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Chronic wounds causing acute problems for both patients and the healthcare system are estimated to account for approximately 1–3% of the total healthcare expenditure in developed countries. In future years, chronic wound-related burden is expected to further grow due to an aging population and sharply increasing occurrence of lifestyle diseases, such as obesity and diabetes, which contribute to wound healing delay and chronicization.

Bacteria are an integral part of the human skin and their presence and replication in the wound typically does not prevent the healing process. However, the normal immune function is impaired in chronic wounds, shifting the balance in favor of bacteria and resulting in invasive wound infections. The prevalent form of chronic wound bacterial colonization is a biofilm—a complex structure that is formed by bacterial cells (10–20%) and their self-secreted extracellular matrix (80–90%). Biofilms are strongly associated with the failure of acute wound treatment and development of chronic wounds. They are extremely hard to be removed and can continuously interact with host immune cells and induce cytokine production, thus contributing to inflammatory processes and preventing healing.

The current standard of care relies on wound debridement and antibacterial treatments including both topical and systemic antibiotics. The intrinsic resistance of bacterial biofilms and the increased prevalence of multidrug-resistant bacteria complicate infection treatment; thus, the development of alternative therapies is urgently needed. Antimicrobial-loaded dressings such as those containing silver, povidone iodine, or chlorhexidine are widely used in clinic and available from pharmacies. These materials are effective against a wide range of microorganisms including bacteria, fungi, protozoa, and viruses. However, in contrast to conventional antibiotics, their mechanism of action is not target-specific, and it may result in significant cytotoxicity to skin cells during the course of treatment including fibroblasts and keratinocytes.

A growing field in the search for alternative solutions is natural product-based materials, which have been extensively studied. Many reported materials require a complex fabrication process, e.g., synthesis of polypeptides or production and incorporation of plant extracts, albeit others possess intrinsic antibacterial properties due to active substances, e.g., antibacterial peptides, honey, and propolis (Table 1). Several honey-containing products are commercially available, and more alternatives would be welcomed by clinicians and therefore important to develop. Energy willow grows effectively in abandoned peatlands that are cultivated, which are considered the best option for peatland rehabilitation. The bark represents 10–20% of the entire volume of the trees depending on the hybrid, age, and...
Table 1. Representative Examples of Antibiotic-Free Antibacterial Wound Dressings Using Nature-Derived and Nature-Inspired Substances

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>material and active component</th>
<th>main properties</th>
<th>reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>synthesis of polypeptides</td>
<td>antibacterial activity (S. aureus and E. coli) and reduced inflammation</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hydrogel developed based on salep/poly(vinyl alcohol)</td>
<td>antibacterial activity (S. aureus and E. coli) and self-healing</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carboxyl-modified cellulose hydrogel with covalently bound e-poly-L-lysine</td>
<td>antibacterial activity (S. aureus and E. coli) and high biocompatibility with model mammalian cells</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>incorporation of bee products</td>
<td>antibacterial activity (S. aureus and E. coli), biocompatibility (fibroblast cells), and accelerated wound healing</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>polyurethane–hyaluronic acid nanofiber wound dressing enriched with three different concentrations of ethanolic extract of propolis</td>
<td>antibacterial activity (S. aureus and E. coli), antioxidant activity, and biocompatibility with model mammalian cells</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>incorporation of plant extracts</td>
<td>antibacterial activity (E. coli), antioxidant activity, biodegradable, fluid absorption, and pH properties favorable for wound healing</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hydrogel films loaded with chlorogenic acid</td>
<td>antibacterial activity (E. coli) and S. aureus</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>membrane hydrogels based on Kraft- and ionic-liquid-isolated lignins</td>
<td>antibacterial activity (E. coli) and antioxidant efficiency favorable for wound dressing materials</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>willow bark fiber bundles</td>
<td>antibacterial and antibiofilm activities against S. aureus</td>
<td>present study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Summary of the Reported Bioactive Components from the Lignocellulosic Biomass against Pathogenic Bacterial Species

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>active component from wood</th>
<th>tested pathogen species</th>
<th>potential applications</th>
<th>origin/active component</th>
<th>reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>lignin</td>
<td>P. aeruginosa, S. aureus, and Serratia sp. and laboratory strains</td>
<td>a component of composite hydrogel to facilitate chronic wound healing</td>
<td>dehydrogenative polymer of coniferyl alcohol</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hemicelluloses</td>
<td>E. coli, S. aureus, P. mirabilis, P. vulgaris, P. aeruginosa, E. aerogenes, B. thuringiensis, and S. mutans</td>
<td>antmicrobial additive or agent in food, textile, or chemical industry</td>
<td>spruce and eucalyptus kraft and organosolv lignin</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hemicelluloses</td>
<td>E. coli, S. aureus, and P. aeruginosa</td>
<td>antibacterial film wound dressings</td>
<td>arabinofuranosyl of Plantago ovata seed husk</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gram-positive and Gram-negative species relevant for food industry</td>
<td>food and medical applications</td>
<td>almond gum hemicelluloses</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pectin</td>
<td>S. aureus, H. pylori, E. coli, and P. aeruginosa</td>
<td>tissue and bone engineering</td>
<td>galacturonic acid residues of pectin from lemon peels</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>extracts</td>
<td>E. coli, P. aeruginosa, and B. subtilis</td>
<td>tissue engineering and wound dressing</td>
<td>gilot extract</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tannins</td>
<td>S. aureus and K. pneumonia</td>
<td>healing-promoting wound dressings</td>
<td>immature fruits of Terminalia chebula</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hemicelluloses, particularly the purified arabinoxylan fractions from Plantago ovata seed husk, have been shown to be effective against Gram-positive bacteria. Pectin is another known antibacterial agent that partially inhibits the growth of S. aureus and P. aeruginosa due to its galacturonic acid residues. In our previous work, we reported significant activity of the willow bark fiber bundles (WBFBs) against S. aureus, the predominant Gram-positive bacterial species found in infected wounds. Within this study, we investigated the potential use of wood bark-derived WBFBs as a renewable and sustainable alternative natural product-based wound dressing material with intrinsic antibacterial activity. Considering the importance of biofilm lifestyle in chronic wound formation, we specifically focused on the antibiofilm properties of WBFBs. Furthermore, we performed an in-depth chemical characterization and identification studies on key chemical contributors to the observed bioactivity.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Raw Materials and Chemicals.** Two-year-old willow Klarahybrid stems were harvested from Carbons Finland Oy (Kouvola, Finland) on May 5, 2019. The bark was manually peeled and stored at ~20 °C for further use. Acetone, arabinose, N-O-bis(trimethylsilyl)-trifluoroacetamide (BSTFA) containing 10% trimethylchlorosilane...
The alkali-extracted bark (TMCS), chloriform, citric acid, dichloromethane, dioxane, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), DMSO-d6, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), ethanol, fructose, galactose, glucose, glyceral trioliate, hydrochloric acid, hydrogen peroxyde, mannose, methanol, pepsin, peracetic acid, pyridine-5-sulfonic acid, sodium hydroxide, sodium methoxide, sodium sulphate, tetracaine (C24), and xylose were supplied from Sigma-Aldrich, Finland.

Fractionation of the Willow Bark. Fractionation Scheme. Well-aligned WBFBs were recovered using sodium bicarbonate at 100 °C as previously described. A part of the WBFB was applied for the bioactivity studies without any processing (Figure 1b), while another part of dry WBFBs was ground (1 mm mesh size) (Wiley Mill, USA) and individual fractions of water, acetone and dichloromethane extracts, pectin, tannin-like substances (tannin-like), dioxane lignin, suberin, and hemicelluloses were prepared (Figure 1a).

Extraction. WBFBs were successively extracted with dichloromethane, acetone, and water using a Soxhlet apparatus according to SCAN-CM 49-03 (2003). The organic solvents were removed from the extracts by evaporation in a fume hood overnight after which the samples were freeze-dried and preserved in a desiccator.

Pectin Removal and Recovery. Extracted WBFBs were treated with 1 wt % CA (pH 3) in an Erlenmeyer flask at 80 °C for 1 h. The reaction was stopped by cooling the flask in an ice bath and the reaction mixture was filtered on a Büchner funnel. The solid residue (WBFB-CA) was washed successively with water (until neutral pH) and acetone for a complete removal of citric acid and then freeze-dried. The pectin-rich filtrate was mixed with absolute ethanol (1:3 volume ratio) and kept at 4 °C for pectin precipitation. The freeze-dried crude pectin was further dialyzed (Biosharp, 6–8 kDa molecular weight cutoff) for 96 h to eliminate any small molecules. The dialyzed pectin was lyophilized and preserved in a desiccator.

Removal of Crude Protein and Tannin-like Substances. WBFB-CA was treated with 1% pepsin in 0.1 M HCl (liquid-to-solid ratio 25:1) at 37 °C for 16 h. The reaction mixture was filtered on a Büchner funnel. The filtrate was lyophilized, and the solid residue (WBFB-Pepsin) was washed with hot water until the washing liquid became neutral. WBFB-Pepsin was further treated with 0.1 M NaOH under nitrogen flow at 100 °C for 1 h with the target of removing tannin-like without deacetyrating the hemicelluloses. The solid residue ( WBFB-NaOH) was separated by filtration and washed with water until pH 6 was achieved for the filtrate.

Dioxane Lignin and Suberin Recovery. The alkali-extracted bark was prepared for dioxane lignin purification. Specifically, WBFB-NaOH (l:s, 30:1) was submitted to three times sequential extractions (30 min each) under a dioxane–water (9:1, v/v) mixture containing 0.1 M HCl under the reflux condensing system in a nitrogen atmosphere at 90–95 °C for a period of 30 min. Crucibles (pore size 3–4) were employed to collect the purified fractions. The fourth extraction was conducted under the dioxane/water mixture alone. Each portion of the dioxane–water extract was concentrated using a rotavapor separately (to around 40 mL) and then all the dioxane lignin-soluble concentrates were combined and precipitated under cold water. Dioxane lignin was then centrifuged (8000 rpm) and freeze-dried before storing in a desiccator for further characterization. Suberin recovery was conducted using alkali methanolysis with sodium methoxide, as described in detail in the literature.

Peracetic Acid Delignification and Recovery of Hemicelluloses and Cellulose. Approximately 5.5 g of WBFB- NaOH (l:s, 30:1) was placed inside a plastic bag containing 10% peracetic acid (pH 4) under 85 °C for 1 h. The reaction was quenched using ice and the solid product (i.e., holocellulose) was filtered through a Büchner funnel using a solvent of 10% acetic acid, water, and acetone, respectively. Then, the lyophilized holocellulose was extracted with DMSO (l:s, 20:1) at 50 °C for 12 h. Following the first DMSO extraction, the solid was vacuumed and washed with approximately 300 mL of ethanol/methanol mixture (v/v, 7:3). Then, the solid was transferred back to the same Erlenmeyer flask again for the second round of DMSO extraction. These filtrates were combined as a relative ratio of the solvents 3:5 (v/v, ethanol–methanol mixture:DMSO). The final precipitation was then conducted by adjusting the pH to 3. The hemicelluloses were precipitated in a fridge (+4 °C). The ethanol–methanol mixture was added as a countersolvent to lower the solubility of hemicelluloses. Furthermore, the acidic pH results in protonation of the carboxylic acid group of xylan for facilitating its precipitation. The final centrifugation was performed using the solvent methanol with the aim of collecting the solid matter and removing DMSO to replace it with an easier to remove solvent (i.e., ethanol–methanol mixture). The lyophilized hemicelluloses were preserved under a desiccator for further bioactivity characterization.

Bleaching with H2O2. Both the extracted and nonextracted WBFBs (Figure 1) were bleached (l:s, 1:50) by treatment with 35% hydrogen peroxyde (3 mL/L) and a sequestering agent (EDTA 1 g/L) in a water bath (pH 11) at a temperature of 85 °C for 1 h. Then, washing and freeze-drying were performed afterward to recapture WBFB-H2O2 (extracted) and WBFB-H2O2 (nonextracted) (Figure S1) for further characterization. Bleaching is performed to assess the contribution of chromophores (or natural colorants) into the shown bioactivity of the WBFB.

Manufacturing of the Yarn Samples. Blended yarns were manufactured following the blend weight ratio of WBFB and lyocell: 50 WBFB/50 lyocell, 30 WBFB/70 lyocell, and 10 WBFB/90 lyocell. First, WBFBs of 40 mm staple length were separated manually into individual fibers or thinner bundles. Then, 20 g fiber batches from...
lyocell (Tencel 1.3 dtex, 38 mm, Lenzing AG, Austria) and WBFBs (blend ratios 90/10, 70/30, and 50/50, respectively) were laid out in layers (i.e., 2 g of WBFBs on top of 18 g of lyocell, 10 WBFB/90 lyocell) to the conveyor belt of a carding machine (Carding Machine 337A, MSEDAN Lab, MSEDAN S.p.A., Italy) and 10 WBFB/90 lyocell were carded to obtain a thin fiber web. The carding phase mixed and homogenized fiber types together. The fiber web was formed into a sliver that was further elongated using a draw frame (Stiro Roving 3371, MSEDAN Lab, MSEDAN S.p.A., Italy). The elongated sliver was folded twice and elongated again into a thinner sliver and twice-folded again to ensure as homogenous a sliver as possible. Finally, the twice-folded sliver was elongated and formed into a false-twisted roving (prespun). The 100% lyocell roving was prepared similarly as blended yarns but without blending with WBFBs. For antimicrobial testing, 100 WBFBs were tied together with a bleached cotton yarn as received from Orneule Oy (Finland). For the experiments with antimicrobial properties, the cotton specimen immediately after inoculation; log \( T \) is the growth rate of the test samples; \( T \) is the average of common logarithm of the number of bacteria obtained from the cotton specimen immediately after inoculation; \( G \) is the average of common logarithm of the number of bacteria obtained from the cotton specimen immediately after inoculation; \( F \) is the growth rate on the cotton control; \( C \) is the average of common logarithm of the number of bacteria obtained from the cotton specimen immediately after incubation; \( C \) is the average of common logarithm of the number of bacteria obtained from the cotton specimen immediately after inoculation; and \( G \) is the average of common logarithm of the number of bacteria obtained from the test specimen immediately after inoculation.

**Antibacterial Activity of the Individual Components Recovered from WBFBs.** Compounds were tested in both S. aureus strains using broth microdilution assay recommendations outlined by the CLSI standards. First, we performed a screening experiment at a concentration of 1 and/or 0.5 or 2 mg/mL. The primary screening concentrations were dictated by solubility and sample availability. Inhibition percentage (%) was calculated relative to maximum growth diluent-treated control. For compounds demonstrating over 90% bacterial growth inhibition, dose–response experiments were performed. A range of compound concentrations (twofold dilutions) was prepared and tested following the same procedure. Dose–response experiments were performed 2–3 times. All experiments were performed in triplicate wells. The detailed procedure is described in the Supporting Information.

**Characterization of Wound-Isolated S. aureus Strains for Biofilm Formation.** Bacterial suspension for biofilm formation experiments with a concentration of \( 1 \times 10^5 \) CFU/mL was prepared as described in section titled “Antibacterial Activity of the Individual Components Recovered from WBFBs” in the Supporting Information. The biofilm was incubated for 24 h. In all biofilm experiments, we used TSB supplemented with 1% glucose, as the defined medium for optimal biofilm growth of S. aureus. The plates were then incubated for 24 h at 37 \(^\circ\)C. The formed biofilm was evaluated using three approaches: colony (CFU) counting, biomass assessment by crystal violet (CV) assay, and cell wall activity of resazurin assay. We used standard procedures, described in detail in the Supporting Information. Four independent experiments with six replicate wells were performed for CV and resazurin assays. CV counting results are from three independent experiments with four replicate wells.

**Antibiofilm Activity of WBFBs and Selected Compounds.** Antibiofilm assays were performed with the strongest biofilm former strain, S. aureus DSM 28763 (Figure 52). Willow bark samples (100 WBFB, 70/30 WBFB/lyocell, and 50/50 WBFB/lyocell) were weighed, manually shaped into small round bundles, and autoclaved prior to the experiments. The weight of each sample was 30 ± 1 mg and placed into the well of a sterile 96-well plate containing the bacterial suspension prepared as described above. After incubation for 24 h at 37 \(^\circ\)C with a shaking speed of 250 rpm (PST-60HL-4 Thermo-Shaker), samples and media were removed from the wells and the biofilm was washed with 1× PBS. Treated and nontreated biofilms were quantified using CV and CFU assays as described in detail in the Supporting Information. Three independent experiments were performed with six technical replicates.

Individually isolated compounds (acetone extract, tannin-like substances, and dioxane lignin) from WBFBs were assessed for antibiofilm properties at final concentrations of 1, 1.25, and 3 mg/mL (i.e., minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) values), respectively. Acetone extract and dioxane lignin stocks were prepared by diluting samples in DMSO to 50 mg/mL, while tannin-like substances were diluted in sterile water to 25 mg/mL. An equal volume of the bacterial inoculum (\( 2 \times 10^5 \) CFU/mL) and diluted sample were added in each well of sterile 96-well microplates. Three independent experiments were performed with six replicate wells for CV and resazurin assays and three replicates for the CFU assay. Maximum biofilm formation was determined from untreated control wells. These wells contained media proportionally supplemented with the diluent used for compound stock preparation. Wells with media only were used as the background control.

**Analytical Techniques.** Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy. 1D \( ^1H \) and \( ^13C \) and 2D \( ^1H–^13C \) heteronuclear single quantum coherences (HSQC) spectra were acquired using a 400 MHz Bruker Avance III spectrometer. Acetone-d6 (\( \delta 2.97, 6H, 2.05 \) ppm) and DMSO-d6/pyridine-d5 (\( \delta 39.5, 6H, 2.49 \) ppm) were used as a calibration solvent for acetone extract and dioxane lignin (Figure 1), respectively. Pyridine-d5 has been reported to improve the intensities and resolution of the NMR spectrum compared to DMSO-d6 alone due to the enhanced expansion of the cell wall.\(^{44}\) HSQC spectra were acquired using 2 s, 1 K data points, 256 t1 increments, and 100 transients, an adiabatic version was adopted (hsqcetgpsisp.2 pulse sequence from the Bruker Library). \( ^1H \) nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy was acquired using a spectrum width of 16 ppm, \( d1 \) of 1 s and 32 K data points. The following parameters were used for \( ^1H \): a spectral width of 236 ppm, \( d1 \) of 2 s, and 65 K transients of 64 K data points. The spectral images were processed using TopSpin 4.0.
Therefore, the recovery factor was taken into calculation. The bars represent means of three independent biologicalexperiments with six technical replicates for CV and three for CFU mean ± SD. Normal distribution was tested using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Grubb’s test was used to detect outliers. Biofilm formation data were normally distributed and thus, the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test was used to assess the significance of differences between samples (p < 0.05). For other abbreviations see Figure 1. Although antibacterial activity of the pure WBFB was not directly assessed here, it was demonstrated earlier (i.e., standard deviation/mean) is small for all cases with measurable content. The largest coefficient of variance for any nonzero content is less than 5%.

### Table 3. Surface Lignin Coverage (or C−C %, Evaluated by XPS) and Antibacterial Activities against Two Wound-Isolated S. aureus Strains of WBFBs, Bleached Yarns (WBFB-H2O2), and Blend WBFB/Lyocell Yarns in Comparison to Lignin-Free WBFB-Cellulose and Pure Lyocell

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>sample</th>
<th>surface lignin coverage</th>
<th>S. aureus DSM 28763</th>
<th>S. aureus DSM 25691</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C−C % ± SD</td>
<td>surface lignin (%)</td>
<td>antibacterial value A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBFB</td>
<td>23.0 ± 0.9</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBFB-H2O2 (nonextracted)</td>
<td>18.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>8.6 (9.3, 7.9, 8.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBFB-H2O2 (extracted)</td>
<td>23.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>4.5 (7.3, 3.9, 2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50/50 WBFB/lyocell</td>
<td>12.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>8.0 (7.9, 8.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/70 WBFB/lyocell</td>
<td>12.1 ± 1.3</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>8.0 (7.9, 8.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/90 WBFB/lyocell</td>
<td>11.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>0.4 (0.6, 0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBFB-cellulose</td>
<td>6.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>1.9 (2.0, 1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 lyocell</td>
<td>9.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>0.3 (0.1, 0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whatman cellulose (reference)</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table shows mean antibacterial activity value (A) as well as values obtained in 2–3 independent experiments (in brackets). For detailed chemical composition of the analyzed fiber materials, see Figure S3. No inhibition: (A < 2); significant inhibition: (2 ≤ A < 3); strong inhibition: (A ≥ 3). Results are relative to cotton (negative control). SD and ND are abbreviations for “standard deviation” and “not determined” respectively.

#### Chemical Composition

The chemical composition of the solid residue (Figure 1) was analyzed according to NREL/TP-510-42618. The quantitation of the hydrolyzed monosaccharide was determined using the high-performance anion-exchange chromatography with pulsed amperometric detection (HPAEC-PAD). The detailed equipment parameters used are described in our previous work. Determination of galacturonic acid by acid hydrolysis can bring unwanted degradation of galacturonic acid; thus, the recovery factor of galacturonic acid (i.e., 59.2 ± 0.007%) was taken into calculation.

**X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy.** X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, Kratos Axis Supra instrument) was employed to assess the atomic surface’s compositional profile of the captured WBFBs and their derived fabrics. Pure “Whatman” cellulose paper was used as a reference. The atomic concentrations were calculated by CasaXPS software, and the energy shift correction was calibrated with reference to the C−C peak (284.8 eV). The Shirley background and Voigt function have been used to interpret results according to the literature.

**Gas Chromatography–Mass Spectrometry.** Approximately 10 mg of isolated acetone extract and tannin-like were dissolved in 0.5 mL of pyridine containing 1 mg/mL tetracosane (C24) as the internal standard; 0.3 mL of BSTFA containing 10% TMCS was then introduced into the mixture for silylation. The specific temperature program of gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC−MS) was described previously where the column HP-SMS (30 m × 0.25 mm, i.d. 0.25 μm) was employed. Their mass fragments were referenced with the NIST Chemistry WebBook.

#### Result Analysis Methodology

“Mean” and “standard deviation” have been applied to calculate the average of chemical composition of the components through HPAEC-PAD; mass balance; and quantification of the acetone extract through GC−MS based on triplicate-independent measurements per sample, which only shows the repeatability (or variance) of the results. The coefficient of variance (i.e., standard deviation/mean) is small for all cases with measurable contents. The largest coefficient of variance for any nonzero content is less than 5%.

In biological assays, data plots and statistical analysis were done using OriginPro Graphing and Analysis software, version 2021b (OriginPro). Normal distribution was tested using the Shapiro–Wilks test. Grubb’s test was used to detect outliers. Biofilm formation data were normally distributed and thus, the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test was used to assess the significance of differences between samples (p < 0.05). For other abbreviations see Table 3.

Figure 2. Inhibition of the S. aureus DSM 28763 biofilm formation by WBFBs. (a) Biofilm mass measured by CV assay after incubation with WBFB/lyocell yarns. (b) Log10 of biofilm cell numbers measured by CFU/mL after incubation with WBFB/lyocell yarns. The bars represent means of three independent biological experiments with six technical replicates for CV and three for CFU mean ± SD. Horizontal lines represent median. * denotes for statistical differences between samples (p < 0.05). For other abbreviations see Table 3.
distributed, and the analysis of variance (ANOVA) test was performed to identify differences between the two wound S. aureus strains. For antibiofilm results, mean values of biomass were not normally distributed for all groups; thus, the Kruskal–Wallis nonparametric test was used for analyzing differences between groups. CFU were converted into log_{10} and analyzed for differences using ANOVA with the post-hoc Bonferroni test for the multiple mean comparisons. Significant differences were assigned when \( p < 0.05 \).

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Bioactivity Evaluation of WBFBs. Antibacterial Activity of WBFBs.

According to our earlier studies WBFBs showed strong antibacterial activity against laboratory strain S. aureus ATCC 29213 typically used in antibacterial screenings.\(^{35}\) As susceptibility to antibacterial compounds may vary considerably between strains, we first verified the antibacterial activity of WBFBs in two clinical strains isolated from infected wound S. aureus DSM 28763 and DSM 25691. The former strain is characterized by the ability to form biofilms, while the latter strain is resistant to methicillin and other antibiotics. We then mixed WBFBs with lyocell and determined the minimum WBFB content that is required for retaining antibacterial properties. Lyocell is a wood-derived, environmentally friendly, and recyclable material, which has been reported to have favorable wound dressing properties. In particular, it has been shown that the growth of S. aureus on nonwoven lyocell materials is reduced, presumably due to high moisture absorbance.\(^{47}\) Our experiments demonstrated no antibacterial properties of pure lyocell materials, probably due to experimental procedures which include a prewetting step. Both 50/50 WBFB/lyocell and 30/70 WBFB/lyocell demonstrated exceptionally strong antibacterial activity in tested strains as no viable bacteria were detected in these samples after 24 h incubation (Table 3). When the WBFB content was reduced to 10% (i.e., sample 10/90 WBFB/lyocell), antibacterial activity dropped from strong to significant in case of S. aureus DSM 25691 and was completely abolished for the DSM 28763 strain. Therefore, the material was proven to eradicate clinical S. aureus strains at a minimum of 30% WBFB content. Prewetting of the material did not affect antibacterial properties, suggesting that such a material can retain antibacterial properties also in wet wounds.

We previously observed growth inhibition of several bacterial species, including S. aureus, on willow bark samples, e.g., for water extracts from the bark of 16 Salix clones\(^{48}\) and methanolic extracts from stem bark and leaves of Salix alba.\(^{49}\) These effects have been mainly attributed to salicinoids and various polyphenols. However, these compounds were depleted from WBFBs during their manufacturing process, and nevertheless, they retained strong antibacterial activity. To elucidate the role of individual WBFB components in the antibacterial activity of the material, we studied antibacterial activities of lignin-free (i.e., WBFB-cellulose) and bleached samples (WBFB-H\(_2\)O\(_2\) extracted and nonextracted) (Figure 1). WBFB-cellulose lost its antibacterial activity (Table 3). WBFB-H\(_2\)O\(_2\) (extracted) (color light yellow) retained roughly half of the nonextracted sample activity (color dark brown), implying a key role of acetone extracts and possibly chromophores. The decrease in the antibacterial activity was significant, albeit not as strong as

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>component</th>
<th>content %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cellulose</td>
<td>50.8 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hemicelluloses</td>
<td>5.2 ± 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dioxane lignin</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pectin</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>water extract</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>crude protein</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCM extract</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acetone extract</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>suberin</td>
<td>0.1 (ND)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sum of purified components</td>
<td>61.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rest (e.g., tannin-like)</td>
<td>38.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“rest” refers to the unquantified tannin-like substances, ash, and other high molecular weight macromolecules (e.g., starch). The content % value represents the mean of three independent measurements ± SD. SD and ND abbreviations for “standard deviation” and “not determined”, respectively.

Figure 3. Chemical composition of the blended yarns in comparison with the WB, WBFBs, purified hemicelluloses, and pectin. (a) Overall chemical composition (% of the original dry mass). (b) Carbohydrate component (% of anhydro sugars in the original dry mass). Abbreviations: arabinose (Ara), rhamnose (Rha), galactose (Gal), glucose (Glc), xylose (Xyl), mannose (Man), galacturonic acid (GalA), and citric acid (CA). For other abbreviations see Figure 1.
Table 5. Antibacterial Activity of Individual Components Isolated from WBFBs against \textit{S. aureus} DSM 28763

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Concentration (mg/mL)</th>
<th>Antibacterial Effect</th>
<th>MIC (mg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acetone extract</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Partial inhibition</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Strong inhibition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tannin-like substances</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Partial inhibition</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCM extract</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>No inhibition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemicelluloses</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>No inhibition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No inhibition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dioxane lignin</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Partial inhibition</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Partial inhibition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pectin</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>No inhibition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No inhibition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suberin</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>No inhibition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water extract</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>No inhibition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"Primary screening was performed once at the indicated concentrations. For the compounds that showed visible inhibition of bacterial growth, MIC was determined in dose–response assays, and 2–3 independent dose–response experiments were performed. Indicates low solubility.

that noted for WBFB-cellulose when lignin and tannin-like were removed in addition to extractives (Figure 1).

XPS has been previously adopted to show the atomic surface (top 10 nm) chemical profile of the WBFB. The processed fraction of the binding energy component C–C (284.8 eV) was used as a quantitative marker of the surface lignin content. The nominal lignin surface coverage was calculated from the noncellulosic component (i.e., C–C% of the real sample and our reference Whatman cellulose) as previously described. As expected, the content of WBFBs (from 10 to 30 wt % and progressively to 50 wt %) is proportional to the estimated surface lignin content (Table 5). When the acetone extraction was performed before the bleaching sequences, WBFB-H$_2$O$_2$ (extracted) with a high surface lignin content of 44.4% was obtained. The lignin/condensed tannin might achieve a higher exposure at the surface, and this might contribute positively to the surface "lignin" content. Clearly, the antibacterial activity was completely lost once the estimated nominal surface lignin coverage (Table 5) was ca. 20.1% (observed in 10/90 WBFB/lyocell) in comparison to ca. 20.7% (observed in 30/70 WBFB/lyocell).

**Antibiofilm Activity of WBFBs.** Considering the critical role of biofilm lifestyle in chronic wounds, it is essential to assess the antibiofilm properties of WBFBs. Prior to these experiments, \textit{S. aureus} strains DSM 28763 and DSM 25691 were characterized for their ability to form biofilms (Figure S2). Three methods commonly used for biofilm quantification were applied: CV for total biomass, resazurin for the evaluation of metabolic activity of biofilm-forming bacterial cells, and CFU assay for quantification of bacterial cells within a biofilm. \textit{S. aureus} DSM 25691 was classified as a weak biofilm former while DSM 28763 as a moderate biofilm former according to the suggested criteria. The fact that an increased biofilm mass was produced by DSM 28753, but cell numbers were not proportionally higher, could imply that this strain probably has a higher production and/or aggregation of extracellular substances in the biofilm matrix, which could lead to a more challenging biofilm-related infection to treat. Therefore, the strain DSM 28763 was selected as a model for characterization of antibiofilm properties of WBFBs.

The effect of pure WBFBs and WBFBs in combination with lyocell on total biomass and numbers of bacteria within the biofilm formed on polystyrene well plates in the presence of the
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material was evaluated. Figure 2a shows that incubation with 100 WBFB and 50/50 WBFB/lyocell resulted in 95.3 and 90.2% decrease in biofilm mass, respectively, in comparison to cotton control (p < 0.05). This reduction in biomass was also significantly higher than that produced by other sample blends (i.e., 30/70 WBFB/lyocell and 100 lyocell). Biofilm inhibition above 90% is considered of biological and medical significance, as highlighted in a recent review on combination therapies for biofilm-related infection.

In relation to bacterial cell numbers in the biofilm, a reduction of more than 4 log_{10} CFU/mL was achieved by 100 WBFB and 50/50 WBFB/lyocell, which accounts for a reduction in 99.99%

Figure 5. Chemical components detected from bioactive WBFB fractions. (a) Acetone extract, for the GC−MS spectrum, see Figure 6a. (b) Tannin-like. (c) Aromatic/unsaturated (δC/δH 100−127/6.0−7.5 ppm) and side chain (δC/δH 48−92/2.0−6.0 ppm) regions in the 2D HSQC NMR spectra of dioxane lignin in DMSO-d6/pyridine-d5 (v/v, 4/1). See Table S2 for signal assignments by comparison with the literature.
of biofilm bacterial population. For a significant bactericidal effect, the agent under investigation must achieve $\geq 3 \log_{10}$ CFU/mL reduction. A decrease of 20% on WBFB contents (i.e., 30/70 WBFB/Lyocell) had a significant decrease in the antibiofilm property when compared to 100 WBFB and 50/50 WBFB/Lyocell. (Figure 2) Still, samples containing at least 30% of WBFBs significantly decreased the bacteria population in the biofilm when compared to lyocell or cotton ($p < 0.05$) (Figure 6).
2b). Overall, yarns containing at least 50% of WBFBs would hinder biofilm formation of *S. aureus* on wounds. The effect on biofilm eradication was beyond the scope of this work.

Importantly, as the applied experimental procedure quantified the biofilm formed on the well plate, and not on the sample itself, it could only detect the effects of components diffusible in the water environment. Therefore, structural components of the material, such as lignin, do not contribute to the antibiofilm effect detected by this method. The observed activity of WBFBs provides evidence that the material can also affect bacterial biofilms that are not in direct contact with the wound dressing.

**Mass Balance and Bioactivities of the Purified Compounds. Mass Balance and Chemical Composition.** Knowledge of a bioactive material’s chemistry is considered key for understanding its antibacterial performance. The activity of WBFBs could possibly be attributed to macromolecules like dioxane lignin, pectin, suberin, extractives, hemicelluloses, and tannin-like substances. Therefore, we deconstructed WBFBs and their full mass balance is presented in Table 4. In addition to the main wood components (i.e., cellulose, hemicelluloses, and dioxane lignin accounted for 58 wt %), pectin and extractives weighted for 1.9 and 0.7 wt %, respectively. Crude protein and suberin represents merely 0.4 and 0.1 wt %, respectively, indicating that the interactions between the lignin, carbohydrates (cellulose and hemicelluloses), pectin, and suberin is possibly present also here in WBFBs; although similar linkage interactions have been reported for the plant secondary cell walls of wood, the knowledge of their specific molecular-level interaction is out of scope of this study. Starch is tentatively identified as part of the “rest” at Table 4, which can be supported by the relatively high abundance of starch in the native form of willow bark by Raman spectroscopy.

**Fractionation of WBFBs into Their Deconstructed Components.** The purified hemicellulose yield (5.2%, Table 4) contains xylose as its main monosaccharide (Figure 3). Lignin removal is significant particularly comparing the “Klason lignin” content between WBFB-NaOH (14%) and WBFB-holocellulose (1%). Additionally, the “Klason lignin” of WBFB-dioxane witnessed one third reduction in comparison to that of WBFB-NaOH, which indicates that the recovered lignin (2.5 wt %) might be a portion of the complete “Klason Lignin” or the presence of “Klason Lignin” at the WBFB maybe originated from some other condensed components like protein or starch. The characteristic sugars (i.e., galacturonic acid, arabinose, rhamnose, and galactose) of pectin were present in the recovered “pectin”, which proved the success of the applied CA extraction for binding calcium ions. The high abundance of glucose in the pectin fraction was possibly associated with the coextracted starch, which has previously been noted from the pectin fractions of willow bark. The almost nonpresence of galacturonic acid at WBFB-CA indicates the complete recovery of pectin with a yield of 1.9%. Overall, these results demonstrate the successful maximum preservation of the structural components from WBFBs, which lays out the key foundation for the follow-up bioactivity assessment of their deconstructed components.

**Antibacterial and Antiviral Activities of Purified Components.** The antibacterial activity of the individual WBFB components against *S. aureus* DSM 28763 was determined by the broth microdilution assay as described in the Supporting Information. Dioxane lignin, acetone extract, and tannin-like substances showed the highest antimicrobial activity among all the individual components (Table 5). The MIC required to achieve a 90% bacterial growth inhibition was determined for these components with acetone extract having the lowest value (1 mg/mL; Table 5). Although the antibacterial activity of lignin was well documented, it was shown to vary between botanical species and part of the plant, as well as to be dependent on the extraction method. For example, isolated lignin from grass failed to inhibit bacterial growth, but wood lignins (e.g., from bamboo, eucalyptus, beech, and spruce/pine isolates) showed antibacterial activity against several bacterial species including *S. aureus* (Table 2), corroborating with our data.

The components (Table 5) reported to be bioactive in the literature did not all show antibacterial activity in this study. Although antibacterial properties of hemicelluloses and pectin were reported by others (Table 2), they displayed no detectable activity in our study. The discrepancies in bacteriological assessments of nature-derived materials are common and may arise from multiple factors, including differences in chemistry of the molecules isolated from different species, their tested concentrations as well as differences in methodology, and used strains. For example, the disk diffusion assay or serial dilution followed by CFU counting is capable of detecting weaker (partial) antibacterial activity, whereas broth microdilution assays used in this study are designed to detect strong activity resulting in logarithmic-scale inhibition of bacterial growth. In addition, concentrations tested with this approach failed to exceed 2 mg/mL due to solvent and solubility limitations. Using a disk diffusion approach, hemicelluloses at the concentrations above 20 mg/mL have been shown to have moderate to strong antibacterial effects on multiple Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacterial species, including *S. aureus*. Therefore, considering the high presence of hemicelluloses and pectin in WBFBs (Table 4) and the less-destructive purification protocol, they might contribute to the overall antibacterial activity of WBFBs even though their antibacterial activity is relatively weak and was not detected by the approach used in this study. No shown bioactivity of suberin might be explained by the fact that the native form of suberin macromolecules have been decomposed into their monomers by the saponification process. In addition, it cannot be excluded that uncharacterized components could play a role alone or in combination with substances shown to be active in our study.

Three fractions showing antibacterial activity in the primary screening experiment were selected for further characterization of antibiofilm activity in *S. aureus* DSM 28763. Biofilms treated with acetone extract and tannin-like substances significantly reduced biomass by 83.0 and 95.9%, respectively. Even though dioxane lignin displayed antibacterial effect at 1.25 mg/mL (Table 5), it only had a mild effect on biofilm formation (i.e., biomass reduction of 33.0%) (Figure 4a). It should be noted that dioxane lignin aggregated within the biofilm complex when experiments were performed, and this effect could have led to the impairment of its activity. Still, all three fractions significantly decreased the biofilm mass when compared to their respective nontreated samples (Figure 4a). On average, a significant reduction of 4.3 log₁₀ CFU/mL and 3.1 log₁₀ CFU/mL was achieved by treating the biofilm with acetone extract and tannin-like substances, respectively (p < 0.05) (Figure 4b). Dioxane lignin treatment did not significantly reduce bacterial cells present in the biofilm (p > 0.05), relating to poor results observed in biomass assessment (Figure 4a). Overall, acetone extract and tannin-like substances at 1 and 3 mg/mL,
respectively, are effective in inhibiting biofilm formation of *S. aureus* DSM 28763.

**Chemistry Profile of Bioactive Components.** This section outlines the chemistry profile of these bioactive compounds that showed biofilm formation inhibitory activity, particularly of the acetone extract, tannin-like, and dioxane lignin (Figure 5).

**Acetone Extract.** Significant signals from fatty acid triglycerides (e.g., glycerol trioleate) (δC/δH, 130.46/5.35 ppm) were identified by NMR (Figures S4 and 6), indicating that triglycerides form the majority of the acetone extract, which cannot be detected by GC−MS because of its detection limit on analyzing extractive fractions of the pitch deposits. Other unsaturated fatty acids like linoleic acid and oleic acid (Figures 5a and 6a) were identified from GC−MS. Antibacterial and biofilm formation inhibitory effect of unsaturated triglycerides and fatty acids is well documented in the literature. In particular, in a study by Lee and colleagues, unsaturated fatty acids inhibited *S. aureus* biofilms, whereas saturated fatty acids, including oleic and stearic acid, lacked any significant effect. reviewed in ref 66. Thereafter, we consider these as major contributors to the observed strong antibacterial activity. Analyses by GC−MS revealed roughly 10 wt % of the acetone extract, in which the major identified compounds were 3,4-dihydroxybenzaldehyde, levoglucosan, protocatechuic acid, palmitic acid, and stearic acid. Protocatechuic acid (0.7 wt %) is known to possess multiple biological activities. This natural phenolic acid on its own, as well as through synergy with antibiotics, have been reported to inhibit a broad spectrum of pathogenic species, including *S. aureus*. Antibacterial activity of protocatechuic acid was also confirmed in our study where we observed partial inhibition of bacterial growth at a concentration of 2 mg/mL, whereas levoglucosan (2 wt %) showed no activity (Table 5). Furthermore, another major compound 3,4-dihydroxybenzaldehyde has been previously shown to be active against *E. coli*, *S. typhimurium*, and *S. aureus* by the disk diffusion assay, and therefore, potentially contributes in the bioactivity observed in our study, albeit not tested.

**Tannin-like Substances.** Only less than 20 wt % of tannin-like substances were identified by GC−MS (Table S3). This may be due to the fact that the molecular weight (MW) of tannin ranges from 500 to 3000 Da, and its trimethylsilyl derivatives are often beyond the detection limit of GC−MS. Demonstrated major components were 7.2 wt % of dicarboxylic acids (methylmalonic acid, glutaric acid, aminomalonic acid, malic acid, and α-hydroxyglutaric acid), 1.1 wt % of fatty acids (palmitic acid), 4.6 wt % of sugar acid (glyceric acid, 2,4-dihydroxybutanoic acid, and 3,4-dihydroxybutanoic acid), and 3.1 wt % 2-hydroxybutyric acid (Figure S10). Out of these, malic acid has been previously shown to kill *S. aureus*, *E. faecalis*, *E. coli*, and *P. aeruginosa* with MIC ranging between 500 and 1000 μg/mL and eradicated *E. faecalis* biofilms. It is challenging to interpret chemical composition of these unidentified intense cross-peaks (Figure 6b) without any mass spectrum fingerprints. Further elucidation using high resolution-liquid chromatography mass spectrometry (HR-LCMS) may succeed in revealing the unknown fractions of the acetone extracts and tannin-like; however, this is out of the scope of this present study.

**Dioxane Lignin.** Solution-state 2D NMR spectroscopy was applied to obtain the chemical profile of dioxane lignin. The S/G ratio (1.2) and the relative interunit linkage ratio (A:C, 85:14) between β-O-4′ aryl ethers (A′/A) and resins (C) were obtained by integration of 1H−13C correlation contours in the corresponding HSQC spectra, as shown in Figure 5c, which is similar to lignin obtained from the willow inner bark through enzyme treatment (ratios of S/G and A/C are 0.9 and 80:17, respectively). These unidentified signals (Figure S11) could be associated with the coextracted impurities (e.g., protein and polysaccharides). Understanding the exact lignin moieties (or lignin precursors) that are responsible for this shown bioactivity is out of the scope of this present study.

In conclusion, we report here the first potential use of wood bark-derived materials for antibacterial and anti biofilm wound dressings to be utilized in chronic infected wound care. We show that yarns containing at least 50% of WBFBs significantly inhibit biofilm formation by *S. aureus* strains isolated from infected wounds, including a multidrug-resistant strain. Although *S. aureus* plays a critical role in wound infections, focus on a single bacterial species alone is a limitation of this study. We determined the contribution of each recovered fraction in the observed activity by deconstructing the bioactive WBFBs. Dioxane lignin is the major identified contributor to the antibacterial activity against planktonic bacteria, whereas tannin-like substances and acetone extracts (presumably unsaturated fatty acid components) play an important role in antibiofilm activity of the material. WBF-based materials are affordable and biodegradable and comply with the principles of green chemistry. Importantly, similar types of fiber bundles can be recovered and extracted from the bark of other fast-growing trees, such as eucalyptus and poplar. The outcome of this study is encouraging and justifies further development of WBF-based wound dressing materials, e.g., generation a wound dressing prototype and testing it for biocompatibility and activity in infected wound animal models.
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