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ABSTRACT

We present the multiwavelength flaring activity of the blazar AO 0235 + 164 during its recent active period from 2013 to 2019. From a discrete correlation function analysis, we find a significant (> 95 per cent) correlation between radio and gamma-ray light curves with flares at longer wavelengths following flares at shorter wavelengths. We identify a new jet component in 43 GHz Very Long Baseline Array data that was ejected from the radio core on MJD 57246+26 (2015 August 12), during the peak of the 2015 radio flare. From the analysis of the jet component, we derived a Doppler factor of $\delta_{\text{var}} = 28.5 \pm 8.4$, a bulk Lorentz factor of $\Gamma = 16.8^{+3.6}_{-3.1}$, and an intrinsic viewing angle of $\theta_{\text{v}} = 1.42_{-0.52}^{+1.07}$ degrees. Investigation of the quasi-simultaneous radio data revealed a partially absorbed spectrum with the turnover frequency varying in the range of 10−70 GHz and the peak flux density varying in the range of 0.7−4 Jy. We find the synchrotron self-absorption magnetic field strength to be $B_{\text{SSA}} = 15.3^{+12.6}_{-14.0}$ mG at the peak of the 2015 radio flare, which is comparable to the equipartition magnetic field strength of $B_{\text{EQ}} = 43.6^{+10.6}_{-10.4}$ mG calculated for the same epoch. Additional analysis of the radio emission region in the relativistic jet of AO 0235 + 164 suggests that it did not significantly deviate from equipartition during its recent flaring activity.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Powered by accretion onto supermassive black holes, active galactic nuclei (AGN) produce copious amounts of high-energy emission. Understanding the physical conditions and processes responsible for the production of high-energy particles and the emission of gamma-rays is one of the most challenging quests of high-energy astrophysics. We present here a thorough analysis of the multifrequency observation of a blazar, AO 0235 + 164, to probe the physical process(es) responsible for gamma-ray emission in the source.

AO 0235 + 164 is a blazar (a subclass of radio-loud AGN with small viewing angles from the jet axis; Angel & Stockman 1980) at a redshift of $z = 0.94$ (Cohen et al. 1987). Even among blazars, AO 0235 + 164 is found to be extremely compact in radio with no stable extended structure even at parsec-scale resolution (e.g. Jorstad et al. 2017). On kiloparsec scales, Very Large Array images at 1.4 GHz (Cooper, Lister & Kochanycz 2007) and at 5 GHz (Frey et al. 2006) reveal a weak, extended structure north-northwest of...
the compact core. This could be a consequence of the extremely small viewing angle found for this source (e.g. see Section 3.4 of this paper; Jorstad et al. 2017; Kutkin et al. 2018). A consequence of such a small viewing angle is the enhancement of the Doppler factor $\delta$ which governs relativistic effects such as Doppler boosting. A large $\delta$ could amplify even small variations in the source into detectable variability. AO 0235 + 164 is known to display variation in brightness at various time-scales, from as short as a few hours in optical (Sagar et al. 2004) to as long as years in radio (Nieppola et al. 2009). Quasi-periodicity was observed in X-rays with a short period of 17 d (Rani, Wiita & Gupta 2009). Longer periods of a few years were found in both long-term radio and optical data (e.g. Raiteri et al. 2001; Tripathi et al. 2021), hinting at the possible existence of jet precession. Space-Very Long Baseline Interferometry (Space-VLBI) observations reveal extreme brightness temperatures of up to $10^{14}$ K (Frey et al. 2000; Kutkin et al. 2018), implying the presence of extreme physics within the jet.

The previous flaring activity from 2006 to 2009 was extensively investigated during multiple campaigns (e.g. Raiteri et al. 2008; Agudo et al. 2011; Ackermann et al. 2012). After a long period of quiescence, AO 0235 + 164 once again displayed multiple flares from radio to gamma-rays from 2014 to 2018 (e.g. Ciprini 2015; see also Fig. 1). In this paper, we present our analysis of total flux measurements in the radio ($15-340$ GHz) and gamma-ray bands from 2013 to 2019 data collected from multiple monitoring programmes. We investigate the jet parameters with high-resolution VLBI data at 43 GHz obtained with the Very Long Baseline Array (VLBA). We also estimate the magnetic field strengths within the jet from quasi-simultaneous radio spectra.

We adopt a flat $\Lambda$ cold dark matter cosmology of $H_0 = 67.4\,\text{km\,s}^{-1}\,\text{Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_m = 0.315$, and $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.685$ (Planck Collaboration VI 2020). The luminosity distance at the redshift of AO 0235 + 164 is $D_L = 6303.5$ Mpc, and the linear scale is 8.12 pc mas$^{-1}$, which was calculated with CosmoCalc (Wright 2006).\(^{1}\)

2 MULTIWAVELENGTH DATA

2.1 Radio and submillimeter data

We collect single-dish and compact-array light-curve data for AO 0235+164 from a wide range of observing frequencies ($15-340$ GHz). We use data obtained from 2013 to 2019. We also collect complementary 43 GHz VLBA monitoring data of AO 0235 + 164. The details of the individual data sets are presented below.

2.1.1 OVRO monitoring of Fermi blazars

AO 0235 + 164 is monitored by the 40 m telescope at the Owens Valley Radio Observatory (OVRO) as part of the 15 GHz monitoring programme (Richards et al. 2011).\(^{2}\) Starting from late 2007, the OVRO 15 GHz monitoring programme has regularly monitored the flux of $\sim1800$ AGNs with a typical flux density rms of 4 mJy and typical systematic errors of 3 percent. In this paper, we use data obtained from 2013 January 4 (MJD 56296) to 2019 December 22 (MJD 58839). The average cadence for AO 0235 + 164 during this period is 7.5 d. The flux rms error during this period is typically two per cent of the total flux density.

2.1.2 Metsähovi 37 GHz light curve

The 14 m telescope at the Aalto University Metsähovi Radio Observatory is used to monitor multiple AGNs and microquasars at a centre frequency of 36.8 GHz. The detection limit of the telescope at this frequency is on the order of 0.2 Jy under optimal conditions. Data points with a signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) less than 4 are handled as non-detections. The flux density scale is set by observations of DR 21 with NGC 7027, 3C 274, and 3C 84 being used as secondary calibrators. Details of the data reduction and analysis may be found in Terasranta et al. (1998). We use data obtained from 2013 January 11 (MJD 56303) to 2019 December 30 (MJD 58847). The average cadence for AO 0235 + 164 during this period is 8.5 d. The rms error during this period is typically nine per cent of the total flux density.

2.1.3 POLAMI light curve

The POLAMI (Polarimetric Monitoring of AGN at Millimetre Wavelengths) programme (Thum et al. 2018; Agudo et al. 2018a, b\(^{3}\)) is a long-term programme to monitor the polarimetric properties (Stokes I, Q, U, and V) of a sample of around 40 bright AGN at 86 and 230$\text{GHz}$ frequencies with the IRAM 30 m Telescope near Granada, Spain. The programme has been running since 2006 October, and it currently has a time sampling of $\sim$2 weeks. The XPOL polarimetric observing setup has been routinely used as described in Thum et al. (2008) since the start of the programme. The reduction and calibration of the POLAMI data presented here are described in detail in Agudo et al. (2010, 2014, 2018b).

2.1.4 ALMA calibrator data base

The Atacama Large Millimeter Array (ALMA) Calibrator Source Catalogue\(^{4}\) is a data base containing measurements of various compact radio sources for multiple frequency bands. The primary purpose of the data base is to assist in selecting bandpass, phase, and flux calibrators for ALMA observations. AO 0235 + 164 has been observed since mid-2011 at frequencies of 91.5 and 103.5$\text{GHz}$ (both Band 3), 233 (Band 6), and 343.5$\text{GHz}$ (Band 7). Observations at the two different frequencies in Band 3 are usually simultaneous. On days where observations were made only at a single frequency in Band 3, this was usually done at 91.5$\text{GHz}$. Therefore, due to the close proximity of the two frequencies and the higher cadence of measurements at the lower frequency, we choose only the 91.5$\text{GHz}$ data for further evaluation. We use Band 3 data obtained from 2013 June 17 (MJD 56460) to 2019 December 31 (MJD 58848), Band 6 data obtained from 2013 December 21 (MJD 56647) to 2019 September 16 (MJD 58742), and Band 7 data obtained from 2013 July 1 (MJD 56474) to 2019 December 18 (MJD 58835). The average cadence at Band 3 is 11 d with a typical rms flux error of four per cent of the total flux density. The average cadence at Band 6 is 75 d with a typical rms flux error of seven per cent of the total flux density. The average cadence at Band 7 is 15 d with a typical rms flux error of nine per cent of the total flux density.

2.1.5 SMA calibrator data base

The Submillimeter Array (SMA) is a connected array of eight 6 m dishes located on Maunakea, Hawaii. AO 0235 + 164 is included in

---

1https://www.astro.ucla.edu/~wright/CosmoCalc.html
2https://sites.astro.caltech.edu/ovrobazars/
3http://polami.iaa.es
4http://almascience.eso.org/sc/

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/mnras/article/527/1/882/7330181 by Bibliothèque Nationale et Universitaire de Strasbourg user on 11 December 2023

MNRAS 527, 882–894 (2024)
an ongoing monitoring program at the SMA to determine the fluxes of compact extragalactic radio sources that can be used as calibrators at mm wavelengths (Gurwell et al. 2007). Available potential calibrators are observed for 3 to 5 min, and the measured source signal strength is calibrated against known standards, typically Solar system objects (Titan, Uranus, Neptune, or Callisto). Data from this program are updated regularly and are available at the SMA website.\(^5\) We use 226 GHz data obtained from 2013 January 8 (MJD 56300) to 2019 April 5 (MJD 58578) and 342 GHz data from 2013 February 13 (MJD 56336) to 2018 August 12 (MJD 58342). The average cadences of the 226 and 342 GHz data are 24 and 223 d, respectively. We note that half of the observations at 342 GHz are concentrated between 2016 January 2 (MJD 57389) and 2016 February 28 (MJD 57446). The rms flux error is typically seven per cent of the total flux density at 226 GHz and ten per cent of the total flux density at 342 GHz.

\subsection{VLBA 43 GHz data}

AO 0235 + 164 has been monitored by the VLBA at 43 GHz as part of the VLBA-BU-BLAZAR programme (Jorstad et al. 2005; Jorstad & Marscher 2016)\(^6\) since 2007 June 14 (MJD 54265). We use the calibrated VLBI data obtained between 2013 January 15 (MJD 56307) and 2019 October 19 (MJD 58775). We analyse the data by fitting elliptical and circular Gaussian model components to the calibrated visibility data using the MODELFIT procedure in DIFMAP (Shepherd, Pearson & Taylor 1994).

\subsection{Gamma-ray data}

We use 100 MeV to 300 GeV gamma-ray data obtained from the Fermi Large Area Telescope (LAT; Atwood et al. 2009) between 2013 January 1 (MJD 56293) and 2019 December 31 (MJD 58848). We perform the data analysis using FERMITOOLS (version 2.2.0) and the P8R3_SOURCE_V3 instrumental response function. Photons in the event class 128 (‘source’ class) and within a region of interest of 15 deg centred at the position of AO 0235 + 164 were selected for the analysis. We carried out an unbinned likelihood analysis (Abdo et al. 2009) with a time bin of 7 d. All fourth Fermi Large Area Telescope catalog (4FGL; Abdollahi et al. 2020) sources within 25 deg of AO 0235 + 164 were included in the initial xml model. We also include Galactic interstellar emission, using the \texttt{gll\_iem\_v07.fits} model, and isotropic diffuse emission, using the \texttt{iso\_P8R3\_SOURCE\_V3\_v1.txt} model.\(^7\) We also left free to vary the normalization of AO 0235+164, 16 variable sources (variability index \(\geq 18.48\)) located within 10 deg of AO 0235+164, and 4\footnote{4\url{https://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/data/access/lat/BackgroundModels.html}} C +28.07, a gamma-ray bright blazar located within 12 deg of AO 0235+164. Model parameters of all other point sources were fixed. Spectral parameters for all sources were fixed to the 4FGL values. For AO 0235 + 164, we use a

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure1.pdf}
\caption{Multiwavelength light curves of AO 0235 + 164 from 2013 to 2019. From top to bottom, the individual light curves correspond to gamma-rays, 343, 228, 90, 37, and 15 GHz, respectively. Uncertainties correspond to 1\(\sigma\) confidence regions. Additionally, the mean GPR light curves and corresponding 1\(\sigma\) confidence regions for the radio data are plotted as black solid lines and grey-shaded regions, respectively. In the gamma-ray light curve, values with TS \(\geq 10\) are marked as black dots while upper-limits for time bins with TS < 10 are plotted as red triangles.}
\end{figure}

\footnotesize\(^5\)http://sma1.sma.hawaii.edu/callist/callist.html
\footnotesize\(^6\)https://www.bu.edu/blazars/VLBAproject.html
\footnotesize\(^7\)https://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/data/access/lat/BackgroundModels.html
Table 1. Fit parameters of the DCFs computed with respect to the 15 GHz light curve.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency (GHz)</th>
<th>A_{DCF} (GHz)</th>
<th>τ_{DCF} (d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>gamma-ray</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.04</td>
<td>−48 ± 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>343</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.02</td>
<td>−24 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>228</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.02</td>
<td>−37 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.01</td>
<td>−24 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.01</td>
<td>−17 ± 9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. Column 1: is the frequency of the companion light curve with the exception of the gamma-ray data. Column 2: is the peak of the DCF. Column 3: is the time lag. Quoted uncertainties represent 1σ confidence regions on the parameters.

LogParabola function (Massaro et al. 2006) to model the spectral shape of the gamma-ray data. For each 7-d time bin, we ran an initial likelihood analysis including all sources. After the initial run, we removed sources with a test statistic TS < 25 from the xml model (with the exception of AO 0235 + 164). We then ran a second round of likelihood analysis with the filtered xml model. After the fit converged, we found the photon count rate (in units of ph cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) along with the corresponding uncertainties using $p_{Likelihood}$. The full gamma-ray light curve from the start of 2013 to the end of 2019 is presented in Fig. 1. In each time bin, we consider AO 0235 + 164 to be detected if the TS is >10 (e.g. Ackermann et al. 2012). 95 per cent confidence upper limits are plotted for time bins with TS < 10.

3 DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

3.1 Multiwavelength light-curve correlations

We search for correlations among the various light curves using the discrete correlation function (hereafter DCF), which is a method for analysing correlations in unevenly sampled data sets. Details on the DCF may be found in Edelson & Krolik (1998). To improve the cadences of the light curves, we integrate data sets taken at similar frequencies into combined light curves. We do this for the following data sets: (1) we combine the POLAMI 86 GHz data and ALMA 91.5 GHz data into the 90 GHz light curve, (2) we combine the POLAMI 229 GHz data, the ALMA 233 GHz data, and the SMA 226 GHz data into the 228 GHz light curve, and (3) we combine the ALMA 343.5 GHz data and the SMA 342 GHz into the 343 GHz light curve. The mean frequencies of the combined light curves are 90.4, 228, and 343 GHz, respectively. We evaluate DCFs for all of the light curves with respect to the 15 GHz light curve, which has both a high-cadence and low-measurement errors. The 37 GHz light curve has a comparable mean cadence, but the measurement errors are significantly larger.

To evaluate the uncertainties of the measured time lags $\tau_{DCF}$, we simulate $10^4$ artificial light curves using the Flux Randomization and Random Subset Selection methods described in Peterson et al. (1998). For each frequency pair, we fit a normalized distribution to the array of $10^4$ simulated DCF peaks ($A_{DCF}$) and corresponding time lags. The fit parameters are presented in Table 1. We note that $\tau_{DCF} < 0$ indicates that the corresponding light curve is leading the 15 GHz light curve. We find that all radio light curves from 37 to 343 GHz have $\tau_{DCF} < 0$, indicating that flares at higher frequencies occur prior to those at lower frequencies. There is a trend of larger absolute values of $\tau_{DCF}$ with increasing frequency of the reference light curve, although it is difficult to track concretely due to the relatively large uncertainties of $\tau_{DCF}$. We find that the gamma-rays also lead the radio frequencies, indicating that the gamma-ray region is located upstream of the radio emission region (see Section 4.1 for additional analysis).

We evaluate the significance of the correlation by simulating $10^4$ light curves using the method of Emmanoulopoulos, McHardy & Papadakis (2013) as implemented in DELCGEN (Connolly 2016). The probability density function (PDF) and the power spectral density (PSD) encapsulate the variability and statistical properties of a given light curve, and it has been demonstrated that both properties used for the creation of simulated light curves may significantly affect the estimation of the confidence intervals of a DCF (e.g. Emmanoulopoulos, McHardy & Papadakis 2013; Max-Moerbeck et al. 2014b). Therefore, it is important that the simulated light curves accurately represent both the PDF and PSD of the original light curve. We model the PDFs of the radio light curves using a lognormal distribution (e.g. Goyal 2020; Acciari et al. 2021), whereas we use a gamma distribution for the gamma-ray light curve (e.g. Algaba et al. 2018a; Kim et al. 2022b). We model the PSDs for all frequencies using a power-law function with an added constant (e.g. Park & Tripppe 2014; Tarnopolski et al. 2020).

Examples of the calculated DCFs with the corresponding confidence intervals are presented in Fig. 2. All five light curves, from 37 GHz to gamma-rays, are correlated with the 15 GHz light curve with the significance of the correlation with the gamma-rays reaching a level of greater than 2σ. AO 0235 + 164 is known for significant correlations between radio and gamma-ray flares (e.g. Max-Moerbeck et al. 2014a). We find that this is the case for the period of our study, suggesting that radio and gamma-ray emission are tightly correlated in this source. The 3σ correlations between the radio data sets suggest that the radio fluxes of this source arise from a common origin and mechanism.

3.2 Radio light-curve Gaussian process regression

Given the variety of multiwavelength observations used in this analysis, our measurements at different wavelengths are not simultaneous. To construct quasi-simultaneous radio spectra, we interpolate the data to a common date with Gaussian Process Regression (hereafter GPR; Rasmussen & Williams 2006), which has been used in the past to study the variability characteristics of blazars (e.g. Karamanavis et al. 2016). We use scikit-learn (Pedregosa et al. 2011) for the GPR, using a noisy radial-basis function kernel of the form

$$k_n(x_i, x_j) = \sigma^2_n \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(x_i - x_j)^2}{\ell^2}\right] + \sigma_{rms}^2 \delta_{ij},$$

(1)

to represent the covariance between two data points at $x_i$ and $x_j$. There are a total of three hyperparameters. Parameters $\ell$ and $\sigma_r$ represent the time-scale and magnitude of the covariation, respectively. The parameter $\sigma_{rms}$ corresponds to a global uncertainty due to the measurement errors. Together with the Kronecker delta function $\delta_{ij}$, $\sigma_{rms}$ is part of the white kernel, $\sigma_r^2 \delta_{ij}$. The hyperparameters are optimized separately for the 15, 37, 90, 228, and 343 GHz radio light curves using a maximum likelihood analysis. After optimization, the mean GPR light curve is obtained for 1-d intervals starting from 2013
3.3 Radio spectral energy distribution

We investigate spectral evolution in the radio for AO 0235 + 164 during its 2015–2019 flaring period. We limit our evaluation to periods when the total radio flux is dominated (>90 per cent) by the radio core as inferred from the 43 GHz VLBA data (see discussion in Section 4.3). There are two periods that satisfy this criterion – the flaring activity in 2015 March–November (MJD 57100-57350) and the flaring activity in 2018 March–August (MJD 58180-58360). We fit a curved power law of the form (e.g. Massaro et al. 2006; Lee et al. 2016; Algaba et al. 2018b)

\[
S(\nu) = S_{\text{m}} \left(\frac{\nu}{\nu_{c}}\right)^{c_{1}\ln\left(\frac{\nu}{\nu_{c}}\right)}
\]  

(2)

to the single dish and compact array radio light curves for these two periods. \(S_{\text{m}}\) is the flux at the turnover frequency \(\nu_{c}\). \(c_{1}\) is a constant that fits the overall spectral shape. We fit to both the quasi-simultaneous spectrum, which was constructed with observations within 3 d of Metsähovi 37 GHz observations, and the GPR spectrum constructed from the GPR light curves. In both cases, we remove the minimum detected flux from each frequency as a method of exploring the variable radio flux. The evolution of the resultant parameters of the spectral analysis for the 2015 flaring activity is plotted in Fig. 3. \(S_{\text{m}}\) and \(\nu_{c}\) values from the quasi-simultaneous spectrum are plotted in red while those from the GPR spectrum are plotted in blue. The uncertainties correspond to the 1σ confidence regions for the parameters which are estimated with a Markov Chain Monte Carlo analysis. We present an example of a fit in Fig. 4. For this example, we see that spectral shapes (characterized by \(c_{1}\) and \(\nu_{c}\)) determined with the two different methods are well compatible. The estimated values...
of $S_m$ differ by $\sim 5$ per cent. Overall, we find that the evolution of the spectral parameters ($S_m$ and $\nu_c$) is well interpolated by using the GPR light curves. We present $S_m$ with respect to $\nu_c$ as derived from the GPR spectrum for the 2015 flare in Fig. 5. The evolution of the flare may be decomposed into three stages. During the onset of the flare, $S_m$ rises from $\sim 2$ to $\sim 3.6$ Jy while $\nu_c$ is approximately constant at 45 GHz. Then, $\nu_c$ decreases from $\sim 44$ GHz at MJD 57230 to $\sim 25$ GHz at MJD 57270. During this period, $S_m$ increases from $\sim 3.6$ to $\sim 4.4$ Jy, followed by a decrease to $\sim 3.7$ Jy. Finally, $S_m$ decreases from $\sim 3.7$ to $\sim 2$ Jy as $\nu_c$ is $\sim 20$ GHz.

3.4 Jet parameters from new superluminal jet components

While a detailed analysis of the VLBI data is beyond the scope of this paper, we present a subset of our study on determining the jet parameters of AO 0235 + 164 during the 2015 flare (which is required for the following analysis presented in this paper). We conducted model fitting of the calibrated 43 GHz VLBI data from the VLBA-BU-BLAZAR programme with DIFMAP. We model the radio core with an elliptical Gaussian (i.e. a 2D elliptical Gaussian brightness distribution) and any other components with circular Gaussians. The positions of all components, including the core, were set as free parameters. We evaluate the errors in the fit parameters following the procedures in Fomalont (1999) and Lee et al. (2008). From the 43 GHz VLBA data, we find the ejection of a new jet component (hereafter J5) at a position angle of approximately 57.8 $\pm$ 1.7 deg (see Fig. 6). We were able to track this component up to approximately 0.4 mas from the core before it became too faint to be reliably detected. The time evolution of the distance between J5 and the core is shown in Fig. 7. Fitting a linear function to the separation (plotted in red), we find a proper motion of $\mu = 0.230 \pm 0.019$ mas yr$^{-1}$ and a corresponding apparent speed of $\beta_{app} = 11.84^{+0.30}_{-0.26}$. The epoch of ejection from the core is estimated to be MJD 57246$^{+26}_{-30}$, which corresponds to the peak of the 2015 flare.
The light curve of J5 is presented in Fig. 8. Following Jorstad et al. (2017), we estimate the variability Doppler factor from the decaying light curve of this jet component. We model the light curve as an exponential decay with the function $\log(S(t)/S_0) = -k_{\text{var}}(t - t_0)$, where $S_0$ is the flux of the component at a certain time $t_0$ and $k_{\text{var}}$ is a decay constant. The variability time-scale is then found as $\tau_{\text{var}} = [1/k_{\text{var}}]$. Fitting to the data of J5, we find $k_{\text{var}} = 1.32 \pm 0.20$ yr$^{-1}$ and $\tau_{\text{var}} = 0.76^{+0.14}_{-0.10}$ yr. Following Lobanov (2005), we calculate the minimum resolvable size as $\theta_{\text{min}} = 2^\beta |\varphi| b_\theta \sqrt{\frac{\ln^2 2}{\pi} \log \frac{S(m)}{S_{\text{SNR}}}}$, where $b_\theta$ is the full width at half-maximum (FWHM) at an arbitrary position angle $\varphi$, and $\beta$ is a weighting parameter with $\beta = 0$ for uniform weighting and $\beta = 2$ for natural weighting. If the fit FWHM was found to be smaller than $\theta_{\text{min}}$, we consider the component to be unresolved. The mean FWHM of J5 was found to be $\langle \theta_{\text{obs}} \rangle \sim 0.234 \pm 0.059$ mas, which was calculated over a total of nine epochs where the component was found to be resolved. The variability Doppler factor is found as (Jorstad et al. 2017)

$$\delta_{\text{var}} = \frac{15.8}{1 + z} \left[ \frac{d_m}{1 \text{ mas}} \right] \left[ \frac{D_L}{1 \text{ Gpc}} \right] \left[ \frac{k_{\text{var}}}{1 \text{ yr}^{-1}} \right] = 28.5 \pm 8.4,$$

where we have substituted $\tau_{\text{var}}$ with $k_{\text{var}}$ and estimated the angular size assuming a uniform sphere (i.e. 1.8 times $\theta_{\text{BL}}$ for consistency with Section 3.5) rather than the uniform disc assumed in Jorstad et al. (2017). This difference does not significantly affect the derived jet parameters.

With an independent estimate of $\delta_{\text{var}}$ and $\beta_{\text{app}}$, we are now able to determine the bulk Lorentz factor $\Gamma$ and the intrinsic viewing angle $\theta_v$ as (e.g. Boettcher, Harris & Krawczynski 2012)

$$\Gamma = \frac{\beta_{\text{app}}^2 + \delta_{\text{var}}^2 + 1}{2\delta_{\text{var}}} = 16.8^{+3.6}_{-5.1},$$

and

$$\tan \theta_v = \frac{2\beta_{\text{app}}}{\beta_{\text{app}}^2 + \delta_{\text{var}}^2 - 1} = 0.025^{+0.019}_{-0.009}.$$ (5)

This corresponds to an intrinsic viewing angle of $\theta_v = 1.42^{+1.07}_{-0.52}$ degrees. We note that the values of $\delta_{\text{var}}$ and $\Gamma$ for J5 are significantly smaller than those presented in Jorstad et al. (2017) for the 2008 flare ($\delta \approx 60$, $\Gamma \approx 31$). However, Kutkin et al. (2018) report $\delta \approx 24$ and $\Gamma \approx 14$ for the same 2008 flare. Hovatta et al. (2009) also find $\delta = 24$ and $\Gamma = 12.1$ for this source, which were derived from the variability time-scales of long-term radio monitoring data. Both Hovatta et al. (2009) and Kutkin et al. (2018) are consistent with the values found in this paper for the 2015 flare.

We find the presence of a second component (hereafter J6) ejected close in time to J5. Following the same analysis, we find that J6 has a slower proper motion, likely due to a smaller viewing angle as compared to J5. The value of $\delta_{\text{var}}$ is consistent with that of J5 within uncertainties while the estimated values of $\Gamma$ agree well between the two jet components. The results are summarized in Table 2. Weaver et al. (2022) analyse the same 43 GHz VLBI data during this period and also find the two jet components J5 and J6 in Table 2 (labelled respectively as B5 and B6 in their paper). The position angles of the jet components found in Weaver et al. (2022) agree well with those found in our analysis; on the other hand, the proper motion of J5 is $\sim 20$ per cent slower and that of J6 is $\sim 60$ per cent faster than in Table 2. The variability time-scales in Weaver et al. (2022) are $\sim 50$ per cent lower than found in this paper. Their $\delta_{\text{var}}$ and $\Gamma$ for J5 are consistent with our results while those for J6 differ by approximately a factor of two.

Due to J5 having a faster, well-constrained proper motion compared to J6, we consider the jet parameters derived from J5 to be representative of AO 0235 + 164 at the time of the 2015 flare. We refer to these values in the following analysis.

### 3.5 Radio core magnetic field strength

We estimate the magnetic field strength of AO 0235 + 164 assuming a synchrotron self-absorption (hereafter SSA) spectrum using the equation (Marscher 1983)

$$\frac{B_{\text{SSA}}}{10^{-2} \text{ mG}} = b(\alpha) \left[ \frac{1 \text{ Jy}}{S_{\text{m}}} \right]^{1/2} \left[ \frac{d_m}{1 \text{ mas}} \right]^{1/4} \left[ \frac{v_c}{1 \text{ GHz}} \right]^{5/2} \left( \frac{1 + z}{\delta} \right),$$

where $b(\alpha)$ is a dimensionless factor that varies as a function of the optically thin spectral index $\alpha$ (e.g. Marscher 1983; Pushkarev et al. 2019), $S_m$ is the flux density at the turnover frequency $v_{c}$, $d_m$ is the angular size of the SSA region, $\delta$ is the Doppler factor, and $z$ is the cosmological redshift of the source. The difference in the power of the factor $(1 + z)/\delta$ in equation (6) from that of Marscher (1983) is due to considering the SSA region to be the radio core as in Lee et al. (2017).

We estimate $d_m$ from the model-fit analysis of multi-epoch 43 GHz VLBA data as in Section 3.4, but this time focusing on the radio core. For the core, we determine $\theta_{\text{min}}$, separately for the major and minor axes of the elliptical Gaussian fitted to the radio core. We consider the core to be unresolved when either of the two are smaller than the corresponding $\theta_{\text{min}}$. Following Algaba et al. (2017), the mean size of the fitted elliptical Gaussian is calculated as $\langle \theta_{\text{obs}} \rangle = (2\theta_{\text{major}} + \theta_{\text{minor}})/3$, where $\theta_{\text{major}}$ and $\theta_{\text{minor}}$ are the FWHMs of the major and minor axes of the elliptical Gaussian. Then, we have

$$d_m = 1.8 \left( \theta_{\text{obs}} \right) \left( \frac{v_c}{v_{\text{obs}}} \right)^{-k},$$

where $k$ determines the dependence of the core size with frequency. An additional factor of 1.8 is used to convert between the FWHM of a Gaussian function and the total size (Marscher 1977; Hodgson et al. 2017).

We also estimate the magnetic field based on the assumption of equipartition between the magnetic field and the particle energy.
densities. Following Kataoka & Stawarz (2005), we have

$$
\left[ \frac{B_{\text{EQ}}}{1 \text{ mG}} \right] = 0.123 \left\{ \eta^2 (1 + z)^{1.1} \left[ \frac{100 \text{ Mpc}}{D_L} \right]^2 \left[ \frac{\nu_c}{5 \text{ GHz}} \right] \left[ \frac{S_m}{0.1 \text{ Jy}} \right]^2 \left[ \frac{300 \text{ mas}}{d_m} \right]^{6.5} \right\}^{1/2},
$$

where $\eta$ is the ratio of the energy of both the protons and electrons to the energy of the electrons ($\eta = 1$ for a leptonic jet, $\eta = 1836$ for a hadronic jet) and $D_L$ is the luminosity distance. The errors $\sigma_{S_{\text{SSA}}}$ and $\sigma_{\nu_c}$ for $B_{\text{SSA}}$ and $B_{\text{EQ}}$ are evaluated with standard error propagation as

$$
\left[ \frac{\sigma_{S_{\text{SSA}}}}{B_{\text{SSA}}} \right] = \sqrt{\left[ \frac{\sigma_{S_m}}{S_m \nu_c} \right]^2 + \left[ \frac{5 \sigma_{\nu_c}}{\nu_c} \right]^2 + \left[ 4 \sigma_{d_m} \right]^2 + \left[ \frac{\sigma_{\delta}}{\delta} \right]^2},
$$

and

$$
\left[ \frac{\sigma_{\nu_c}}{B_{\text{EQ}}} \right] = \sqrt{\left[ 2 \sigma_{S_m} \right]^2 + \left[ \frac{\sigma_{S_m}}{S_m} \nu_c \right]^2 + \left[ \frac{6 \sigma_{d_m}}{d_m} \right]^2 + \left[ \frac{5 \sigma_{\delta}}{\delta} \right]^2},
$$

where $\sigma_{S_m}$, $\sigma_{\nu_c}$, $\sigma_{d_m}$, and $\sigma_{\delta}$ are the uncertainties of $S_m$, $\nu_c$, $d_m$, and $\delta$.}

Figure 6. A subset of the 43 GHz VLBA total intensity images of AO 0235 + 164 following the peak of the 2015 flare. All CLEAN maps were restored with a common beam of 0.36 $\times$ 0.15 mas and the beam major axis at a position angle of $-10^\circ$ (plotted in the lower-left corner). The contours start at 5 mJy per beam and incrementally increase by factors of 2. The images from each epoch are shifted in RA and Dec. to avoid overlap. The model-fitted components are overlaid on top of the CLEAN maps. The radio core (red) is the compact feature located near the intensity peaks. Jet components are plotted in $\odot$ symbols with different colours used to identify different components. The J5 and J6 components studied in Section 3.4 are plotted in green and purple, respectively.

Figure 7. The separation between the newly ejected jet component J5 (associated with the 2015 multiwavelength flare) and the radio core. This component was ejected from the 43 GHz core on MJD 57246.2630 (plotted in blue) with a proper motion of $\mu = 0.230 \pm 0.019$ mas yr$^{-1}$ (plotted in red).

Figure 8. The decay of the total flux of J5. Fitting an exponential decay resulted in a variability time-scale of $\tau_{\text{var}} = 0.76^{+0.14}_{-0.10}$ yr.
Table 2. Jet parameters of AO 0235 + 164 derived from the jet components J5 and J6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>( T_0 ) (MJD)</th>
<th>( \mu ) (mas yr(^{-1}))</th>
<th>PA (deg)</th>
<th>( \beta_{\text{app}} ) (c)</th>
<th>( \gamma_{\text{var}} ) (yr)</th>
<th>( \delta_{\text{var}} ) &gt; 0.01 (mas)</th>
<th>( \delta_{\text{var}} ) (mas)</th>
<th>( \Gamma )</th>
<th>( \theta_\perp ) (deg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J5</td>
<td>57246(^{+26}_{-30})</td>
<td>0.230 ± 0.019</td>
<td>57.8 ± 1.7</td>
<td>11.8(^{+0.96}_{-0.97})</td>
<td>0.76(^{+0.14}_{-0.10})</td>
<td>0.234 ± 0.059</td>
<td>28.5 ± 8.4</td>
<td>16.8(^{+3.6}_{-3.3})</td>
<td>1.42(^{+1.07}_{-1.52})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J6</td>
<td>56985(^{+203}_{-388})</td>
<td>0.073 ± 0.023</td>
<td>50.7 ± 1.4</td>
<td>3.74(^{+1.20}_{-1.18})</td>
<td>0.87(^{+0.22}_{-0.22})</td>
<td>0.330 ± 0.097</td>
<td>35.0 ± 13.6</td>
<td>17.8(^{+6.6}_{-6.8})</td>
<td>0.36(^{+0.64}_{-0.20})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Figure 9. Plot of the calculated \( B_{\text{SSA}} \) and \( B_{\text{EQ}} \) with time. \( B_{\text{SSA}} \) is plotted as a red square, and \( B_{\text{EQ}} \) is plotted as a blue circle. Upper/lower limits are represented by upper/lower triangles respectively. In this plot, we assumed \( b(\alpha) = 3.8 \) and \( \eta = 100 \).

To ensure that the spectra from the multiwavelength data of single-dish and compact array observations in Section 3.3 represent the radio core, we limit the calculation to VLBI observations where the model-fitted core flux is at least nine times greater than the sum of all other components. We adopt the value of \( \delta = \delta_{\text{var}} = 28.5 \pm 8.4 \) from Section 3.4 and \( k = 0.8 \pm 0.1 \) from Kutkin et al. (2018). For VLBI observations where the core is unresolved, we use the calculated minimum resolvable size for the major and minor axes to place limits on \( B_{\text{SSA}} \) and \( B_{\text{EQ}} \). The results are presented in Fig. 9 and summarized in Table 3 along with the values of \( S_\alpha \), \( \nu_c \), and \( d_m \). We note that the uncertainties derived for \( B_{\text{SSA}} \) and \( B_{\text{EQ}} \) do not account for uncertainties due to \( b(\alpha) \), \( \eta \), \( \gamma \), and \( D_c \). We find that among the VLBI observations that satisfy the core-dominance criteria, the core was resolved in only one epoch (MJD 57288) with values of \( B_{\text{SSA}} \approx 15.3(b(\alpha)/3.8) \) mG and \( B_{\text{EQ}} \approx 43.6(\eta/100)^{2/3} \) mG. In all other epochs, the core was found to be unresolved, leading to upper limits on \( B_{\text{SSA}} \) and lower limits on \( B_{\text{EQ}} \).

4 DISCUSSION

4.1 Equipartition of the SSA region

A number of previous papers (Lee et al. 2017, 2020; Algaba et al. 2018b; Kang et al. 2021; Kim et al. 2022a) estimated both \( B_{\text{EQ}} \) and \( B_{\text{SSA}} \) for various sources using similar methods as those used in this paper. They find \( B_{\text{EQ}} \) values in the range of 0.93–1150 mG and \( B_{\text{SSA}} \) values in the range of 0.01–135 mG. In all previous cases, the authors found that \( B_{\text{EQ}} \gg B_{\text{SSA}} \), implying that the SSA region in blazars significantly deviates from equipartition. This is in contrast with the results of this paper where the single epoch measurement of the magnetic field strengths implies that the SSA region of AO 0235 + 164 during the 2015 flare was approximately in an equipartition state.

We briefly consider the effect of some of the assumptions used when deriving the magnetic field strengths in Section 3.5. In calculating \( B_{\text{SSA}} \), we have taken \( b(\alpha) = 3.8 \) (or \( \alpha = 1 \), for \( S(\nu) \propto \nu^{-\alpha} \)) as a benchmark value. The relatively low cadence of the high-frequency (228, 343 GHz) light curves combined with the highly variable nature of AO 0235 + 164 makes it difficult to concretely track the variation of \( \alpha \). The value of \( b(\alpha) \) varies from 3.2 to 3.8 for \( \alpha \) of 0.5 to 1.0. The mean spectral index between 228 and 343 GHz during the decay of the 2015 flare is \( \approx 0.7 \), and the change of \( B_{\text{SSA}} \) due to \( b(\alpha) \) is less than the uncertainties due to other observables. The values of \( B_{\text{EQ}} \) may vary by a factor of \( \approx 0.27 \) (for a fully leptonic jet) to \( \approx 2.3 \) (for a fully hadronic jet) depending on the composition of the jet. Modelling of the broad-band spectral energy distribution (SED) of AO 0235 + 164 suggests a moderately hadronic jet (e.g. Ackermann et al. 2012) as was considered in our analysis.

Another method of cross-checking our magnetic field strength estimates is to derive the so-called time-lag core shift (Kudryavtseva et al. 2011) using the results from Section 3.1 and Section 3.4. The
time-lag core shift between two frequencies $v_h$ and $v_l$ is defined as

$$\left[ \Omega_{vl} \right] \equiv \frac{4.85 \times 10^{-3}}{(1+z)^2} \left[ \frac{\mu}{1 \text{ mas yr}^{-1}} \right] \left( \frac{\Delta t}{1 \text{ yr}} \right) \times \left[ \frac{D_{1/2}}{1 \text{ Mpc}} \right] \left( \frac{v_h/v_l}{1/v_h - 1/v_l} \right).$$

This is a modification of the core shift from the form in Lobanov (1998) by using the proper motion $\mu$ and the observed time lag $\Delta t$ to estimate the angular separation between the radio cores at two different frequencies. The parameter $k_t = [(3 + 2ax_m) + 2n - 2]/(5 + 2a_n)$, where $m$ and $n$ represent the power-law dependence of the magnetic field ($B(r) \propto r^{-m}$) and the electron number density ($N(r) \propto r^{-n}$) as a function of distance $r$ from the jet base. $\alpha$ is the optically thin spectral index. With an estimate of the core shift, we can define a relation for the distance of the radio core from the jet base for a given frequency $v$: $r_{\text{core}}(v) = \frac{\Omega_{vl}}{\Omega_{vl}} v^{-1/k_t}$. From our DFC time lags (Section 3.1), a proper motion of $\mu \approx 0.23$ mas yr$^{-1}$ and viewing angle of $\theta_v \approx 1.42$ deg (Section 3.4), we find that all frequency pairs considered consistently indicate that the 15 GHz core is located at approximately 6 pc from the jet base (see Table 4). The 37–343 GHz cores are found to be in the range of 3–4.9 pc from the jet base.

Assuming equipartition, the magnetic field strength at 1 pc from the jet base may be estimated as (Hirotani et al. 2005; Karamanavis et al. 2016)

$$B_{1 \text{ pc}} = 14 \left[ \frac{\Omega_{vl}}{\Omega_{vl}} (1+z)^2 \ln(y_{\text{max}}/y_{\text{min}}) \right]^{0.25} \frac{[\text{mG}]}{\delta \psi \sin \psi \sin \phi}.$$  

(12)

where $y_{\text{min}}/y_{\text{max}}$ are the minimum/maximum Lorentz factors and $\phi$ is the intrinsic jet half-opening angle. The magnetic field strength at the radio core for a given frequency may also be found from (Karamanavis et al. 2016)

$$B_{\text{core}}(v) = B_{1 \text{ pc}} r_{\text{core}}^{-1}(v).$$

(13)

Adopting the same jet parameters as in Section 3.5 and taking $\phi \approx 1$ deg from Kutkin et al. (2018) and $\ln(y_{\text{max}}/y_{\text{min}}) \approx 10$, we find that all frequency pairs consistently imply $B_{1 \text{ pc}} \approx 0.2$ G and $B_{\text{core}}(15 \text{ GHz}) \approx 33$ mG. Evaluating equation (13) at the turnover frequency of $v_c = 23$ GHz for the epoch of MJD 57288, we find $B_{\text{core}}(23 \text{ GHz}) \approx 47$ mG. This is consistent with both $B_{\text{EQ}}$ and $B_{\text{SSA}}$ found in Section 3.5 for the same epoch.

We may define an ‘equipartitionize’ by equating $B_{\text{SSA}}$ and $B_{\text{EQ}}$. From equations (6) and (8), we find

$$\left[ \frac{d_{\text{SSA}}}{\text{max}} \right] \approx 1.94 \left( \frac{n}{\delta(a)} \frac{b}{\alpha} \frac{(1+z)^4}{\Omega_{vl}} \frac{100 \text{ Mpc}}{D_h} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{1 \text{ GHz}}{v_c} \right) \left( \frac{S_m}{1 \text{ Jy}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$  

(14)

The uncertainties are evaluated using error propagation,

$$\frac{d_{\text{SSA}}}{d_{\text{max}}} = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{\alpha}}{v_c} + \frac{8 \sigma_{\eta}}{17 \xi_M} + \frac{\sigma_{\Omega}}{178}}.$$  

(15)

We evaluate the above equations for our core-dominated epochs and compare them to the VLBI-derived SSA region sizes $d_{\text{SSA,obs}}$. The results are presented in Fig. 10. We find that $d_{\text{SSA}}$ varies between 0.03 and 0.3 mas during our period of interest. We also see that the observed upper limits on $d_{\text{max,obs}}$ are consistent with the values of $d_{\text{max}}$.

### 4.2 Shock-in-jet interpretation of the 2015 flare

As shown in Section 3.3, the evolution of $S_{\text{max}}$ and $v_c$ may be decomposed into different stages, starting with a factor of $\sim 2$ rise of $S_{\text{max}}$ at roughly constant $v_c$, followed by an $\sim 50$ per cent reduction of $v_c$ with less variation of $S_{\text{max}}$ (remaining within $\sim 10$ per cent of $4$ Jy), then finally a decrease of $S_{\text{max}}$ by $\sim 50$ per cent at an approximately constant $v_c$. This seems to imply that the flare may be interpreted according to the shock-in-jet model (Marscher & Gear 1985) with the three stages each corresponding to the Compton, synchrotron, and adiabatic stage, respectively. We find similar trends for the 2018 flare; however, the larger uncertainties complicate the identification of the three stages. Within the shock-in-jet scenario, we may interpret the newly ejected jet component J5 (and to a lesser certainty, J6) found in the 43 GHz VLBA maps (Section 3.4) to be the propagating relativistic shock that produced the 2015 flare. It should be noted that the exact multiwavelength characteristics of radio flares predicted by the shock-in-jet scenario depends greatly on the physical parameters of the jet, such as the energy distribution of the synchrotron-emitting electrons, and the change of the magnetic field strength, particle density, and Doppler factor with distance from the jet base (e.g. Fromm et al. 2011; Fromm, Fuhrmann & Perucko 2015). It may be that the Compton stage extends to MJD 57248, with $S_{\text{max}}$ peaking at 4.4 Jy and a marginal decrease of $v_c$ to 35 GHz. The synchrotron stage then may result in a decrease of $S_{\text{max}}$ to 3.7 Jy and a decrease of $v_c$ to 25 GHz, followed by the adiabatic stage starting at MJD 57270. Detailed modelling of the evolution of $S_{\text{max}}$ and $v_c$, or of the multiwavelength radio light curves themselves, may in turn allow for constraints on the jet parameters of AO 0235 + 164.

The distance $\Delta d$ between the radio and gamma-ray emission regions may be estimated as (Pushkarev, Kovalev & Lister 2010)

$$\Delta d = \frac{\beta v_c \tau_{\text{DCF}}}{(1+z) \sin \theta_v}.$$  

(16)

Using $\tau_{\text{DCF}}$ found from the gamma-ray and the 15 GHz data, we find that the gamma-ray emission region is located $\Delta d \approx 9.9 \pm 6.1$ pc upstream of the 15 GHz radio core. This implies that the gamma-rays were produced near the jet base. Nalewajko, Begelman & Sikora (2014) use multiple constraints to infer that the 2008 gamma-ray flare was produced at $\sim 1$ pc from the central engine while the 43 GHz VLBI core is at $\sim 6.7$ pc. This is consistent with what we find for the 2015 flare where we find the gamma-ray production region to be $\sim 6.5$ pc upstream of the 37 GHz core. The inferred deprojected distance between the 37 GHz core and the 43 GHz core is $<0.5$ pc, which is within the measurement uncertainties. From these distances and the radio-gamma-ray correlation, we can infer that the 2015 flare may have been caused by a relativistic shock propagating along the jet. Particle acceleration by this shock near the jet base may have produced the leading gamma-ray flare followed by the subsequent radio flares (with lower frequencies peaking later) as the shock propagates further downstream and interacts with the radio core. It should be noted that the uncertainty in the location of the gamma-ray flaring region is large, primarily due to the large uncertainty in the gamma-ray-to-radio time lag. Future high-cadence monitoring, as well as gamma-ray observations at increased sensitivity (enabling detection within smaller time bins), may help to better constrain the time delay between gamma-ray and radio light curves. In turn, better constraints in the time delay will enable better constraints in the gamma-ray emission region.

An alternative mechanism that may produce strong gamma-ray flares followed by delayed radio flares is magnetic reconnection (e.g. Petropoulou, Giannios & Sironi 2016). Emission models based
Table 4. Time-lag core shifts of AO 0235 + 164. In all cases, the lower frequency $v_l = 15 \text{ GHz}$. The errors of each parameter are calculated from the uncertainty in the time lag $\Delta t$. All uncertainties correspond to 1$\sigma$ confidence regions for the parameters. Systematic errors arising from the jet and cosmological parameters that are common to the various frequency pairs are not included in the calculations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$v_h$ (GHz)</th>
<th>$\Omega_{\text{eq}}$ (pc GHz$^{-0.8}$)</th>
<th>$r_{\text{core}}(v_l)$ (pc)</th>
<th>$r_{\text{core}}(v_h)$ (pc)</th>
<th>$B_{\text{tpc}}$ (mG)</th>
<th>$B_{\text{core}}(v_l)$ (mG)</th>
<th>$B_{\text{core}}(v_h)$ (mG)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>343</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.48</td>
<td>5.37 ± 2.22</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.18</td>
<td>181 ± 70</td>
<td>33.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>412 ± 10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>228</td>
<td>1.88 ± 0.78</td>
<td>8.67 ± 3.59</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.41</td>
<td>284 ± 110</td>
<td>32.7 ± 0.8</td>
<td>288 ± 7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>1.39 ± 0.68</td>
<td>6.45 ± 3.14</td>
<td>1.54 ± 0.75</td>
<td>215 ± 98</td>
<td>33.3 ± 1.0</td>
<td>140 ± 4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.44 ± 0.75</td>
<td>6.67 ± 3.50</td>
<td>3.24 ± 1.70</td>
<td>222 ± 109</td>
<td>33.2 ± 1.1</td>
<td>68.5 ± 2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. The columns correspond to: Column 1: the paired light-curve frequency in units of GHz. Column 2: the derived time-lag core shift. Column 3: the distance of the 15 GHz core from the jet base. Column 4: the distance of the $v_h$ core from the jet base. Column 5: the core shift magnetic field strength at 1 pc from the jet base. Column 6: the core shift magnetic field strength at the 15 GHz core. Column 7: the core shift magnetic field strength at the $v_h$ core.

Figure 10. A plot of the emission region size derived from VLBI observations ($d_{\text{em,VLBI}}$) and the equipartition assumption ($d_{\text{em,eq}}$). Upper limits on $d_{\text{em,VLBI}}$ are plotted with triangles. The errors on $d_{\text{em,VLBI}}$ represent 1$\sigma$ confidence regions. The 90-per cent and 99-per cent confidence regions of $d_{\text{em,eq}}$ are plotted as filled regions of darker and lighter green, respectively.

Figure 11. Plot of the 7 mm VLBI light curve. The core component is plotted as red dots while the sum of the remaining components is plotted as blue squares. The total VLBI flux is plotted as black diamonds.

4.3 Core dominance of the radio flux

AO 0235 + 164 is compact with minimal apparent structure extending past a couple mas from the centre. VLBI observations at cm wavelengths find the flux of AO 0235 + 164 to be core dominated (e.g. Lister et al. 2016; Kutkin et al. 2018). On the other hand, multi-epoch mm-VLBI observations are able to resolve the sub-mas jet of this source and find that for certain epochs, the jet components account for a considerable fraction of the total flux density (e.g. Piner et al. 2006; Jorstad et al. 2017). We find this to be the case during our time period of interest. As shown in Fig. 11, the model fits to the 43 GHz VLBA data suggest that the combined flux for the extended structures may account for up to half of the total flux in some epochs. However, we note that from the time period of the 2015 flare until the jet component is resolved from the core in 2016, the total contribution of any external components is only a few per cent. Therefore, we consider the multiwavelength total flux measurements to be good representations of the radio core flux during this period.

5 CONCLUSION

In this paper, we investigated the multiwavelength flaring activity of AO 0235 + 164 during its recent active period from 2013 to 2019. From a DCF time-lag analysis, we find that the radio and gamma-ray light curves are correlated with a significance of $2\sigma$ or greater,
which has been previously demonstrated for this source (e.g. Agudo et al. 2011; Max-Moerbeck et al. 2014a). We also find that variability at lower frequencies is delayed with respect to variability at higher frequencies, implying that the radio variability of AO 0235 + 164 is affected by the opacity of the radio core (which is responsible for most of the radio flux variability). This is consistent with the results of Fuhrmann et al. (2014) who performed a cross-correlation analysis of a sample of blazars (including AO 0235 + 164) that are bright in the Fermi-LAT band. They also found a trend of increasing lags toward lower frequencies with source frame time delays on the order of days—tens of days. We identify a newly ejected superluminal ($\beta_{app} \approx 12$ c) jet component associated with the 2015 flare. Tracking the time evolution of this jet component, we find $\delta_v = 29$, $\gamma = 17$, and $\theta_v = 1.4$ deg. We evaluated the SSA spectrum from quasi-simultaneous radio spectra constructed with GPR, finding the turnover frequency $v_c$ to vary between 10 and 70 GHz and the peak flux density $S_{\text{peak}}$ to vary between 0.7 and 4 Jy. The time-evolution trends in the $S_{\text{peak}}-v_c$ parameter space could be consistent with a shock-in-jet origin of the flaring activity. We evaluated $B_{\text{SSA}}$ and $B_{\text{BGG}}$, finding upper limits on $B_{\text{SSA}}$ in the range of 4–1020 mG and lower limits on $B_{\text{BGG}}$ in the range of 24–105 mG. A single measurement of $B_{\text{SSA}} = 15.3^{+12.6}_{-14.0}$ mG and $B_{\text{BGG}} = 43.6^{+10.2}_{-10.0}$ mG was obtained close to the peak of the 2015 radio flare when the radio core was resolved in 43 GHz VLBI data. From additional investigation of the time-lag core shifts and the equipartition size, we find that the SSA region of AO 0235 + 164 is consistent with the equipartition assumption. We infer that the SSA region was approximately 1–10 pc downstream of the jet base during the 2015 flare while the gamma-rays were produced close to the jet base.
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