beta p+q}{m-1}s} ds = \frac{m-1}{\beta p+q-m+1}.$$

By (3.4), we have

$$\lambda^* \leq C_{\alpha,\beta,N}^{-1} \left(\frac{\alpha\beta + m}{\beta p + q - m + 1} \right)^{m-1} R^{-(\alpha\beta + m)}.$$

(iii) If $f(s) = \max\{s^{p_1}, s^{p_2}\}\$ and $g(s) = \max\{s^{q_1}, s^{q_2}\}\$ with

$$p_1, q_1 < 1 < p_2, q_2$$
 and $p_1\beta + q_1 < m - 1 < p_2\beta + q_2$

then

$$J(\infty) = \int_{0}^{1} s^{-\frac{\beta p_{1} + q_{1}}{m-1} s} ds + \int_{1}^{\infty} s^{-\frac{\beta p_{2} + q_{2}}{m-1} s} ds$$

$$= \frac{m-1}{m-1-\beta p_{1}-q_{1}} + \frac{m-1}{\beta p_{2}+q_{2}-m+1}$$

$$= \frac{\beta (p_{2}-p_{1}) + q_{2}-q_{1}}{(\beta p_{2}+q_{2}-m+1)(m-1-\beta p_{1}-q_{1})}.$$

By (3.4), we have

$$\lambda^* \leq C_{\alpha,\beta,N}^{-1} \left(\frac{(\alpha\beta+m)(\beta(p_2-p_1)+q_2-q_1)}{(\beta p_2+q_2-m+1)(m-1-\beta p_1-q_1)} \right)^{m-1} R^{-(\alpha\beta+m)}.$$

Next, we consider the eigenvalue Problem (1.6) with singular nonlinearities. For the sake of simplicity, we only consider the case when $\Omega = B_R(0) = B_R$. We obtain the following bounds for solutions to (1.6) and the related extremal parameter.

Corollary 3.4. The extremal parameter of Problem (1.6) with $\Omega = B_R$ satisfies

$$\lambda^* \le C_{\gamma,\alpha,\beta,N}^{-1} \left(\frac{\alpha\beta + m + \gamma}{\beta p + q + m - 1} \right)^{m-1} R^{-(\alpha\beta + m + \gamma)}. \tag{3.5}$$

Moreover, for any solution u_{λ} to (1.6), we have

$$||u_{\lambda}||_{\infty} \geq u_{\lambda}(0) \geq 1 - (1 - \Lambda)^{\frac{m-1}{\beta p + q + m - 1}}, \quad 0 < \lambda \leq \lambda^*,$$

where

$$\Lambda = (\lambda C_{\gamma,\alpha,\beta,N})^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \frac{\beta p + q + m - 1}{\gamma + \alpha \beta + m} R^{\frac{\gamma + \alpha \beta + m}{m-1}}.$$

Here, $C_{\nu,\alpha,\beta,N}$ is the constant in (2.9).

Proof. Let $f(s) = (1 - s)^{-p}$ and $g(s) = \lambda(1 - s)^{-q}$, p, q > 0, and u_{λ} be a solution to (1.6) in $\Omega = B_R$. By Theorem 2.5, we obtain

$$\int_{0}^{u_{\lambda}(0)} (f^{\beta}(s)g(s))^{-\frac{1}{m-1}} ds = \lambda^{\frac{-1}{m-1}} \int_{0}^{u_{\lambda}(0)} (1-s)^{\frac{\beta p+q}{m-1}s} ds$$

$$= \frac{(m-1)\lambda^{\frac{-1}{m-1}}}{\beta p+q+m-1} \left[1 - (1-u_{\lambda}(0))^{\frac{\beta p+q+m-1}{m-1}} \right]$$

$$\geq C \frac{1}{m-1} \int_{\gamma,\alpha,\beta,N} \frac{(m-1)}{\gamma+\alpha\beta+m} R^{\frac{\gamma+\alpha\beta+m}{m-1}}.$$

This implies that

$$||u_{\lambda}||_{\infty} \geq u_{\lambda}(0) \geq 1 - (1 - \Lambda)^{\frac{m-1}{\beta p + q + m - 1}}$$

where

$$\Lambda = (\lambda C_{\gamma,\alpha,\beta,N})^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \frac{\beta p + q + m - 1}{\gamma + \alpha\beta + m} R^{\frac{\gamma + \alpha\beta + m}{m-1}}.$$

Moreover, since

$$J(1) = \int_{0}^{1} (f^{\beta}(s)g(s))^{-\frac{1}{m-1}} ds = \int_{0}^{1} (1-s)^{\frac{\beta p+q}{m-1}s} ds = \frac{m-1}{\beta p+q+m-1},$$

we obtain from Theorem 2.5 that

$$\lambda^* \leq (C_{\gamma,\alpha,\beta,N})^{-1} \left[\frac{\gamma + \alpha\beta + m}{\beta p + q + m - 1} \right]^{m-1} R^{-(\gamma + \alpha\beta + m)}.$$

Remark 3.5. Corollary 3.4 extends several known bounds for the extremal parameter.

(1) By applying Corollary 3.4 with $\beta = 0$, we obtain the bound

$$\lambda^* \le \frac{m^{m-1}N}{R^m}$$

for the extremal parameter λ^* of the eigenvalue Problem (1.5) in B_R with $g(s) = e^s$ and the bound

with $g(s) = (1 + s)^q$. For these bounds, see [2,3,10,22].

(2) Corollary 3.4 with $\beta = 0$ implies the bounds

$$\lambda^* \leq (N+\gamma) \left(\frac{m+\gamma}{q+m-1}\right)^{m-1} R^{-(m+\gamma)}$$

and

$$||u^*||_{L^{\infty}} \geq 1 - \left[1 - \frac{q+m-1}{\gamma+m} \left(\frac{\lambda^*}{N+\gamma}\right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}} R^{\frac{\gamma+m}{m-1}}\right]^{\frac{m-1}{q+m-1}}$$

for the pull-in voltage λ^* and pull-in distance $||u^*||_{L^\infty}$ of the eigenvalue Problem (1.8). In particular, when m=2=q, we have

$$\lambda^* \leq \frac{(N+\gamma)(2+\gamma)}{3} R^{-(2+\gamma)}$$

and

$$||u^*||_{\infty} \ge 1 - \sqrt[3]{1 - \frac{3\lambda^*}{(N+\gamma)(N+2)}} R^{\gamma+2}.$$

For these bounds, see [18,20,27,29].

Next, we discuss a Liouville-type result for the autonomous Choquard equation in a general unbounded domain.

Proposition 3.6. Let $p, q \ge 0$ with $\beta p + q < m - 1$ and assume that u is a positive supersolution to

$$-\Delta_m u = (I_a * u^p)^\beta u^q \quad \text{in } \Omega. \tag{3.6}$$

Then,

$$u(x) \ge C \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)^{\frac{m+\alpha\beta}{m-1-\beta\rho-q}} \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$
 (3.7)

with

$$C = \left[C_{\alpha,\beta,N}^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \frac{m-1-\beta p-q}{m+\alpha\beta}\right]^{\frac{m-1}{m-1-\beta p-q}}.$$

Here, $C_{\alpha,B,N}$ is the constant in (2.3).

Proof. Let $f(u) = u^p$, $g(u) = u^q$, and $H \equiv Q \equiv 1$ in Theorem 2.3. Since $\beta p + q < m - 1$, we have

$$\int\limits_{m-(r)}^{u(x)} (f^{\beta}(s)g(s))^{-\frac{1}{m-1}}\mathrm{d}s = \int\limits_{m-(r)}^{u(x)} s^{-\frac{\beta p+q}{m-1}}\mathrm{d}s \leq \frac{m-1}{m-1-\beta p-q} u(x)^{\frac{m-1-\beta p-q}{m-1}}.$$

From (2.2), we obtain

$$\frac{m-1}{m-1-\beta p-q}u(x)^{\frac{m-1-\beta p-q}{m-1}} \geq C_{\alpha,\beta,N}^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \int_{0}^{r} s^{\frac{\alpha\beta+1}{m-1}} ds = C_{\alpha,\beta,N}^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \frac{m-1}{m+\alpha\beta} r^{\frac{m+\alpha\beta}{m-1}},$$

for every $0 < r < \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)$. This implies that u satisfies (3.7) for every $x \in \Omega$.

Remark 3.7. The next two results follow immediately from Proposition 3.6.

(i) If

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega} \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega) = \infty, \tag{3.8}$$

then (3.6) does not have any bounded positive solution in Ω . From (3.7), we see that if Ω satisfies (3.8), then u has to be unbounded.

(ii) If $\beta > 0$ and

$$\limsup_{x \in \Omega, |x| \to \infty} \frac{d_{\Omega}(x)}{|x|^s} = \infty, \quad \text{with } s = \frac{N - \alpha}{N + \frac{p(m + \alpha\beta)}{m - 1 - \beta p - q}},$$
(3.9)

then (3.6) does not admit any positive solution in Ω . In particular, this is the case if Ω is \mathbb{R}^N , \mathbb{R}^N_+ , an exterior domain or an unbounded cone-like domain $\{(r,\theta)\in\mathbb{R}^N:\theta\in\mathcal{S},\ r>0\}$, where (r,θ) are the polar coordinates in \mathbb{R}^N and $\mathcal{S}\subset\mathcal{S}^{N-1}$ is a subdomain of the unit sphere \mathcal{S}^{N-1} in \mathbb{R}^N . For the proof, we show that the condition

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{f(u(y))}{1 + |y|^{N-\alpha}} dy < \infty$$

does not hold if Ω satisfies (3.9). If (3.9) holds, there exists a sequence of points $x_n \in \Omega$ with $R_n \le |x_n|$ so that $B_{R_n}(x_n) \subset \Omega$ and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{R_n}{|x_n|^s}=\infty.$$

Then, by (3.7), for n large, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{f(u(y))}{1 + |y|^{N-\alpha}} dy \ge \int_{B_{R_n}(x_n)} \frac{u(y)^p}{1 + |y|^{N-\alpha}} dy \ge C \int_{B_{R_n}(x_n)} \frac{R_n^{\frac{p(m+\alpha\beta)}{m-1-\beta p-q}}}{(|x_n| + R_n)^{N-\alpha}} dy$$

$$\ge C \frac{R_n^{N + \frac{p(m+\alpha\beta)}{m-1-\beta p-q}}}{|x_n|^{N-\alpha}} = C \left(\frac{R_n}{|x_n|^s}\right)^{N + \frac{p(m+\alpha\beta)}{m-1-\beta p-q}} \to \infty$$

as $n \to \infty$. Hence, if Ω satisfies (3.9), there is no positive solution to (3.6).

Remark 3.8. We remark that the existence and nonexistence of positive supersolutions for Problem (3.6) in the case when $\beta=1$ and Ω is an exterior domain in \mathbb{R}^N have been investigated very recently in [23], where the authors obtained the optimal ranges of exponents p, q, and α for which positive supersolutions exist. In particular, they showed that if $p+q\neq m-1$, then Problem (3.6) has a bounded radial supersolution in any bounded open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N (N \ge 1)$.

The following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.5.

Corollary 3.9. Assume that there exists $0 < t \le \infty$ such that $I(t) < \infty$, where I(t) is as in (3.1). The problem

$$-\Delta_m u = |x|^{\gamma} (I_\alpha * f(u))^{\beta} g(u) \quad in \ \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $y, \beta \ge 0$, does not have any positive supersolution.

Proof. By applying Theorem 2.5 with $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$, we have

$$\int_{m_0(r)}^{u(0)} (f^{\beta}(s)g(s))^{-\frac{1}{m-1}} ds \ge Cr^{\frac{\gamma + \alpha\beta + m}{m-1}}, \quad 0 < r < \infty,$$

then by letting $r \to \infty$ in the aforementioned inequality, we obtain

$$\infty > \int_{0}^{u(0)} (f^{\beta}(s)g(s))^{-\frac{1}{m-1}} ds \ge \int_{m_{0}(r)}^{u(0)} (f^{\beta}(s)g(s))^{-\frac{1}{m-1}} ds \to \infty$$

as $r \to \infty$, which is a contradiction.

4 Applications to problems in exterior domains

In this section, we discuss some applications of our results to obtain nonexistence results for certain problems in unbounded domains and, in particular, we obtain several Liouville-type results. The following well-known auxiliary results will be useful for us (see, e.g., [44, Lemma 2.3] and [6, Lemma 3.7]).

Lemma 4.1. Assume that Ω is an exterior domain in \mathbb{R}^N and let u be a positive supersolution to

$$-\Delta_m u = 0$$
 in Ω .

(i) If N > m, then there exists a constant C, depending only on Ω , N, and u, such that

$$u(x) \ge C|x|^{-\frac{N-m}{m-1}} \quad in \ \Omega \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$\liminf_{|x| \to \infty} u(x) \le C.$$
(4.2)

(ii) If $N \le m$, then

$$\liminf_{|x|\to\infty}u(x)>0.$$

We also apply the following result (see [9, Proposition 2.7 (ii)] for the first part and [9, Theorem 3.3] for the second part).

Lemma 4.2. Suppose that N > m > 1.

(i) If u is a positive supersolution to

$$-\Delta_m u(x) = C|x|^{-N} \quad in \ \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{B_1},$$

for some constant C > 0, then there exists a constant c > 0 such that

$$u(x) \geq c|x|^{-\frac{N-m}{m-1}}(\ln|x|)^{\frac{1}{m-1}} \quad in \ \mathbb{R}^N \backslash B_2.$$

(ii) The problem

$$-\Delta_m u(x) = C|x|^{\gamma} u(x)^q \quad in \ \mathbb{R}^N \backslash \overline{B_1}$$

does not have any positive supersolution, provided

$$m-1 < q \le \frac{(N+\gamma)(m-1)}{N-m}.$$

Consider the problem

$$-\Delta_m u(x) \ge H(x) \left[\int_{\Omega} \frac{Q(y)u(y)^p}{|x - y|^{N - \alpha}} \mathrm{d}y \right]^{\beta} u(x)^q \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \backslash \overline{B_1}, \tag{4.3}$$

where $p, q \ge 0$, and H, Q satisfy the following condition:

(C') There exist $x_n \in \Omega$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with $|x_n| \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, and there exist $R_n \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < R_n < d_{\Omega}(x_n) = |x_n| - 1$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{R_n}{|x_n|^s} > 0, \tag{4.4}$$

for some $0 < s \le 1$. Moreover,

$$H(x) \ge C|x|^{\gamma}$$
 and $Q(x) \ge C|x|^{\sigma}$ for every $x \in B_{R_n}(x_n)$, (4.5)

where $\gamma, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 4.3. Consider (4.3) in the exterior domain $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{B_1}$, with $p, q \ge 0$, $\beta p + q \ge m - 1$, and H and Q satisfy (C') for some $0 < s \le 1$ and $\gamma, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$. Problem (4.3) does not have any positive solution if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (i) $N \le m$ and, either $\sigma + \alpha \ge (1 s)N$ or $\gamma + \sigma\beta > -s(m + \alpha\beta)$.
- (ii) N > m and

$$m-1<\beta p+q<\frac{(m-1)(N+\gamma+\beta\sigma+s(m+\alpha\beta)-m)}{N-m}$$

Furthermore, Problem (4.3) does not have any bounded positive solution if

$$m-1=\beta p+q$$
 and $\gamma+\sigma\beta>-s(m+\alpha\beta)$.

As a consequence, if H and Q satisfy (4.5) for any $x \in \Omega$, then (4.3) does not have any positive solution if either

$$m-1<\beta p+q\leq \frac{(m-1)(N+\gamma+\beta\sigma+\alpha\beta)}{N-m},$$

or $m-1=\beta p+q$ and $\gamma+\sigma\beta>-(m+\alpha\beta)$.

Proof. First, assume that Condition (i) holds. We apply Theorem 2.3 with $f(u) = u^p$ and $g(u) = u^q$. If $\beta p + q > m - 1$, we have

$$\int_{m_{x}(r)}^{u(x)} (f^{\beta}(s)g(s))^{-\frac{1}{m-1}} ds \le \frac{m-1}{\beta p+q-m+1} m_{x}(r)^{-\frac{\beta p+q-m+1}{m-1}}.$$
 (4.6)

Let $x_n \in \Omega$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be as in (C'). By (2.1) and (4.5), we have

$$H_{x_n}(r) = \inf_{y \in B_r(x_n)} H(y) \ge C(|x_n| - (\operatorname{sgn} y)r)^{\gamma}$$

and

$$Q_{x_n}(r) = \inf_{y \in B_r(x_n)} Q(y) \ge C(|x_n| - (\operatorname{sgn}\sigma)r)^{\sigma}$$

for $0 < r < R_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. These imply that

$$H_{x_n}(r) \ge C|x_n|^{\gamma}$$
 and $Q_{x_n}(r) \ge C|x_n|^{\sigma}$

for $0 < r < \frac{R_n}{2}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that

$$\int_{0}^{r} (s^{\alpha\beta+1} H_{x_n}(s) Q_{x_n}(s)^{\beta})^{\frac{1}{m-1}} ds \ge C |x_n|^{\frac{\gamma + \alpha\beta}{m-1}} R_n^{\frac{m+\alpha\beta}{m-1}}$$
(4.7)

for $\frac{R_n}{2} < r < R_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From (4.6), (4.7), and (2.2), we conclude that

$$m_{x_n}(r)^{-\frac{\beta p+q-m+1}{m-1}} \ge C|x_n|^{\frac{\gamma+\sigma\beta}{m-1}} R_n^{\frac{m+\alpha\beta}{m-1}},$$

which implies that

$$m_{x_n}(r) \le C|x_n|^{-\frac{\gamma+\alpha\beta}{\beta p+q-m+1}} R_n^{-\frac{m+\alpha\beta}{\beta p+q-m+1}} \tag{4.8}$$

for $\frac{R_n}{2} < r < R_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By (4.4) and (4.8), we obtain that

$$m_{x_n}(r) \le C|x_n|^{-\frac{\gamma + \sigma\beta + s(m + \alpha\beta)}{\beta p + q - m + 1}}$$

for n large, which implies that $m_{x_n}(r) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ if $\gamma + \sigma\beta + s(m + \alpha\beta) > 0$. Thus, we have

$$\liminf_{|x|\to\infty}u(x)=0,$$

which contradicts Lemma 4.1 (ii) if $N \le m$. Note that by Lemma 4.1 (ii), we have $u(x) \ge C$ in $B_{R_n}(x_n)$ for n large, we then obtain

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} \frac{Q(y)u(y)^p}{1+|y|^{N-\alpha}} \mathrm{d}y &\geq \int\limits_{B_{Rn}(x_n)} \frac{C|y|^{\sigma}}{1+|y|^{N-\alpha}} \mathrm{d}y \\ &\geq C \int\limits_{B_{Rn}(x_n)} \frac{|x_n|^{\sigma}}{(|x_n|+R_n)^{N-\alpha}} \mathrm{d}y \\ &\geq C|x_n|^{\sigma+\alpha-N} R_n^N \\ &= C|x_n|^{\sigma+\alpha-(1-s)N} \left(\frac{R_n}{|x_n|^s}\right)^N \end{split}$$

for n large. By (4.4) and the aforementioned inequality, we conclude that, for $\sigma + \alpha > (1 - s)N$, we have

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{Q(y)u(y)^{p}}{1+|y|^{N-\alpha}} dy = \infty.$$

Therefore, there does not exist any positive solution (4.3) if (i) holds true.

Next, we consider Condition (ii). If N > m, by Lemma 4.1, there exists a constant C > 0 such that $u(x) \ge C |x|^{\frac{N-m}{m-1}}$ for every $x \in \Omega$, which implies that

$$m_{x_n}(r) \ge C_1(|x_n| + R_n)^{-\frac{N-m}{m-1}} \ge C|x_n|^{-\frac{N-m}{m-1}}$$
 (4.9)

for $\frac{R_n}{2} < r < R_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Comparing (4.9) with (4.8), we have

$$\frac{\left|X_n\right|^{\frac{\gamma+\alpha\beta}{\beta p+q-m+1}}R_n^{\frac{m+\alpha\beta}{\beta p+q-m+1}}}{\left|X_n\right|^{\frac{N-m}{m-1}}}\leq C$$

for n large. This can be rewritten as:

$$\left(\frac{R_n}{|X_n|^s}\right)^{\frac{(m+\alpha\beta)}{\beta\overline{p}+q-m+1}} \leq C|X_n|^{\frac{(N-m)}{m-1}-\frac{\gamma+\alpha\beta+s(m+\alpha\beta)}{\beta\overline{p}+q-m+1}}$$

for n large. Taking into account (4.4), it follows from the aforementioned inequality that

$$\frac{\gamma + \sigma\beta + s(m + \alpha\beta)}{\beta p + a - m + 1} \le \frac{N - m}{m - 1}.$$
(4.10)

Therefore, if (4.10) does not hold, then there does not exist any positive solution to (4.3), i.e., when

$$\beta p + q < \frac{(m-1)(N+\gamma+\beta\sigma+s(m+\alpha\beta)-m)}{N-m}.$$

To prove the remaining claims, let $\beta p + q = m - 1$. An easy computation gives

$$\int_{m_X(r)}^{u(x)} (f^{\beta}(s)g(s))^{-\frac{1}{m-1}} ds = \int_{m_X(r)}^{u(x)} \frac{1}{s} ds = \ln \frac{u(x)}{m_X(r)}$$
(4.11)

and as mentioned earlier, using (4.6), (4.7), and (2.2), we obtain

$$\ln \frac{u(x_n)}{m_{x_n}(r)} \ge C|x_n|^{\frac{\gamma + \alpha\beta}{m-1}} R_n^{\frac{m + \alpha\beta}{m-1}}$$

for $\frac{R_n}{2} < r < R_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, or equivalently

$$u(x_n) \geq m_{x_n}(r) e^{|x_n|^{\frac{\gamma + \alpha\beta}{m-1}} R_n^{\frac{m+\alpha\beta}{m-1}}}$$

for $\frac{R_n}{2} < r < R_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By (4.9) and (4.4), we obtain

$$u(x_n) \geq C_1 |x_n|^{\frac{-(N-m)}{m-1}} e^{C|x_n|^{\frac{\gamma+\alpha\beta+s(m+\alpha\beta)}{m-1}}}$$

for n large. If $y + \sigma \beta > -s(m + \alpha \beta)$, from the aforementioned inequality and the fact that for any $\varepsilon, M > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{e^{t^{\varepsilon}}}{t^M}=\infty,$$

we conclude that $u(x_n) \to \infty$ as $|x_n| \to \infty$. This implies that u is unbounded.

Assume that H and Q satisfy (4.5) for any $x \in \Omega$. Then, we have Condition (i) with s = 1, and hence, (4.3) does not have any positive solution if

$$m-1<\beta p+q<\frac{(m-1)(N+\gamma+\beta\sigma+\alpha\beta)}{N-m}.$$

Next, we discuss the case

$$\beta p + q = \frac{(m-1)(N+\beta\alpha+\beta\sigma+\gamma)}{N-m}.$$
 (4.12)

By (4.8) and (4.9), we obtain

$$C_1|x|^{-\frac{N-m}{m-1}} \le m_x \left(\frac{|x|}{2}\right) \le C|x|^{-\frac{N-m}{m-1}}$$
 (4.13)

for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \backslash \overline{B_1}$ with |x| sufficiently large. From (4.3), we obtain

$$\begin{split} -\Delta_{m}u(x) &\geq |x|^{\gamma} \left[\int_{\Omega} \frac{|y|^{\sigma}u(y)^{p}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} \mathrm{d}y \right]^{\beta} u(x)^{q} \\ &\geq C|x|^{\gamma} \left[\int_{\frac{|x|}{2} < |y-x| < |x|} \frac{|y|^{\sigma}|y|^{-\frac{p(N-m)}{m-1}}}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} \mathrm{d}y \right]^{\beta} |x|^{-\frac{q(N-m)}{m-1}} \\ &\geq C|x|^{\gamma - \frac{q(N-m)}{m-1} + \beta(\sigma + \alpha - \frac{p(N-m)}{m-1})} \\ &= C|x|^{-N}, \end{split}$$

where we also applied (4.12). By Lemma 4.2, we have

$$u(x) \ge c|x|^{-\frac{N-m}{m-1}}(\ln|x|)^{\frac{1}{m-1}}$$

for |x| large, which contradicts (4.13).

Also, if $\beta p + q = m - 1$, as in the proof in the case of Condition (ii) with s = 1, we obtain

$$u(x) \geq C_1 |x|^{-\frac{N-m}{m-1}} e^{C|x|^{\frac{\gamma+\sigma\beta+m+\alpha\beta}{m-1}}}.$$

Now, if $\gamma + \sigma \beta > -(m + \alpha \beta)$, we then deduce from the aforementioned inequality that $u(x) \to \infty$ as $|x| \to \infty$, which contradicts (4.2) in Lemma 4.1.

Remark 4.4. The functions H and Q in Proposition 4.3 are allowed to be zero on a subset $\Omega' \subset \Omega$ with $|\Omega'| = \infty$. For example, let

$$H(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & 3^{2n} \le |x| < 3^{2n+1}, \\ |x|^{\gamma}, & 3^{2n+1} \le |x| < 3^{2n+2}, \end{cases}$$

with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a similar formula for Q with σ instead of γ . By taking $x_n = 2 \cdot 3^{2n+1}$ and $R_n = 3^{2n+1}$ then H and Qsatisfy (4.5); also, (4.4) holds with s=1. However, we have $H\equiv Q\equiv 0$ on $\Omega'=\{x\in\Omega:3^{2n}\leq |x|<3^{2n+1}\}$ with $|\Omega'| = \infty$.

$$-\Delta_m u(x) = \left[\int_0^\infty \frac{u(y)^p}{|x - y|^{N - \alpha}} dy \right]^\beta u(x)^q \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \backslash \overline{B_1}$$
 (4.14)

does not have any positive supersolution if $N \le m$ or

$$1 < m < N$$
 with $m-1 \le \beta p + q \le \frac{(m-1)(N+\alpha\beta)}{N-m}$.

For β = 1, this recovers a similar result in [23], which gave a complete classification of existence and non-existence of positive solutions.

We mention that by Proposition 4.3, we may consider Problem (1.1) with functions H and Q such as e^{ax_1} , $|x_1|^p$, or generally $\rho(x_1, ..., x_k)$, $1 \le k \le N$, with the property that for some $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $\rho(t, ..., t) \ge C |t|^m$ for |t| large. For example, consider the problem

$$-\Delta_m u(x) \ge |x_1|^{\gamma} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|y_1|^{\sigma} u(y)^p}{|x-y|^{N-\alpha}} \mathrm{d}y \right)^{\beta} u(x)^q \quad \text{for } x = (x_1, ..., x_N) \in \Omega = \mathbb{R}^N \backslash \overline{B_1}, \tag{4.15}$$

where β , p, q, γ , and $\sigma \ge 0$. For any

$$z \in \{(x_1, x_1, ..., x_1) \in \Omega, x_1 > 0\}$$

and $R_z = \frac{z_1}{2}$, by noting that for every $x \in B_{R_z}(z)$, we have $|x_1 - z_1| \le |x - z| < R_z$ and $|z| = \sqrt{N}z_1$, and we easily have $H(x) \ge C|x|^y$ and $Q(x) \ge C|x|^\sigma$ for $x \in B_{R_z}(z)$. Hence, Condition (4.4) holds with s = 1. By Proposition 4.3, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 4.6. Let $\beta p + q \ge m - 1$. Then, (4.15) does not have any positive solution if $N \le m$, or 1 < m < N and

$$m-1<\beta p+q<\frac{(m-1)(N+\gamma+\beta\sigma+\alpha\beta)}{N-m}.$$

Moreover, there exists no bounded positive solution when $m-1=\beta p+q$.

As an another example, consider the problem

$$-\Delta_m u(x) \ge e^{\alpha x_1} \left[\int_{\Omega} \frac{|y_1|^{\sigma} u(y)^p}{|x - y|^{N - \alpha}} \mathrm{d}y \right]^{\beta} u(x)^q \quad \text{for } x = (x_1, ..., x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N \backslash \overline{B_1}, \tag{4.16}$$

where β , p, q, $\sigma \ge 0$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Let a > 0 (the case a < 0 is similar), then note that for any y > 0, there exists a constant $C_y > 0$ so that $e^{ax_1} \ge C_y x_1^y$ for $x_1 > 0$ sufficiently large. As mentioned earlier, for $z = z_1 e$, e = (1, 1, ..., 1), with $z_1 > 0$ and $R_z = \frac{z_1}{2}$, we have $e^{ax_1} \ge C |x|^y$ for $x \in B_{R_z}(z)$. Hence, Condition (4.4) holds with s = 1 and any y > 0. Proposition 4.3 then implies the following result.

Corollary 4.7. Let $\beta p + q \ge m - 1$. For any $0 \ne a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma \ge 0$, Problem (4.16) does not have any positive solution if $N \le m$, or 1 < m < N and $m - 1 < \beta p + q$. Moreover, there does not exist any bounded positive solution if $m - 1 = \beta p + q$.

In the next result, we apply our main estimates on Problem (1.1) in the punctured space $\mathbb{R}^N\setminus\{0\}$ and give a nonexistence result for the positive supersolution.

Proposition 4.8. Consider Problem (1.1) in $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$ with $N \ge m$ and $f, g : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ satisfying the condition (C). If $N \ge m$ and

$$\lim_{|x|\to 0} \int_{0}^{\frac{|x|}{2}} (s^{\alpha\beta+1}H_{x}(s)Q_{x}(s)^{\beta})^{\frac{1}{m-1}} ds = \infty,$$
(4.17)

then for any positive supersolution u to (1.1) in Ω , we have

$$\limsup_{|x|\to 0} u(x) = \infty.$$

Moreover, if (4.17) holds true and

$$\int_{\delta}^{\infty} (f^{\beta}(s)g(s))^{\frac{1}{m-1}} ds < \infty$$
 (4.18)

for some $\delta > 0$, then the problem does not admit any positive supersolution.

Proof. For a contradiction, assume that u is a positive supersolution to (1.1) in Ω , with

$$\limsup_{|x|\to 0} u(x) < \infty.$$

Since u is a weak supersolution to the m-Laplace equation in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$ and N > m, then by Lemma 3.9 in [6], we have

$$\liminf_{|x|\to 0} u(x) > 0$$

Hence, we can find a sequence of points $x_i \in \Omega$ with $|x_i| \to 0$ so that

$$c \le \inf_{y \in B_{|x_j|}(x_j)} u(y) = m_{x_j} \left(\frac{|x_j|}{2} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad u(x_j) \le C, \tag{4.19}$$

as in (2.1). Theorem 2.3 implies

$$\int\limits_{m_{x_{j}}\left|\frac{|x_{j}|}{2}}^{u(x_{j})}(f^{\beta}(s)g(s))^{-\frac{1}{m-1}}\mathrm{d}s\geq C\int\limits_{0}^{\frac{|x_{j}|}{2}}(s^{\alpha\beta+1}H_{x_{j}}(s)Q_{x_{j}}(s)^{\beta})^{\frac{1}{m-1}}\mathrm{d}s$$

for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, and from (4.19) together with the assumption (4.17), we obtain

$$\infty > \int_{c}^{C} (f^{\beta}(s)g(s))^{-\frac{1}{m-1}} \mathrm{d}s \ge \int_{m_{x_{j}} \left| \frac{|x_{j}|}{2} \right|}^{u(x_{j})} (f^{\beta}(s)g(s))^{-\frac{1}{m-1}} \mathrm{d}s \to \infty \quad \text{as } j \to \infty.$$

This is a contradiction. Assume that (4.17) and (4.18) hold and u is a positive supersolution in Ω . Since $u(x) \ge C$ in Ω for some positive constant C (by the fact that $\liminf_{x\to 0} u(x) > 0$), then from Theorem 2.3, we reach a contradiction similarly as mentioned earlier.

Acknowledgements: This research was carried out during the first author's visit at the Department of Mathematics at Aalto University. He would like to thank the institution and the Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations group for the kind and warm hospitality.

Funding information: No funding was received for conducting this study.

Conflict of interest: The authors have no competing interests to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

Data availability statement: Data sharing was not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

References

- N. Ackermann, On a periodic Schrödinger equation with nonlocal superlinear part, Math. Z. 248 (2004), 423-443.
- [2] A. Aghajani, A. M. Tehrani, and N. Ghoussoub, *Pointwise lower bounds for solutions of semilinear elliptic equations and applications*, Adv. Nonlinear Stud. 14 (2014), 839-856.
- [3] A. Aghajani and A. M. Tehrani, Pointwise bounds for positive supersolutions of nonlinear elliptic problems involving the p-Laplacian, Electron. J. Differential Equations (2017), Paper No. 46, 14 pp.
- [4] C. O. Alves and M. Yang, Existence of semiclassical ground state solutions for a generalized Choquard equation, J. Differential Equations 257 (2014), 4133-4164.
- [5] C. O. Alves and M. Yang, Multiplicity and concentration of solutions for a quasilinear Choquard equation, J. Math. Phys. 55 (2014), 061502, 21 pp.
- S. N. Armstrong and B. Sirakov, Nonexistence of positive supersolutions of elliptic equations via the maximum principle, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 36 (2011), 2011-2047.
- [7] J. Garćia-Azorero, I. PeralAlonso, and J. P. Puel, Quasilinear problems with exponential growth in the reaction term, Nonlinear Anal. 22 (1994), 481-498.
- [8] L. Battaglia and J. Van Schaftingen, Existence of groundstates for a class of nonlinear Choquard equation in the plane, Adv. Nonlinear Stud. 17 (2017), 581-594.
- [9] M. F. Bidaut-Véron and S. Pohozaev, Nonexistence results and estimates for some nonlinear elliptic problems, J. Anal. Math. 84 (2001), 1-49.
- [10] H. Brezis, T. Cazenave, Y. Martel, and A. Ramiandrisoa, Blow-up for u_t $\Delta u = g(u)$ revisited, Adv. Differential Equations 1 (1996), 73-90.
- [11] X. Cabre and M. Sanchón, Semi-stable and extremal solutions of reaction equations involving the p-Laplacian, Commun Pure Appl. 6 (2007), 43-67
- [12] D. Cassani, J. Van Schaftingen, and J. Zhang, Groundstates for Choquard type equations with Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev lower critical exponent, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 150 (2020), 1377-1400.
- [13] D. Cassani and J. Zhang, Choquard-type equations with Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev upper-critical growth, Adv. Nonlinear Anal. 8 (2019), 1184-1212.
- [14] W. Chen, C. Li, and B. Ou, Classification of solutions for an integral equation. Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 59 (2006), 330-343.
- [15] H. Chen and F. Zhou, Classification of isolated singularities of positive solutions for Choquard equations, J. Differential Equations 261 (2016), 6668-6698.
- [16] S. Cingolani, M. Clapp, and S. Secchi, Multiple solutions to a magnetic nonlinearChoquard equation, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 63 (2012), 233-248
- [17] M. Clapp and D. Salazar, Positive and sign changing solutions to a nonlinear Choquard equation, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 407 (2013), 1–15.
- [18] C. Cowan and N. Ghoussoub, Estimates on pull-in distances in microelectromechanical systems models and other nonlinear eigenvalue problems, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 42 (2010), 1949-1966.
- [19] J. T. Devreese and A. S. Alexandrov, Advances in Polaron Physics, Springer Series in Solid-State Sciences, vol. 159, Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, 2010.
- [20] P. Esposito, N. Ghoussoub, and Y. Guo, Mathematical analysis of partial differential equations modeling electrostatic MEMS, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 20, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.
- [21] P. Esposito, N. Ghoussoub, and Y. Guo, Compactness along the branch of semistable and unstable solutions for an elliptic problem with a singular nonlinearity, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 60 (2007), 1731-1768.
- [22] J. Garcia-Azorero, I. PeralAlonso, and J. P. Puel, Quasilinear problems with exponential growth in the reaction term, Nonlinear Anal. 22 (1994), 481-498.
- [23] M. Gherqu, P. Karageorgis, and G. Singh, Positive solutions for quasilinear elliptic inequalities and systems with nonlocal terms, J. Differential Equations 268 (2020), 6033-6066.
- [24] M. Gherqu and S. Taliaferro, Asymptotic behavior at isolated singularities for solutions of nonlocal semilinear elliptic systems of inequalities, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 54 (2015), 1243–1273.
- [25] M. Ghergu and S. Taliaferro, Pointwise bounds and blow-up for Choquard-Pekar inequalities at an isolated singularity, J. Differential Equations 261 (2016), 189-217.
- [26] M. Ghimenti and J. Van Schaftingen, Nodal solutions for the Choquard equation, J. Funct. Anal. 271 (2016), 107-135.
- [27] N. Ghoussoub and Y. Guo, On the partial differential equations of electrostatic MEMS devices: stationary case, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 38 (2006/07), 1423-1449.

- [28] D. Goel, V. D. Rădulescu, and K. Sreenadh, Coron problem for nonlocal equations involving Choquard nonlinearity, Adv. Nonlinear Stud. 20 (2020), 141-161.
- [29] Y. Guo, Z. Pan, and M. J. Ward, Touchdown and pull-in voltage behavior of a MEMS device with varying dielectric properties, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 66 (2005), 309-338.
- [30] X. He and V. D. Rădulescu, Small linear perturbations of fractional Choquard equations with critical exponent, J. Differential Equations 282 (2021), 481-540.
- [31] J. Heinonen, T. Kilpeläinen, and O. Martio, Nonlinear Potential Theory of Degenerate Elliptic Equations, Dover Publications, Inc., Mineola, NY, 2006.
- [32] K. R. W. Jones, Newtonian quantum gravity, Australian J. Phys. 48 (1995), 1055-1081.
- [33] Y. Lei, Qualitative analysis for the static Hartree-type equations, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 45 (2013), 388-406.
- [34] E. H. Lieb, Existence and uniqueness of the minimizing solution of Chaquard's nonlinear equation, Studies Appl. Math. 57 (1976/77), 93-105.
- [35] I. M. Moroz, R. Penrose, and P. Tod, Spherically-symmetric solutions of the Schrödinger-Newton equations, Classical Quantum Gravity **15** (1998), 2733-2742.
- [36] V. Moroz and J. Van Schaftingen, Existence of groundstates for a class of nonlinear Choquard equations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 367 (2015), 6557-6579.
- [37] V. Moroz and J. Van Schaftingen, Nonexistence and optimal decay of supersolutions to Chaquard equations in exterior domains, J. Differential Equations 254 (2013), 3089-3145.
- [38] V. Moroz and J. Van Schaftingen, Groundstates of nonlinear Chaquard equations: Existence, qualitative properties and decay asymptotics, J. Funct. Anal. 265 (2013), 153-184.
- [39] V. Moroz and J. Van Schaftingen, A quide to the Choquard equation, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 19 (2017), 773-813.
- [40] D. Qin, V. D. Rădulescu, and X. Tang, Ground states and geometrically distinct solutions for periodic Choquard-Pekar equations, J. Differential Equations 275 (2021), 652-683.
- [41] D. Ruiz and J. Van Schaftingen, Odd symmetry of least energy nodal solutions for the Choquard equation, J. Differential Equations 264 (2018), 1231-1262.
- [42] S. Pekar, Untersuchung über die Elektronentheorie der Kristalle, Akademie Verlag, Berlin, 1954.
- [43] P. Pucci and J. Serrin, The maximum principle, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, vol. 73, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2007
- [44] J. Serrin and H. Zou, Cauchy, Liouville and universal boundedness theorems for quasilinear elliptic equations and inequalities, Acta. Math. 189 (2002), 79-142.
- [45] P. Tolksdorf, On the Dirichlet problem for quasilinear equations in domains with conical boundary points, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 8 (1983), 773-817.
- [46] S. Yao, H. Chen, V. D. Rădulescu, and J. Sun, Normalized solutions for lower critical Chaquard equations with critical Sobolev perturbation, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 54 (2022), 3696-3723.