

---

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.  
This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Sang, Yushuai; Yang, Mingze; Chen, Hong; Li, Yongdan

## Ethanolysis of enzymatic hydrolysis lignin with Ni catalysts on different supports: The roles of catalytic sites

*Published in:*  
Catalysis Today

*DOI:*  
[10.1016/j.cattod.2024.114750](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2024.114750)

Published: 01/08/2024

*Document Version*  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

*Published under the following license:*  
CC BY

*Please cite the original version:*  
Sang, Y., Yang, M., Chen, H., & Li, Y. (2024). Ethanolysis of enzymatic hydrolysis lignin with Ni catalysts on different supports: The roles of catalytic sites. *Catalysis Today*, 438, Article 114750.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2024.114750>

---

This material is protected by copyright and other intellectual property rights, and duplication or sale of all or part of any of the repository collections is not permitted, except that material may be duplicated by you for your research use or educational purposes in electronic or print form. You must obtain permission for any other use. Electronic or print copies may not be offered, whether for sale or otherwise to anyone who is not an authorised user.



# Ethanolysis of enzymatic hydrolysis lignin with Ni catalysts on different supports: The roles of catalytic sites

Yushuai Sang<sup>b,c</sup>, Mingze Yang<sup>b</sup>, Hong Chen<sup>a,\*</sup>, Yongdan Li<sup>b,c,\*\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, China

<sup>b</sup> Department of Chemical and Metallurgical Engineering, School of Chemical Engineering, Aalto University, Kemistintie 1, Espoo, P.O. Box 16100, FI-00076, Finland

<sup>c</sup> Collaborative Innovation Center of Chemical Science and Engineering (Tianjin), Tianjin Key Laboratory of Applied Catalysis Science and Technology, State Key Laboratory of Chemical Engineering (Tianjin University), School of Chemical Engineering and Technology, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, China

## ARTICLE INFO

### Keywords:

Biomass  
Lignin  
Ethanolysis  
Nickel catalyst  
Reaction pathway

## ABSTRACT

Catalytic lignin solvolysis (CLS) holds promise for efficient lignin utilization, yielding small molecules with minimal or no char formation. However, the role of different active sites of catalyst in CLS are rarely discussed. Here, Ni catalysts on different supports, i.e., SiO<sub>2</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, MgO, and ZrO<sub>2</sub>, were prepared with the aim of manipulating the relative importance of metal and acid-base functionalities to investigate the role of different active sites in the enzymatic hydrolysis of lignin (EHL) ethanolysis. The main ether linkage, i.e., β-O-4, in EHL is cleaved without the participation of a catalyst. Metal sites suppress repolymerization through hydrogenation active intermediates, while acid and base sites facilitate the conversion of phenolic monomers into complex alkylated and etherified products and also promote repolymerization reactions. Among the catalysts, Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub> demonstrated the highest hydrogenation activity and yielded the most monomers (24.7 wt %) at 280 °C for 6 h under 2 MPa H<sub>2</sub> in ethanol. These findings shed light on the catalytic mechanisms in CLS, offering valuable insights for future catalyst design.

## 1. Introduction

Lignocellulose is the most abundant form of biomass, which mainly contains cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin [1]. As one of the important renewable resources, lignocellulose conversion into chemicals and fuels has been widely investigated. Nowadays, second-generation (2 G) bio-refining technologies using agricultural and forestry residues have been developed for bioethanol production as a gasoline blend, with enzymatic hydrolysis lignin (EHL) as a large-scale by-product. To date, EHL has been burned for energy recovery and its efficient utilization technologies are in high demand. Lignin is a complex three-dimensional amorphous polymer, mainly consisting of three phenylpropanoid units: sinapyl, coniferyl and p-coumaryl alcohols [2]. As the only renewable resource containing rich aromatic units, lignin is an alternative feedstock to replace non-renewable petroleum to produce aromatic chemicals and fuels [3]. Meanwhile, efficient utilization of EHL to produce aromatic chemicals and fuels significantly improves the economy of the 2 G biorefinery.

Catalytic solvolysis of lignin has received immense attention [3]. Some milestone works achieved complete lignin liquefaction and high monomer yields. Barta et al. [4] reported that CuMgAlO<sub>x</sub> was active in converting organosolv lignin into cyclohexyl derivatives in methanol at 300 °C under an Ar atmosphere without the formation of char. Ma et al. [5] depolymerized Kraft lignin over an α-MoC<sub>1-x</sub>/AC catalyst in ethanol at 280 °C under N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere and achieved an overall yield of small-molecular chemicals, including esters, alcohols, arenes, phenols, and benzyl alcohols, as high as 1.64 g/lignin. Huang et al. [6–8] depolymerized alkali lignin with CuMgAlO<sub>x</sub> catalyst in ethanol and obtained 60 wt % of alkylated mono-aromatics at 380 °C under Ar atmosphere. Recently, we examined EHL solvolysis in ethanol and methanol with various catalysts, including WO<sub>3</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [9], NiMo/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [10], unsupported Ni [11,12] and MoS<sub>2</sub> [13,14], and obtained high yields of alkylphenols (200–300 mg/g EHL) without char formation. Compared to Mo and W-based catalysts, Ni catalysts typically yield higher monomer yields under relatively mild reaction conditions due to their higher hydrogenation activities [15]. Despite the widespread use of

\* Corresponding author.

\*\* Corresponding author at: Department of Chemical and Metallurgical Engineering, School of Chemical Engineering, Aalto University, Kemistintie 1, Espoo, P.O. Box 16100, FI-00076, Finland.


E-mail addresses: [chenhong\\_0405@tju.edu.cn](mailto:chenhong_0405@tju.edu.cn) (H. Chen), [yongdan.li@aalto.fi](mailto:yongdan.li@aalto.fi) (Y. Li).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2024.114750>

Received 1 January 2024; Received in revised form 6 April 2024; Accepted 21 April 2024

Available online 29 April 2024

0920-5861/© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).



**Fig. 1.** Gas chromatograms of products and structures of monomers obtained from EHL ethanolysis without catalyst and with the different Ni catalysts. (Reaction conditions: 1.0 g EHL, 0.5 g catalyst, 60 mL ethanol, 280 °C, 6 h, 2 MPa H<sub>2</sub>).

Ni catalysts supported on different metal oxides, such as SiO<sub>2</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, MgO, and ZrO<sub>2</sub>, in lignin solvolysis [16–19], the effect of catalyst support and the specific roles of different catalytic sites in lignin solvolysis have yet to be elucidated.

Herein, Ni catalysts on different supports, i.e., SiO<sub>2</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, MgO, and ZrO<sub>2</sub>, were prepared with the aim of manipulating the relative importance of metal and acid-base functionalities. Through comprehensive catalyst characterization and product analysis, the impact of catalyst support on enzymatic hydrolysis lignin (EHL) solvolysis was explored. With monitoring the cleavage of linkages in EHL using HSQC NMR analysis, along with analyzing phenol conversion and adsorption over these catalysts, the process of EHL ethanolysis and the role of different catalytic sites are discussed.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Materials

The EHL, a byproduct of the enzymatic hydrolysis of corncob, was provided by Shandong Longlive Co., and has a composition of 91.2 wt % lignin, 0.12 wt % residual carbohydrate and 1.42 wt % ash [10]. Ethanol and phenol of AR reagent grade were purchased from Guangfu Inc. Ni (NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6 H<sub>2</sub>O, SiO<sub>2</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, MgO and ZrO<sub>2</sub> were purchased from Aladdin Co., Ltd.




Fig. 2. (a) classification of products obtained from EHL ethanolysis. (b) yields of char and monomers obtained from EHL ethanolysis without catalyst and with different Ni catalysts. (Reaction conditions: 1.0 g EHL, 0.5 g catalyst, 60 mL ethanol, 280 °C, 6 h, 2 MPa H<sub>2</sub>).

## 2.2. Methods

### 2.2.1. Reaction conditions

The EHL ethanolysis and phenol conversion were carried out in a 300 mL batch reactor (Parr 4566, made of Hastelloy) equipped with a temperature controller (Parr 4848) and a pressure sensor. Typically, 1.0 g of EHL or phenol and 60 mL of ethanol without catalyst or with 0.5 g catalyst were loaded into the reactor. The reactor was purged with 0 MPa N<sub>2</sub> for a non-catalytic reaction, and pressurized to 2 MPa H<sub>2</sub> for a catalytic reaction. The reactor was then heated to the prescribed temperature and kept for the prescribed time with stirring at 600 rpm. After the reaction, the product was filtrated to separate solid residue and liquid products.

### 2.2.2. Product analysis

The liquid product obtained in EHL ethanolysis and phenol conversion reactions were injected neatly into an Agilent 6890–5973 GC-MS for qualitative analysis. The monomer products were further analyzed quantitatively with an Agilent 6890 GC equipped with an FID. The working conditions for both GCs were the same as our previous works [11,12]. Anisole was used as the internal standard to quantify the products. The total monomer yield was calculated with Eq. (1):

$$\text{Total monomer yield (wt\%)} = \frac{\text{The weight of total monomers}}{\text{The weight of EHL put into the reactor}} \times 100\% \quad (1)$$

When using phenol, the conversion of reactants and yield of products were calculated based on Eqs. (2) and (3), respectively.

$$\text{Reactant conversion (mol\%)} = \frac{n(\text{reactant})_{\text{initial}} - n(\text{reactant})_{\text{residual}}}{n(\text{reactant})_{\text{initial}}} \times 100\% \quad (2)$$

$$\text{Product yield (mol\%)} = \frac{n(\text{product})}{n(\text{reactant})_{\text{initial}}} \times 100\% \quad (3)$$

Where "n" represents the mole number.

Heteronuclear single quantum coherence-nuclear magnetic resonance (HSQC NMR) spectra were recorded on a Bruker AVANCE III HD 400 MHz. 40 mg liquid product after the removal of ethanol was dissolved in DMSO-d<sub>6</sub> (0.5 mL as the deuterated NMR solvent). The molecular weights of large molecules produced from phenol conversion were measured with a matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-MS) with an Autoflex tof/tofill

equipment made by American Bruker Dalton Corporation. A 15 g/L solution of 2, 5-dihydroxyl benzoic acid (DHB) (Sigma) in ethanol was used as a matrix.

The solid residue obtained from EHL ethanolysis was washed with 60 mL of ethanol, and then dried at 100 °C for 12 h. The mass was measured with an analytical balance. The yield of char was calculated using Eq. (4):

$$\text{Char yield} = \frac{\text{The weight of solid residue} - \text{The weight of the catalyst}}{\text{The weight of EHL put into the reactor}} \times 100\% \quad (4)$$

### 2.2.3. Phenol adsorption on a catalyst

0.1 g of catalyst was put into 10 mL phenol/cyclohexane solution (1:9, v:v). After 12 h, the catalyst was separated from the solution by centrifugation, and then washed with 20 mL pure cyclohexane for six times. The FTIR spectra of adsorbed phenol were collected with a Fourier transform infrared spectrometer (Thermo Fisher, Nicolet IS50) equipped with an MCT narrowband detector. The scan number was 256 and the spectral resolution was set as 4 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

### 2.2.4. Catalyst preparation and characterization

All the catalyst samples were prepared through an incipient wetness impregnation technique with prescribed 10 wt % Ni loading. The sample was dried at 100 °C for 12 h, and then calcined at 450 °C for 4 h in air. The reduction was carried out in flowing H<sub>2</sub> (100 mL/min, STP) at 450 °C for 4 h with a heating rate of 10 °C/min.

X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were obtained with a Rigaku D/max 2500 v/pc instrument (Rigaku Corp. Japan) with Cu K $\alpha$  radiation at a scanning rate of 5 °/min<sup>-1</sup>. The Ni content of the samples was determined with an inductive coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscope (ICP-OES, VISTA-MPX, Varian). H<sub>2</sub> chemisorption was determined with a Micromeritics AutoChem 2920 instrument equipped with a quartz U-tube reactor and a thermal conductivity detector. H<sub>2</sub> temperature-programmed reduction (H<sub>2</sub>-TPR) was carried out on TPDRO (TP-5080, Xianquan Co.) with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD). NH<sub>3</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> temperature programmed desorption (NH<sub>3</sub>-TPD and CO<sub>2</sub>-TPD) were carried out in a fixed bed reactor using a gas mass spectrometer (HPR20, Hiden) as the detector. Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area of the samples was measured with a Micromeritics ASAP2020M system. Raman spectrometer (Renishaw inVia) with a 633 nm He-Ne laser excitation source was used for Raman analysis. X-ray photoelectron spectra (XPS) were recorded with an ESCALAB 250 Xi XPS spectrometer equipped with an Al-K $\alpha$  ( $h\nu = 1486.6$  eV)




Fig. 3. The HSQC NMR spectra of original EHL and liquid products obtained from EHL ethanolysis without catalyst and with the different Ni catalysts. (Reaction conditions: 1.0 g EHL, 0.5 g catalyst, 60 mL ethanol, 280 °C, 6 h, 2 MPa H<sub>2</sub>).

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. EHL ethanolysis

The gas chromatogram of products and structure of monomers obtained from EHL ethanolysis are shown in Fig. 1. Without a catalyst, phenols without alky sidechain (1 and 3) and para-ethyl phenols (5 and 7) are the main products, while esters derived from *p*-coumaric and ferulic acids (12, 13 and 14), as well as ortho-ethyl and ethyl etherified products (4, 8 and 9), are also detected. With Ni/MgO as the catalyst, the product becomes more complex. Although phenols without side chains (1 and 3) and para-alkyl phenols (2, 5–7) are also detected, ortho-alkylated and etherified products (4, 8, 9, 15–24) become the main products. Alkylated products (17, 18, 22, 23, and 26) with isopropyl and tert-butyl side chains are also measured. In addition, the phenolic hydroxyls of esters (25 and 14) are also etherified. The molecules obtained with Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> are similar to those obtained with Ni/MgO, but two tert-

butyl substituted molecules (22 and 23) disappear and a double isopropyl substituted molecule (27) appears. In the case of Ni/ZrO<sub>2</sub>, comparatively small amounts of ortho-alkylated and etherified molecules (8 and 27) are detected, and most of the monomers are para-alkyl phenols (2, 5–7, 10, 11, 28) and esters (12 and 13). In addition, para-propanol substituted phenol (31) appears. In Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyzed reaction, no ortho-alkylated and etherified molecules are detected. Compared to Ni/ZrO<sub>2</sub>, Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub> produced larger amounts of esters (12 and 13) and para-propanol substituted phenol (31), but lower amounts of para-ethyl phenols (5 and 7). The formation of 12, 13 and 31 is attributed to the effective hydrogenation of C=C double bonds in the side chains of primary monomers, effectively suppressing their decomposition reactions [11,12]. The higher amounts of these compounds obtained with Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub> compared to Ni/ZrO<sub>2</sub> suggest that Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub> exhibits superior hydrogenation activity compared to Ni/ZrO<sub>2</sub>.

The monomers obtained from EHL depolymerization are classified into two types (Fig. 2(a)): Ortho-alkylated and etherified products are




Fig. 4. (a) the reactant conversion and product yield and (b) the MALDI-TOF-MS profiles of the products obtained from phenol conversion without catalyst and with the different Ni catalysts. (Reaction conditions: 1.0 g phenol, 0.5 g catalyst, 60 mL ethanol, 280 °C, 6 h, 2 MPa H<sub>2</sub>).

derived from alkylation and etherification reactions, and are sorted as Os. Phenols without side chains, para-alkyl phenols, aromatic esters, and propanol-substituted phenols are derived from the primary lignin monomers, as revealed in our previous works [11,12], and are sorted as Ls. The monomer and char yields obtained without and with different catalysts are given in Fig. 2(b). Without a catalyst, 29.8 wt % char is formed, and 10.2 wt % total monomer yield is obtained, mainly including Ls. With the presence of a catalyst, the yield of char significantly decreases. The yields of char obtained with Ni/MgO and Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> are 7.3 and 3.7 wt %, respectively, and no char was formed with Ni/ZrO<sub>2</sub> and Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub> as catalysts, indicating a complete EHL liquefaction. High yields of Os are produced with Ni/MgO and Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> as catalysts, while Ni/ZrO<sub>2</sub> and Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub> nearly only produce Ls. The total monomer yields obtained with Ni/ZrO<sub>2</sub> and Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub> are also higher than those obtained with Ni/MgO and Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and the highest total monomer yield, i.e. 24.7 wt %, is obtained with Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub>.

The HSQC NMR spectra are given in Fig. 3 and the signals are assigned according to the literature [8,20,21]. In the spectrum of original EHL, strong signals of aryl ethers with β-O-4 linkage (A<sub>α</sub>, A<sub>β</sub>, A<sub>γ</sub>) are recognized. After the reaction without a catalyst, these signals completely disappear, indicating that the cleavage of β-O-4 linkage can be achieved without a catalyst. The addition of different catalysts do not affect the β-O-4 linkage cleavage but affects the intensity of the signals of phenolic products. High yields of alkylated and etherified phenols are produced in Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Ni/MgO catalyzed reactions, and hence the intensive signals of the alkyl and alkoxy are observed in their spectra. Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Ni/MgO also show high activities for demethylation or/and demethoxylation reactions, as the signal of methoxyl (-OCH<sub>3</sub>) is significantly weakened. With Ni/ZrO<sub>2</sub> and Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub> as the catalysts, the signal of -OCH<sub>3</sub> is strengthened, and the signal of alkyl is weakened, while the signal of alkoxy disappears. Additionally, stronger signals of phenolic esters are observed with Ni/ZrO<sub>2</sub> and Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts compared to Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Ni/MgO catalysts, consistent with the higher yields of phenolic esters produced in reactions catalyzed by Ni/ZrO<sub>2</sub> and Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub>. However, the signal of phenolic esters is also observed in the spectrum obtained without a catalyst, although phenolic esters are not detected in the non-catalytic reaction. This may be due to the fact that phenolic esters with carbon-carbon double bonds readily undergo condensation reactions [15], forming larger molecules that cannot be detected by GC-MS.

### 3.2. Phenol conversion and adsorption

#### 3.2.1. Phenol conversion

Phenol conversion gives the comparative activities of the catalyst for




Fig. 5. The FTIR spectrum of phenol adsorbed on different Ni catalysts.

hydrogenation and alkylation/etherification. The product yields and phenol conversions are shown in Fig. 4(a). With Ni/MgO and Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> as catalysts, etherified and alkylated phenols (APs) are the main products, with the yields of 36.9 and 34.0 mol %, respectively, and small amounts of benzene ring hydrogenated products (HPs) are also produced, with the yields of 6.7 and 15.3 mol %, respectively. Nevertheless, HPs are the main products for Ni/ZrO<sub>2</sub> and Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub>. The yield of HPs obtained with Ni/ZrO<sub>2</sub> is 65.4 mol %, and increases to 86.8 mol % with Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub>. The yield of APs obtained with Ni/ZrO<sub>2</sub> is 8.5 mol % and decreases to 2.1 mol % with Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub>. Without a catalyst, only 5.3 mol % of APs are detected. The phenol conversions for Ni/MgO and Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> are 54.5 and 63.7 mol %, respectively, lower than that of Ni/ZrO<sub>2</sub> and Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub>, which are 82.8 and 91.2 mol %, respectively. For the reaction without a catalyst, the phenol conversion is only 6.7 mol %. The results indicate that Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub> has the highest hydrogenation activity among the catalyst samples, while Ni/MgO and Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> have high activities for alkylation and etherification reactions.

The MALDI-TOF-MS is used to analyze the large molecules formed in phenol conversion, and the results are presented in Fig. 4(b). During the non-catalytic phenol conversion, strong peaks of large molecules appear in the range of 250–300 *m/z*, together with several weak peaks in the range of 300–400 *m/z*. When Ni/MgO and Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> are used as




Fig. 6. (a)  $\text{NH}_3$ -TPD and (b)  $\text{CO}_2$ -TPD profiles of different catalyst supports (c)  $\text{H}_2$ -TPR profiles of different catalysts and (d) XRD and (e) XPS of reduced catalysts.

catalysts, strong peaks at above 400  $m/z$  appear, indicating the formation of large molecules. In the cases with Ni/ZrO<sub>2</sub> as a catalyst, the number of peaks obviously decreases, but several peaks still appear in the range of 250–350  $m/z$ . With Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub>, the peaks of large molecules at above 250  $m/z$  disappear, indicating that Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub> efficiently suppresses the condensation reactions of phenol.

### 3.2.2. Phenol adsorption

Phenol adsorption on the surface of catalysts was studied by FTIR analysis to reveal the interaction between lignin monomer and catalyst. The FTIR spectra are depicted in Fig. 5, and the bands are assigned according to the literature [22–26]. In the spectrum of phenol adsorbed on Ni/MgO, the bands related to phenolic O-H (1475–1450  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , 1380–1360  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) disappear, and a band of C-O in phenolate species (1300–1230  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) is detected. Similar to Ni/MgO, bands of C-O in phenolate species are recorded and the bands related to phenolic O-H disappear, in the spectrum of phenol adsorbed on Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. In the case of Ni/ZrO<sub>2</sub>, both the bands of phenolate species and phenolic O-H appear, indicating incomplete phenol dissociation over Ni/ZrO<sub>2</sub>. In the spectrum of phenol adsorbed on Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub>, the bands related to phenolic O-H are observed, and the bands of C-O in phenolate species are almost invisible, indicating non-dissociative over Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub>.

### 3.3. Catalyst characterization

The  $\text{NH}_3$ -TPD profiles of catalyst supports are shown in Fig. 6(a). Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> shows two desorption peaks centered at 180 and 410 °C, which are ascribed to the desorption of  $\text{NH}_3$  from weak and strong acid sites, respectively. For MgO, the desorption peak ascribed to weak acid sites is also centered at around 180 °C, but the other desorption peak shifts to 320 °C, which is ascribed to medium acid sites. The desorption peaks of

Table 1

Total acidic/basic sites and BET surface areas of different supports.

Catalyst	Total acidic sites( $\mu\text{mol NH}_3/\text{g}$ )	Total basic sites( $\mu\text{mol CO}_2/\text{g}$ )	BET surface area ( $\text{m}^2/\text{g}$ )
MgO	7.7	42.3	173.3
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	29.5	8.7	209.6
ZrO <sub>2</sub>	4.2	3.6	67.6
SiO <sub>2</sub>	1.5	0	313.2

ZrO<sub>2</sub> and SiO<sub>2</sub> are much lower than those of other supports, but still two peaks are visible in their curves. Meanwhile, the first desorption peaks of ZrO<sub>2</sub> and SiO<sub>2</sub> shift to lower temperatures, centered at 150 and 160 °C, respectively, indicating that the strengths of weak acid sites on ZrO<sub>2</sub> and SiO<sub>2</sub> are weaker than those on Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and MgO. Nevertheless, the strengths of strong acid sites on ZrO<sub>2</sub> and SiO<sub>2</sub> are both similar to those on Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, as their second peaks are also centered at around 400 °C. The  $\text{CO}_2$ -TPD profiles of catalyst supports are shown in Fig. 6(b). MgO has two high desorption peaks centered at 240 and 500 °C, which are ascribed to the desorption of  $\text{CO}_2$  from weak and strong base sites, respectively. The strength of base sites of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is slightly weaker than that of MgO, as the desorption peaks of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> are centered at 210 °C and 430 °C. The strengths of base sites of ZrO<sub>2</sub> is weaker than those of MgO and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, with its desorption peaks centered at 150 and 330 °C, respectively. Nevertheless, SiO<sub>2</sub> does not show desorption peaks of  $\text{CO}_2$ .

The total amounts of acidic/basic sites of different catalyst supports were calculated based on the areas of desorption peaks (Table 1). Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> has the highest amounts of acidic sites and MgO has the highest amounts of basic sites among the samples, which are 29.5  $\mu\text{mol NH}_3/\text{g}$  and 42.3  $\mu\text{mol CO}_2/\text{g}$ , respectively. Meanwhile, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> contains small amounts of basic sites (8.7  $\mu\text{mol CO}_2/\text{g}$ ) and MgO contains small amounts of acidic

**Table 2**  
Ni loading and Ni dispersion of different Ni catalysts.

Catalyst	Ni loading (%)	Ni dispersion (%)
Ni/MgO	10.7	23.1
Ni/Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	9.7	14.5
Ni/ZrO <sub>2</sub>	9.5	6.1
Ni/SiO <sub>2</sub>	10.6	12.0

sites (7.7  $\mu\text{mol NH}_3/\text{g}$ ). Small amounts of acidic (4.2  $\mu\text{mol NH}_3/\text{g}$ ) and basic sites (3.6  $\mu\text{mol NH}_3/\text{g}$ ) exist on ZrO<sub>2</sub>. SiO<sub>2</sub> has trace amounts of acidic sites (1.5  $\mu\text{mol NH}_3/\text{g}$ ) and contains no basic sites. The BET surface areas of these catalyst supports are also listed in Table 1. The BET surface areas of MgO and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> are 173.3 and 209.6 m<sup>2</sup>/g, respectively. ZrO<sub>2</sub> has the smallest BET surface area, which is 67.6 m<sup>2</sup>/g, and SiO<sub>2</sub> has the largest BET surface area, which is 313.2 m<sup>2</sup>/g.

The H<sub>2</sub>-TPR curves of catalysts are plotted in Fig. 6(c). The reduction peaks below 400 °C are ascribed to the reduction of easily reducible NiO to metallic Ni, and the peaks in the temperature range of 400–500 °C are attributed to the reduction of NiO in weak interaction with supports, and the peaks above 500 °C are related to the reduction of NiO in strong interaction with supports [27–31]. Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub> has two reduction peaks at 370 and 440 °C, and Ni/ZrO<sub>2</sub> has one peak at 400 °C, indicating the weak interaction between Ni and SiO<sub>2</sub> and ZrO<sub>2</sub> supports. Hence, Ni<sup>2+</sup> ions in Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub> and Ni/ZrO<sub>2</sub> can be easily reduced when the reduction temperature was set as 450 °C. Nevertheless, NiO has strong interaction with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and MgO supports, as the peaks above 500 °C appear in the curves of Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Ni/MgO. In particular, peaks at 770 and 760 °C in the curves of Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Ni/MgO are ascribed to the reduction of NiAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and NiO-MgO solid solution, respectively [29,30].

The XRD patterns of the catalyst samples after reduction in H<sub>2</sub> at 450 °C are shown in Fig. 6(d). The diffraction peaks of metallic Ni (PDF#65–2865) can be observed in the patterns of the reduced catalyst samples except for that of Ni/MgO in which only the diffraction peaks of MgO are visible. In the pattern of Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, the diffraction peaks of NiAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> are also observed, indicating that NiAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> cannot be completely reduced under this condition. The ratio of metallic Ni (Ni<sup>0</sup>) to ionic Ni (Ni<sup>2+</sup>) on the catalyst surface is determined with XPS analysis (Fig. 6(e)). The ratio of Ni<sup>0</sup> and Ni<sup>2+</sup> on Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub> and Ni/ZrO<sub>2</sub> are 0.42 and 0.37, respectively, but these values on Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Ni/MgO are only 0.18 and 0.10, respectively. This indicates that the reduction degree of Ni in Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Ni/MgO is much lower than that in Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub> and Ni/ZrO<sub>2</sub>.

The real Ni loadings and Ni dispersions of different catalysts are listed in Table 2. The real Ni loadings are close to the prescribed value of 10 %. Ni/MgO exhibits the highest Ni dispersion, i.e., 23.1 % among the samples, due to the strong interaction between Ni and MgO. Following

Ni/MgO, the Ni dispersion of Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub> and Ni/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> are 14.5 % and 12.0 %, respectively. Nevertheless, Ni/ZrO<sub>2</sub> has the lowest Ni dispersion, i.e., 6.1 %, among these catalysts.


## 4. Discussion

### 4.1. Non-catalytic reaction


The HSQC NMR results indicate that the cleavage of main ether linkages, i.e.,  $\beta$ -O-4, occurs via homogeneous steps and does not need a catalyst. In the early works, non-catalytic ethanolsis of Kraft lignin was supposed to be induced with the radicals formed from ethanol homolysis [5,32,33]. However, the bond dissociation energies (BDEs) of  $\beta$ -O-4 ether linkages in lignin are lower (54–72 kcal/mol) than the BDEs of chemical bonds in ethanol (94–110 kcal/mol) [34,35], indicating that ethanol decomposition into free radicals is more challenging than homolysis of ether linkages. In addition, only 1,1-diethoxyethane was detected as the product of ethanol self-conversion in the non-catalytic reaction. These observations suggest that the non-catalytic reaction should not follow a free radical reaction mechanism. Recently, Li et al. [36] reported that the homolysis of  $\beta$ -O-4 linkages in lignin model compound with phenolic hydroxyl end-units readily occur at around 200 °C, but is difficult in the compounds with methoxy end-units. Here, we clarify the process of non-catalytic lignin depolymerization, and show it in Scheme 1. The reaction starts from the phenolic hydroxyl end-units which undergo a homolysis reaction first. The radical formed from homolysis snatch H from ethanol or H<sub>2</sub>, forming new phenolic hydroxyl end-units, and then repeat homolysis reaction. Although EHL is efficiently depolymerized at 280 °C in the non-catalytic reaction, achieving complete cleavage of  $\beta$ -O-4 linkages, radical-induced repolymerization reactions occur extensively in the absence of a catalyst [37], leading to the formation of a high yield of char.

### 4.2. The role of the catalytic sites

As ZrO<sub>2</sub> and SiO<sub>2</sub> have minimal acid/base sites, the activity of Ni/ZrO<sub>2</sub> and Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub> in EHL ethanolsis predominantly relies on the hydrogenation activity of Ni metal sites. Notably, Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub>, with high BET specific surface area and moderate Ni and SiO<sub>2</sub> interaction, exhibits superior Ni dispersion compared to Ni/ZrO<sub>2</sub>, thus showing higher hydrogenation activity. In Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub> and Ni/ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalyzed EHL ethanolsis, the absence of char formation indicates the effective suppression of repolymerization reactions through hydrogenation reaction. Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub> is more efficient than Ni/ZrO<sub>2</sub>, yielding a higher monomer yield in EHL ethanolsis owing to its higher hydrogenation activity. As reported, monomers and intermediates containing carbon-carbon and carbon-oxygen double bonds in their chains are prone to undergo



**Scheme 1.** The reaction pathways of non-catalytic EHL ethanolsis.



**Scheme 2.** The reaction pathways of catalytic EHL ethanolsis.

repolymerization reactions, but can be stabilized through hydrogenation reactions [38–41]. In addition, the active hydrogen species generated from  $H_2$  dissociation over metal sites act as radical scavengers, effectively impeding radical-induced repolymerization reactions [42].

Strong interactions between Ni and supports form in Ni/ $Al_2O_3$  and Ni/MgO after calcination, which result in their low Ni reduction degree at a moderate temperature reduction. Compared to Ni/ $SiO_2$  and Ni/ $ZrO_2$ , Ni/ $Al_2O_3$  and Ni/MgO display lower hydrogenation activity, but, with higher amounts of acid/base sites, they exhibit higher activity for phenol alkylation and etherification, indicating that acid and base sites serve as active sites for these reactions. Phenol is strongly adsorbed on Ni/MgO and Ni/ $Al_2O_3$ , and dissociated into phenolate, which is supported to be the intermediate of phenol alkylation and etherification reactions [43–45]. In EHL ethanolsis reactions, Ni/MgO and Ni/ $Al_2O_3$  catalysts also promote demethylation or/and demethoxylation reaction of monomers, as revealed with HSQC NMR analysis, and methyl and methoxy may also involve into alkylation and etherification reaction, forming complex Os. In the previous works, alkylation and etherification reactions are supposed to stabilize active phenolic monomers, hence suppressing repolymerization reactions [6,7,9,10]. However, in Ni/ $Al_2O_3$  and Ni/MgO catalyzed EHL ethanolsis reactions, inefficient suppression of repolymerization reactions leads to char formation. This indicates that alkylation and etherification reactions are less efficient than hydrogenation reaction in suppressing repolymerization reaction.

In Ni/MgO and Ni/ $Al_2O_3$  catalyzed phenol conversion, we observed the formation of larger molecules compared to those generated in the non-catalytic reaction, indicating that acid and base sites also facilitate phenol polymerization. Phenol polymerization and phenol alkylation and etherification may proceed via the same intermediates, i.e., phenolates. Alkylation and etherification reactions can occur if phenolates react with alkoxy or alkyl groups, while repolymerization reactions may take place if two phenolates react with each other. In addition, larger molecules also cover the Ni metal sites, hindering the hydrogenation of intermediates.

#### 4.2.1. Catalytic reaction

Early works proposed a two-step mechanism for catalytic lignin ethanolsis. Herein, the cleavage of lignin linkages and the role of different catalytic sites are further clarified. The reaction pathways of catalytic EHL ethanolsis are shown in Scheme 2. In the reaction, main ether linkages in EHL are cleaved via a non-catalytic reaction, and the intermediates are further converted over a catalyst. Metal sites dissociate  $H_2$  into active H which serves as radical scavengers, hindering the radical-induced coupling reactions [42]. In addition, carbon-carbon double bonds in p-coumaric and ferulic acids as well as coniferyl and sinapyl alcohols are hydrogenated over metal sites, preventing their decomposition reactions into alkenyl-phenols and phenols without sidechains [11,12], and hence high yields of esters and para-propanol

phenols are formed in Ni/ $SiO_2$  catalyzed reaction. Acid and base sites promote the demethylation or/and demethoxylation reactions of lignin monomers, and methyl, methoxy, and ethanol further undergo alkylation and etherification with lignin monomers over acid and base sites, producing etherified and ortho-alkylated products [5, 46–50]. In addition, lignin monomers that are strongly adsorbed on the acid and base sites readily undergo condensation reactions.

## 5. Conclusion

Ni catalysts, including Ni/ $SiO_2$ , Ni/ $Al_2O_3$ , Ni/MgO, and Ni/ $ZrO_2$ , with different hydrogenation activity and acid/base functions are prepared and employed in EHL ethanolsis at 280 °C for 6 h under 2 MPa  $H_2$ . Ni/MgO and Ni/ $Al_2O_3$  with high amounts of acid and base sites gave low total monomer yields but high yields of Os. Ni/ $SiO_2$  with the highest hydrogenation activity achieves the highest yield of monomers of 24.7 wt %, among the catalysts examined.

The cleavage of main ether linkage, i.e.,  $\beta$ -O-4, in EHL is achieved through a non-catalytic reaction, but the unstable monomers and intermediates formed undergo repolymerization steps. These unstable monomers and intermediates are stabilized through hydrogenation over the metal sites of the catalyst. The acid and base sites of the catalyst not only promote alkylation and etherification reactions of monomers but also facilitate repolymerization steps.

### CRedit authorship contribution statement

**Yushuai Sang:** Writing – original draft, Investigation, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization. **Yongdan Li:** Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Resources, Project administration, Investigation. **Mingze Yang:** Methodology, Investigation, Data curation. **Hong Chen:** Writing – original draft, Methodology, Investigation, Conceptualization.

### Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

### Data availability

The authors are unable or have chosen not to specify which data has been used.

### Acknowledgements

This work has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Program, (BUILDING A LOW-CARBON,

CLIMATE RESILIENT FUTURE: SECURE, CLEAN AND EFFICIENT ENERGY under Grant Agreement No 101 006 744. The content presented in this document represents the views of the authors, and the European Commission has no liability in respect of the content. The Tianjin colleagues thank the financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grant numbers: 21808163 and 21690083.

## References

- [1] C. Li, X. Zhao, A. Wang, G.W. Huber, T. Zhang, Catalytic transformation of lignin for the production of chemicals and fuels, *Chem. Rev.* 115 (2015) 11559–11624.
- [2] A.J. Ragauskas, G.T. Beckham, M.J. Bidy, R. Chandra, F. Chen, M.F. Davis, B. H. Davison, R.A. Dixon, P. Gilna, M. Keller, P. Langan, A.K. Naskar, J.N. Saddler, T. J. Tschaplinski, G.A. Tuskan, C.E. Wyman, Lignin valorization: improving lignin processing in the biorefinery, *Science* 344 (2014) 1246843.
- [3] Z. Sun, B. Fridrich, A. de Santi, S. Elangovan, K. Barta, Bright Side of lignin depolymerization: toward new platform chemicals, *Chem. Rev.* 118 (2018) 614–678.
- [4] K. Barta, T.D. Matson, M.L. Fettig, S.L. Scott, A.V. Iretskii, P.C. Ford, Catalytic disassembly of an organosolv lignin via hydrogen transfer from supercritical methanol, *Green. Chem.* 12 (2010) 1640–1647.
- [5] R. Ma, W. Hao, X. Ma, Y. Tian, Y. Li, Catalytic ethanolysis of kraft lignin into high-value small-molecular chemicals over a nanostructured alpha-molybdenum carbide catalyst, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 53 (2014) 7310–7315.
- [6] X. Huang, T.I. Korányi, M.D. Boot, E.J.M. Hensen, Ethanol as capping agent and formaldehyde scavenger for efficient depolymerization of lignin to aromatics, *Green. Chem.* 17 (2015) 4941–4950.
- [7] X. Huang, T.I. Korányi, M.D. Boot, E.J. Hensen, Catalytic depolymerization of lignin in supercritical ethanol, *ChemSusChem* 7 (2014) 2276–2288.
- [8] X. Huang, C. Atay, T.I. Korányi, M.D. Boot, E.J.M. Hensen, Role of Cu-Mg-AL Mixed Oxide Catalysts in Lignin Depolymerization in Supercritical Ethanol, *ACS Catal.* 5 (2015) 7359–7370.
- [9] F. Mai, Z. Wen, Y. Bai, Z. Ma, K. Cui, K. Wu, F. Yan, H. Chen, Y. Li, Selective conversion of enzymatic hydrolysis lignin into alkylphenols in supercritical ethanol over a  $WO_3/\gamma-Al_2O_3$  catalyst, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 58 (2019) 10255–10263.
- [10] Y. Bai, K. Cui, Y. Sang, K. Wu, F. Yan, F. Mai, Z. Ma, Z. Wen, H. Chen, M. Chen, Y. Li, Catalytic Depolymerization of A Lignin-rich Corn cob Residue Into Aromatics in Supercritical Ethanol over an Alumina-supported NiMo alloy catalyst, *Energy Fuels* 33 (2019) 8657–8665.
- [11] Y. Sang, M. Chen, F. Yan, K. Wu, Y. Bai, Q. Liu, H. Chen, Y. Li, Catalytic depolymerization of enzymatic hydrolysis lignin into monomers over an unsupported nickel catalyst in supercritical ethanol, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 59 (2020) 7466–7474.
- [12] Y. Sang, K. Wu, Q. Liu, Y. Bai, H. Chen, Y. Li, Catalytic Ethanolysis of Enzymatic Hydrolysis Lignin over an Unsupported Nickel Catalyst: the Effect of Reaction Conditions, *Energy Fuels* 35 (2021) 519–528.
- [13] Y. Ma, Y. Sang, K. Wu, Q. Liu, H. Chen, Y. Li, Selective production of 2-(tert-butyl)-3-methylphenol from depolymerization of enzymatic hydrolysis lignin with  $MoS_2$  catalyst, *Catal. Today* 408 (2023) 194–203.
- [14] K. Wu, Y. Sang, S. Kasipandi, Y. Ma, H. Jiao, Q. Liu, H. Chen, Y. Li, Catalytic roles of Mo-based sites on  $MoS_2$  for ethanolysis of enzymatic hydrolysis lignin into aromatic monomers, *Catal. Today* 408 (2023) 211–222.
- [15] Y. Sang, H. Chen, M. Khalifeh, Y. Li, Catalysis and chemistry of lignin depolymerization in alcohol solvents—a review, *Catal. Today* 408 (2023) 168–181.
- [16] R. Shu, Y. Xu, P. Chen, L. Ma, Q. Zhang, L. Zhou, C. Wang, Mild hydrogenation of lignin depolymerization products over Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst, *Energy Fuels* 31 (2017) 7208–7213.
- [17] S. Van den Bosch, T. Renders, S. Kennis, S.-F. Koelewijn, G. Van den Bossche, T. Vangeel, A. Deneyer, D. Depuydt, C. Courtin, J. Thevelein, Integrating lignin valorization and bio-ethanol production: on the role of Ni-Al 2 O 3 catalyst pellets during lignin-first fractionation, *Green. Chem.* 19 (2017) 3313–3326.
- [18] X. Chen, W. Guan, C.-W. Tsang, H. Hu, C. Liang, Lignin valorizations with Ni catalysts for renewable chemicals and fuels productions, *Catalysts* 9 (2019) 488.
- [19] F. Shu, G. Long, Y. Chen, Y. Gao, M. Jiang, J. Long, Boosting catalytic activity of Ni/ZrO<sub>2</sub> by introducing MoO<sub>3</sub> on selective hydrogenolysis of lignin, *Chem. Eng. Sci.* (2024) 120036.
- [20] S. Van den Bosch, W. Schutyser, R. Vanholme, T. Driessen, S.F. Koelewijn, T. Renders, B. De Meester, W.J.J. Huijgen, W. Dehaen, C.M. Courtin, B. Lagrain, W. Boerjan, B.F. Sels, Reductive lignocellulose fractionation into soluble lignin-derived phenolic monomers and dimers and processable carbohydrate pulps, *Energy Environ. Sci.* 8 (2015) 1748–1763.
- [21] J.-L. Wen, S.-L. Sun, B.-L. Xue, R.-C. Sun, Recent Advances in Characterization of Lignin Polymer by Solution-state Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) methodology, *Materials* 6 (2013) 359–391.
- [22] W.-C. Wu, L.-F. Liao, C.-F. Lien, J.-L. Lin, FTIR study of adsorption, thermal reactions and photochemistry of benzene on powdered TiO<sub>2</sub>, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 3 (2001) 4456–4461.
- [23] J. Lichtenberger, S. Hargroveleak, M. Amiridis, In situ FTIR study of the adsorption and reaction of 2-hydroxyacetophenone and benzaldehyde on MgO, *J. Catal.* 238 (2006) 165–176.
- [24] F. Cavani, L. Maselli, S. Passeri, J.A. Lercher, Catalytic methylation of phenol on MgO - Surface chemistry and mechanism, *J. Catal.* 269 (2010) 340–350.
- [25] A. Popov, E. Kondratieva, J.-P. Gilson, L. Marley, A. Travert, F. Maugé, IR study of the interaction of phenol with oxides and sulfided CoMo catalysts for bio-fuel hydrodeoxygenation, *Catal. Today* 172 (2011) 132–135.
- [26] L. Mino, A. Zecchina, G. Martra, A.M. Rossi, G. Spoto, A surface science approach to TiO<sub>2</sub> P25 photocatalysis: an in situ FTIR study of phenol photodegradation at controlled water coverages from sub-monolayer to multilayer, *Appl. Catal. B* 196 (2016) 135–141.
- [27] X. Zhang, W. Tang, Q. Zhang, T. Wang, L. Ma, Hydrocarbons production from lignin-derived phenolic compounds over Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst, *Energy Procedia* 105 (2017) 518–523.
- [28] A. Morales-Marin, J.L. Ayastuy, U. Iriarte-Velasco, M.A. Gutierrez-Ortiz, E. Chemical Technologies, Nickel aluminate spinel-derived catalysts for the aqueous phase reforming of glycerol: effect of reduction temperature, *Appl. Catal. B-Environ.* 244 (2019) 931–945.
- [29] W.-W. Tang, X.-H. Zhang, Q. Zhang, T.-J. Wang, L.-L. Ma, Hydrodeoxygenation of Anisole over Ni/alpha-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Catalyst, *Chin. J. Chem. Phys.* 29 (2016) 617–622.
- [30] Q. Xiao, R. Xu, J. Zhang, Y. Sun, Y. Zhu, Exploring the morphological effect of Ni<sub>4</sub>Mg<sub>1-3</sub>O catalysts on CO<sub>2</sub> reforming of methane, *J. Energy Chem.* 26 (2017) 325–329.
- [31] M. Varkolu, V. Velpula, D.R. Burri, S.R.R. Kamaraju, Gas phase hydrogenation of levulinic acid to gamma-valerolactone over supported Ni catalysts with formic acid as hydrogen source, *N. J. Chem.* 40 (2016) 3261–3267.
- [32] X. Ma, R. Ma, W. Hao, M. Chen, F. Iran, K. Cui, Y. Tian, Y. Li, Common pathways in ethanolysis of kraft lignin to platform chemicals over molybdenum-based catalysts, *ACS Catal.* 5 (2015) 4803–4813.
- [33] F. Yan, R. Ma, X. Ma, K. Cui, K. Wu, M. Chen, Y. Li, Ethanolysis of Kraft lignin to platform chemicals on a MoC<sub>1-x</sub>/Cu-MgAlO<sub>2</sub> catalyst, *Appl. Catal. B-Environ.* 202 (2017) 305–313.
- [34] Y.M. Questell-Santiago, M.V. Galkin, K. Barta, J.S. Luterbacher, Stabilization strategies in biomass depolymerization using chemical functionalization, *Nat. Rev. Chem.* 4 (2020) 311–330.
- [35] K.M. Bansal, Gordon R. Freeman, Free-radical chain reactions in the radiation-sensitized pyrolysis of ethanol vapor, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 90 (1968) 7190–7196.
- [36] Y. Li, B. Demir, L.M. Vázquez Ramos, M. Chen, J.A. Dumesic, J. Ralph, Kinetic and mechanistic insights into hydrogenolysis of lignin to monomers in a continuous flow reactor, *Green. Chem.* 21 (2019) 3561–3572.
- [37] T. Kishimoto, Y. Sano, Delignification mechanism during high-boiling solvent pulping. Part 2. Homolysis of guaiacylglycerol-β-guaiacyl ether, *Holzforschung* 56 (2002) 623–631.
- [38] T. Renders, E. Cooreman, S. Van den Bosch, W. Schutyser, S.F. Koelewijn, T. Vangeel, A. Deneyer, G. Van den Bossche, C.M. Courtin, B.F. Sels, Catalytic lignocellulose biorefining in n-butanol/water: a one-pot approach toward phenolics, polyols, and cellulose, *Green Chem.* 20 (2018) 4607–4619.
- [39] S. Van den Bosch, T. Renders, S. Kennis, S.F. Koelewijn, G. Van den Bossche, T. Vangeel, A. Deneyer, D. Depuydt, C.M. Courtin, J.M. Thevelein, W. Schutyser, B. F. Sels, Integrating lignin valorization and bio-ethanol production: on the role of Ni-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst pellets during lignin-first fractionation, *Green. Chem.* 19 (2017) 3313–3326.
- [40] C.W. Lahive, P.J. Deuss, C.S. Lancefield, Z. Sun, D.B. Cordes, C.M. Young, F. Tran, A.M. Slawin, J.G. de Vries, P.C. Kamer, N.J. Westwood, K. Barta, Advanced Model Compounds for Understanding Acid-Catalyzed Lignin Depolymerization: identification of Renewable Aromatics and a Lignin-Derived Solvent, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 138 (2016) 8900–8911.
- [41] P.J. Deuss, M. Scott, F. Tran, N.J. Westwood, J.G. de Vries, K. Barta, Aromatic monomers by in situ conversion of reactive intermediates in the acid-catalyzed depolymerization of lignin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 137 (2015) 7456–7467.
- [42] S. Chu, A.V. Subrahmanyam, G.W. Huber, The pyrolysis chemistry of a β-O-4 type oligomeric lignin model compound, *Green. Chem.* 15 (2013) 125–136.
- [43] S. Sato, K. Koizumi, F. Nozaki, Ortho-selective methylation of phenol catalyzed by CeO<sub>2</sub>-MgO prepared by citrate process, *J. Catal.* 178 (1998) 264–274.
- [44] K. Tanabe, W.F. Hölderich, Industrial application of solid acid–base catalysts, *Appl. Catal. A- Gen.* 181 (1999) 399–434.
- [45] K. Sreekumar, S. Sugunan, Ferrosinels based on Co and Ni prepared via a low temperature route as efficient catalysts for the selective synthesis of o-cresol and 2, 6-xyleneol from phenol and methanol, *J. Mol. Catal. A Chem.* 185 (2002) 259–268.
- [46] K. Cui, L. Yang, Z. Ma, F. Yan, K. Wu, Y. Sang, H. Chen, Y. Li, Selective conversion of guaiacol to substituted alkylphenols in supercritical ethanol over MoO<sub>3</sub>, *Appl. Catal. B-Environ.* 219 (2017) 592–602.
- [47] F. Mai, K. Cui, Z. Wen, K. Wu, F. Yan, M. Chen, H. Chen, Y. Li, Highly selective conversion of guaiacol to tert-butylphenols in supercritical ethanol over a H<sub>2</sub>WO<sub>4</sub> catalyst, *RSC Adv.* 9 (2019) 2764–2771.
- [48] F. Yan, Y. Sang, Y. Bai, K. Wu, K. Cui, Z. Wen, F. Mai, Z. Ma, L. Yu, H. Chen, Y. Li, Guaiacol demethoxylation catalyzed by Re<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> in ethanol, *Catal. Today* (2019).
- [49] F. Yan, Z. Wen, K. Wu, K. Cui, F. Mai, Z. Ma, Y. Sang, Y. Bai, H. Chen, Y. Li, Deoxyalkylation of guaiacol using haggite structured V<sub>4</sub>O<sub>6</sub>(OH)(4), *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 9 (2019) 1922–1932.
- [50] K. Wu, S. Kasipandi, Z. Wen, F. Yan, Y. Sang, Z. Ma, M. Chen, H. Chen, Y. Li, Selective demethoxylation of guaiacol to alkylphenols in supercritical methanol over a HT-Mo<sub>2</sub> catalyst, *Catal. Today* (2020).