



This is an electronic reprint of the original article. This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Laukkanen, Pekka; Punkkinen, Marko; Kuzmin, Mikhail; Kokko, Kalevi; Liu, Xiaolong; Radfar, Behrad; Vähänissi, Ville; Savin, Hele; Tukiainen, Antti; Hakkarainen, Teemu; Viheriälä, Jukka; Guina, Mircea

Bridging the gap between surface physics and photonics

Published in: Reports on Progress in Physics

DOI: 10.1088/1361-6633/ad2ac9

Published: 01/04/2024

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published under the following license: CC BY

Please cite the original version:

Laukkanen, P., Punkkinen, M., Kuzmin, M., Kokko, K., Liu, X., Radfar, B., Vähänissi, V., Savin, H., Tukiainen, A., Hakkarainen, T., Viheriälä, J., & Guina, M. (2024). Bridging the gap between surface physics and photonics. *Reports on Progress in Physics*, *87*(4), Article 044501. https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6633/ad2ac9

This material is protected by copyright and other intellectual property rights, and duplication or sale of all or part of any of the repository collections is not permitted, except that material may be duplicated by you for your research use or educational purposes in electronic or print form. You must obtain permission for any other use. Electronic or print copies may not be offered, whether for sale or otherwise to anyone who is not an authorised user.

### Reports on Progress in Physics

#### **REPORT ON PROGRESS • OPEN ACCESS**

# Bridging the gap between surface physics and photonics

To cite this article: Pekka Laukkanen et al 2024 Rep. Prog. Phys. 87 044501

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

#### You may also like

- <u>Areas under peripheral pulse waves: a</u> <u>potential marker of atherosclerotic</u> <u>changes</u> <u>Mikko Peltokangas, Jarmo Verho, Ville M</u> <u>Martila et al</u>
- Onset of action of inhaled glucocorticoids on bronchial and alveolar nitric oxide output Tuomas Karvonen, Anna Sepponen-Lavikko, Kati Holm et al.
- <u>Electroweak physics, experimental</u> <u>aspects</u> Klaus Mönig

#### **Report on Progress**

# Bridging the gap between surface physics and photonics

Pekka Laukkanen<sup>1,\*</sup>, Marko Punkkinen<sup>1</sup>, Mikhail Kuzmin<sup>1</sup>, Kalevi Kokko<sup>1</sup>, Xiaolong Liu<sup>2</sup>, Behrad Radfar<sup>2</sup>, Ville Vähänissi<sup>2</sup>, Hele Savin<sup>2</sup>, Antti Tukiainen<sup>3</sup>, Teemu Hakkarainen<sup>3</sup>, Jukka Viheriälä<sup>3</sup> and Mircea Guina<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Turku, Turku, Finland

<sup>2</sup> Department of Electronics and Nanoengineering, Aalto University, Espoo, Finland

<sup>3</sup> Optoelectronics Research Centre, Tampere University, Tampere, Finland

E-mail: pekka.laukkanen@utu.fi

Received 18 August 2023, revised 26 December 2023 Accepted for publication 19 February 2024 Published 6 March 2024

Corresponding editor: Dr Masud Mansuripur

#### Abstract

Use and performance criteria of photonic devices increase in various application areas such as information and communication, lighting, and photovoltaics. In many current and future photonic devices, surfaces of a semiconductor crystal are a weak part causing significant photo-electric losses and malfunctions in applications. These surface challenges, many of which arise from material defects at semiconductor surfaces, include signal attenuation in waveguides, light absorption in light emitting diodes, non-radiative recombination of carriers in solar cells, leakage (dark) current of photodiodes, and light reflection at solar cell interfaces for instance. To reduce harmful surface effects, the optical and electrical passivation of devices has been developed for several decades, especially with the methods of semiconductor technology. Because atomic scale control and knowledge of surface-related phenomena have become relevant to increase the performance of different devices, it might be useful to enhance the bridging of surface physics to photonics. Toward that target, we review some evolving research subjects with open questions and possible solutions, which hopefully provide example connecting points between photonic device passivation and surface physics. One question is related to the properties of the wet chemically cleaned semiconductor surfaces which are typically utilized in device manufacturing processes, but which appear to be different from crystalline surfaces studied in ultrahigh vacuum by physicists. In devices, a defective semiconductor surface often lies at an embedded interface formed by a thin metal or insulator film grown on the semiconductor crystal, which makes the measurements of its atomic and electronic structures difficult. To understand these interface properties, it is essential to combine quantum mechanical simulation methods. This review also covers metal-semiconductor

\* Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.

Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.



Report on Progress

interfaces which are included in most photonic devices to transmit electric carriers to the semiconductor structure. Low-resistive and passivated contacts with an ultrathin tunneling barrier are an emergent solution to control electrical losses in photonic devices.

Keywords: carrier recombination, antireflection coating, interface defect, atomic and electronic structure, wet chemical treatment, surface oxidation, metal contact

#### 1. Introduction

Russel Ohl investigated photoconductivity changes in silicon at Bell Labs in the 1940s [1], which can be considered as a starting point for the research and development of semiconductor photodetectors. In 1954, Daryl Chapin, Calvin Fuller and Gerald Pearson demonstrated the first silicon solar cell [2, 3]. They also described three challenges to improve the solar cell performance: (i) large light reflection from the surface, (ii) recombination of electric carriers generated by photons absorbed in the material, and (iii) difficulty to prepare reliable and low-resistance metal contacts to silicon. Since then, scientists and engineers have developed these device properties step by step to meet the ever-increasing need for durable highefficiency and low-cost Si solar cells. These targets provide a guideline also for this review which strives to strengthen connection between surface physics and photonics, providing a complementary approach to tackle semiconductor surfaceinduced challenges.

Alongside of huge development of Si microelectronics during the last 80 years [4, 5], various photonic devices based on Si have been also developed, providing synergy between electronics and photonics. For example, silicon photonic circuits are an emergent system studied intensively for different data transfer applications [6–8]. In addition to Si, III–V compound semiconductors such as GaAs, GaN, and InP form the second mature material system of industrial photonic devices. The III–V crystals are nowadays used, particularly, in the devices where the photo-electrical performance of Si does not meet industrial criteria. These devices include for example the light emitting diodes, laser diodes, and infrared photodiodes [9–13].

There are different criteria for optoelectronic devices depending on the applications where a device is used. Such requirements include energy efficiency, high signal sensitivity and speed, intensive light output, and durable operation for instance. Furthermore, from the viewpoint of industrial manufacturing of the devices, available methods should enable scalable, low-cost, and energy-efficient fabrication with a high yield of the fabricated devices in sustainable manner. Thus, the resulting performance of industrial photonic devices is typically a compromise of various factors.

It has been known for a long time that surface areas of semiconductor crystals are a weak part of many photonic devices. The list of solar-cell challenges, reported by Chapin, Fuller and Pearson in 1954 [2, 3], included also different Si-surface induced challenges. Two main functions of semiconductor surfaces are to guide light and electricity in devices. A semiconductor surface in devices is not typically visible or directly in contact with air. In contrast, a semiconductor surface is often hidden below a thin film(s) and hermetic packaging material; i.e. a semiconductor surface lies at an embedded interface between a semiconductor crystal and insulator or metal (figure 1). Still semiconductor surface areas have interacted with various chemical environments during the device manufacturing processes before a final encapsulation. These interactions have changed the semiconductor surface properties, which finally causes different loss mechanisms in the devices.

Thus, the surface passivation, which means decreasing of surface-related losses in broad sense, has been investigated intensively from the perspectives of both electronic and photonic devices, in particular, for the industrial semiconductors of group-IV (Si, Ge) and III–V's [e.g. books 14–17]. Indeed this great background knowledge has enabled also photonic device manufacturers to decrease losses and malfunctions.

However, the current and also future photonic devices still suffer from semiconductor surface properties. One reason for the claim is a strong reactivity of most semiconductor surfaces then with environment like with air, chemical solutions, gases in film growth, or metal elements. It is very difficult or impossible to avoid interactions in practice during device manufacturing, leading to the formation of an embedded interface layer between a semiconductor crystal and a thin film. A thickness of the reacted layer is readily larger than 1 nm; i.e. thicker than six atomic layers.

Furthermore, the chemical and structural properties of a reacted interface zone are different from the relatively wellknown properties of the bulk materials, which causes changes in the interface electronic structure and finally in devices' photo-electrical performance. The interface changes include the formation of point defects and defect-induced electronic levels or states in the device. To give an example estimation for defect densities, let us consider that an inherent background impurity or defect concentration in a high-quality bulk semiconductor crystal is in the range of  $1.10^{10} - 1.10^{14}$ defects per cm<sup>3</sup>, which means a planar defect concentration of  $5 \cdot 10^6 - 2 \cdot 10^9$  defects per cm<sup>2</sup>. For practical semiconductor interfaces, a defect density  $(D_{it})$  is typically much higher than 1.10<sup>11</sup> defects per cm<sup>2</sup>. The meaning of semiconductor interfaces can be expected to increase further when size or thickness of the functional semiconductor crystals deceases.

To contribute in this technological area, we would encourage the scientists and engineers to consider a complementary edge for approaching the surface challenges, and to enhance collaboration between the communities of photonic devices and surface physics. These two areas have developed rather



**Figure 1.** Examples of photonic device structures. (a) Silicon solar cell, so-called passivated emitter and rear cell (PERC) which includes oxide-silicon and metal-silicon interfaces. Reprinted from Green [45]. Copyright 2015 With permission from Elsevier. (b) Silicon photonic circuit with Si waveguide and some components: Ge photodiode and Si p–n junction modulator. Reproduced from Silicon photonic circuit with Si waveguide and some components: Ge photodiode and Si p–n junction modulator. Figure is taken from Siew *et al* [7]. CC BY 4.0. (c) III–V compound semiconductor laser diode with two mirrors one of which is coated by Au/Si/SiO<sub>2</sub> reflector. Reprinted from Guan *et al* [46]. Copyright 2016. With permission from Elsevier. (d) Micro-LED of III–V nitrides with sidewall passivation film of SiO<sub>2</sub>. Reproduced from Wong *et al* [47]. CC BY 4.0.

separately and from different perspectives. Indeed excellent review articles have been previously published about the both subjects: e.g. [18–33] for the semiconductor passivation and [34–44] for the semiconductor surface science.

The surface passivation of devices has been developed mainly with the methods available in semiconductor technology while surface physicists have typically used ultrahigh vacuum (UHV) instruments to refine a well-ordered crystal surface, so-called model system of vacuum-semiconductor interface in chemically inert, non-reactive environment of UHV [e.g. books 48-50]. In contrast, passivated device surfaces often form a solid-solid interface at which the chemical and physical properties of a semiconductor surface have significantly changed due to the surface reactions with other elements, as compared to the clean and crystalline surface. This might lead to confusions if expectations in technology are based on the knowledge obtained from clean and crystalline surfaces in UHV. In other words, the translation of knowledge from surface physics to industry is not necessarily straightforward.

Despite these differences, there are also connecting research items and questions some of which we attempt to review here. Before that, in section 2 we summarize common harmful effects of semiconductor surfaces on optical and electrical behavior of the photonic devices, and in section 3 some fundamental properties of Si, Ge and III–V surfaces are presented. Then we review eight selected topics which hopefully provide a useful platform to share information and to foster productive dialogue between the communities.

The first topic is related to properties of a wet chemically cleaned semiconductor, which can be rather different from the properties of a well-ordered crystal surface in UHV but which are very crucial to the device manufacturing where semiconductor surfaces are cleaned in different chemical solutions several times at various stages of a manufacturing process flow. The second subject is related to the question if it possible to avoid a significant incorporation of oxygen and carbon impurities at the practical semiconductor surfaces. Furthermore, it is often possible to conclude that surface areas cause optical and electrical losses in device operations, which further implies the presence of defect-induced electron levels in the semiconductor band gap. However the questions like which defects cause the gap levels, and from what they originate, are typically much more challenging to answer but are relevant to the efforts to avoid the formation of defectinduced levels in a controlled way. These issues are behind the third and fourth subjects reviewed. The fifth one is related to metal contacts (i.e. metal-semiconductor interfaces) to transmit the electrical current into functional semiconductor parts. There are two main criteria for the passivated contacts: low resistivity and low recombination (or generation) of electric carriers. Then, the surface passivation of semiconductor nanocrystals is considered, and surface challenges of an emergent industrial material, SiC are presented. Finally, surface properties of novel two-dimensional semiconductors are shortly summarized.

### 2. Effects of semiconductor surfaces on photonic device operations

Semiconductor surfaces, which often lie at the embedded interfaces beneath a thin insulator or metal film, participate in the transmission of light and electricity in the photonic devices. Some general interface challenges, which make the semiconductor surfaces as a weak part of many devices are summarized in this section to motivate the review of specific surface properties and selected subjects in the subsequent sections.

Before these challenges, we present a summary of Si solar cell processing steps to give an example of various treatments, environments, and materials used in practice. The fabrication process of solar cells involves a series of steps, and their details can vary significantly depending on the Si wafer doping and the solar cell structure. The predominant solar cell type in the current industry is passivated emitter and rear cell (PERC). Its structure is presented in figure 1(a), and its processing consists of the following main steps: 1. Substrate preparation: A highquality Czochralski grown or float-zone silicon ingot with high purity (>99.9999) is diced into wafers using a diamond-coated wire, resulting in surface saw damage and debris. The saw damage can be chemically removed using etching solutions such as Piranha. 2. Front surface texturing: To reduce reflection from the flat surface, as discussed in section 2.1, the substrate is textured using an acidic solution like HF/HNO<sub>3</sub> or an alkaline solution like KOH or NaOH, depending on the substrate's crystallinity. Alternative texturing methods include laser texturing, plasma etching, and metal-assisted chemical etching. 3. Formation of p-n junction: the p-n junction is created by introducing the dopants via ion implantation or diffusion at the front surface. In the more common technique of diffusion, wafers are exposed to gas precursors of dopants, either boron or phosphorous. This process is followed by a drive-in annealing process to activate dopants and form a highly doped and crystalline region known as the emitter. 4. Anti-reflection coating (ARC) deposition: Another technique discussed in section 2.1 is the deposition of ARC to further reduce reflection resulted from the difference in refractive indexes of substrate and air at the front surface. The main method for ARC deposition is the plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD) technique. The selection of ARC material and its thickness are optimized for specific wavelength and substrate material. The commonly used ARC is a Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> film which, with an 80 nm thickness, results in almost 0% reflection at 550 nm. 5. Rear surface electrical passivation: The rear surface is passivated using a dielectric material to reduce recombination losses. The common passivating materials are SiO<sub>2</sub> and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films. <u>6. Laser ablation</u>: A laser is employed to create line openings in the ARC layer on the front surface and localized openings on the rear to allow metal contact deposition on the emitter and base sides, respectively. <u>7. Metallization</u>: The final step is the metallization of front and rear contacts, which can be done using a wide range of materials and techniques. The common method in the industry is screen printing of silver on the front surface and aluminum on the rear surface. This step is followed by a high-temperature firing to form an ohmic contact. Moreover, there are several chemical cleaning steps of surfaces between the aforementioned steps, typically involving so-called standard cleaning 1 and 2 (also known as RCA 1 and 2), which consist of NH<sub>3</sub> and HCl, respectively.

#### 2.1. Reduced light transmission into solar cells and photodiodes

When light from the ambient environment interacts with the semiconductor, it may be either reflected or transmitted into the semiconductor. The refractive index is a crucial factor that affects the behavior of light at the interface between two media. For dielectric material with negligible magnetic interactions, the refractive index, *n*, is related to the dielectric constant (or permittivity),  $\varepsilon$ , of the material with the relation  $n = \varepsilon^{1/2}$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is a function of wavelength. In the case of a semiconductor material, such as silicon, the refractive index is significantly higher than that of air or other ambient environments due to higher permittivity [51].

The behavior of light at the interface between two media with different refractive indices is governed by the Fresnel equations, which describe the amount of light that is reflected and transmitted as a function of the angle of incidence and the refractive indices of the two media [51]. The high refractive index difference between semiconductor and air causes a considerable amount of light to reflect back from the surface of the semiconductor, reducing the efficiency of the device.

To reduce reflection for solar cells and photodiodes, antireflection coatings (ARCs) [52–54] and surface texturing techniques [55–61] are designed. By carefully engineering the refractive index of the surface, the reflection can be minimized, allowing more light, particularly in the wavelength range of interest, to pass into the semiconductor material and be absorbed, resulting in higher device performance and new applications.

ARCs consist of dielectric thin films with specific thickness that exploit the interference effects of light [52, 53]. However, a single-layer ARC can only provide nearly zero reflection at a single wavelength and incidence angle. As illustrated in figures 2(a)-(c) [54], increasing the number of layers in the ARCs can lead to achieving almost complete reflection suppression over a wide range of wavelengths, but this also entails a rise in the intricacy of both the design and manufacturing processes (such as figure 2(a)).

Surface texturing is the process of modifying the surface of materials to create specific structures, which can reduce reflection across a broad range of wavelengths. Surface texturing can be achieved by several methods, the choice of which depends



**Figure 2.** Examples of ARCs and surface texturing techniques. (a) Schematic diagram of the preparatory process of five-layer gradient refractive index broadband ARCs on a glass substrate and the corresponding (b) transmittance and (c) reflectance spectra with different layers. Reprinted from Wu *et al* [54]. Copyright 2022. With permission from Elsevier. (d) Cross-sectional view of silicon nanotips (SiNTs). (e) Comparison of the specular reflectance from planar Si wafer (solid line) and SiNTs (symbols) for nanotip lengths (L) 1.6  $\mu$ m (green), 5.5  $\mu$ m (blue) and 16  $\mu$ m (red). (f) The reflectance of flat Si wafer and the SiNTs ( $L = 1.6 \mu$ m) as a function of angle of incidence using s-and p-polarized light of 632.8 nm wavelength. Reproduced from Huang *et al* [59]. With permission from Springer Nature.

on the type of material, the desired texture, and the production volume [55–57]. The interaction between the incident light and the surface texture depends on the size of the surface features relative to the incident wavelength. If the size of the surface features is much smaller than the incident wavelength, the surface appears optically smooth for the incident wavelength. However, if the size of the surface features is comparable to the incident wavelength, the surface appears rough, and the reflection is reduced by graded refractive index originated from the graded density profile between the air and the surface. As the size of the surface features are larger than the wavelength, the incident light can be trapped with increased optical path lengths during the multiple reflections between surface textures. Surface texturing also increases the acceptance angles for the incident light to semiconductors, as illustrated in figures 2(d)-(f) [59], which has resulted in a high performance Si-based solar cell [60] and photodiode [61]. In practical applications, minimizing the reflection is often achieved by a combination of surface texturing and ARCs that simultaneously act as surface passivation layers.

An emerging technique of light trapping is the use of plasmonic structures [52, 62–65]. These structures can trap and confine light through the plasmonic resonance effect, which arises from the interaction between the incident light and the free electrons in the metallic/non-metallic nanoparticles or nanoholes. Plasmonic structures can provide broadband and angle-independent light trapping [62–64], making them an attractive option for enhancing the performance of solar cells and photodiodes. Furthermore, plasmonic structures can be integrated with surface texturing and ARCs to create metamaterials with unique optical properties that cannot be achieved with conventional materials [65].

In addition to reducing reflection, spectral converters are another approach used to enhance light absorption in various applications. These converters can absorb light at one wavelength and emit it at another, allowing for better matching of the incident light to the absorption properties of the material. Luminescent downshifters [66] and upconverters [67] are examples of spectral converters that have been widely used to extend the absorption spectrum.



**Figure 3.** Infrared light absorption in Si waveguide at the SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si interfaces via defect-induced electron levels in the Si band gap. The signal is further absorbed by electric carriers: electrons in the conduction band and holes in valence band. In figure 1(b), Si waveguide is presented as a part of circuit. Reproduced from Grillanda and Morichetti [72]. CC BY 4.0.

#### 2.2. Light scattering in waveguides

Light waveguides (figure 3) consisting of SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si claddingcore structure on the silicon-on-insulator (SOI) wafers are used in low-loss optical transceivers for example [6-8, 68-73]. The SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si interface have a high refraction-index change which is beneficial for the waveguides based on the total internal reflection of light at the interfaces. Furthermore a clean Si crystal is transparent to the telecom wavelengths of 1.3 and 1.6  $\mu$ m. Obviously good synergy has been obtained here via the development of SOI technology in microelectronics because high crystal quality of Si core with a low density of impurities in the Si/SiO2/Si wafer has decreased the light transmission losses. Yet, interface areas of the Si waveguide stripes on SiO<sub>2</sub> cause the optical losses via different phenomena. One loss mechanism arises from the Si interface roughness. The signal attenuation coefficient (dB  $cm^{-1}$ ) is proportional to the square of roughness, specifically to the square of a standard deviation of the roughness [68, 69]. For lowloss waveguides, it is required very smooth waveguide sidewalls with the roughness less than 1 nm down to an atom layer thickness. Indeed, proper Si surface treatments such as the RCA-based wet chemical cleanining and oxidation of Si waveguide interfaces have been found to decrease the scattering loss [69, 70].

In addition, the SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si interfaces include point defects (e.g. broken dangling bond and impurities), which cause extra defect-induced electron levels in the Si band gap. The telecom infrared photons that are not able to excite the clean Si crystal through the direct electron transfer over the band gap can be now absorbed via the interface defect levels inside the gap (figure 3). This is one absorption way for the waveguide light. Another loss factor is related to the electrical carriers, electrons and holes. So-called free-carrier absorption is described



**Figure 4.** (a)–(c) *In-situ* thermographic images from 650 nm GaInP laser mirror when catastrophic optical mirror damage (COMD) is occurring (b) in interval of 2.3 ms before (a) and after (c) COMD. (d) Scanning electron image after COMD shows an extrusion. Reproduced from Ziegler *et al* [84]. With permission from Springer Nature. (e) and (f) Transmission electron microscopy images after two different cleanings of semiconductor facets in diode mirrors: hydrogen (e) and cleaving in ultrahigh vacuum (f), combined with ZnSe passivation film. Reprinted from Boschker *et al* [86]. CC BY 4.0.

with the Drude model of the electrical resistance where light is absorbed by the collective oscillation of the electric carriers along the light electric field, causing the resistive loss [71–74]. It is worth noting that the free carrier absorption, which is directly proportional to the density of the carriers, is relevant also to other photonic device components where high carrier concentrations appear, for example, in highly doped to n-type or p-type regions at metal/semiconductor contacts [73, 74].

#### 2.3. Laser mirror damages

Edge emitting laser diodes include both high and low reflective mirror structures fabricated on the cleaved semiconductor (110) sidewalls (figure 1(c)). These lasers suffer from the catastrophic optical mirror damages (COMDs) which limit the device lifetime [75–87]. When the temperature of a diode mirror increases locally (on area of micrometer scale) to a range of 100 °C–200 °C, the COMD phenomenon can occur, during which the mirror temperature increases rapidly even to 600 °C [83, 84]. That causes significant structural degradation leading to the laser malfunction (figure 4).

Reasons for the initial temperature increase at the laser mirrors have been investigated for long. It is widely accepted that defect-induced gap levels at the cleaved diode surfaces play a key role behind the electron-transfer processes that finally lead to the local temperature increase. The band gap defect levels increase carriers' non-radiative recombination which increases the semiconductor temperature. On the other hand, the defect levels increase an opposite electron transfer via thermal generation of the carriers to the conduction band. Furthermore, the gap levels and the free carriers increase the laser light absorption and the resistive heating of the device material. These different electron-transfer processes are expected to increase and sustain each other in the presence of light absorption.

Thus, decreasing a density of the band-gap defect levels at the semiconductor mirror facets is crucial to increase the lasers lifetime. This requires understanding of different III–V(110) surfaces (section 3) because the area of a laser waveguide typically includes a heterostructure of different III–V films including quantum wells. The mirror structure often includes a stack of insulator and/or metal films. Recently it has been shown that cleaving the diode mirror facets in UHV environment followed by *in-situ* deposition of ZnSe passivation layers before air exposure on the facets increases the device lifetime [86].

### 2.4. Trade-off between Ohmic and recombination losses at metal-semiconductor interfaces

Most photonic devices contain metal/semiconductor junctions to transmit the circuit current through a semiconductor part or out of it in the case of solar cells and photodiodes (figure 1). These interfaces have been traditionally categorized Ohmic or Schottky contact depending on how the semiconductor electronic bands bend near the interface. The band bending means the presence of an internal electric field (even without an external bias) inside the semiconductor, which originates from the electrons' transfer between a metal and semiconductor to align their Fermi levels according to the Volta contact potential rule. The band bending depends also on the doping type and is different for n-type and p-type crystals. However, at Ohmic interfaces, the band bending is such that semiconductor majority carriers accumulate close to the interface while at Schottky contacts, the majority carriers deplete, are repelled away from the interface [88–90].

Schottky contacts themselves have been utilized as a rectifier and a simple photodetector (e.g. in thermal imaging devices) [91–95] while Ohmic contacts are needed for many devices to transmit the electric current through a device p-n junction structure with a minimized resistance [88, 89, 96, 97]. Two parameters should be minimized in high-quality Ohmic contacts: contact resistivity and carrier recombination (or generation). Toward the first target, the n-type or ptype doping atom density needs to be maximized [98, 99], which on the other hand increases the harmful free-carrier absorption of light (section 2.2). The high doping typically increases also the density of point defects, which is further increased by interactions of metal atoms and a semiconductor. Therefore, the metal contact areas include an increased number of gap levels, which increase carriers' recombination. Thus in many photonic devices, the Ohmic contacts are a compromise between the efforts to increase the doping concentration and to decrease the density of defect levels. The third critical factor is durability of the Ohmic contacts. For example, degradation of the Ohmic contact of p-GaN decreases operation time of ultraviolet LED [100].

Furthermore, an additional factor increases the gap levels at metal contacts: metal-induced gap states (MIGS) which arises from extension of metal wavefunctions into a semiconductor



**Figure 5.** Formation of metal-induced gap states (MIGS) in a semiconductor band gap due to extension of electron wavefunctions from the metal. The interface includes also defect-induced electron levels (blue lines), for example, due to metal atom diffusion into the semiconductor. Reprinted from Robertson and Lin [96] Copyright 2011. With permission from Elsevier.

side as shown in figure 5 [96]. To reduce MIGS effects, ultrathin insulator barriers are investigated for passivating the device contacts, which we will return to in section 4.5.

### 2.5. Carrier recombination and generation at insulator-semiconductor interfaces

As presented above, insulator/semiconductor interfaces guide the light propagation in applications. These interfaces also participate in guiding electric current; blocking the current transport into wrong places. For example, the light absorption induced electric carriers should be guided efficiently to the metal contacts in solar cells and photodetectors (figure 1), while in the LED the current transport along the mesa sidewalls should be suppressed to increase the radiative recombination in high-quality bulk quantum wells. The defect levels at insulator/semiconductor interfaces change significantly the carrier recombination and generation [101–103].

Any defects or impurities at the interface can increase the recombination of electric carriers and deplete minority carriers near the surface. The surface recombination velocity  $(S_{\text{eff}})$  is often used to specify the recombination at a surface of photonic devices. It can be determined by measuring the effective minority carrier lifetime. Assuming the front and rear surfaces have same properties, the  $S_{\text{eff}}$  can be determined by

$$\frac{1}{\tau_{\rm eff}} = \frac{1}{\tau_{\rm bulk}} + \frac{2S_{\rm eff}}{W},\tag{1}$$

where  $\tau_{\text{eff}}$  is the effective carrier lifetime,  $\tau_{\text{bulk}}$  is the carrier lifetime in the bulk, and *W* is the wafer thickness. Surface passivation can substantially reduce surface recombination by reducing the recombination either by decreasing the defect level density (chemical passivation) and/or electrostatically repelling the electric carriers from the interface by an internal electric field (field-effect passivation). The former passivation is quantified as the interfacial defect density ( $D_{\text{it}}$ ) while the latter as the fixed charge density ( $Q_{\text{tot}}$ ). Recombination can take place either in bulk or at the surface. When surface lifetime limits the effective carrier lifetime compared to the bulk lifetime ( $\tau_{\text{bulk}} \gg \tau_{\text{surf}}$ ), the bulk lifetime can be assumed to be infinite ( $\tau_{\text{bulk}} \rightarrow \infty$ ) and an upper limit for the surface recombination velocity ( $S_{\text{eff,max}}$ ) can be estimated by

$$S_{\rm eff,max} \approx \frac{W}{2\tau_{\rm eff}}.$$
 (2)

Table 1 in appendix shows selected  $S_{eff,max}$  values for different semiconductor interfaces. The surging demand for photovoltaic devices has spurred significant advancements in surface passivation technology for Si. Notably, the tunnel oxide passivating contact (TOPCon) technology has yielded remarkable outcomes, achieving an exceptionally high carrier lifetime reaching 500 ms (table 1), which translates to  $S_{eff,max}$  dropping to less than 0.05 cm s<sup>-1</sup>. When integrated with industrial processes, these parameters indicate a significant improvement in the energy conversion efficiency of solar panels. Similarly, recent breakthroughs of  $S_{eff,max}$  as low as 1.3 cm s<sup>-1</sup> on n-type Ge through the optimization of SiO<sub>2</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> passivation layers (table 1) are promising for Ge applications.

In addition to the interface defects, insulator/semiconductor junctions include the band-gap levels also in the insulator side (figure 6), for example, so-called border traps at distances of 1 nm from the interface [104–111]. Thus, the carriers can be lost through the non-radiative recombination at various gap levels. These levels can also cause an additional current channel. Moreover, the gap level also increase the opposite carrier transfer, thermal excitations which cause an increased dark (leakage) current in photodetectors. In many electronic devices based on the metal–oxide–semiconductor stack, the gap levels increase the leakage current through the oxide film as well, which is another type of the leakage; different from the diode dark current. Section 4.4 further describes potential solutions to decrease harmful recombination and generation in devices.

### 3. Basics of clean and crystalline Si, Ge, and III–V surfaces

For many decades, semiconductor device technology has also stimulated the research of physicochemical properties of semiconductor surfaces, of which atomic scale understanding becomes more and more vital for industrial processes as well. Excellent books [e.g. 48–50, 112] and review articles [e.g. 34–44, 114, 115] are available for the surface science. In this section, we still summarize some fundamental properties of clean semiconductor surfaces, which might be helpful to review the subjects in section 4. For the beginning, we discuss practical methods to prepare a clean surface.

Because semiconductor surfaces typically react strongly with elements of environment, UHV conditions have been widely used to prepare and measure clean semiconductor surfaces. In other environments, a semiconductor surface becomes quickly covered by another material like an oxide or



**Figure 6.** Insulator-semiconductor junctions include various band-gap levels not only at the interface but also inside an insulator at different distances from the interface. Reprinted from Ren *et al* [110]. Copyright 2018. With permission from Elsevier. (b) Carriers can transfer to different gap levels. Also transfer to opposite directions are possible. External field is applied to the presented junction. @2007 IEEE. Reprinted with permission from Lu *et al* [111].

adsorbate layer(s). Based on the kinetic gas theory, the number of atoms or molecules striking a surface per unit area in unit time at room temperature is

$$dN/dt = 1.51 \times 10^{19} \times P/\sqrt{M} \left[ \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \right]$$
 (3)

where P is the gas pressure in Pa and M is the molecular of atomic weight of the incident particles. For example, at a pressure of  $1.5 \cdot 10^{-4}$  Pa (=1.5  $\cdot 10^{-6}$  mbar) of molecular oxygen  $O_2$ , 4 • 10<sup>14</sup> molecules are striking to a clean surface per  $cm^2$  per second. If a sticking probability of molecules is unity and molecular adsorption is dissociative, the time required for the adsorption of one layer of O atoms (in relation to the substrate atom density) on the surface is about 1 s. The sticking probability varies between 0 and 1 depending on the elements and adsorbate coverage. Anyhow, UHV conditions (P < 1 •  $10^{-9}$  mbar) are needed in practice to obtain the understanding of clean surface properties by complementary surface sensitive measurements. Without surface cleaning, a semiconductor surface contains a native oxide film which is typically amorphous and can be several nanometers thick depending on the environment exposure and semiconductor.

There are various methods for preparing a clean semiconductor surface, of which only a few are mentioned here. We return this objective in section 4.1 where it is described effects of the wet chemical cleaning methods which are widely used in device manufacturing processes. It is worth noting that a clean surface does not have a unique definition. In many surface physics studies, a clean surface implies also a crystalline surface. However, this is not the case in more general, and a clean surface can consist of a disordered or amorphous layer on the top of semiconductor crystal.

The widely used surface-science technique to clean a Si surface is so-called rapid high-temperature flashing, where a high direct current (e.g. 10 A) is fed for short time through a small Si piece (e.g. 5 mm  $\times$  10 mm slice of Si wafer). Repeating an increase of the Si temperature in UHV several times near 1250 °C removes surface oxides and other impurities. It is critical to minimize the heating time at 1100 °C–1150 °C because stable silicon carbide (SiC) clusters tend to form at this temperature, which can hardly be removed from the surface after SiC formation. The flash heating in UHV provides large atomically smooth terraces on Si, as shown below (figure 8(b)).

This method is mainly used for small Si pieces. Many other semiconductors cannot withstand the high temperatures required to remove natural surface oxides, without melting. Thus, an ion (e.g. argon) bombardment is the common cleaning method for Ge and III–V surfaces. The post-annealing at 300 °C–700 °C in UHV is required to recover a crystalline order of the surface layer(s) after the bombardment that can severely degrade the crystal structure. Because UHV cleaning methods have been develop to optimize the surface smoothness and crystalline degree for justified surface studies, a care is needed to apply the methods for device surfaces. The high temperatures and bombardments can significantly degrade bulk crystal quality causing e.g. metal impurity and doping atom diffusion.

### 3.1. Some fundamental properties of clean and crystalline Si and Ge surfaces

Most solid surfaces experience structural changes (i.e. reconstruction or relaxation) after the surface cut or formation, as compared to the corresponding bulk plane structure, leading to changes in the surface electronic structure as well. The reconstruction means that a two-dimensional phase transition takes place on the surface, causing atomic rearrangements and a change in the surface lattice compared to the planar bulk lattice. In the relaxation, the surface lattice does not change but the atomic positions in the vertical (surface normal) direction change. The reconstruction trend is especially strong for the semiconductor crystals since their covalent bond orbitals typically have a strong angular dependence. This distinguishes semiconductors from metals, in which the bonds between metal ions are formed by a delocalized electron sea. If a semiconductor surface is produced by cleavage of a crystal in UHV, the atoms located on the surface lose one or more neighboring atoms (figure 7). Thus, the outermost atoms have half-filled dangling bonds, i.e. orbitals occupied with a single electron, in contrast to the bulk bonding orbitals with a pair of electrons. The existence of half-filled dangling bonds leads to a significant increase in the total energy of the cleaved crystal. It is



**Figure 7.** (a) Different low Miller index surfaces of the cubic diamond crystal structure. At the cut (111) and (110) faces, each surface atom has one broken bond while at (100) each atom has two broken bonds. Reprinted with permission from Duke [37]. Copyright 1996 American Chemical Society. (b) Left: atomic structure of bulk-terminated Si(100)( $1 \times 1$ ); middle: reconstructed Si(100)( $2 \times 1$ ) with symmetric dimers; right: with asymmetric tilted dimers. (c) Atomic model for Si(111)( $7 \times 7$ ) surface. Reproduced from Mönch [112]. (d) Atomic model for Si(110)(16x2). With permission from Springer Nature. Reproduced from Yamasaki *et al* [113]. @ IOP Publishing. All Rights Reserved.

therefore energetically favorable for the crystal to rearrange its atomic structure at the surface to minimize a number of the dangling bonds. Since rigid restrictions are imposed on the angles between localized orbitals in semiconductors, surface rearrangements usually lead to drastic changes in symmetry and unit cell size. Moreover, the rearrangement of surface atoms can involve also deeper atomic layers in addition to the uppermost layer. Ultimately, the equilibrium groundstate (i.e. minimum energy) structure is governed by a delicate balance of the energy gain from the elimination of dangling bonds and the energy cost caused by introduced lattice strain in a modified surface layer. Also, the changes in atomic surrounding require typically the modification of electrons' distribution which can cause an additional Coulombic interactions between charged structural elements.

The most common building blocks of the reconstruction on Si and Ge surfaces are dimers and adatoms. The number of broken dangling bonds varies depending on the crystallographic direction of a cleavage plane defined by the Miller indexes (figure 7). On the bulk-plane terminated (111) and (110) faces, there is one dangling bond per the surface atom, while on the (100) surface, each atom has two dangling bonds if no reconstruction occurs.

Thus, it is natural that pairing of the surface atoms and formation of new bonds occur on the Si(100) surface to reduce the number of dangling bonds to half, lowering the material total energy significantly. The paired atoms, dimers, form linear rows and the  $(2 \times 1)$  unit cell according to the Wood notation where the unit cell length is doubled along one primitive

vector direction, as compared to the  $(1 \times 1)$  bulk plane lattice. If no further structural change occurred, the dimer atoms would be equivalent and have one partly occupied dangling bond per atom. Such degeneracy causes Jahn-Teller distortion where the dimers spontaneously deform lowering their symmetry, as shown in figure 7(b). This leads to an additional decrease of energy. The Si dimer axis is tilted by 18 degree to the (100) surface plane leading to so-called buckled dimers [120]. This means that the Si dimer atoms lie at inequivalent positions, accompanied by electron charge transfer between the dimer-up and dimer-down atoms. Two orientations of the asymmetric dimers are possible, one tilt to the left and the other to the right. At room temperature or higher, rapid fluctuations between the two configurations (so-called flip motion) [121, 122] can occur for dimers continuously such that the dimer tilting does not necessarily appear in the measurement at room temperature, for example, when the surface is probed by scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) or electron diffraction (figures 8(a)–(c)). However, the asymmetric dimers provide a signal when the surface is probed by a fast measurement of the photoelectron spectroscopy that gives a 'snapshot' of tilted dimer configuration [117, 119]. The Si 2p core-level spectrum of Si(100)(2  $\times$  1) surface shows two components: one related to the dimer-up  $(S_u)$  and the other related to dimer-down  $(S_d)$ atoms with the binding-energy separation of 0.5 eV as exemplified in figure 8(d).

At low temperatures (<200 K), the flip motion of dimers slows down, which makes one of two tilted configurations frozen [122]. Moreover, dimers in adjacent rows are oriented in opposite directions, leading to  $c(4 \times 2)$  and  $(2 \times 2)$  unit cells (figure 8(h)). Similar structural changes are observed for the Ge(100) surface, and the  $(2 \times 1)$ ,  $c(4 \times 2)$ , and  $(2 \times 2)$ reconstructions form due to dimerization in the first layer of Ge atoms, depending on the temperature [123]. For instance, the tilting angle of Ge dimers (19 degree) is very similar to that of Si dimers [124].

Since the tilted dimer on the Si(100) and Ge(100) surfaces possess one fully occupied dangling bond and one completely empty dangling bond, a semiconducting electronic structure with a surface band gap is formed (figure 8(f)). For the Si(100) surface, a dominant feature in the valence band edge is a surface state  $D_{up}$  associated with the dangling-bond orbital of the dimer-up Si atoms [125]. Likewise, the dominant feature above the Fermi level is a surface state  $D_{\text{down}}$  associated with the dangling-bond orbital of the dimer-down Si atoms. Thus, the band gap of approximately of 0.5 eV (i.e. half of the bulk gap) at clean Si(100) is determined by the occupied and empty dangling-bond levels. At the Ge(100) surface, the electronic properties are complicated by a bulk contribution which is interconnected to the surface lattice via a surface resonance [126–129]. The Ge(100) surface resonance is one probable reason for that the n-type Ge(100) has strong Fermi level pinning at 0.1 eV above the valence band maximum [129, 130].

The Si(111) and Ge(111) surfaces, of which atom densities of  $7.84 \cdot 10^{14}$  and  $7.22 \cdot 10^{14}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>, respectively, are higher than  $6.78 \cdot 10^{14}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> of Si(100) and  $6.24 \cdot 10^{14}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> of Ge(100), exhibit even a greater variety of reconstructions. The specific atomic structure depends on the method used in



Figure 8. (a) Low energy electron diffraction (LEED) pattern shows that the Si(100) surface consists of two domains of  $(2 \times 1)$ and  $(1 \times 2)$  where dimer rows are along perpendicular directions in the neighboring terraces. (b) STM image from the flash-heat cleaned Si(100) shows large atomically smooth terraces. (c) Zoomed STM image at room temperature shows dimer rows of one domain without resolving different dimer atoms. (d) Synchrotron XPS Si2p spectrum from Si(100)(2  $\times$  1) + (1  $\times$  2) at room temperature shows two extra components S<sub>u</sub> and S<sub>d</sub> from dimer-up and -down atoms in addition to the bulk crystal component B. (e) Scanning tunneling spectroscopy curve shows that a surface band gap (i.e. voltage range where differentiated intensity is zero) is approximately half of the bulk gap at Si(100)(2  $\times$  1) + (1  $\times$  2). Reprinted with permission from Rad et al [116]. Copyright 2020 American Chemical Society. (f) Calculated band structure shows that the dimer-related bands decrease the band gap at the surface. Charge density contours show that electron density is enriched around the top dimer atoms. Reprinted figure with permission from Pehlke and Scheffler [117]. Copyright 1993 by the American Physical Society. (g) Hydrogen-Si bonding removes dangling bonds, and the formed H-Si bond levels lie outside of the band gap. Reprinted from Robertson et al [118]. With the permission of AIP Publishing. (h) Low-temperature STM image reveals different dimer atoms because their flip motion is decreased at 40 K. Dimer down atoms are imaged when electrons tunnel toward the Si surface. Reprinted figure with permission from Ono et al [119]. Copyright 2003 by the American Physical Society.

the preparation of clean surface. For example, the Si(111) surface cleaved in UHV at liquid nitrogen temperature (~100 K) exhibits the  $(2 \times 1)$  reconstruction. At 500–700 K it changes to the  $(7 \times 7)$  reconstruction (figure 7). This phase transition is irreversible, i.e. the  $(7 \times 7)$  structure persists even after lowering the temperature. At a higher temperature ( $\sim 1170$  K) the  $(7 \times 7)$  reconstruction transforms to another phase which is so-called high-temperature  $(1 \times 1)$  structure. This phase transition is of order-disorder type and has a reversible character, i.e. lowering the temperature leads to the restoration of energetically stable  $(7 \times 7)$  below 1170 K. It includes 12 atoms in the adatom layer, 42 atoms in the rest-atom layer, and 48 atoms in the layer containing the stacking fault [131]. Counting the atoms amount in the adatom and rest-atom layers, one can find that the  $(7 \times 7)$  structure needs extra four Si atoms compared to the non-reconstructed Si(111)(1  $\times$  1) surface with the bulk-like termination. From the energetic viewpoint, the benefit of  $(7 \times 7)$  reconstruction is obvious, because it allows one to reduce the number of dangling bonds by more than two and half times, and thus, the Si(111)(7  $\times$  7) is very stable. In contrast, the Ge(111) shows the  $c(2 \times 8)$ reconstruction that includes adatoms and rest-atoms. The most



**Figure 9.** Atomic models for selected III–V compound semiconductor surfaces. (a) III–V(110) surfaces are used for example in laser diode mirrors and can be readily obtained by cleaving the cubic crystal. III–V(110) surfaces do not typically reconstruct but relax. Reprinted with permission from Duke [37]. Copyright 1996 American Chemical Society. (b)–(d) III-As(100) surface reconstructions with decreasing the As amount at the surface. Reprinted from Laukkanen *et al* [136]. With the permission of AIP Publishing. (e) Surfaces of hexagonal wurtzite GaN crystals can contain extra layers of Ga which form a reconstruction. Reprinted from Smith *et al* [137]. With the permission of AIP Publishing.

detailed information about the electronic structures of Si(111) and Ge(111) reconstructions has been obtained for the  $(7 \times 7)$  structure [132–134]. They include occupied and empty levels which are localized on the adatoms, the rest-atoms, and the adatom backbonds. The surface band contributed by the occupied dangling bonds of adatoms crosses the Fermi level (i.e. a metallic band structure), leading to Fermi level pinning at 0.7 eV above the valence band maximum for the both n-type and p-type Si(111) samples. Thus the surface work function is independent of the type and doping level of the Si(111)(7 × 7) surface [135].

The atomic structure on the (110) surfaces of Si and Ge is rather complicated and less understood still. When the Si(110) surface is annealed at 1200 °C, it exhibits a large ( $16 \times 2$ ) reconstruction [113, 138, 139]. The building blocks of this structure (figure 7(d)) are a buckled tetramer, heptagonal rings, and a tetragonal ring.

#### 3.2. Some fundamental properties of clean III-V surfaces

In contrast to Si(110) and Ge(110), III–V(110) surfaces are well understood (figure 9), and have provided a well-defined template for surface investigations during decades because clean III–V(110) surfaces can be readily obtained by cleaving cubic III–V crystals. It is worth noting that the cleaved III–V(110) surfaces have been used in the laser diode mirrors. III–V(110) surfaces are an exception because they do not typically reconstruct but have the  $(1 \times 1)$  bulk-plane lattice according to the Wood definition. However, the surface relaxation occurs at III–V(110) together with electron transfer from the group-III dangling bond to group-V one. The resulting relaxed III–V(110) surface is semiconducting (or insulating) with a clear band gap of which size equals to the bulk band gap (figure 10). In other words, a clean III–V(110) surface does not cause extra electron levels in the middle of the bulk band gap, in contrast to Si(100)(2 × 1) or Si(111)(7 × 7). In fact, the band gap without surface-related electron levels is a rather common property among clean III–V surfaces where the group-V dangling bonds become filled by electrons while group-III dangling bonds are empty [140–144]. In contrast, intrinsic point defects like As substitutional in Ga site (As<sub>Ga</sub>) cause the midgap levels in the clean surfaces [144].

Many clean and crystalline III-V(100) and III-V(111) surfaces undergo strong structural rearrangements (figure 9), and the resulting reconstructions own large unit cells such as  $(2 \times 4)$  or  $(6 \times 6)$  or  $(4 \times 2)$  [145–150]. The same reconstruction principles as for Si and Ge determine largely the structural changes at III-V surfaces also. The III-V reconstructions often extend to a depth of several atomic layers, exposing also the second and third atomic layers, as shown in figure 9. The strongly rearranged III-V(100) surfaces can be in fact expected to cause atomic scale non-uniformity at the interfaces grown on the top of the reconstruction. Thus, a proper adsorbate layer on a clean semiconductor surface might decrease the structural changes at the semiconductor side via the formation of smaller adsorbate-induced unit cell [151–153], and improve atomic-scale smoothness at the interfaces.



**Figure 10.** Top: Electronic band structure for GaAs(100)( $2 \times 4$ ) where solid lines are surface-induced levels. The corresponding orbitals that contribute to the surface bands are also shown. For example the V1 band is contributed by the top layer As dimer dangling bond and C1 arises from the empty second-layer Ga dangling bonds (figure 9(b)). Reprinted figure with permission from Schmidt and Bechstedt [143]. Copyright 1996 by the American Physical Society. Bottom: As and Ga dangling bonds cause electron levels near valence-band maximum and conduction band minimum respectively. When hydrogen atoms are bonded to the dangling bonds, the resulting electron levels move away from the band edges. Black dots mark surface related bands. Pulci *et al* [141]. John Wiley & Sons.

Wurtzite III–V nitride surfaces (figure 9(e)) such as GaN(0001) and AlGaN(0001) are an exception among semiconductor surfaces because their reconstructions are typically atomically smooth, which can be understood with strong nitrogen bonds which have partial ionic nature, which hinders the atomic rearrangement [137, 154–159]. In contrast, extra Ga layers have been found to form smooth islands with superstructures. Another peculiar property of III-N nitrides is that they exhibit a surface diffraction pattern without any surface cleaning even after a long air exposure of several months. Strong nitrogen bonds of course decrease the oxygen incorporation into the nitride surfaces but it appears also that the III–V nitride surfaces can accommodate oxygen atoms keeping crystalline nature in different way as compared to many other semiconductor surfaces [156–158].

### 4. Connecting issues between photonic device interface passivation and surface physics

In this section we present selected research subjects which are relevant to developing the passivation of photonic devices. Strong background knowledge has been previously obtained for the subjects but each of them includes also open issues whose understanding might be progressed via enhanced collaboration between photonics and surface physics people.

### 4.1. What kind of properties does wet-chemically cleaned surfaces have?

Properties of wet-chemically treated Si, Ge, and III–V surfaces have attracted great interest for several decades because these surfaces are predominantly utilized in industrial processes [e.g. 160–180]. Thus, the semiconductor wet chemistry can be considered a very established area but on the other hand, it is also developed continuously toward sustainable solutions, which for example decrease consumption of chemicals. An obvious difference between the wet chemistry methods and UHV methods (section 3) is the environment of atmospheric pressures during the cleaning and transfer of samples.

Si crystals with the (111) surface orientation appear to be a surprising exception among the semiconductor surfaces because a very high-quality Si(111) surface structure has been observed in STM (figure 11) after a pure wet chemical treatment [169, 171]: Without any additional preparation, a proper F-containing wet etching provides large-scale smooth terraces with atomic scale ordering for hydrogenterminated Si(111). In the optimized chemical treatment, 1.0% ammonium sulfite was included in the 40% NH<sub>4</sub>F etching solution for removing dissolved oxygen and for preparing atomically smooth Si(111) [171].

It is still surprising that Si(100) behaves quite differently from Si(111) concerning the wet chemistry effects. Namely, wet chemically treated Si(100) surfaces have been more rough without large atomically smooth terraces (figure 11). In contrast, different facets are typically formed on wet chemically etched Si(100) surfaces [166, 167, 170]. This difference is consistent with surface-recombination velocity measurements performed in situ during the immersion of Si(100) and Si(111) pieces in a HF-containing solution: Si(111) causes lower recombination velocity than Si(100) [161]. The peculiar behavior of Si(111) can be understood with the surface energetics: energy of the relaxed Si(111)(1 × 1) structure is smaller than that for Si(100)(1 × 1) [172].

If this energy is a significant factor behind the formation of atomically smooth etched terraces on Si(111), then a proper etching of another plane of Si(110) can be expected to produce large terraces more easily than on Si(100). That has not been evidenced by STM so far according to our knowledge. However it can be expected that significance of the wet chemical treatments of Si(110) increases because in many nanocrystals, the (110) planes are exposed and require the passivation. Furthermore, on the basis of the surface energy comparison, it might be speculated that a Si–Si dimer structure during



**Figure 11.** Scanning-tunneling microscopy (STM) images reveal significant differences between Si(111) and Si(100) after wet chemistry. Reproduced from Kato *et al* [171]. @ IOP Publishing. All rights reserved. Reprinted from Endo *et al* [167]. With the permission of AIP Publishing.

a wet chemical treatment would enhance the terrace formation. However, *in-situ* STM measurements of Si(111) in NaOH solution [164] suggest that no dimer reconstruction occurs on the surface during the etching.

We would like to emphasize that STM measurements [166, 167, 169–171] have been the key to realize the exceptional properties of Si(111) because the surface diffraction methods (i.e. LEED and RHEED) and x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) are not sensitive to local defects. Indeed it is known that STM measurements often reveal realistic surface quality.

Measurements of wet chemically treated III–V and Ge surfaces [174–179] also support that Si(111) is an unusual case because the post heating in UHV is typically needed to increase a crystalline order and the formation of atomically smooth terraces on the etched surfaces. Another difference between Si and III–V is that Si surfaces have typically hydrogen termination after the wet chemistry while III–V surfaces become enriched by group-V element in chemical treatments. Extra group-V adsorbates can be removed at relative low temperatures in UHV [174–178]. For example, extra arsenic from GaAs at around 350 °C. However, recently it has been reported a surprising wet-chemically smooth Si(111), and which is expected to be hydrogen terminated after a proper HCl immersion [179].



Report on Progress

**Figure 12.** Top: STM image from the p-GaN surface with native oxide before any surface cleaning shows a terrace-step structure and clear  $(1 \times 1)$  LEED. In contrast, no large-scale atomically smooth terrace is found on Si(100) after the RCA wet chemical treatment with a final HF dip. LEED pattern from this surface shows  $(1 \times 1)$  spots which arise from the bulk crystal planes beneath the topmost layer(s). Post heating of this surface in UHV around 700 °C–800 °C provides large scale terraces. LEED changes as well to the  $(2 \times 1) + (1 \times 2)$  pattern that appears to arise from local dimer structures seen in zoomed STM image.

Indeed, HCl-based etching solutions have been found to provide smoother surfaces for III–V and Ge, as compared to the F-containing wet chemistry [174–180]. For Ge, both NH<sub>4</sub>OH- and HCl-based treatments have been used successfully [180]. However, atomically smooth terraces, similar to those of Si(111), have not been reported so far in STM measurements of purely wet-chemical treated Ge surfaces. As mentioned above, the post heating in UHV conditions after a wet chemical treatment has been used particularly for III– V and Ge to obtain atomically smooth large-scale terraces. The UHV post heating also enhances smoothness of Si(100) as shown in figure 12.

After a wet chemical treatment, a semiconductor surface contains carbon contamination, of which amount can be decreased by low-temperature (<450 °C) heating in UHV. Such incorporation of carbon at the surfaces is expected from the energetical viewpoint [181]. For example, the molecular bond strength of Si–C is similar to Si–H bond strength (360 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>). Furthermore, the Si–O bond strength of 450 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> indicates the presence of oxygen incorporation although the H-termination of Si surfaces decreases O incorporation rate.

Theoretical simulations [182–184] for incorporation of carbon, hydrogen, and oxygen atoms into semiconductor surfaces in different chemical solutions and background gases would be helpful to understand the surface properties and their changes during the etching and the sample transfer to a next process step. Finally, GaN surfaces appear to be an exception among all semiconductor surfaces because smooth terraces can be observed even without any treatment (figure 12), which probably arises from the strong Ga–N bonding. Such untreated GaN surfaces still contain oxygen and carbon atoms which are incorporated into GaN without destroying the surface crystal structure completely.

To recapitulate, understanding of the specific properties of Si(111) and InP(100) in comparison to the other surfaces like Ge(111) and GaAs(100) is expected to contribute to development of the methods to decrease the surface roughness and atomic scale disorder. A clean semiconductor surface is not necessarily crystalline. STM characterization is expected to be useful in optimizing a wet chemical recipe which provides a clean and highly crystalline starting surface for the next device processing step.

## 4.2. Is it possible to avoid the incorporation of significant amount of oxygen and carbon impurities into semiconductor surfaces?

In this section, we do not attempt to make a review on the semiconductor oxidation phenomenon. Excellent books and articles have been previously published about this subject [e.g. 14–17, 185–188]. It is accepted that for an oxidized semiconductor layer thicker than 4 nm, the Deal–Grove model where oxygen diffuses through the oxide film to the oxide/semiconductor interface and oxide growth occurs at the interface, describes the semiconductor oxidation, but the initial states of semiconductor oxidation are expected to be more complex [185–188].

Understanding of these issues is relevant to develop photonic devices because it is not possible to avoid the incorporation of oxygen atoms at practical semiconductor surfaces [e.g. 136, 189, 190]. This subject might be still controversial because sometimes it is described that it is possible to avoid the incorporation of a significant amount of oxygen (or carbon) atoms. Then a relevant question is what is a non-significant density of oxygen atoms because every defect can increase degradation. Second, which characterization method(s) is really sensitive enough to measure a density of oxygen atoms of 1•10<sup>10</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> or lower, which means approximately one impurity per 100 000 host surface atoms or less. Toward that, XPS which is a really element sensitive method has been widely used to determine of a surface chemical composition in non-destructive manner. However, the resolution of XPS is around 0.5–1 atomic % ( $\sim 10^{12}$ – $10^{13}$ atoms  $cm^{-2}$ ), which is essential to take into account when making conclusions about non-significant amount of surface impurities [191–193]. Here STM measurements can be useful to reveal potential defect structures with a low and local density. It is still worth noting that oxygen-semiconductor bonds do not necessarily cause the band-gap defect levels directly. In contrast, oxygen incorporation often increases a disorder and, thus a point-defect density (e.g. broken dangling bonds) [194, 195].

Electrical measurements belong to the most defect sensitive probes even if they are not element sensitive. The microelectronic community has utilized capacitance-voltage (C-V) measurements of metal-insulator-semiconductor (MOS) capacitors to understand the interface-defect-induced electron levels [e.g. 196–198, 105, 106]. With the conventional MOS C-V methods, a care is needed to compare different device models in determining exact values of defect densities for comparison. Alongside conventional MOS C-V, also a noninvasive contactless technique called corona oxide characterization of semiconductors (COCOSs) [199, 200] has been developed. This method is based on measuring the surface contact potential via Kelvin probe, and allows to characterize the interface without the need for metal electrodes. The sensitivity of these two C-V techniques is based on a strong effect of defect-induced levels on carrier transport properties close to the semiconductor interfaces.

One argument for that why it is impossible to avoid oxygen atom incorporation into photonic device surfaces is the exothermic nature of oxidation; the formation of oxygen bonds clearly decreases the total (inner) energy of a semiconductor system [201]. Although the H-termination of Si surfaces decreases the oxidation rate, the incorporation of oxygen atoms into Si is expected to start immediately in O-containing environment because the Si-O bond is stronger than Si-H. Furthermore, it is very difficult to avoid the contact of a semiconductor with oxygen-containing environment. As presented in section 3, the vacuum-technology principles describe how a solid surface becomes covered by one adsorbate layer in one second, when the environmental pressure around a solid is as low as  $1 \cdot 10^{-6}$  mbar [202]. If the background pressure is 1.10<sup>-9</sup> mbar, it takes 1000 s for one monolayer adsorption. Thus, a cleaned semiconductor surface becomes readily oxidized unintentionally due to the residual gas conditions which appear for example during the sample transfer to a film-growth instrument. Moreover, the growth conditions for insulating films are very oxygen rich, and interdiffusion of elements across an oxide/semiconductor interface readily increases oxygen incorporation into the semiconductor.

Incorporation of carbon atoms is also expected to occur although the bond strength between adsorbed carbon and a semiconductor element is typically weaker than that of oxygen bonding. This difference is also reflected in the UHV heating effect that the carbon XPS signal decreases in contrast to the oxygen one [116].

The surface reactions after the wet chemistry affect properties of the resulting interface although a high-quality SiO<sub>2</sub> film will be grown by the thermal oxidation of Si [61, 203–209]. The high-temperature (>700 °C) thermal oxidation is not possible even for all Si device interfaces. Therefore, lowtemperature solution-based wet-chemical oxidation of Si has been developed to prepare an ultrathin SiO<sub>2</sub> passivation layer [210, 211]. Indeed, this approach allows to control oxygen incorporation into the interfaces, which would occur anyway at some stage(s) after the wet chemical cleaning. This subject is returned in section 4.4. Furthermore, the oxygen and carbon incorporation can be affected by other elements. Wet chemical nitridation, which provides relatively strong N bonds with III– V surface elements, has been used successfully for the surface passivation [212–214].

To recapitulate, because it is not possible to avoid oxygen and carbon incorporation into practical device interfaces, it might be useful to address the question if the structure of impurity-containing semiconductor surfaces can be modified such that they cause minimized losses [215]. This idea has been also supported by theoretical calculations which show, for example, that a proper oxygen rich GaAs surface provides the HfO<sub>2</sub>/GaAs interface without the band gap levels [216].

The properties of a clean starting surface, in particular the atomic level smoothness is known to affect the structure of oxide-Si interface and to increase its crystalline order [217–219]. An increased crystalline degree naturally decreases the point defect density. However, it is not an easy task to prepare the atomically smooth starting surface with wet chemical treatments for semiconductors generally, as discussed in section 4.1. For Si(100), depositing thin epitaxial Si layers has been found to be a potential method [217–219].

### 4.3. Which interface defects do cause extra electron levels in the band gap?

After decades of research, it is nowadays widely accepted that the Si dangling bond, caused by missing of neighboring atom, is the main defect type at SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si interfaces [e.g. 15, 16, 220–226]. In this defect structure, the Si atom with the dangling bond does not reach four bonds with neighboring Si or O atoms. It is also established that the SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si interface is not atomically sharp typically. In contrast, the interface layer is extended or diffused at least when the high temperature thermal oxidation of Si is used to grow SiO2 and when the oxygen diffusion toward Si is strongly enhanced (figure 13). It means that the number of oxygen bonds per Si atom increases from one (i.e. Si<sup>1+</sup> oxidation state) to four (i.e. Si<sup>4+</sup> oxidation state) toward the topmost SiO<sub>2</sub>. Also very sharp interface models have been developed for SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si interfaces [217-219], which probably describe low temperature SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si structures (e.g. wet chemically grown) better than the diffused interface model.

The dangling bond structures are often called  $P_b$  defect which has a variation in the bonding structure depending on the number of oxygen bonds nearby the three-fold bonded Si atom. Understanding of the positions of different defectinduced electron levels in relation to the Si band gap has significantly improved via development of detailed computational physics methods because it is very difficult to measure experimentally the defect level position around the band gap with an atomic identification of the defect structure. Indeed theoretical calculations [e.g. 221, 223, 226] have confirmed that the  $P_b$  defects with dangling bond cause extra electron levels in Si band gap (figure 13). It might be still surprising that the density of these  $P_b$ -induced levels is often higher than



**Figure 13.** Top: band gap as a function of the distance over the  $SiO_2/Si$  interface, showing a transition region where the number of oxygen bonds per Si atom varies between  $Si^{1+}$  and  $Si^{4+}$  oxidation states. Middle: atomic models for the  $SiO_2/Si$  interface with a missing Si atom (i.e. vacancy) at different distances from  $SiO_2$ . Three-fold bonded Si(1) atom has a dangling bond. Bottom: positions of different dangling-bond induced electron levels in relation to the Si band gap. Reproduced from Li *et al* [226]. Copyright 2019, with permission from Elsevier.

 $1 \cdot 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ eV}^{-1}$ , if the interface is not specifically passivated by hydrogen [224], because SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si has been considered an unusually high-quality exception among semiconductor interfaces. On the other hand, SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si is the junction with an amorphous material, and the Si oxidation increases the structural disorder which naturally leads to the formation of point defects.

Structural disorder starts already at initial stages of the Si oxidation [15, 186, 188, 190, 195] where a part of Si atoms also detach and diffuse from sub-surface layers toward the top surface. In other words, Si-vacancy formation in the subsurface is an energetically favored process when the oxidation of Si surface starts [227]. There are also indications that it is possible to increase a crystalline degree of the oxidized Si surfaces

[116, 228]. However, in addition to the vacancy-induced point defects ( $P_b$ ), it is also relevant to consider the electron level distribution in the sub-oxide phases of SiO<sub>x</sub> because a change in the amount of oxygen can cause an unexpected variation in the electronic structure of SiO<sub>x</sub> [194]. A similar variation in the electronic structure for different oxide phases has been also found for III–V's [229]. Significance of these issues can be expected to increase in the applications where thin or ultrathin oxide layers are a part of the device structure.

Computational simulations have also improved our understanding of the probable defects causing the band-gap levels at III-V surfaces [e.g. 118, 230-232]. Group-V and -III dangling bonds as well as group-V dimers are the most likely defect structures causing extra electron levels around the band gap (figure 14). Furthermore at InP interfaces, the bonding configurations where P atoms have one or two oxygen bonds (i.e. low oxidation states of P) cause the band gap levels [233]. For III-N nitride surfaces, also carbon impurities have been considered as one dominant defect type [234, 235] but the nitride surfaces are not yet understood as well as other III-V surfaces. Similarly the SiC surfaces need future studies to establish the most probable defects, which include C-C bonding [236-238] even if C-C bond signal is not clear in XPS, but here it is worth to remind the limited XPS resolution and compare it to an expected C-C density.

In contrast to Si, the native oxides of III–V and SiC are not typically high enough quality for the insulator-film purposes. Therefore, the insulator films (e.g. SiO<sub>2</sub>, SiN, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and HfO<sub>2</sub>) have been grown by other methods such as CVD and atomic layer deposition (ALD) for insulator/III–V junctions. Still these interfaces include an intermediate layer of oxidized III–V or SiC, which might be useful to include in theoretical models to predict the properties of these interfaces. Recent studies have presented energetically favored structures for oxidized GaAs(100) and InP(100) surfaces [216, 233], which provide a realistic platform to simulate the interface properties and their modifications.

In this section we have focused on the band-gap electron levels, but it is worth noting that for example the band offsets and dielectric constants are also very relevant properties to the performance of many devices. Indeed, the dielectric constant, which arises from the external field-induced chargedensity variation in a material, has been shown to differ from the bulk values at interfaces. Such deviation of the dielectric constant is due to the different interfacial atomic structure and affects particularly the insulating properties of ultrathin dielectrics [239, 240].

### 4.4. Which might be potential approaches to develop passivation of electronic defect states?

Decreasing densities of the gap levels and their effects have been investigated for many decades, and we are not able to review all those seminal studies in this work. Rather we provide example references to mention some of the most widely used passivation methods, and to address couple issues whose consideration might improve further the understanding and control of the defect state formation. For silicon



**Figure 14.** (a) Two potential defect structures at GaAs interface: As–As dimer and Ga dangling bond with charge density contours for electron levels around the band gap. Top film is Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Reprinted from Miceli and Pasquarello [232]. Copyright 2014, with permission from Elsevier. (b) Probable band gap levels for different III–V's: group-V or group-III dangling bonds (DB) and V–V dimers. Reprinted from Robertson *et al* [118]. With the permission of AIP Publishing. (c) Two energetically stable atomic models for HfO<sub>2</sub>/GaAs interface with an oxidized Ga-rich GaAs surface. These structures with a Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> type interface layer provide defect-level free GaAs band gap. Reproduced from Lahti *et al* [216]. CC BY 4.0.

device interfaces, the high-temperature thermal oxidation of Si, hydrogen passivation, wet chemical oxidation of Si, ALD of dielectrics, and field-effect passivation have been widely used to decrease the interface defect states and effects [30, 241-249]. For III–V interfaces, sulfur passivation, Si-interface layer deposition, Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> film growth, ALD self cleaning have been particularly utilized in applications [250–260].

Most Si devices include hydrogen, which is intentionally incorporated, because hydrogen is known to make a bond with the Si atom with the dangling bond. So-called forming gas anneal containing hydrogen is typically performed in the end of processing by heating the device material containing already insulator and metal films at temperatures below 500 °C in H-containing environment. Also many as-grown insulator films include hydrogen and provide an additional source of H. For example, solar-cell community has used a phenomenon called Al neal [261, 262]. It is worth noting that the starting Si surface often contains H bonds after the wet chemical cleaning, but these bonds are expected to cut during a thin film growth.

The hydrogen passivation has been essential to decrease the defect-level density to the level of low  $10^{10}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> eV<sup>-1</sup> at Si interfaces. However, it has been realized that hydrogen incorporation into insulator/Si junctions can be also harmful and in fact degrade devices' performance [263–267]. The solar cell community has intensively investigated the phenomenon of light and elevated temperature induced degradation which is probably related, at least in part, to hydrogen incorporation and movement in the device material. Indeed hydrogen atoms can detach from bonding sites and diffuse at relatively low temperatures [263–267], while the typical Si cell manufacturing process includes a high-temperature heating step, firing above 800 °C where a metal contact channel is created through SiN insulator.

Thus one open issue is related to the control of H incorporation and effects, whether it is possible to place H atoms in the proper passivating position, avoiding the H-induced defects. Furthermore, less is known about the H incorporation effects on other semiconductor interfaces. Promising results have been obtained for H-containing Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/GaN interfaces [e.g. 259].

The second point concerns the wet chemical oxidation of semiconductor surfaces, which has been developed particularly for Si devices because an ultrathin wet-chemical SiO<sub>2</sub> intermediate layer between Si and dielectric (e.g. HfO<sub>2</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, SiN) has been found to improve the device performance [61, 203-211]. This method allows the lowtemperature fabrication of ultrathin oxide layers, in contrast to the high-temperature thermal oxidation of Si that typically causes the broadened interface due enhanced oxygen and silicon interdiffusion. Thus some properties of the wet-chemical  $SiO_2$  can be expected to differ from those of the thermally oxidized SiO<sub>2</sub>. Understanding the possible differences helps to post-modify the properties of wet chemically prepared SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si which might be partially metastable due to the low temperature growth. The thermal stability is essential because many device structures are post heated after the SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si interface preparation.

Furthermore the studies of wet chemical oxidation for other semiconductor surfaces can be expected to advance the passivation technology because as discussed above, it is not finally possible to avoid the incorporation of oxygen atoms into semiconductor surfaces. For example, it is unclear if wet chemical procedures can provide a Ga-oxide rich GaAs surface and InPO<sub>4</sub> on InP which have been previously shown to be high-quality GaAs [216, 252, 253] and InP interfaces [233]. Moreover, the wet chemical nitridation [212–215] provides an interesting alternative to the oxidations because the N bonds are strong and provide a durable interface layer with a low defect density [268].

### 4.5. What kind of properties do passivated and low-resistive metal contacts have?

MIGS arise from metal wavefunctions extending to the semiconductor side. MIGS together with the point-defect induced gap levels cause significant carriers' recombination losses in particular in solar cells and photodetectors. Therefore, socalled tunnel oxide passivating contact (TOPCon) have been investigated intensively for Si solar cells [e.g. 269–276]. It means that an ultrathin insulator barrier is grown between a semiconductor and a metal film. If a metal film is separated from the semiconductor surface with the distance of 0.5 nm, the metal wavefunction intensity and MIGS density decrease significantly (figure 15). Such an insulator barrier also decreases the interaction of metal elements with a semiconductor (i.e. alloying) and the related defect formation.

However, as discussed above, it is not straightforward either to prepare a high-quality insulator/semiconductor interface with a low defect-level density. Furthermore, a tunneling barrier causes an additional resistance. Thus a required level of contact resistivity determines whether the tunneling contact is a potential solution. It has been estimated that if the contact resistivity of  $1 \cdot 10^{-7} \Omega$  cm<sup>2</sup> or lower is needed to develop the overall device performance, then the tunneling contact is not anymore a viable structure [275].

One option to modify properties of the tunneling barriers is a doping of the barrier material (figure 16). If a controlled incorporation of ionized doping atoms into the barrier is doable, this approach allows development of the carrier selectivity of contacts. In other words, a static or fixed charge inside the tunneling barrier attracts electrons or holes and repels the minority carrier type. In addition, a proper barrier doping creates the electron levels outside the semiconductor band gap, which enhances the carrier tunneling process via the barrier levels and thus decreases a tunneling resistance. Another way for the carrier selectivity is an asymmetric alignment of the insulator and semiconductor band gaps such that either the conduction band electrons or the valence band holes experience a high energy barrier at the p-type or n-type contacts respectively.

Another issue connecting the photonics device researcher and surface physicist arises from the question how the semiconductor n-type or p-type doping efficiency changes near the surface areas, and which methods are potential to increase the surface doping in order to decrease the contact resistivity [277–287]. A general rule is the higher doping the lower contact resistivity. However, the high surface doping also increases carrier recombination losses.

Donor activation energy (i.e. separation from the conduction band) has been found to increase at semiconductor surfaces [277, 278], but the reason(s) for this has remained unclear. Also it is still unclear how the embedded interface, for example, with an insulator film affects the doping activation barrier and thus the doping efficiency. Furthermore, unintentional interface impurities might change the doping atom



**Figure 15.** (a) Cross-section atomic structure of a metal film with (111) plane facing with hydrogen passivated Si(111). (b) Density of metal-induced gap states distributions around the Fermi level of 0 eV for different distances d between aluminum and Si(111)( $1 \times 1$ )-H. (c) Density of MIGS as a function of distance d. Reprinted from Sajjad *et al* [273]. With the permission of AIP Publishing.

bonding environment. The intentionally added hydrogen for the dangling bond passivation is expected to have a harmful effect on the doping efficiency [283].

To control the doping atom density at semiconductor surfaces, so-called monolayer doping has been developed [279–281]. To increase further the p-type doping at GaN, Lu *et al* [99] have deposited a Mg film on GaN and performed a proper post heating of Mg/GaN before the metal contact fabrication, while an oxidation of GaN surfaces has improved n-type doping [287].

Last but not least, the cleaning of a semiconductor surface is a very crucial step to decrease the contact resistivity. The highquality starting template for a metal film enhances an epitaxial



**Figure 16.** (a) and (b) One solution to decrease losses at the rear metal/n-Si contact by incorporating an ultrathin SiO<sub>2</sub> tunneling layer for interface passivation. (c) Atomic model for the SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si interface that is free of the band-gap levels and shows a two times periodicity along the interface. Yellow isosurfaces present electron-level distributions for valence- and conduction-band levels. (d) Gap-levels induced by possible defects in SiO<sub>2</sub>. Blue lines show levels which tend to have negative charge while red lines have positive. Reproduced from Liu *et al* [274]. With the permission of AIP Publishing.

nature of the grown interface, which further decreases the density of point defects. For example, a coherent Ag/Si(111) interface has been recently found to decrease losses in the solar cell [288]. Furthermore, quantum mechanical simulations of

atomic diffusion strengths [e.g. 179] at metal-semiconductor interface can clarify a tendency to the alloy formation. It can be expected that dry cleaning or etching methods, which are under an intense development [e.g. 289–291], become very useful to optimize also the contact interfaces in particular if these methods can be integrated with a metal-deposition instrument in situ manner without breaking a vacuum environment. It is worth noting that meaning of the dry cleaning methods is expected to increase in more general when threedimensional structuring of device components increases; when trenches become deeper and more narrow, because the wet chemical methods do not perform properly for such structures.

### 4.6. Which might be potential approaches to develop atomic-scale protection of nanocrystals?

Nanocrystals can be readily linked to surface science because the surface areas of a nanocrystal are a significant portion of the whole material. Different semiconductor nanomaterials such as quantum dots (QDs) and nanowires have been investigated from the viewpoint of applications and fundamental properties [e.g. 36, 292–318]. Although STM and scanning tunneling spectroscopy (STS) are in general challenging for three-dimensionally structured nanomaterial surfaces, STM and STS have provided a unique local real-space probe for elucidating the atomic and electronic structures of individual nanocrystals [36, 295, 298, 302, 303, 305, 312]. Semiconductor nanocrystals are also potential materials for future photonic devices such as photodetectors, solar cells, and LEDs [292, 298, 299, 301, 304, 306–311, 313, 315, 317].

Indeed, InP QD containing LED devices have been recently commercialized [308, 317]. These non-toxic InP nanocrystals, called also colloidal QD, have been manufactured in chemical solutions. There are also other methods to prepare nanocrystals; for example, layer-by-layer deposition on solid templates (i.e. from bottom to top) or partial removing of the surrounding material via dry and/or wet etching methods (from top to down).

Irrespective of the nanocrystal fabrication method, the common issue is how to protect nanocrystals against environmentinduced degradation of the material as a function of time. One solution has been to cover nanocrystals by a shell(s) which protects the core crystal. For instance, ZnS-capped InP and CdSe colloidal QDs are used in applications [e.g. 308, 310, 317]. However, elegant in-situ photoluminescence (PL) measurements of CdS + ZnS capped CdSe QDs during the exposure to different gases have revealed that shellcovered QDs are also sensitive to environment [310]. Higher PL intensity means lower density of defects. Interestingly water molecules adsorbed on QDs are beneficial to increase the PL intensity while a plasma exposure of QDs quickly decrease their PL intensity [310]. Furthermore, for passivating QDs by ALD, many metal precursors have been found harmful to QD luminescence [310] indicating that a care is needed to engineer the shell-covered nanocrystal surfaces for starting the deposition of the next capping film.

Recent study of InP colloidal QDs (figure 17) passivated with water-free benzoyl fluoride has shown that the used



**Figure 17.** Top: scheme of colloidal quantum-dot (QD) LED containing ZnS/ZnSe-capped InP QD. Reproduced from Won *et al* [308]. With permission from Springer Nature. Bottom: hydrogen fluoride (HF) based treatment of InP QD, which improves luminescence intensity, causes formation of InF<sub>3</sub> on QD and breakage of polychains of PO<sub>x</sub> into separated PO<sub>x</sub> molecules, marked by red circles in the atomic models Reproduced from Ubbink *et al* [314]. CC BY 4.0.

F-based treatment does not remove all oxidized phosphorus from the InP QD surface but still the luminescence properties of InP QDs improve [314]. The surface phosphorus with a low oxidation state has been found to be more harmful than the highly oxidized P to the luminescence [314], which is a promising result because it is not possible to avoid the incorporation of oxygen atoms into QD surfaces in applications. That finding is also consistent with the computational results for the InP interfaces [233].

Plasma is the common environment for semiconductor surfaces in device processes, for example, at the stages of etching of nanostructures (or mesas) and of film growth stages, but plasma interactions are also known to cause surface defects [319-327]. On the other hand, benefits of plasma sources include enhanced surface reactions at low semiconductor temperatures. The recent study (figure 18) has revealed effects of the plasma enhanced ALD growth of SiO<sub>2</sub> in relation to an alternative method to grow SiO<sub>2</sub> passivation layer for InGaN/GaN nano-LEDs using tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS) based sol-gel approach [315]. Before the SiO<sub>2</sub> growth, dryetching induced disorder or amorphization was removed from the nitride mesa sidewalls by wet chemistry. Recent results [325] show that a method combining ultraviolet light exposure and ozone for surface oxidation followed by HF-based wet etching removes effectively the dry etching defects.

Furthermore, the TEOS-based SiO<sub>2</sub> passivation film decreased atomic interactions and amorphization at the mesa GaN interfaces, which increased the LED output efficiency and decreased the diode leakage current [315]. Future studies can clarify what kind of GaN surface termination provides a durable bonding to the adsorbed TEOS-based SiO<sub>2</sub> nanocrystal material. Also, a well-justified atomic model for these GaN interfaces is helpful to understand atomic origins of



**Figure 18.** (a) Schematic structures and microscopy images for nano LED containing InGaN/GaN quantum wells. After dry etching of nanowire LED structures, their sidewalls were still etched wet chemically and passivated by TEOS-based spin-coated SiO<sub>2</sub> film. (b) Passivation of sidewalls of nano LED was compared to plasma ALD-grown SiO<sub>2</sub>-coated nano LED. The new TEOS-based passivation increased the external quantum efficiency of nano LED and decreased the leakage current at the voltages lower than the diode threshold. (c) Transmission electron microscopy images of nano-LED sidewalls. After dry etching, the sidewall surface is amorphous. This disordered surface was removed by wet etching, which also increased a long-range roughness. Plasma ALD-grown SiO<sub>2</sub> interface at sidewall included amorphous areas marked by yellow arrows. Reproduced from Sheen *et al* [315]. With permission from Springer Nature.

the point defects that decrease radiative recombination and increase leakage current. The III–V nitride surfaces are an exception among semiconductors because the GaN-based surfaces remain largely crystalline although they often include significant amounts of oxygen and carbon. Furthermore, theoretical simulations for core-level binding-energy changes, based on the interface atomic models can confirm an intuitive identification of unusual Ga–Ga bonding structures [315].

Vertical sidewalls of three-dimensional nanostructures are of course much more challenging to measure by surface physics methods as compared to planar surfaces. One option to circumvent this problem is to prepare specific planar samples by using the same dry-etching and plasma parameters as for the device structuring [328]. Such planar 'model samples' can be expected to reflect the sidewall properties of devices, and can be measured efficiently by a large arsenal of standard surfacescience tools. One potential direction to advance the device surface characterization is *in-situ* measurements [310, 329] in realistic chemical environments and pressures during the semiconductor surface reactions, instead of before and after the interface formation. Indeed catalysis community has taken such a step forward in metal surface studies to avoid so-called pressure gap [330–332].

### 4.7. Is it possible to avoid high-temperature degradation of SiC surface properties?

SiC is an emergent semiconductor in industry, which has been utilized particularly in devices where Si and III–V do not perform properly for commercial purposes. Such applications include electronic and photonic devices used in harsh conditions like at high temperatures or/and under intense radiation. High-power transistors and ultraviolet and particles detectors are examples of industrial SiC devices [e.g. 333– 341]. 4H-SiC is the common crystal phase with a high indirect band gap of 3.3 eV which enables a low thermal-generation dark current even at elevated temperatures and a solar-blind UV detection (250–280 nm), as compared to the common Si detectors.

One specific challenge in manufacturing SiC devices is high temperature treatments up to 1700 °C which are mainly needed to activate the doping after implantation and to fabricate alloyed Ohmic contacts. However, the high temperatures cause the formation of crystal defects both in bulk and at surface regions. Thus, one relevant question is how SiC surfaces can be protected during the high temperature treatments. Second, an alternative doping method(s) might be considered to prepare p-n junctions via the re-growth of doped SiC layers at lowered temperatures. On the other hand, Schottky contact metal-semiconductor-metal structures provide an opportunity to develop SiC-based photodetectors via low temperature processing because the activation heating for doping implantation and alloyed Ohmic contacts are not necessarily needed. One benefit obtained from lowering the processing temperature is a decreased surface roughness which further has been found to decrease the dark leakage current of Schottky detectors [334].

Concerning the wet chemical cleaning of SiC, a very strong background knowledge obtained in the Si technology can be applied also in SiC processing. Furthermore, SiO<sub>2</sub> is a natural oxide for SiC but the SiC oxidation has been found to cause higher interface defect density as compared SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si [236–238, 342]. However, including nitrogen at SiO<sub>2</sub>/SiC has

been found to lead to a highly crystalline SiON/SiC interface (figure 19), which is also surprisingly stable in air [343-345]. This SiON/SiC with a (sqrt  $3 \times$  sqrt 3) structure is perhaps the only reconstruction so far which remains during prolonged air exposures. The high temperature exposure of SiC surfaces to H<sub>2</sub> and following N<sub>2</sub> gas flow at 1350 °C have been used to prepare this epitaxial SiON/SiC [343-345]. It is worth noting that no plasma source plasma source was used in preparing epitaxial SiON/SiC, consistent with the harmful plasma effects found for the crystallinity of GaN interfaces (figure 18). On the other hand, a plasma sources enables use of lower temperatures [346]. A thickness of the epitaxial SiON layer is 0.6 nm approximately, which provides a very potential tunneling barrier, for example, the photodetectors based on Schottky contacts (section 4.5). Future studies might clarify if it is possible to find low-temperature parameters to prepare crystalline SiON layers on SiC.

One relevant question to decrease the processing temperatures of SiC crystals concerns if it is possible to grow a highly doped layers at lowered temperatures with a reasonable crystalline quality and low defect density because the high temperature is particularly needed to activate the doping after implantation and to enable surface alloying SiC with metal(s) for Ohmic metal contacts. For such re-growth purposes of doped layers, the starting SiC surface properties have a significant effect. Concerning the SiC interface passivation, a specific feature of SiC devices is the required high-temperature operation up to 500 °C or even higher. This means that the SiC interface passivation needs to be unusually stable as well. Most likely, the hydrogen passivation used widely in the Si technology does not meet this high temperature stability.

### 4.8. Do two-dimensional semiconductors include surface defects?

In this article we have described the connecting subjects using examples of the traditional semiconductors. However, it is important to note there are several emergent material systems which are potential for future photonic devices. Indeed, it can be expected that use of novel materials increases in photonic industry as well, for example, via constructing hybrid materials with several critical interfaces. Two-dimensional (2D) semiconductors and perovskites are examples which have attracted great interest [e.g. 347–363]. Perovskite solar cells have been recently commercialized [347].

Some of the above-described issues such as the development of surface passivation and Ohmic contacts are relevant to the research of emergent materials too. Each system has still own specific challenges, which on the other hand provide new connection opportunities. Surface areas form a significant part of the whole 2D semiconductor materials. However, their surfaces should be relatively inert without the dangling bonds, as compared to many traditional semiconductors with the covalent bonding structure. We are not able to review all those groundbreaking studies of 2D semiconductors, not to mention for other emergent photonic materials. In contrast, we point out here couple interesting properties in relation to the items introduced in section 4.



**Figure 19.** Top: crystalline and stable insulator layer of SiON (0.6 nm) formed at 6H- and 4H-SiC(0001) surfaces when SiC was heated first in H<sub>2</sub> and then in N<sub>2</sub> at 1350 °C. STM images show a stepped surface with a well-ordered (sqrt 3) × (sqrt 3) structure. Reprinted figure with permission from Shirasawa *et al* [344]. Copyright 2007 by the American Physical Society. Bottom: potential atomic model for a crystalline SiON/SiC(0001) interface. Reproduced from Shirasawa *et al* [345]. Copyright 2011, with permission from Elsevier.

STM has been an essential tool to reveal a real structure and quality of practical 2D surfaces [348, 350, 354, 358]. Naturally, every material includes defects, and STM has been helpful to understand local defect formation at 2D surfaces also. Furthermore, the surface defects affect oxidation and metal contact formation on 2D semiconductors. Although the inherent inertness of 2D surfaces can be expected to decrease a rate of surface oxidation, it has been presented that it is not possible to avoid incorporation of oxygen atoms even into 2D surfaces, and how difficult it is to detect oxygen atoms [358]. On the other hand, it has been found that a proper oxygen incorporation does not cause the defect levels in the band gap [352, 358, 363], which supports a benefit of controlling the oxidation. Indeed, if we think the success of Si technology, one key factor has been a careful control of the Si surface oxidation. The second key factor has been controlling the electron and hole doping of semiconductors. Toward that, careful modification of the surface chemistry and doping is highly relevant [e.g. 359, 362, 364–366] because the traditional methods for bulklike doping of 3D crystals cannot be efficiently employed. We assume that the whole semiconductor field gets a benefit from the development of novel surface passivation, doping, and contact methods for 2D materials.

#### 5. Summary

We hope that the presented article is useful to both communities: photonics and surface science, encouraging two communities to increase bilateral collaboration. Photonic device researchers and surface physicists have typically approached the semiconductor surface properties from different perspectives with different methods. Our aim has been to discuss some semiconductor surface-related issues which might provide extra synergy between the two disciplines. The common goal for the reviewed subjects is to decrease the semiconductor surface-related optoelectronic losses in photonic devices by adding understanding of semiconductor interfaces.

Wet chemical treatment(s) is the basic procedure in manufacturing any semiconductor device. The literature review indicates that Si(111) might be an exception among semiconductor surfaces because a proper wet chemical treatment provides almost an ideal, atomically smooth and well crystalline H-terminated Si(111)(1 × 1)-H surface, as evidenced by STM. However, a cleaned semiconductor surface is not necessarily crystalline in general. Future studies will clarify whether the high-quality starting surface, similar to Si(111)(1 × 1)-H, is obtained by wet chemical methods for other semiconductors and crystalline planes, of which understanding is relevant to the development of three-dimensional device structures such as mesa sidewalls, nanowires and laser diode mirrors.

The smoothness and ordering of a starting semiconductor surface affects sharpness and crystallinity of an interface grown on the semiconductor. In addition to the wet chemistry, dry cleaning methods and their effects on surface structures provide a complementary connecting subject. STM has been found to be a very useful characterization method to understand which treatments are most potential to improve the atomic level smoothness and order on semiconductor surfaces.

It is not possible to avoid the incorporation of oxygen atoms at semiconductor interfaces of devices. Thus, it is relevant to develop the methods for controlling the oxygen incorporation and the properties of semiconductor surface oxides. The surface oxidation typically increases the disorder in the atomic structure, which further increases the point defect density. The computational physics methods have provided irreplaceable atomic-scale information about defect-level formation and electronic band structures of oxidized semiconductor surfaces. Various theoretical models, which provide the semiconductor band gap free of defect levels, have been constructed for oxide/semiconductor systems giving a guideline to prepare the interfaces for low-loss devices in practice. Still preparing an insulator/semiconductor interface with the gap level density lower than  $1 \cdot 10^{10}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> eV<sup>-1</sup> is a great challenge for many devices. Toward that target, it is probably crucial to find for each semiconductor a proper combination of different techniques available in a large arsenal of the semiconductor passivation methods.

Metal/semiconductor interfaces are even more challenging concerning the carriers' surface recombination because of the presence of MIGS, in addition to the point-defect induced gap levels. Because many photonic devices do not require ultralow contact resistivity for their high performance, the ultrathin insulator tunneling barriers are under development to reduce the effect of MIGS. Creating proper gap levels in the area of a tunneling barrier is expected to enhance the carriers' transmission through such a passivated and selective contact.

SiC is an emergent device material of which interfaces are a challenge. It is expected that low temperature methods to increase the surface doping and to prepare the Ohmic contacts will reduce the high-temperature induced surface degradation and energy consumption in SiC device manufacturing. The device durability criteria in harsh operation conditions set also unusual requirements for the passivation of SiC device interfaces.

#### Data availability statement

All data that support the findings of this study are included within the article.

#### Acknowledgments

This work has been supported by the following Research Council of Finland projects: COLO #338973, COLO #338974, HyperGer #354199, and NIR #331313 and via to the Flagship on Photonics Research and Innovation 'PREIN' funded by Academy of Finland. This work has been supported also by the following Business Finland projects: FemtoBlack #7479/31/2019 and SISUPROCO #1671/31/2018.

#### Appendix

Table 1 shows selected surface recombination velocities measured for Si, Ge, IIII–V, and SiC interfaces with some other properties, in chronological order. Footnotes:  $\tau_{eff}$  and  $S_{eff,max}$  are determined at injection level of  ${}^{a}7 \times 10^{14}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>,

Substrate								
Passivation layer	Type Si	Thickness (µm)	Resistivity (Ω cm)	$ au_{ m eff}$ ( $\mu$ s)	$S_{\rm eff,max}~({\rm cm~s^{-1}})$	$D_{\rm it}~({\rm cm}^{-2}{\rm eV}^{-1})$	$Q_{\rm tot}~({\rm cm}^{-2})$	References
Measured during HF-based immersion	n-Si	250	150		0.25	$(2.5 \times 10^7)$	No significant band bending	[161]
SiO <sub>2</sub>	p-Si		Boron doping by diffusion $3 \times 10^{19}$ cm <sup>-3</sup>		1640			[367]
Metal film of Al	p-Si		Boron diffused surface $3 \times 10^{19}$ cm <sup>-3</sup>		1 × 10 <sup>5</sup>			[367]
PECVD SiN	p-Si		1		4			[368]
$SiN_x^{b}$	n-Si	275	90	10000	1.38			[369]
$Al_2O_3$ by plasma ALD + post heating in N <sub>2</sub> at $425 \ ^{\circ}C$	n-Si	275	2	7000	2		~10 <sup>13</sup>	[370]
$Al_2O_3{}^b$	Textured p-Si	525	17–24	$\sim \! 10000$	22			[371]
$Al_2O_3{}^b$	Textured n-Si	445	$3.37\pm0.5$	3000	7		$-9.9  imes 10^{12}$	[372]
SiO <sub>2</sub> <sup>b</sup>	n-Si	195	1	5643	0.4	$3 \times 10^{11}$	$1.7 \times 10^{12}$	[261]
$ZnO:B^{e}$	n-Si	280	3	1530	4.8			[373]
TOPCon $(a-SiC_x)^c$	n-Si	480	1000	500 000	(0.048)		12	[374]
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /SiO <sub>2</sub> multilayers <sup>b</sup>	n-Si	200	10	19 000	(0.53)		$-1 \times 10^{13}$	[375]
Hot-water induced	Sidewalls of				50			[376]
SiO <sub>2</sub>	Si(110) Ge							
Wet oxidation	n-Ge	300	10		80			[377]
Dry oxidation	p-Ge	300	10		80			[377]
Amorphous silicon	p-Ge	170	10	530	17		a 12	[378]
Amorphous Si:H/Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> <sup>b</sup>	n-Ge	$325 \pm 25$	1–3		7		$-9 \times 10^{12}$	[379]
$Al_2O_3^a$	n-Ge	175	18–25	1400	7		$-2.3 \times 10^{12}$	[380]
$a-SiC_x/Al_2O_3^b$	p-Ge	175	1.2		18		$-3.2 \times 10^{12}$	[381]
SiN <sub>x</sub> <sup>c</sup>	n-Ge	175	5–15	150	58	11	$1 \times 10^{12}$	[382]
$SiN_x/Al_2O_3^c$	n-Ge	175	5–15	500	17	$4 \times 10^{11}$	$-1.6 \times 10^{11}$	[382]
$SiO_2/Al_2O_3^c$	n-Ge	189	17–39		1.3	$5 \times 10^{11}$	$8.5 \times 10^{11}$	[383]
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> "	Textured n-Ge III–V	187	18–25		~30			[384]
Ga <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	n- or p-GaAs				4000			[385]
Without	Bare n-GaAs or p-GaAs				$1 \times 10^7$			[385]
Wet chemical (NH <sub>4</sub> )2S	InAs/GaSb stack				1000			[386]
Without passivation	InP nanowires				170			[387]
$(NH_4)2S + SiO_2$ Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	InGaAsP InAsSb nanowires				4000 1000			[388] [389]

**Table 1.** Comparison of surface recombination velocities for selected semiconductors, which vary depending on a surface structure and its passivation.

(Continued.)

 Table 1. (Continued.)

Passivation layer		Substrate				References
	Type Si	Thickness ( $\mu$ m)	Resistivity (Ω cm)	$ au_{ m eff}$ ( $\mu$ s)	$S_{\rm eff,max} \ ({\rm cm} \ {\rm s}^{-1}) \ D_{\rm it} \ ({\rm cm}^{-2} \ {\rm eV}^{-1}) \ Q_{\rm tot} \ ({\rm cm}^{-2})$	
Chemical mechanical polished wafer	SiC Silicon terminated 4H-SiC			1.4	2000	[390]
Chemical mechanical polished wafer	Carbon- terminated 4H-SiC			1.2	5500	[390]
Mesa sidewall Oxidized surface at 1400 °C	4H-SiC Epitaxial 4H-SiC	250	n-type doping $5 \times 10^{13}$ cm <sup>-</sup>	600	$6  imes 10^5$	[391] [392]

*Note:* The inherent bulk carrier lifetime (equation 1) is smaller for direct band-gap III-V crystals than for indirect band-gap semiconductors due to a high radiative recombination rate in III-V crystals.

 ${}^{b}1 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ,  ${}^{c}1 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ,  ${}^{d}2 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and  ${}^{e}5 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ . Values in parenthesis are calculated from reported values.

#### **ORCID** iDs

Pekka Laukkanen b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4220-985X Marko Punkkinen b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4952-0616 Xiaolong Liu b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1976-492X Behrad Radfar b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4261-3580 Ville Vähänissi b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2681-5609 Hele Savin https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3946-7727 Teemu Hakkarainen b https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6758-2496

#### References

- Ohl R S 1946 Light-sensitive electric device US Patent 2402662 Issued 25–06-1946
- [2] Chapin D M, Fuller C and Pearson G 1954 A new silicon p-n junction photocell for converting solar radiation into electrical power J. Appl. Phys. 25 676
- [3] Perlin J 2002 From Space to Earth, the Story of Solar Electricity (First Harvard University Press)
- [4] Nicollian E H and Brews J R 1982 MOS (Metal Oxide Semiconductor) Physics and Technology (Wiley)
- [5] Basset R K 2002 To the Digital Age: Research Labs, Start up Companies, and the Rise of MOS Technology (Johns Hopkins University Press)
- [6] Lockwood D J and Pavesi L (eds) 2011 Silicon photonics II Component and Integration (Springer)
- [7] Siew S Y *et al* 2021 Review of silicon photonics technology and platform development *J. Lightwave Technol.* **39** 4374
- [8] Guo C and Wan Y 2022 Light people: prof. John Bowers spoke about silicon photonics *Light Sci. Appl.* 11 345
- [9] Panish M B, Hayashi I and Sumski S 1970 Double-heterostructure injection lasers with room-temperature thresholds as low as 2300 A/cm<sup>2</sup> Appl. Phys. Lett. 16 326
- [10] Dupuis R D, Dapkus P D, Holonyak N Jr, Rezek E A and Chin R 1978 Room temperature operation of quantum-well Ga(1-x)Al(x)As-GaAs laser diodes grown by

metalorganic chemical vapor deposition *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **32** 295

- [11] Amano H, Kito M, Hiramatsu K and Akasaki I 1989 P-type conduction in Mg-doped GaN treated with low-energy electron beam irradiation (LEEBI) *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* 28 L2112
- [12] Nakamura S, Mukai T and Senoh M 1994 Candela-class high-brightness InGaN/AIGaN double-heterostructure blue-light-emitting Appl. Phys. Lett. 64 1687
- [13] Rogalski A 2022 Scaling infrared detectors—status and outlook *Rep. Prog. Phys.* 85 126501
- [14] Wilmsen W 1985 Physics and Chemistry of III–V Compound Semiconductor Interfaces (Plenum)
- [15] Chabal Y J (ed) 2001 Fundamental Aspects of Silicon Oxidation (Springer)
- [16] Demkov A A and Navrotsky A (eds) 2005 Materials Fundamentals of Gate Dielectrics (Springer)
- [17] Oktyabrsky S and Ye P D (eds) 2010 Fundamentals of III–V Semiconductor MOSFETs (Springer)
- [18] Schnable G L, Kern W and Comizzoli R B 1975 Passivation coatings on silicon devices J. Electrochem. Soc. 122 1092
- [19] Aberle A G 2000 Surface passivation of crystalline silicon solar cells: a review *Prog. Photovolt.* 8 473
- [20] Lebedev M V 2002 Surface modification of III–V semiconductors: chemical processes and electronic properties *Prog. Surf. Sci* 70 153
- [21] Chui C O, Ito F and Saraswat K C 2006 Nanoscale germanium MOS dielectrics—part I: germanium oxynitrides IEEE Trans. Electron Devices 53 1501
- [22] Ye P D 2008 Main determinants for III–V metal-oxide-semiconductor field-effect transistors J Vac. Sci. Technol. A 26 697
- [23] Hasegawa H and Akazawa M 2008 Interface models and processing technologies for surface passivation and interface control in III–V semiconductor nanoelectronics *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 254 8005
- [24] Wallace R M, McIntyre P C, Kim J and Nishi Y 2009 Atomic layer deposition of dielectrics on Ge and III–V materials for ultrahigh performance transistors *MRS Bull.* 34 493
- [25] Hinkle C L, Vogel E M, Ye P D and Wallace R M 2011 Interfacial chemistry of oxides on In<sub>x</sub>Ga<sub>(1-x)</sub>As and implications for MOSFET applications *Curr. Opin. Solid State Mater. Sci.* 15 188

- [26] Simoen E et al 2012 Challenges and opportunities in advanced Ge pMOSFETs Mater. Sci. Semicond. Process. 15 588
- [27] Dingemans G and Kessels W M M 2012 Status and prospects of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-based surface passivation schemes for silicon solar cells J Vac. Sci. Technol. A 30 040802
- [28] He G, Chen X S and Sun Z Q 2013 Interface engineering and chemistry of Hf-based high-k dielectrics on III–V substrates Surf. Sci. Rep. 68 68
- [29] Hurley P K et al 2013 The characterization and passivation of fixed oxide charges and interface states in the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/InGaAs MOS system *IEEE Trans. Device Mater. Reliab.* 13 429
- [30] Robertson J and Wallace R M 2015 High-K materials and metal gates for CMOS applications *Mater. Sci. Eng.* 88 1
- [31] Allen T G, Bullock J, Yang X B, Javey A and De Wolf S 2019 Passivating contacts for crystalline silicon solar cells *Nat. Energy* 4 914
- [32] Hermle M, Feldmann F, Bivour M, Goldschmidt J C and Glunz S W 2020 Passivating contacts and tandem concepts: approaches for the highest silicon-based solar cell efficiencies *Appl. Phys. Rev.* 7 021305
- [33] Raj V, Haggren T, Wong W W, Tan H H and Jagadish C 2022 Topical review: pathways toward cost-effective single-junction III–V solar cells J. Appl. Phys. 55 143002
- [34] Boland J J 1993 Scanning tunnelling microscopy of the interaction of hydrogen with silicon surfaces Adv. Phys.
   42 129
- [35] Waltenburg H N and Yates J T Jr 1995 Surface chemistry of silicon Chem. Rev. 95 1589
- [36] Neddermeyer H 1996 Scanning tunnelling microscopy of semiconductor surfaces *Rep. Prog. Phys.* 59 701
- [37] Duke C B 1996 Semiconductor surface reconstruction: the structural chemistry of two-dimensional surface compounds *Chem. Rev.* 96 1237
- [38] Xue Q K, Hashizume T and Sakurai T 1997 Scanning tunneling microscopy of III–V compound semiconductor (001) surfaces Prog. Surf. Sci. 56 1
- [39] Ebert P 2001 Atomic structure of point defects in compound semiconductor surfaces *Curr. Opin. Solid State Mater. Sci.* 5 211
- [40] Schmidt W G 2002 III–V compound semiconductor (001) surfaces Appl. Phys. A 75 89
- [41] Zandvliet H J W 2003 The Ge(001) surface Phys. Rep. 388 1
- [42] Uda T, Shigekawa H, Sugawara Y, Mizuno S, Tochihara H, Yamashita Y, Yoshinobu J, Nakatsuji K, Kawai H and Komori F 2004 Ground state of the Si(001) surface revisited—is seeing believing? *Prog. Surf. Sci.* 76 147
- [43] LaBella V P, Krause M R, Ding Z and Thibado P M 2005 Arsenic-rich GaAs(001) surface structure Surf. Sci. Rep. 60 1
- [44] Ohtake A 2008 Surface reconstructions on GaAs(001) Surf. Sci. Rep. 63 295
- [45] Green M A 2015 The passivated emitter and rear cell (PERC): from conception to mass production Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells 143 190
- [46] Guan X-Y, Leem J W, Lee S H, Jang H-J, Kim J-H, Hann S and Yu J S 2016 Fabrication and analysis of highly-reflective metal-dielectric mirrors for high-performance semiconductor laser applications *Curr. Appl. Phys.* 16 155
- [47] Wong M S, Hwang D, Alhassan A I, Lee C, Ley R, Nakamura S and DenBaars S P 2018 High efficiency of III-nitride micro-light-emitting diodes by sidewall passivation using atomic layer deposition *Opt. Express* 26 21324
- [48] Zangwill A 1988 Physics at Surfaces (Cambridge University Press)
- [49] Bechstedt F 2003 Principles of Surface Physics (Springer)

- [50] Lüth H 2001 Solid Surfaces, Interfaces and Thin Films (Springer)
- [51] Born M and Wolf E 2019 Principles of Optics (Cambridge University Press)
- [52] Ji C, Liu W, Bao Y, Chen X, Yang G, Wei B, Yang F and Wang X 2022 Recent applications of antireflection coatings in solar cells *Photonics* 9 906
- [53] Mehta V, Conkel C, Cochran A and Ravindra N M 2022 Materials for antireflection coatings in photovoltaics—an overview BT—TMS 2022 151<sup>st</sup> Annual Meeting & Exhibition Supplemental Proc. p 350
- [54] Wu J, Tu J, Li L, Xiao X, Hu K, Yu S, Xie Y, Yang Y and Wu H 2022 Gradient refractive index-based broadband antireflective coatings and application in silicon solar modules *Surf. Interface* **30** 101918
- [55] Wang W and Qi L 2019 Light management with patterned micro- and nanostructure arrays for photocatalysis, photovoltaics, and optoelectronic and optical devices Adv. Funct. Mater. 29 1807275
- [56] Liu X, Coxon P R, Peters M, Hoex B, Cole J M and Fray D J 2014 Black silicon: fabrication methods, properties and solar energy applications *Energy Environ. Sci.* 7 3223
- [57] Liu X, Radfar B, Chen K, Setala O E, Pasanen T P, Yli-Koski M, Savin H and Vahanissi V 2022 Perspectives on black silicon in semiconductor manufacturing: experimental comparison of plasma etching, MACE, and Fs-laser etching *IEEE Trans. Semicond. Manuf.* 35 504
- [58] Tommila J, Aho A, Tukiainen A, Polojärvi V, Salmi J, Niemi T and Guina M 2013 Moth-eye antireflection coating fabricated by nanoimprint lithography on 1eV dilute nitride solar cell *Prog. Photovolt.* 21 1158
- [59] Huang Y-F *et al* 2007 Improved broadband and quasi-omnidirectional anti-reflection properties with biomimetic silicon nanostructures *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 2 770
- [60] Ortega P et al 2020 Black silicon back-contact module with wide light acceptance angle Prog. Photovolt., Res. Appl. 28 210
- [61] Juntunen M A, Heinonen J, Vähänissi V, Repo P, Valluru D and Savin H 2016 Near-unity quantum efficiency of broadband black silicon photodiodes with an induced junction *Nat. Photon.* **10** 777
- [62] Mou N, Liu X, Wei T, Dong H, He Q, Zhou L, Zhang Y, Zhang L and Sun S 2020 Large-scale, low-cost, broadband and tunable perfect optical absorber based on phase-change material *Nanoscale* 12 5374
- [63] Kumar R, Singh B K and Pandey P C 2022 Broadband metamaterial absorber in the visible region using a petal-shaped resonator for solar cell applications *Physica* E 142 115327
- [64] Jiang H et al 2022 Polarization-independent, tunable, broadband perfect absorber based on semi-sphere patterned epsilon-near-zero films Appl. Surf. Sci. 596 153551
- [65] Ho W-J, Liu J-J, Yang Y-C and Ho C-H 2018 Enhancing output power of textured silicon solar cells by embedding indium plasmonic nanoparticles in layers within antireflective coating *Nanomaterials* 8 1003
- [66] Jestin Y, Chandra S, Cass B, Ahmed H and McCormack S J 2022 Down-shifting of the incident light for photovoltaic applications *Comprehen. Renew. Energy* 1 534
- [67] Ghazy A, Safdar M, Lastusaari M, Savin H and Karppinen M 2021 Advances in upconversion enhanced solar cell performance Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells 230 111234
- [68] Lee K K, Lim D R, Luan H-C, Agarwal A, Foresi J and Kimerling L C 2000 Effect of size and roughness on light transmission in a waveguide: experiments and model *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **77** 1617

- [69] Sparacin D K, Spector S J and Kimerling L C 2005 Silicon waveguide sidewall smoothing by wet chemical oxidation *J. Lightwave Technol.* 23 2455
- [70] Borselli M, Johnson T J, Michael C P, Henry M D and Painter O 2007 Surface encapsulation for low-loss silicon photonics Appl. Phys. Lett. 91 131117
- [71] Kim J 2009 Effect of free-carrier absorption on the carrier dynamics of quantum-dot semiconductor optical amplifiers J. Korean Phys. Soc. 55 512
- [72] Grillanda S and Morichetti F 2015 Light-induced metal-like surface of silicon photonic waveguides *Nat. Commun.* 6 8181
- [73] Alloatti L, Koos C and Leuthold J 2015 Optical loss by surface transfer doping in silicon waveguides *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 107 031107
- [74] Zhang X, Shi G, Leveillee J A, Giustino F and Kioupakis E 2022 Ab initio theory of free-carrier absorption in semiconductors Phys. Rev. B 106 205203
- [75] Brugger H and Epperlein P W 1990 Mapping of local temperatures on mirrors of GaAs/AlGaAs laser diodes *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 56 1049
- [76] Tang W C, Rosen H J, Vettiger P and Webb D J 1991 Raman microprobe study of the time development of AlGaAs single quantum well laser facet temperature on route to catastrophic breakdown Appl. Phys. Lett. 58 557
- [77] Tang W C, Rosen H J, Vettiger P and Webb D J 1991 Evidence for current-density-induced heating of AlGaAs single-quantum-well laser facets *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 59 1005
- [78] Epperlein P W and Bona G L 1993 Influence of the vertical structure on the mirror facet temperatures of visible GaInP quantum well lasers Appl. Phys. Lett. 62 3074
- [79] Epperlein P W, Buchmann P and Jakubowicz A 1993 Lattice disorder, facet heating and catastrophic optical mirror damage of AlGaAs quantum well lasers *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 62 455
- [80] Mansanares A M, Roger J P, Fournier D and Boccara A C 1994 Temperature field determination of InGaAsP/InP lasers by photothermal microscopy: evidence for weak nonradiative processes at the facets Appl. Phys. Lett. 64 4
- [81] Eliseev P G 1996 Optical strength of semiconductor laser materials Prog. Quantum Electron. 20 1
- [82] Walker C L, Bryce A C and Marsh J H 2002 Improved catastrophic optical damage level from laser with nonabsorbing mirrors *IEEE Photonics Technol. Lett.* 14 1394
- [83] Wawer D, Tomm J W, Pierscinski K and Bugajski M 2005 Analysis of high-power diode laser thermal properties by micro-Raman spectroscopy Opt. Appl. 35 555
- [84] Ziegler M, Tomm J W, Zeimer U and Elsaesser T 2010 Imaging catastrophic optical mirror damage in high-power diode lasers J. Electr. Mater. 39 709
- [85] Souto J, Pura J L and Jimenez J 2019 Thermomechanical issues of high power laser diode catastrophic optical damage J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 52 343002
- [86] Boschker J E, Spengler U, Ressel P, Schmidbauer M, Mogilatenko A and Knigge A 2022 Stability of ZnSe-passivated laser facets cleaved in air and in ultra-high vacuum *IEEE Photon. J.* 14 1531606
- [87] Hempel M, Dadgostar S, Jiménez J, Kernke R, Gollhardt A and Tomm J W 2022 Catastrophic optical damage in semiconductor lasers: physics and new results on InGaN high-power diode lasers *Phys. Stat. Sol.* **16** 2100527
- [88] Streetman B G and Banarjee S 1999 Solid State Electronic Devices (Prentice Hall)
- [89] Schroder D K 2005 Semiconductor Material and Device Characterization (Wiley)
- [90] Tung R T 2014 The physics and chemistry of the Schottky barrier height Appl. Phys. Rev. 1 011304

- [91] Mooney J M and Silverman J 1985 The theory of hot-electron photoemission in Schottky-barrier IR detectors *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* 32 33
- [92] Rahman A, Bates C W Jr, Lowe W P and Marshall A F 2000 Transmission electron microscopy study of PtSi/Si (p-type) composites grown on Si(111) substrates *Mater*. *Sci. Eng.* B 77 241
- [93] Horng G-J, Chang C-Y, Ho C, Lee C-Y and Huang T Y 2000 The effects of growth temperature on the microstructure and electrical barrier height in PtSi/p-Si 100 Schottky barrier detector *Thin Solid Films* 374 84
- [94] Zhu S Y, Lo G Q and Kwong D L 2008 Low-cost and high-speed SOI waveguide-based silicide Schottky-barrier MSM photodetectors for broadband optical communications *IEEE Photonics Technol. Lett.* 20 1396
- [95] Zhu S, Lo G Q, Yu M B and Kwong D L 2009 Silicide Schottky-barrier phototransistor integrated in silicon channel waveguide for in-line power monitoring *IEEE Photonics Technol. Lett.* **21** 185
- [96] Robertson J and Lin L 2011 Bonding and gap states at GaAs-oxide interfaces *Microelectron. Eng.* 88 373
- [97] Gwozdz K and Kolkovsky V 2021 Silver- and silver-hydrogen-related defects in silicon *Phys. Status Solidi* a 218 2100217
- [98] Ni C-N et al 2015 Ultra-low contact resistivity with highly doped Si:P contact for n-MOSFET Symposium on VLSI Technology Digest of Technical Papers
- [99] Lu S, Deki M, Wang J, Ohnishi K, Ando Y, Kumabe T, Watanabe H, Nitta S, Honda Y and Amano H 2021 Ohmic contact on low-doping-density p-type GaN with nitrogen-annealed Mg Appl. Phys. Lett. 119 242104
- [100] Shen C-H, Yang R-L, Gong H-L, Zhu L-H, Gao Y-L, Chen G-L, Chen Z and Lu Y-J 2023 Optical power degradation mechanisms in 271 nm AlGaN-based deep ultraviolet light-emitting diodes Opt. Express 31 20265
- [101] Schroder D K 1997 Carrier lifetimes in silicon *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* 44 160
- [102] Caruso E, Lin J, Monaghan S, Cherkaoui K, Gity F, Palestri P, Esseni D, Selmi L and Hurley P K 2020 The role of oxide traps aligned with the semiconductor energy gap in MOS systems *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* 67 4372
- [103] O'Connor E, Cherkaoui K, Monaghan S, Sheehan B, Povey I M and Hurley P K 2015 Effect of forming gas annealing on the inversion response and minority carrier generation lifetime of n and p-In<sub>0.53</sub>Ga<sub>0.47</sub>As MOS capacitors *Microelectron. Eng.* **147** 325
- [104] Yuan Y, Wang L, Yu B, Shin B, Ahn J, McIntyre P C, Asbeck P M, Rodwell M J W and Taur Y 2011 A distributed model for border traps in Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>–InGaAs MOS devices *IEEE Electron Device Lett.* 32 485
- [105] Stemmer S, Chobpattana V and Rajan S 2012 Frequency dispersion in III–V metal-oxide-semiconductor capacitors *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **100** 233510
- [106] Galatage R V, Zhernokletov D M, Dong H, Brennan B, Hinkle C L, Wallace R M and Vogel E M 2014 Accumulation capacitance frequency dispersion of III–V metal-insulator-semiconductor devices due to disorder induced gap states J. Appl. Phys. 116 014504
- [107] Vais A et al 2015 Temperature dependence of frequency dispersion in III–V metal-oxide-semiconductor C-V and the capture/emission process of border traps Appl. Phys. Lett. 107 053504
- [108] Krylov I, Ritter D and Eizenberg M 2015 The physical origin of dispersion in accumulation in InGaAs based metal oxide semiconductor gate stacks J. Appl. Phys. 117 174501
- [109] Lin J, Monaghan S, Cherkaoui K, Povey I, O'Connor E, Sheehan B and Hurley P 2015 A study of capacitance–voltage hysteresis in the HfO<sub>2</sub>/InGaAs

metal-oxide-semiconductor system *Microelectron. Eng.* **147** 273

- [110] Ren B, Sumiya M, Liao M, Koide Y, Liu X, Shen Y and Sang L 2018 Interface trap characterization of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/GaN vertical-type MOS capacitors on GaN substrate with surface treatments J. Alloys Compd. 767 600
- [111] Lu C-Y, Chang-Liao K-S, Lu C-C, Tsai P-H and Wang T-K 2007 Detection of border trap density and energy distribution along the gate dielectric bulk of high-κ gated MOS devices *IEEE Electron Device Lett.* 28 432
- [112] Mönch W 2001 Semiconductor Surfaces and Interfaces (Springer)
- [113] Yamasaki T, Kato K, Uda T, Yamamoto T and Ohno T 2016 First-principles theory of Si(110)-(16×2) surface reconstruction for unveiling origin of pentagonal scanning tunneling microscopy images *Appl. Phys. Express* 9 035501
- [114] Kahn A 1994 Thirty years of atomic and electronic structure determination of surfaces of tetrahedrally coordinated compound semiconductors *Surf. Sci.* 299–300 469
- [115] Srivastava G P 1997 Theory of semiconductor surface reconstruction *Rep. Prog. Phys.* 60 561
- [116] Rad Z J et al 2020 Decreasing interface defect densities via silicon oxide passivation at temperatures below 450C ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 12 46933
- [117] Pehlke E and Scheffler M 1993 Evidence for site-sensitive screening of core holes at the Si and Ge (001) surface *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71** 2338
- [118] Robertson J, Guo Y and Lin L 2015 Defect state passivation at III–V oxide interfaces for complementary metal–oxide–semiconductor devices J. Appl. Phys. 117 112806
- [119] Ono M, Kamoshida A, Matsuura N, Ishikawa E, Eguchi T and Hasegawa Y 2003 Dimer buckling of the Si(001)2×1 surface below 10 K observed by low-temperature scanning tunneling microscopy *Phys. Rev.* B 67 201306(R)
- [120] Abukawa T, Wei C M, Yoshimura K and Kono S 2000 Direct method of surface structure determination by Patterson analysis of correlated thermal diffuse scattering for Si(001)2×1 Phys. Rev. B 62 16069
- [121] Hamers R J, Tromp R M and Demuth J E 1986 Scanning tunneling microscopy of Si(001) *Phys. Rev.* B **34** 5343
- [122] Wolkow R A 1992 Direct observation of an increase in buckled dimers on Si(001) at low temperature *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 68 2636
- [123] Gurlu O, Zandvliet H J W and Poelsema B 2004 Electronic properties of (2×1) and c(4×2) domains on Ge(001) studied by scanning tunneling spectroscopy *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 93 066101
- [124] Ferrer S, Torrelles X, Etgens V H, Vandervegt H A and Fajardo P 1995 Atomic structure of the c(4×2) surface reconstruction of Ge(001) as determined by x-ray diffraction *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75** 1771
- [125] Johansson L S O, Uhrberg R I G, Mårtensson P and Hansson G V 1990 Surface-state band structure of the Si(100)2×1 surface studied with polarization-dependent angle-resolved photoemission on single-domain surfaces *Phys. Rev.* B 42 1305
- [126] Landemark E and Uhrberg R I G 1990 Surface electronic structure of Ge(001)2×1: experiment and theory Surf. Sci. Lett. 236 L359
- [127] Landernark E, Karlsson C J, Johansson L S O and Uhrberg R I G 1994 Electronic structure of clean and hydrogen-chemisorbed Ge(001) surfaces studied by photoelectron spectroscopy *Phys. Rev.* B 49 16523
- [128] Santoni A and Dhanak V R 2003 Electronic structure of the high-temperature Ge(100) surface studied by valence band photoemission Surf. Sci. 537 L423

- [129] Seo H, Hatch R C, Ponath P, Choi M, Posadas A B and Demkov A A 2014 Critical differences in the surface electronic structure of Ge(001) and Si(001): *ab initio* theory and angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy *Phys. Rev.* B **89** 115318
- [130] Kuzmin M, Laukkanen P, Mäkelä J, Tuominen M, Yasir M, Dahl J, Punkkinen M P J and Kokko K 2016 Origin of Fermi-level pinning and its control on the n-type Ge(100) surface *Phys. Rev.* B **94** 035421
- [131] Takayanagi K, Tanishiro Y, Takahashi S and Takahashi M 1985 Structure analysis of Si(111)-7×7 reconstructed surface by transmission electron diffraction *Surf. Sci.* 164 367
- [132] Uhrberg R I G, Hansson G V, Karlsson U O, Nicholls J M, Persson P E S, Flodström S A, Engelhardt R and Koch E-E 1985 Bulk and surface electronic structures of Si(111)2×1 and Si(111)7×7 studied by angle-resolved photoelectron spectroscopy *Phys. Rev.* B **31** 3795
- [133] Nicholls J M, Reihl B and Northrup J E 1987 Unoccupied surface states revealing the Si(111) $\sqrt{3}$   $\sqrt{3}$  -Al, -Ga, and -In adatom geometries *Phys. Rev.* B **35** 4137(R)
- [134] Nicholls J M and Reihl B 1987 Adatom electronic structure of the Si(111)7×7 surface Phys. Rev. B 36 8071
- [135] Allen F G and Gobeli G W 1964 Comparison of the photoelectric properties of cleaved, heated, and sputtered silicon surfaces J. Appl. Phys. 35 597
- [136] Laukkanen P, Punkkinen M P, Kuzmin M, Kokko K, Lång J and Wallace R M 2021 Passivation of III–V surfaces with crystalline oxidation *Appl. Phys. Rev.* 8 011309
- [137] Smith A R, Feenstra R M, Greve D W, Shin M-S, Skowronski M, Neugebauer J and Northrup J E 1998 Reconstructions of GaN(0001) and (000) surfaces: Ga-rich metallic structures J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B 16 2242
- [138] Eremtchenko M, Tautz F S, Öttking R, Polyakov V M, Schwierz F, Cherkashinin G and Schaefer J A 2005 Surface phonons of clean and hydrogen terminated Si(110) surfaces Surf. Sci. 582 159
- [139] Nagasawa T, Shiba S and Sueoka K 2011 First-principles study on initial stage of oxidation on Si(110) surface *Phys. Status Solidi* c 8 717
- [140] Schmidt W G, Bechstedt F and Srivastava G P 1996
   Adsorption of group-V elements on III–V (110) surfaces
   Surf. Sci. Rep. 25 141
- [141] Pulci O, Palummo M, Shkrebtii A J, Onida G and Del Sole R 1999 Theoretical study of the surface optical properties of clean and hydrogenated GaAs(110) *Phys. Status Solidi* a 175 71
- [142] Nannarone S and Pedio M 2003 Hydrogen chemisorption on III–V semiconductor surfaces Surf. Sci. Rep. 51 1
- [143] Schmidt W G and Bechstedt F 1996 Geometry and electronic structure of GaAs(001)(2x4) reconstructions *Phys. Rev.* B 54 16 742
- [144] Bacuyag D, Escano M C S, David M and Tani M 2018 First-principles study of structural, electronic, and optical properties of surface defects in GaAs(001)—β2(2x4) AIP Adv. 8 065012
- [145] Pashley M D, Haberern K W, Friday W, Woodall J M and Kirchner P D 1988 Structure of GaAs(001) (2×4)-c(2×8) determined by scanning tunneling microscopy *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 60 2176
- [146] Biegelsen D K, Bringans R D, Northrup J E and Swartz L-E
   1990 Surface reconstructions of GaAs(100) observed
   by scanning tunneling microscopy *Phys. Rev.* B
   41 5701
- [147] Northrup J E and Froyen S 1994 Structure of GaAs(001) surfaces: the role of electrostatic interactions *Phys. Rev.* B 50 2015

- [148] Hashizume T, Xue Q-K, Zhou J, Ichimiya A and Sakurai T 1995 Structures of As-rich GaAs(001)-(2x4) reconstructions *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 73 2208
- [149] Seino K, Schmidt W G and Ohtake A 2006 Ga-rich GaAs(001) surface from *ab initio* calculations: atomic structure of the  $(4\times 6)$  and  $(6\times 6)$  reconstructions *Phys. Rev.* B **73** 035317
- [150] Lee S-H, Moritz W and Scheffler M 2000 GaAs(001) surface under conditions of low As pressure: evidence for a novel surface geometry *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85** 3890
- [151] Laukkanen P, Pakarinen J, Ahola-Tuomi M, Kuzmin M, Peralä R E, Väyrynen I J, Tukiainen A, Konttinen J, Tuomisto P and Pessa M 2006 Structural and electronic properties of Bi-adsorbate-stabilized reconstructions on the InP(100) and GaAs<sub>x</sub>N<sub>1-x</sub>(100) surfaces *Phys. Rev.* B 74 155302
- [152] Ahola-Tuomi M, Laukkanen P, Perala R E, Kuzmin M, Pakarinen J, Väyrynen I J and Adell M 2006 Structural properties of Bi-terminated GaAs(001) surface *Surf. Sci.* 600 2349
- [153] Laukkanen P et al 2011 Ultrathin (1x2)-Sn layer on GaAs(100) and InAs(100) substrates: a catalyst for removal of amorphous surface oxides Appl. Phys. Lett. 98 231908
- [154] Munkholm A, Stephenson G B, Eastman J A, Thompson C, Fini P, Speck J S, Auciello O, Fuoss P H and DenBaars S P 1999 Surface structure of GaN(0001) in the chemical vapor deposition environment *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 83 741
- [155] Xue Q-K, Xue Q Z, Bakhtizin R Z, Hasegawa Y, Tsong I S T, Sakurai T and Ohno T 1999 Structures of GaN(0001)-(2x2), -(4x4), and -(5x5) surface reconstructions *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 82 3074
- [156] Northrup J E 2006 Oxygen-rich GaN (10–10) surfaces: first-principles total energy calculations *Phys. Rev.* B 73 115304
- [157] Dong Y, Feenstra R M and Northrup J E 2006 Oxidized GaN(0001) surfaces studied by scanning tunneling microscopy and spectroscopy and by first-principles theory J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B 24 2080
- [158] Mäkelä J et al 2016 Comparison of chemical, electronic, and optical properties of Mg-doped Al<sub>0.5</sub>Ga<sub>0.5</sub>N J. Phys. Chem. C 120 28591
- [159] Al-Quaiti F and Demkov A A 2021 Theoretical study of GaN (0001) surface reconstructions and La and Ga adatoms under N- and Ga-rich conditions *Phys. Rev. Mater.* 5 044602
- [160] Chabal Y J and Raghavachari K 1985 New ordered structure for the H-saturated Si(100) surface: the (3×1) phase *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 54 1055
- [161] Yablonovitch E, Allara D L, Chang C C, Gmitter T and Bright T B 1986 Unusually low surface-recombination velocity on silicon and germanium surfaces *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 57 249
- [162] Takahagi T, Nagai I, Ishitani A, Kuroda H and Nagasawa Y 1988 The formation of hydrogen passivated silicon single-crystal surfaces using ultraviolet cleaning and HF etching J. Appl. Phys. 64 3516
- [163] Kern W 1990 The evolution of silicon wafer cleaning technology J. Electrochem. Soc. 137 1887
- [164] Allongue P, Brune H and Gerischer H 1992 In situ STM observations of the etching of n-Si (111) in NaOH solutions Surf. Sci. 275 414
- [165] Meuris M, Mertens P W, Opdebeeck A, Schmidt H F, Depas M and Vereecke G 1995 The IMEC clean: a new concept for particle and metal removal on Si surfaces Sol. State Technol. 38 109
- [166] Morita Y and Tokumoto H 1995 Ideal hydrogen termination of Si(001) surface by wet chemical preparation *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 67 2654

- [167] Endo K, Arima K, Kataoka T, Oshikane Y, Inoue H and Mori Y 1998 Atomic structures of hydrogen-terminated Si(001) surfaces after wet cleaning by scanning tunneling microscopy *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **73** 1853
- [168] Ruzyllo J, Novak R E, Appel C M, Hattori T and Heyns M M, (eds) 1998 Proceedings of the fifth international symposium on cleaning technology in semiconductor device manufacturing *Proc. Electrochem. Soc* pp 97–35
- [169] Sakaue H, Fujiwara S, Shingubara S and Takahagi T 2001 Atomic-scale defect control on hydrogen-terminated silicon surface at wafer scale Appl. Phys. Lett. 78 309
- [170] Sakaue H, Taniguchi Y, Okamura Y, Shingubara S and Takahagi T 2004 Wet treatment for preparing atomically smooth Si(1 0 0) wafer surface *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 234 439
- [171] Kato H, Taoka T, Nishikata S, Sazaki G, Yamada T, Czajka R, Wawro A, Nakajima K, Kasuya A and Suto S 2007 Preparation of an ultraclean and atomically controlled hydrogen-terminated Si(111)-(1x1) surface revealed by high resolution electron energy loss spectroscopy, atomic force microscopy, and scanning tunneling microscopy: aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>F etching process of Si(111) Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 46 5701
- [172] Lu G-H, Huang M, Cuma M and Liu F 2005 Relative stability of Si surfaces: a first-principles study Surf. Sci. 588 61
- [173] Arima K, Katoh J, Horie S, Endo K, Ono T, Sugawa S, Akahori H, Teramoto A and Ohmi T 2005 Hydrogen termination of Si(110) surfaces upon wet cleaning revealed by highly resolved scanning tunneling microscopy J. Appl. Phys. 98 103525
- [174] Alperovich V L, Tereshchenko O E, Rudaya N S, Sheglov D V, Latyshev A V and Terekhov A S 2004 Surface passivation and morphology of GaAs(100) treated in HCl-isopropanol solution *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 235 249
- [175] Laukkanen P, Kuzmin M, Perälä R E, Vaara R-L and Väyrynen I J 2003 Scanning tunneling microscopy study of GaAs(100) surface prepared by HCl-isopropanol treatment Appl. Surf. Sci. 206 2
- [176] Tereshchenko O E, Placidi E, Paget D, Chiaradia P and Balzarotti A 2004 Well-ordered (100) InAs surfaces using wet chemical treatments *Surf. Sci.* 570 237
- [177] Tereshchenko O E 2006 Structure and composition of chemically prepared and vacuum annealed InSb(001) surfaces Appl. Surf. Sci. 252 7684
- [178] Mäkelä J, Jahanshah Rad Z, Lehtiö J-P, Tuominen M, Dahl J, Kuzmin M, Punkkinen M P J, Laukkanen P and Kokko K 2018 Crystalline oxide phases on InSb(111)B revealed with scanning tunneling microscopy and spectroscopy Sci. Rep. 8 14382
- [179] Ebrahimzadeh M, Granroth S, Vuori S, Punkkinen M, Miettinen M, Punkkinen R, Kuzmin M, Laukkanen P, Lastusaari M and Kokko K 2023 Wet chemical treatment and Mg doping of p-InP surfaces for Ohmic low-resistive metal contacts Adv. Eng. Mater. 25 2300762
- [180] Ponath P, Posadas A B and Demkov A A 2017 Ge(001) surface cleaning methods for device integration Appl. Phys. Rev. 4 021308
- [181] Dean J A 1992 Lange's Handbook of Chemistry (McGraw-Hill)
- [182] Ursenbach C P and Voth G A 1995 Effect of solvent on semiconductor surface electronic states: a first-principles study J. Chem. Phys. 103 7569
- [183] Singh D, Guo X, Alexeenko A, Murthy J Y and Fisher T S 2009 Modeling of subcontinuum thermal transport across semiconductor-gas interfaces J. Appl. Phys. 106 024314
- [184] Ursenbach C P, Calhoun A and Voth G A 1997 A first-principles simulation of the semiconductor/water interface J. Chem. Phys. 106 2811

- [185] Deal B E and Grove A S 1965 General relationship for the thermal oxidation of silicon J. Appl. Phys. 36 3770
- [186] Engel T 1993 The interaction of molecular and atomic oxygen with Si(100) and Si(111) Surf. Sci. Rep. 18 93
- [187] Fink C K, Nakamura K, Ichimura S and Jenkins S J 2009 Silicon oxidation by ozone J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 21 18300
- [188] Gusev E P, Lu H C, Gustafsson T and Garfunkel E 1995
   Growth mechanism of thin silicon oxide films on Si(100) studied by medium-energy ion scattering *Phys. Rev.* B 52 1759
- [189] Ogawa H, Ishikawa K, Inomata C and Fujimura S 1996 Initial stage of native oxide growth on hydrogen terminated silicon (111) surfaces J. Appl. Phys. 79 472
- [190] Angermann H, Dittrich T and Flietner H 1994 Investigation of native-oxide growth on HF-treated Si(111) surfaces by measuring the surface-state distribution *Appl. Phys.* A 59 193
- [191] Huefner S 1995 Photoelectron Spectroscopy (Springer)
- [192] Mårtensson N, Sokolowski E and Svensson S 2014 50 years anniversary of the discovery of the core level chemical shifts. The early years of photoelectron spectroscopy J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom. 193 27
- [193] Shard A G 2014 Detection limits in XPS for more than 6000 binary systems using Al and Mg Kα X-rays Surf. Int. Anal. 46 175
- [194] Fuchs F, Schmidt W G and Bechstedt F 2005 Initial stage of Si(001) surface oxidation from first-principles calculations *J. Phys. Chem.* B 109 17649
- [195] Jariwala B N, Ciobanu C V and Agarwal S 2011 Initial oxidation stages of hydrogen- and styrene-terminated Si(100) surfaces: a molecular dynamics study *Surf. Sci.* 605 L61
- [196] Hinkle C L, Sonnet A M, Vogel E M, McDonnell S and Hughes G 2007 Frequency dispersion reduction and bond conversion on n-type GaAs by in situ surface oxide removal and passivation *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **91** 163512
- [197] Engel-Herbert R, Hwang Y and Stemmer S 2010
   Comparison of methods to quantify interface trap densities at dielectric/IIIV semiconductor interfaces *J. Appl. Phys.* 108 124101
- [198] Yao B, Fang Z B, Zhu Y Y, Ji T and He G 2012 A model for the frequency dispersion of the high-k metal-oxide-semiconductor capacitance in accumulation *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **100** 222903
- [199] Wilson M, Lagowski J, Jastrzebski L, Savtchouk A and Faifer V 2001 COCOS (corona oxide characterization of semiconductor) non-contact metrology for gate dielectrics *AIP Conf. Proc.* 550 220
- [200] Schroder D K 2002 Contactless surface charge semiconductor characterization *Mater. Sci. Eng.* B 91–92 196
- [201] Haynes W M 2012 CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics (CRC Press)
- [202] Tompkins H H 2002 Vacuum Technology: A Beginning (AVS Monograph)
- [203] Imai S, Mizushima S, Kim W B and Kobayashi H 2008 Properties of thick SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si structure formed at 120 C by use of two-step nitric acid oxidation method *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 254 8054
- [204] Dingemans G, van de Sanden M C M and Kessels W M M 2011 Excellent Si surface passivation by low temperature SiO<sub>2</sub> using an ultrathin Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> capping film *Phys. Status Sol. RRL* 5 22
- [205] Laades A, Angermann H, Sperlich H-P, Stürzebecher U, Álvarez C A D, Bähr M and Lawerenz A 2013 Wet chemical oxidation of silicon surfaces prior to the deposition of all PECVD AlO<sub>x</sub>/a-SiN<sub>x</sub> passivation stacks for silicon solar cells *Sol. State Phenom.* **195** 310

- [206] Köhler M, Pomaska M, Lentz F, Finger F, Rau U and Ding K 2018 Wet-chemical preparation of silicon tunnel oxides for transparent passivated contacts in crystalline silicon solar cells ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 10 14259
- [207] Cheema S S et al 2022 Ultrathin ferroic HfO<sub>2</sub>-ZrO<sub>2</sub> superlattice gate stack for advanced transistors Nature 604 65
- [208] Rad Z J *et al* 2022 Effects of post treatment of oxide-silicon interfaces in ultrahigh vacuum below 400 °C Vacuum 202 111134
- [209] Niwa M, Okada K and Sinclair R 1996 Atomically flat, ultra thin-SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si(00l) interface formation by UHV heating *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 100–101 425
- [210] De Smedt F, De Gendt S, Claes M, Heyns M M, Vankerckhoven H and Vinckier C 2002 The increasing importance of the use of ozone in the microelectronics industry *Ozone Sci. Eng.* 24 379
- [211] Bakhshi S, Zin N, Ali H, Wilson M, Chanda D, Davis K O and Schoenfeld W V 2018 Simple and versatile UV-ozone oxide for silicon solar cell applications Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells 185 505
- [212] Berkovits V L, Ulin V P, Losurdo M, Capezzuto P, Bruno G, Perna G and Capozzi V 2002 Wet chemical nitridation of GaAs (100) by hydrazine solution for surface passivation *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **80** 3739
- [213] Alekseev P A, Dunaevskiy M S, Ulin V P, Lvova T V, Filatov D O, Nezhdanov A V, Mashin A I and Berkovits V L 2015 Nitride surface passivation of GaAs nanowires: impact on surface state density *Nano Lett.* 15 63
- [214] Zou X, Li C, Su X, Liu Y, Finkelstein-Shapiro D, Zhang W and Yartsev A 2020 Carrier recombination processes in GaAs wafers passivated by wet nitridation ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 12 28360
- [215] Chen J et al 2023 Formation and applications in electronic devices of lattice-aligned gallium oxynitride nanolayer on gallium nitride Adv. Mat. 35 2208960
- [216] Lahti A, Levämäki H, Mäkelä J, Tuominen M, Yasir M, Dahl J, Kuzmin M, Laukkanen P, Kokko K and Punkkinen M P J 2018 Electronic structure and relative stability of the coherent and semi-coherent HfO<sub>2</sub>/III–V interfaces *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **427** 243
- [217] Ourmazd A, Taylor D W, Rentschler J A and Bevk J 1987 Si->SiO<sub>2</sub> transformation: interfacial structure and mechanism *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **59** 213
- [218] Wilk G D, Wei Y, Edwards H and Wallace R M 1997 In situ Si flux cleaning technique for producing atomically flat Si(100) surfaces at low temperature *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 70 2288
- [219] Wilk G D, Wallace R M and Brar B P S 2006 Low temperature method for forming a thin, uniform oxide *Patent* US7030038B1
- [220] Stesmans A and Afanas'ev V V 1998 Electron spin resonance features of interface defects in thermal (100)Si/SiO<sub>2</sub> J. Appl. Phys. 83 2449
- [221] Stirling A, Pasquarello A, Charlier J and Car R 2000 Dangling bond defects at Si-SiO<sub>2</sub> interfaces: atomic structure of the P<sub>b1</sub> center *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 85 2773
- [222] Bongiorno A, Pasquarello A, Hybertsen M S and Feldman L C 2003 Transition structure at the Si(100)-SiO<sub>2</sub> interface *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 90 186101
- [223] Yamasaki T, Kato K and Uda T 2003 Oxidation of the Si(001) surface: lateral growth and formation of Pb0 centers *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91** 146102
- [224] Keunen K, Stesmans A and Afanas'ev V V 2011 Inherent interfacial Si dangling bond point defects in thermal (110)Si/SiO<sub>2</sub> Microelectron. Eng. 88 1492

- [225] Kato K 2013 H-H interactions from SiO<sub>2</sub> to SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si(100) interfaces and H-induced O vacancy generation via 3-fold coordinated O in SiO<sub>2</sub> J. Appl. Phys. **113** 133512
- [226] Li P, Chen Z, Yao P, Zhang F, Wang J, Song Y and Zuo X 2019 First-principles study of defects in amorphous-SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si interfaces *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 483 231
- [227] Kageshima H and Shiraishi K 1998 First-principles study of oxide growth on Si(100) surfaces and at SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si(100) interfaces *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81** 5936
- [228] Kuzmin M *et al* 2019 Observation of crystalline oxidized silicon phase *Adv. Mater. Interface* **6** 1802033
- [229] Lång J J K et al 2014 Unveiling and controlling the electronic structure of oxidized semiconductor surfaces: crystalline oxidized InSb(100)(1×2)-O Phys. Rev. B 90 045312
- [230] Lin L and Robertson J 2011 Defect states at III–V semiconductor oxide interfaces Appl. Phys. Lett. 98 082903
- [231] Colleoni D and Pasquarello A 2014 The O<sub>As</sub> defect in GaAs: a hybrid density functional study *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 291 6
- [232] Miceli G and Pasquarello A 2014 Defect levels at GaAs/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> interfaces: As–As dimer vs. Ga dangling bond Appl. Surf. Sci. 291 16
- [233] Punkkinen M P J, Lahti A, Huhtala J, Lehtiö J P, Rad Z J, Kuzmin M, Laukkanen P and Kokko K 2021 Stabilization of unstable and metastable InP native oxide thin films by interface effects *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 567 150848
- [234] Zhernokletov D M, Negara M A, Long R D, Aloni S, Nordlund D and McIntyre P C 2015 Interface trap density reduction for Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/GaN (0001) interfaces by oxidizing surface preparation prior to atomic layer deposition ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 7 23
- [235] Liao H, Li J, Wei T, Wen P, Li M and Hu X 2019
   First-principles study of C<sub>N</sub> point defects on sidewall surface of [0001]-oriented GaN nanowires *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 467–8 293
- [236] Knaup J M, Deák P, Frauenheim T, Gali A, Hajnal Z and Choyke W J 2005 Defects in SiO<sub>2</sub> as the possible origin of near interface traps in the SiC/SiO<sub>2</sub> system: a systematic theoretical study *Phys. Rev.* B 72 115323
- [237] Yin Z, Yang C, Zhang F, Su Y, Qin F and Wang D 2020 Low-temperature re-oxidation of near-interface defects and voltage stability in SiC MOS capacitors *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 531 147312
- [238] Zhang Y-J, Yin Z-P, Su Y and Wang D-J 2018 Passivation of carbon dimer defects in amorphous SiO<sub>2</sub>/4H–SiC (0001) interface: a first-principles study *Chin. Phys.* B 27 047103
- [239] Shia N and Ramprasad R 2007 Local dielectric permittivity of HfO<sub>2</sub> based slabs and stacks: a first principles study *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **91** 242906
- [240] Rong L-M, Meng Z-J, Xiao C, Zhou L, Du L-H, Liu K and Du J-F 2016 Impact of defects on local optical dielectric properties of Si/SiO<sub>2</sub> interfaces by layered capacitor modeling *Appl. Phys.* A **122** 283
- [241] Trucks G W, Raghavachari K, Higashi G S and Chabal Y J 1990 Mechanism of HF etching of silicon surfaces: a theoretical understanding of hydrogen passivation *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 65 504
- [242] Fenner D B, Biegelsen D K and Bringans R D 1989 Silicon surface passivation by hydrogen termination: a comparative study of preparation methods J. Appl. Phys. 66 419
- [243] Stesmans A 1993 Structural relaxation of Pb defects at the (111)Si/SiO<sub>2</sub> interface as a function of oxidation temperature: the Pb-generation–stress relationship *Phys. Rev.* B 48 2418
- [244] Sopori B L, Deng X, Benner J P, Rohatgi A, Sana P, Estreicher S K, Park Y K and Roberson M 1996 A hydrogen in silicon: a discussion of diffusion and

passivation mechanisms Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells 41-2 159

- [245] Kobayashi Asuha H, Maida O, Takahashi M and Iwasa H 2003 Nitric acid oxidation of Si to form ultrathin silicon dioxide layers with a low leakage current density J. Appl. Phys. 94 7328
- [246] Wilk G D, Wallace R M and Anthony J M 2001 High-kappa gate dielectrics: current status and materials properties considerations J. Appl. Phys. 89 5243
- [247] Dingemans G, Terlinden N M, Verheijen M A, van de Sanden M C M and Kessels W M M 2011 Controlling the fixed charge and passivation properties of Si(100)/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> interfaces using ultrathin SiO<sub>2</sub> interlayers synthesized by atomic layer deposition J. Appl. Phys. **110** 093715
- [248] McDaniel M D, Ngo T Q, Hu S, Posadas A, Demkov A A and Ekerdt J G 2015 Atomic layer deposition of perovskite oxides and their epitaxial integration with Si, Ge, and other semiconductors *Appl. Phys. Rev.* 2 04130
- [249] Robertson J 2006 High dielectric constant gate oxides for metal oxide Si transistors *Rep. Prog. Phys.* 69 327
- [250] Sandroff J, Nottenburg R N, Bischoff J-C and Bhat R 1987 Dramatic enhancement in the gain of a GaAs/AlGaAs heterostructure bipolar transistor by surface chemical passivation Appl. Phys. Lett. 51 33
- [251] Hasegawa H, Akazawa M, Matsuzaki K, Ishii H and Ohno H 1988 GaAs and In<sub>0.53</sub>Ga<sub>0.47</sub>As MIS structures having an ultrathin pseudomorphic interface control layer of Si prepared by MBE Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 27 2265
- [252] Passlack M, Hong M and Mannaerts J P 1996 Quasistatic and high frequency capacitance–voltage characterization of Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>–GaAs structures fabricated by in situ molecular beam epitaxy Appl. Phys. Lett. 68 1099
- [253] Passlack M, Hong M, Mannaerts J P, Opila R L, Chu S N G, Moriya N, Ren F and Kwo J R 1997 Low Dit thermodynamically stable Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-GaAs interfaces: fabrication, characterization, and modeling *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* 44 214
- [254] Ye P D et al 2003 GaAs metal–oxide–semiconductor field-effect transistor with nanometer-thin dielectric grown by atomic layer deposition Appl. Phys. Lett. 83 180
- [255] Droopad R, Passlack M, England N, Rajagopalan K, Abrokwah J and Kummel A 2005 Gate dielectrics on compound semiconductors *Microelectron. Eng.* 80 138
- [256] Hinkle C L et al 2008 GaAs interfacial self-cleaning by atomic layer deposition Appl. Phys. Lett. 92 071901
- [257] Lin H-C, Wang W-E, Brammertz G, Meuris M and Heyns M 2009 Electrical study of sulfur passivated In<sub>0.53</sub>Ga<sub>0.47</sub>As MOS capacitor and transistor with ALD Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> as gate insulator *Microelectron. Eng.* 86 1554
- [258] Sheldon M T, Eisler C N and Atwater H A 2012 GaAs passivation with trioctylphosphine sulfide for enhanced solar cell efficiency and durability *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2 339
- [259] Long R D et al 2013 Interface trap evaluation of Pd/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/GaN metal oxide semiconductor capacitors and the influence of near-interface hydrogen Appl. Phys. Lett. 103 201607
- [260] Ando Y, Nagamatsu K, Deki M, Taoka N, Tanaka A, Nitta S, Honda Y, Nakamura T and Amano H 2020 Low interface state densities at Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/GaN interfaces formed on vicinal polar and nonpolar surfaces *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **117** 102102
- [261] Collett K A, Bonilla R S, Hamer P, Bourret-Sicotte G, Lobo R, Kho T and Wilshaw P R 2017 An enhanced alneal process to produce SRV< 1 cm/s in 1 Ω cm n-type Si Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells 173 50
- [262] Kerr M J and Cuevas A 2001 Very low bulk and surface recombination in oxidized silicon wafers Semicond. Sci. Technol. 17 35

- [263] Hallam B J, Hamer P G, Née Wenham A M C, Chan C E, Stefani B V and Wenham S 2020 Development of advanced hydrogenation processes for silicon solar cells via an improved understanding of the behaviour of hydrogen in silicon *Prog. Photovolt.* 28 1217
- [264] Khan M U, Chen D, Jafari S, Ohshima T, Abe H, Hameiri Z, Chong C M and Abbott M 2019 Degradation and regeneration of radiation-induced defects in silicon: a study of vacancy-hydrogen Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells 200 109990
- [265] Chen D et al 2018 Hydrogen induced degradation: a possible mechanism for light- and elevated temperature- induced degradation in n-type silicon Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells 185 174
- [266] Bredemeier D, Walter D C, Heller R and Schmidt J 2019 Impact of hydrogen-rich silicon nitride material properties on light-induced lifetime degradation in multicrystalline silicon *Phys. Status Solidi* 13 1900201
- [267] El-Sayed A-M, Wimmer Y, Goes W, Grasser T, Afanas'ev V V and Shluger A L 2015 Theoretical models of hydrogen-induced defects in amorphous silicon dioxide *Phys. Rev.* B 92 014107
- [268] Guo Y, Lin L and Robertson J 2013 Nitrogen passivation at GaAs:Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> interfaces Appl. Phys. Lett. 102 091606
- [269] Feldmann F, Bivour M, Reichel C, Hermle M and Glunz S W 2014 Passivated rear contacts for high-efficiency n-type Si solar cells providing high interface passivation quality and excellent transport characteristics Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells 120 270
- [270] Kaur G, Dutta T, Xin Z, Danner A and Stangl R 2020 Can interface charge enhance carrier selectivity in tunnel-layer/poly-Si passivated contacts? *IEEE 47th Photovoltaic Specialists Conf.* p 438
- [271] van der Vossen R, Feldmann F, Moldovan A and Hermle M 2017 Comparative study of differently grown tunnel oxides for p-type passivating contacts *Energy Proc.* 124 448
- [272] Sinha A, Dasgupta S, Rohatgi A and Gupta M C 2023 Rapid thermal annealing of p-type polysilicon passivated contacts silicon solar cells *IEEE J. Photovolt.* 13 355–64
- [273] Sajjad M, Yang X, Altermatt P, Singh N, Schwingenschlögl U and De Wolf S 2019 Metal-induced gap states in passivating metal/silicon contacts *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **114** 07160
- [274] Liu Y, Stradins P, Deng H, Luo J and Wei S-H 2016 Suppress carrier recombination by introducing defects: the case of Si solar cell Appl. Phys. Lett. 108 022101
- [275] Roy A M, Lin J Y J and Saraswat K C 2010 Specific contact resistivity of tunnel barrier contacts used for Fermi level depinning *IEEE Electron Device Lett.* 31 1077
- [276] Raj V, Haggren T, Tournet J, Tan H H and Jagadish C 2021 Electron-selective contact for GaAs solar sells ACS Appl. Energy Mater. 4 1356
- [277] Wijnheijmer A P, Garleff J K, Teichmann K, Wenderoth K, Loth S, Ulbrich R G, Maksym P A, Roy M and Koenraad P M 2009 Enhanced donor binding energy close to a semiconductor surface *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102** 16610
- [278] Bjork M T, Schmid H, Knoch J, Riel H and Riess W 2009 Donor deactivation in silicon nanostructures Nat. Nanotechnol. 4 103
- [279] Ho J C, Yerushalmi R, Jacobson Z A, Fan Z, Alley R L and Javey A 2008 Controlled nanoscale doping of semiconductors via molecular monolayers *Nat. Mater.* 7 62
- [280] Lee Y J et al 2015 A novel junctionless FinFET structure with sub-5 nm shell doping profile by molecular monolayer doping and microwave annealing *IEEE Int. Electr. Dev. Meet.* p 32.7.1

- [281] Hsu S-H, Wan C-C, Cho T-C and Lee Y-J 2021 Investigation of boron distribution at the SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si interface of monolayer doping ACS Omega 6 733
- [282] Chiu Y, Reed M L and Schlesinger T E 1992 Doping profiles studied by scanning tunneling spectroscopy Appl. Phys. Lett. 60 1715
- [283] Van de Walle C G and Neugebauer J 2006 Hydrogen in semiconductors Annu. Rev. Mater. Res. 36 179
- [284] Reusch T C G, Radny M W, Smith P V, Warschkow O, Marks N A, Curson N J, McKenzie D R and Simmons M Y 2007 Single phosphorus atoms in Si(001): doping-induced charge transfer into isolated Si dangling bonds J. Phys. Chem. C 111 6428
- [285] Mattoni G, Klesse W M, Capellini G, Simmons M T and Scappucci G 2013 Phosphorus molecules on Ge(001): a playground for controlled n-doping of germanium at high densities ACS Nano 7 11310
- [286] Yasir M *et al* 2018 Surface doping of Ga<sub>x</sub>In<sub>1-x</sub>As semiconductor crystals with magnesium *Materialia* **2** 33
- [287] Yan J, Kappers M J, Crossley A, McAleese C, Phillips W A and Humphreys C J 2004 Effects of oxygen plasma treatment on the formation of ohmic contacts to GaN and AlGaN *Phys. Status Solidi* b 241 2820
- [288] Jeong M S et al 2023 Origin of screen-printed metal contact losses in crystalline silicon solar cells ACS Appl. Energy Mater. 6 11983–92
- [289] Im D-H et al 2016 Interfacial layer control by dry cleaning technology for polycrystalline and single crystalline silicon growth J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol. 16 4906
- [290] Park J W, Chae M G, Kim D S, Lee W O, Song H D, Choi C and Yeom G Y 2018 In situ dry cleaning of Si wafer using OF<sub>2</sub>/NH<sub>3</sub> remote plasma with low global warming potential J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 51 445201
- [291] Ahles C, Choi J, Hung R, Kim N, Nemani S and Kummel A 2019 Selective etching of native silicon oxide in preference to silicon oxide and silicon *Int. Symposium on* VLSI Technology, Systems and Application p 978-1-7281-0942-8/19
- [292] Zhang A, You S F, Soci C, Liu Y S, Wang D L and Lo Y H 2008 Silicon nanowire detectors showing phototransistive gain *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **93** 121110
- [293] Dick K A 2008 A review of nanowire growth promoted by alloys and non-alloying elements with emphasis on Au-assisted III–V nanowires Prog. Cryst. Growth Charact. 54 138
- [294] Hilner E, Hakanson U, Froberg L E, Karlsson M, Kratzer P, Lundgren E, Samuelson L and Mikkelsen A 2008 Direct atomic scale imaging of III–V nanowire surfaces *Nano Lett.* 8 3978
- [295] Maruccio G, Meyer C, Matsui T, Talapin D V, Hickey S G, Weller H and Wiesendanger R 2009 Wavefunction mapping of immobilized InP semiconductor nanocrystals Small 5 808
- [296] Yan R, Gargas D and Yang P 2009 Nanowire photonics Nat. Photon. 3 569
- [297] Ross F M 2010 Controlling nanowire structures through real time growth studies *Rep. Prog. Phys.* 73 114501
- [298] Evers W H, Goris B, Bals S, Casavola M, de Graaf J, van Roij R, Dijkstra M and Vanmaekelbergh D 2013 Low-dimensional semiconductor superlattices formed by geometric control over nanocrystal attachment *Nano Lett.* 13 2317
- [299] Wallentin J et al 2013 InP nanowire array solar cells achieving 13.8% efficiency by exceeding the ray optics limit Science 339 1057
- [300] Saxena D, Mokkapati S, Parkinson P, Jiang N, Gao Q, Tan H H and Jagadish C 2013 Optically pumped room-temperature GaAs nanowire lasers *Nat. Photon.* 7 963

- [301] Hu C, Gassenq A, Justo Y, Devloo-Casier K, Chen H T, Detavernier C, Hens Z and Roelkens G 2014 Air-stable short-wave infrared PbS colloidal quantum dot photoconductors passivated with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> atomic layer deposition *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **105** 171110
- [302] Gervasi C F, Kislitsyn D A, Allen T L, Hackley J D, Maruyama R and Nazin G V 2015 Diversity of sub-bandgap states in lead-sulfide nanocrystals: real-space spectroscopy and mapping at the atomic-scale *Nanoscale* 7 19732
- [303] Knutsson J V, Lehmann S, Hjort M, Reinke P, Lundgren E, Dick K A, Timm R and Mikkelsen A 2015 Atomic scale surface structure and morphology of InAs nanowire crystal superlattices: the effect of epitaxial overgrowth ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 7 5748
- [304] Hakkarainen T, Schramm A, Mäkelä J, Laukkanen P and Guina M 2015 Lithography-free oxide patterns as templates for self-catalyzed growth of highly uniform GaAs nanowires on Si(111) Nanotechnology 26 275301
- [305] Swart I, Liljeroth P and Vanmaekelbergh D 2016 Scanning probe microscopy and spectroscopy of colloidal semiconductor nanocrystals and assembled structures *Chem. Rev.* 116 11181
- [306] Zhong Z Q et al 2016 Efficiency enhancement of axial junction InP single nanowire solar cells by dielectric coating Nano Energy 28 106
- [307] LaPierre R R, Robson M, Azizur-Rahman K M and Kuyanov P 2017 A review of III–V nanowire infrared photodetectors and sensors J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 50 123001
- [308] Won Y-H, Cho O, Kim T, Chung D-Y, Kim T, Chung H, Jang H, Lee J, Kim D and Jang E 2019 Highly efficient and stable InP/ZnSe/ZnS quantum dot light-emitting diodes *Nature* 575 634
- [309] Yuan X M, Yang J B, He J, Tan H H and Jagadish C 2018 Role of surface energy in nanowire growth J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 51 283002
- [310] Kuhs J, Werbrouck A, Zawacka N, Drijvers E, Smet P F, Hens Z and Detavernier C 2019 In situ photoluminescence of colloidal quantum dots during gas exposure—the role of water and reactive atomic layer deposition precursors ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 11 26277
- [311] Ueda S T, Kwak I, Abelson A, Wolf S, Qian C, Law M and Kummel A C 2020 Electronic passivation of PbSe quantum dot solids by trimethylaluminum vapor dosing *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 513 145812
- [312] McKibbin S R *et al* 2020 Operando surface characterization of InP nanowire p-n junctions *Nano Lett.* **20** 887
- [313] Jo H, Kim J K, Kim J, Seong T-Y, Son H J, Jeong J-H and Yu H 2021 Unprecedentedly large photocurrents in colloidal PbS quantum-dot solar cells enabled by atomic layer deposition of zinc oxide electron buffer layer ACS Appl. Energy Mater. 4 13776
- [314] Ubbink R F, Almeida G, Iziyi H, Du Fossé I, Verkleij R, Ganapathy S, van Eck E R H and Houtepen A J A 2022 Water-free in situ HF treatment for ultrabright InP quantum dots *Chem. Mater.* 34 10093
- [315] Sheen M et al 2022 Highly efficient blue InGaN nanoscale light-emitting diodes Nature 608 56
- [316] Kavrik M S, Hachtel J A, Ko W, Qian C, Abelson A, Unlu E B, Kashyap H, Li A-P, Idrobo J C and Law M 2022 Emergence of distinct electronic states in epitaxially-fused PbSe quantum dot superlattices *Nat. Commun.* 13 6802
- [317] Click S M and Rosenthal S J 2023 Synthesis, surface chemistry, and fluorescent properties of InP quantum dots *Chem. Mater.* 35 822

- [318] Alfieri A, Anantharaman S B, Zhang H and Jariwala D 2023 Nanomaterials for quantum information science and engineering Adv. Math. 35 2109621
- [319] Ikeda A, Elnaby M A, Hattori R and Kuroki Y 2001 Effect of nitrogen plasma conditions on electrical properties of silicon oxynitrided thin films for flash memory applications *Thin Solid Films* 386 111
- [320] Campbell J P, Lenahan P M, Krishnan A T and Krishnan S 2007 Location, structure, and density of states of NBTI-induced defects in plasma nitrided pMOSFETs *IEEE Int. Reliability Physics Symp. Proc.* p 503
- [321] Sadiq M, Ahmad S, Shafiq M and Zakaullah M 2006 Nitrogen ion implantation of silicon in dense plasma focus Nucl. Instrum. Meth. Phys. Res. B 252 219
- [322] Kim H J, Kim D H, Lee W and Roh Y H 2009 Gate leakage properties on n-MOSFET with plasma oxidized and nitride *Int. Semiconductor Device Research Symp.* p 182
- [323] Mouffak Z, Medelci-Djezzar N and Trombetta L 2001 Effect of photo-assisted RIE damage in GaN Schottky structures *Int. Semiconductor Device Research Symp.* (https://doi. org/10.1109/ISDRS.2001.984540)
- [324] Saga N, Kawahara T, Kishi T and Murata M 2003 Evaluation of plasma damage on InP sidewall induced by ICP-RIE Int. Conf. onIndium Phosphide and Related Materials
- [325] Foster G M, Koehler A, Ebrish M, Gallagher J, Anderson T, Noesges B, Brillson L, Gunning B, Hobart K D and Kub F 2020 Recovery from plasma etching-induced nitrogen vacancies in p-type gallium nitride using UV/O<sub>3</sub> treatments *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **117** 082103
- [326] Eriguchi K 2017 Modeling of defect generation during plasma etching and its impact on electronic device performance-plasma-induced damage J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 50 333001
- [327] Cellere G, Paccagnella A and Valentini M G 2004 FGA effects on plasma-induced damage: beyond the appearances *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* **51** 332
- [328] Ng A M C, Dong L, Ho W K, Djurišić A B, Xie M H, Wu H S, Lin N and Tong S Y 2013 Recovery of clean ordered (111) surface of etched silicon *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 282 156
- [329] Timm R *et al* 2018 Self-cleaning and surface chemical reactions during hafnium dioxide atomic layer deposition on indium arsenide *Nat. Commun.* **9** 1412
- [330] Longwitz S R, Schnadt J, Vestergaard E K, Vang R T, Stensgaard I, Brune H and Besenbacher F 2004 High-coverage structures of carbon monoxide adsorbed on Pt(111) studied by high-pressure scanning tunneling microscopy J. Phys. Chem. B 108 14497
- [331] Ketteler G, Ögletree D F, Bluhm H, Liu H, Hebenstreit E L D and Salmeron M 2005 In situ spectroscopic study of the oxidation and reduction of Pd(111) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 127 1826
- [332] Tao F and Salmeron M 2011 In situ studies of chemistry and structure of materials in reactive environments *Science* 331 171
- [333] Vassilevski K V, Horsfall A B, Johnson C M, Wright N G and O'Neill A G 2002 4H-SiC rectifiers with dual metal planar Schottky contacts *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* 49 947
- [334] Hattori N, Hirai M, Kusaka M and Iwami M 2003 Investigation of SiC clean surface and Ni/SiC interface using scanning tunneling microscopy *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 216 54
- [335] Guy O J et al 2008 Investigation of the 4H–SiC surface Appl. Surf. Sci. 254 8098
- [336] Mazzillo M, Sciuto A and Marchese S 2015 Electro-optical characterization of patterned thin metal film Ni<sub>2</sub>Si–4H SiC Schottky photodiodes for ultraviolet light detection *IEEE Sens. J.* 15 1858

- [337] Yang T, Chen S, Li X, Xu X, Gao F, Wang L, Chen J, Yang W, Hou X and Fang X 2019 High-performance SiC nanobelt photodetectors with long-term stability against 300 °C up to 180 days Adv. Funct. Mater. 29 1806250
- [338] Hou S, Shakir M, Hellström P-E, Malm B G, Zetterling C-M and Östling M 2020 A silicon carbide 256 pixel UV image sensor array operating at 400 °C J. Electr. Dev. Soc. 8 116
- [339] Wang Z, Zhou D, Xu W, Pan D, Ren F, Chen D, Zhang R, Zheng Y and Lu H 2020 High-performance 4H-SiC Schottky photodiode with semitransparent grid-electrode for EUV detection *IEEE Photonics Technol. Lett.* 32 791
- [340] De Napoli M 2022 SiC detectors: a review on the use of silicon carbide as radiation detection material *Front. Phys.* 10 769
- [341] Chaudhuri S K, Nag R and Mandal K C 2023 Self-biased Mo/n-4H-SiC Schottky barriers as high-performance ultraviolet photodetectors *IEEE Electron Device Lett.* 44 733
- [342] Siddiqui A, Elgabra H and Singh S 2016 The current status and the future prospects of surface passivation in 4H-SiC transistors *IEEE Trans. Devices Mater. Reliab.* 16 419
- [343] Tochihara H and Shirasawa T 2011 The epitaxial crystalline silicon-oxynitride layer on SiC(0001): formation of an ideal SiC–insulator interface *Prog. Surf. Sci.* 86 295
- [344] Shirasawa T, Hayashi K, Mizuno S, Tanaka S, Nakatsuji K, Komori F and Tochihara H 2007 Epitaxial silicon oxynitride layer on a 6H–SiC(0001) surface *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 98 136105
- [345] Shirasawa T, Sakamoto K, Takahashi T and Tochihara H 2011 Atomic and valence-band electronic structures of the epitaxial SiON layer on the SiC(0001): x-ray diffraction and angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy investigations Surf. Sci. 605 328
- [346] Wei S, Bai J, Xie W, Su Y, Qin F and Wang D 2023 Reliability and stability improvement of MOS capacitors vianitrogen-hydrogen mixed plasma pretreatment for SiC surfaces ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 15 18537
- [347] Peplow M 2023 Are perovskites the future of solar power? *Nature* **623** 902
- [348] Addou R, Colombo L and Wallace R M 2015 Surface defects on natural MoS<sub>2</sub> ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 7 11921
- [349] Luo S et al 2016 Suppression of surface recombination in CuInSe<sub>2</sub> (CIS) thin films via trioctylphosphine sulfide (TOP:S) surface passivation Acta Mater. 106 171
- [350] Addou R and Wallace R M 2016 Surface analysis of WSe<sub>2</sub> crystals: spatial and electronic variability ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 8 26400
- [351] Jariwala D, Davoyan A R, Wong J and Atwater H A 2017 Van der Waals materials for atomically-thin photovoltaics: promise and outlook ACS Photonics 4 2962
- [352] Mleczko M J, Zhang C F, Lee H R, Kuo H H, Magyari-Köpe B, Moore R G, Shen Z X, Fisher R, Nishi Y and Pop E 2017 HfSe<sub>2</sub> and ZrSe<sub>2</sub>: two-dimensional semiconductors with native high-κ oxides Sci. Adv. 3 e1700481
- [353] Longo R C, Addou R, Santosh K C, Noh J-Y, Smyth C M, Barrera D, Zhang C, Hsu J W P, Wallace R M and Cho K 2017 Intrinsic air stability mechanisms of two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenide surfaces: basal versus edge oxidation 2D Mater. 4 025050
- [354] Hus S M and Li A-P 2017 Spatially-resolved studies on the role of defects and boundaries in electronic behavior of 2D materials *Progr. Surf. Sci.* 92 176
- [355] Lu H, Kummel A and Robertson J 2018 Passivating the sulfur vacancy in monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> APL Mater.
   6 066104
- [356] Lien D-H, Uddin S Z, Yeh M, Amani M, Kim H, Ager J W, Yablonovitch E and Javey A 2019 Electrical suppression

of all nonradiative recombination pathways in monolayer semiconductors *Science* **364** 468

- [357] Cho A-J and Kwon J-Y 2019 Hexagonal boron nitride for surface passivation of two-dimensional van der Waals heterojunction solar cells ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 11 39765
- [358] Barja S et al 2019 Identifying substitutional oxygen as a prolific point defect in monolayer transition metal dichalcogenides Nat. Commun. 10 1
- [359] Zhang K and Robinson J 2019 Doping of two-dimensional semiconductors: a rapid review and outlook MRS Adv. 4 2743
- [360] Bretscher H et al 2021 Rational passivation of sulfur vacancy defects in two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenides ACS Nano 15 8780
- [361] Zheng Y, Gao J, Han C and Chen W 2021 Ohmic contact engineering for two-dimensional materials *Cell Rep. Phys. Sci.* 2 100298
- [362] Kim K-H et al 2022 High-efficiency WSe<sub>2</sub> photovoltaic devices with electron-selective contacts ACS Nano 16 8827
- [363] Yang J et al 2022 Oxidations of two-dimensional semiconductors: fundamentals and applications Chin. Chem. Lett. 33 177
- [364] Murai Y *et al* 2021 Versatile post-doping toward two-dimensional semiconductors *ACS Nano* **15** 19225
- [365] Jiang J, Yang P, Liou J J, Liao W and Chai Y 2023 Defect engineering of two-dimensional materials towards next-generation electronics and optoelectronics *Nano Res.* 16 3104
- [366] Wang X, Hu Y, Kim S Y, Addou R, Cho K and Wallace R M 2023 Origins of Fermi level pinning for Ni and Ag metal contacts on tungsten dichalcogenides ACS Nano 17 20353
- [367] King R R and Swanson R M 1991 Studies of diffused boron emitters: saturation current, bandgap narrowing, and surface recombination velocity *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* 38 1399
- [368] Lauinger T, Schmidt J, Aberle A G and Hezel R 1996 Record low surface recombination velocities on 1 omega cm p-silicon using remote plasma silicon nitride passivation *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 68 1232
- [369] Kerr M J and Cuevas A 2002 Recombination at the interface between silicon and stoichiometric plasma silicon nitride *Semicond. Sci. Technol.* 17 166
- [370] Hoex B, Heil S B S, Langereis E, Sanden van de M C M and Kessels W M 2006 Ultralow surface recombination of c-Si substrates passivated by plasma-assisted atomic layer deposited Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Appl. Phys. Lett. 89 042112
- [371] Repo P, Haarahiltunen A, Sainiemi L, Yli-Koski M, Talvitie H, Schubert M C and Savin H 2013 Effective passivation of black silicon surfaces by atomic layer deposition *IEEE J. Photovolt.* 3 90
- [372] von Gastrow G, Alcubilla R, Ortega P, Yli-Koski M, Conesa-Boj S, I Morral A F and Savin H 2015 Analysis of the atomic layer deposited Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> field-effect passivation in black silicon Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells 142 29
- [373] van de Loo B W H, Macco B, Melskens J, Beyer W and Kessels W M M 2019 Silicon surface passivation by transparent conductive zinc oxide J. Appl. Phys. 125 105305
- [374] Steinhauser B, Niewelt T, Richter A, Eberle R and Schubert M C 2021 Extraordinarily high minority charge carrier lifetime observed in crystalline silicon *Sol. RRL* 5 2100605
- [375] Richter A, Patel H, Reichel C, Benick J and Glunz S W 2023 Improved silicon surface passivation by ALD Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub> multilayers with *in-situ* plasma treatments Adv. Mater. Interface 10 2202469

- [376] Hasumi M, Sameshima T and Mizuno T 2023 Passivation of cut edges of crystalline silicon by heat treatment in liquid water Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 62 SK1022
- [377] Stevenson D T and Keyes R J 1954 Measurements of the recombination velocity at germanium surfaces *Physica* 20 1041
- [378] Posthuma N E, Flamand G, Geens W and Poortmans J 2005 Surface passivation for germanium photovoltaic cells Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells 88 37
- [379] Berghuis W J H, Melskens J, Macco B, Theeuwes R J, Black L E, Verheijen M A and Kessels W M M 2021 Excellent surface passivation of germanium by a-Si:H/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> stacks J. Appl. Phys. 130 135303
- [380] Isometsä J, Fung T H, Pasanen T P, Liu H, Yli-koski M, Vähänissi V and Savin H 2021 Achieving surface recombination velocity below 10 cm/s in n-type germanium using ALD Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> APL Mater. 9 111113
- [381] Martín I, López G, Garín M, Voz C, Ortega P and Puigdollers J 2022 Effect of the thickness of amorphous silicon carbide interlayer on the passivation of c-Ge surface by aluminium oxide films *Surf. Interface* 31 102070
- [382] Liu H, Pasanen T P, Fung T H, Isometsä J, Leiviskä O, Vähänissi V and Savin H 2023 Comparison of SiN<sub>x</sub>-based surface passivation between germanium and silicon *Phys. Status Solidi* a 220 2200690
- [383] Liu H, Pasanen T P, Leiviskä O, Isometsä J, Fung T H, Yli-Koski M, Miettinen M, Laukkanen P, Vähänissi V and Savin H 2023 Plasma-enhanced atomic layer deposited SiO<sub>2</sub> enables positive thin film charge and surface recombination velocity of 1.3 cm/s on germanium *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **122** 191602
- [384] Fung T H, Isometsä J, Lehtiö J-P, Pasanen T P, Liu H, Leiviskä O, Laukkanen P, Savin H and Vähänissi V 2023

Efficient surface passivation of germanium nanostructures with 1% reflectance *Nanotechnology* **34** 355201

- [385] Passlack M, Hong M, Mannaerts J P, Kwo J R and Tu L W 1996 Recombination velocity at oxide-GaAs interfaces fabricated by in situ molecular beam epitaxy *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 68 3605
- [386] Li J V, Chuang S L, Aifer E and Jackson E M 2007 Surface recombination velocity reduction in type-II InAs/GaSb superlattice photodiodes due to ammonium sulfide passivation Appl. Phys. Lett. 90 223503
- [387] Joyce H J et al 2012 Ultralow surface recombination velocity in InP nanowires probed by terahertz spectroscopy Nano Lett. 12 5325
- [388] Crosnier G, Bazin A, Ardizzone V, Monnier P, Raj R and Raineri F 2015 Subduing surface recombination for continuous-wave operation of photonic crystal nanolasers integrated on silicon waveguides *Opt. Express* 23 27953
- [389] Ren D, Azizur-Rahman K M, Rong Z, Juang B-C, Somasundaram S, Shahili M, Farrell A C, Williams B S and Huffaker D L 2019 Room-temperature midwavelength infrared InAsSb nanowire photodetector arrays with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> passivation *Nano Lett.* **19** 2793
- [390] Mori Y, Kato M and Ichimura M 2014 Surface recombination velocities for n-type 4H-SiC treated by various processes *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* 47 335102
- [391] Xiang A 2021 Surface recombination and excess current of anode-gate mesa sidewall in 4H-SiC gate turn-off thyristor *IEEE Trans. Nanotechnol.* 20 28
- [392] Meli A, Muoio A, Reitano R, Sangregorio E, Calcagno L, Trotta A, Parisi M, Meda L and La Via F 2022 Effect of the oxidation process on carrier lifetime and on SF defects of 4H SiC thick epilayer for detection applications *Micromachines* 13 1042