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I. INTRODUCTION

The theory of open quantum systems provides the necessary means to describe and analyze the interaction of a principal quantum system of interest with its surrounding environment [1]. It is well known that the effects of this unavoidable interaction are, in general, detrimental for critical quantum traits present in the principal system, such as entanglement in composite systems. The study of open quantum systems has attracted considerable attention in recent years due to the fact that the preservation of genuine quantum properties, which serve as a resource for several different quantum information and communication protocols [2,3], has become a very important challenge. In order to suppress the undesirable effects of environment-induced decoherence, various methods have been put forward [4–7]. One such technique is through the exploitation of memory effects dynamically arising in the course of the time evolution of the system.

Memory effects emerge when an open quantum system interacts with its environment in a non-Markovian fashion. The characterization of non-Markovian quantum dynamics has been and still is a very significant problem in the study of open quantum systems [8,9]. Numerous distinct criteria have been introduced to identify the non-Markovian memory effects based on conceptually different approaches [10–17]. Indeed, such memory effects have their roots in nontrivial temporal correlations among the states of the open system at different times throughout the dynamics. Besides, the emergence of memory effects is also known to be closely related to the dynamics of information exchange between the open system and its environment since future states of the system might depend on its past states when information flows back from the environment to the open system [16–20].

The concept of memory effects and non-Markovianity as information back-flow, which is typical of open quantum systems theory, does not, however, coincide with the concept of quantum channels with memory generally used in quantum information theory. The latter one, indeed, typically refers to the way a quantum channel (i.e., a quantum operation that is viewed as a channel to transfer information) acts on the system when it is used consecutively [21–23]. In particular, one indicates with memory or memoryless channels the situation in which multiple uses of the channel are correlated to or independent of each other, respectively. In effect, the memory in this case is induced by the correlated action of noise channels on the system of interest consisting of a set of individual quantum systems, rather than the temporal correlations occurring throughout the time evolution of a single quantum system. To distinguish between these two different notions of memory, we shall use the term correlated channels to describe the quantum channels with memory. On the other hand, the type of memory occurring due to the temporal correlations in the dynamics will be called non-Markovian memory effects.

Although both the non-Markovian memory effects and the memory due to the correlated application of quantum channels have been explored in the literature on their own as separate subjects, they have not yet been studied in relation to each other. In fact, our work aims to establish this link by investigating the effect of classical correlations between multiple uses of quantum channels on the non-Markovian memory effects occurring as a result of the nondivisible nature of the dynamics. Specifically, considering a well-established model for describing channels with memory [21], we examine how correlated application of quantum channels modifies the non-Markovian memory effects, quantified via different measures of non-Markovianity, in a dephasing scenario.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we introduce the type of open quantum system models that we intend to use in our study. In Sec. III, we discuss the identification
and quantification of non-Markovian memory effects for the considered model. In Sec. IV, we present the results of our investigation related to the effect of correlated channels on the nature of non-Markovian dynamics. Section V includes the summary of our results.

II. CORRELATED QUANTUM CHANNELS

Let us first introduce the type of classically correlated quantum channels that we consider in our work. A single-qubit Pauli channel, which is a random implementation of the Pauli transformations, is given by

\[ \rho \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\rho) = \sum_{i=0}^{3} q_i \sigma_i \rho \sigma_i, \]  

(1)

where \( q_i \) constitute a probability distribution, i.e., \( \sum_{i=0}^{3} q_i = 1 \), \( \sigma_0 \) denotes the \( 2 \times 2 \) identity matrix, and \( \sigma_i \) are the Pauli operators in the \( x, y, z \) directions. In the course of this work, we focus our attention on two uses of quantum channels for the sake of simplicity. Provided that the noise is assumed to be uncorrelated for two uses of the channel, the effect of such a channel can be described by independent applications of the considered map on the two-qubit state, that is,

\[ \rho \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\rho) = \sum_{i,j=0}^{3} q_{ij} (\sigma_i \otimes \sigma_j) \rho (\sigma_i \otimes \sigma_j), \]  

(2)

where \( q_{ij} \) are independent probability distributions.

However, it is possible to have some classical correlations in the repeated application of the channel which might modify the way the Pauli transformations act on the state, in which case we have

\[ \rho \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\rho) = \sum_{i,j=0}^{3} p_{ij} (\sigma_i \otimes \sigma_j) \rho (\sigma_i \otimes \sigma_j), \]  

(3)

where \( p_{ij} \) is not restricted to be factorized as \( p_{ij} = q_i q_j \). A well-studied model taking into account the memory in the channel (in the form of classical correlations between multiple applications of the channel) has been proposed by Macchiavello and Palma [21], and its relevance has been discussed in the context of quantum information theory [22]. In this model, the joint probability distribution takes the following form:

\[ p_{ij} = (1 - \mu) q_i q_j + \mu q_i \delta_{ij}. \]  

(4)

It is straightforward to observe that the above distribution implies the existence of an additional effect coming from the degree of classical correlation \( \mu \), which with some probability forces the same Pauli transformation operator to be repeated in the second use of the channel. When \( \mu = 0 \), there are no correlations between the two uses of the channel. On the contrary, the channel is fully correlated for \( \mu = 1 \), and in this case it is guaranteed that the same Pauli transformation is applied on both qubits since the probability distribution is given by \( p_{ij} = q_i \delta_{ij} \).

In order to establish a link between the memory stemming from the correlated application of quantum channels and the non-Markovian memory effects due to the nondiagonal dynamics, the coefficients \( p_{ij} \) should explicitly depend on time. To this aim, we introduce a colored pure dephasing model describing the time evolution of a single qubit [24], which admits a solution falling under the class of Pauli channels described by Eq. (1). This model allows us to explore the effect of the classical correlations, controlled by the parameter \( \mu \), on the non-Markovian memory effects in the dynamics.

Let us assume that the dynamics of a qubit is described by a time-dependent Hamiltonian \( H(t) = \hbar \Gamma(t) \sigma_z \), where \( \Gamma(t) \) is an independent random variable with the statistics of a random telegraph signal. It can be written as \( \Gamma(t) = \alpha n(t) \), where \( n(t) \) has a Poisson distribution with a mean equal to \( t/2 \tau \) and \( \alpha \) is a coin-flip random variable with the possible values \( \pm \alpha \). If \( \alpha = 1 \), the dynamics can be described by the following Kraus operators:

\[ K_1(v) = \sqrt{[1 + \Phi(v)]/2} \rho, \]  

(5)

\[ K_2(v) = \sqrt{[1 - \Phi(v)]/2} \rho, \]  

(6)

where we have \( \Phi(v) = e^{-uv}[\cos(uv) + \sin(uv)/u] \) and \( u = \sqrt{4(\tau)^2 - 1} \), with \( v = t/2 \tau \) being the scaled time. Here the parameter \( \tau \) controls the degree of non-Markovianity of the dephasing process that produces the dynamical memory effects. Interested readers may refer to Ref. [24] for the technical details of the derivation and the solution of the model along with its physical relevance.

For the above-considered pure dephasing model, it is rather easy to verify that the time-dependent coefficients \( q_i \) in Eq. (1) take the form

\[ q_0 = 1/2[1 + \Phi(v)], \quad q_1 = q_2 = 0, \quad q_3 = 1/2[1 - \Phi(v)]. \]  

(7)

Hence, the correlated quantum channel in Eq. (3) now describes the dynamical evolution of the open system, and it can be expressed in terms of the Kraus representation,

\[ \mathcal{E}(\rho) = p_{03} (\sigma_0 \otimes \sigma_3) \rho (\sigma_0 \otimes \sigma_3) + p_{23} (\sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_3) \rho (\sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_3) + p_{02} (\sigma_0 \otimes \sigma_2) \rho (\sigma_0 \otimes \sigma_2) + p_{33} (\sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_3) \rho (\sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_3). \]  

(8)

With this information at hand, we can study how the classical correlations quantified via the parameter \( \mu \) in the application of quantum channels affect the dynamical arising non-Markovian memory effects.

III. CHARACTERIZING NON-MARKOVIAN MEMORY EFFECTS

In this section, we will elaborate on the quantification of the non-Markovian memory effects in open quantum system dynamics. Despite the fact that there are many different ways of measuring the non-Markovian behavior of a quantum process [8,9], here we will mainly focus on two of them, which are relevant for our purposes.

We commence by considering the well-known trace-distance measure [16] [also known as the Breuer-Laine-Piilo (BLP) measure] that is constructed upon the trace distance

\[ \rho_{\text{BLP}}(\rho) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left( \sqrt{(\sqrt{\rho} \rho \sqrt{\rho} - \sqrt{\rho} \rho \rho)} \right). \]  

(9)

This measure is based on the idea of comparing the initial state of a system with its corresponding evolution. The non-Markovianity of the system is measured by the distance between these two states.

Another measure that is often used to quantify non-Markovianity is the Bures distance [10]. It is defined as

\[ \text{Bures distance} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left( \sqrt{\sqrt{\rho} \rho \sqrt{\rho} \rho} \right). \]  

(10)

This measure takes into account the overlap between the initial state and the evolved state.

With these two measures, we can quantify the degree of non-Markovianity in the system. The higher the distance, the more non-Markovian the system is. These measures are particularly useful when dealing with open quantum systems, where the environment plays a significant role in the dynamics of the system. By comparing the distance between the initial state and the evolved state, we can gain insights into the role of the environment in the dynamics.
between two arbitrary states \( \rho_1(t) \) and \( \rho_2(t) \) of the system, given by
\[
D(\rho_1(t), \rho_2(t)) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[\rho_1(t) - \rho_2(t)],
\]
where \( |A| = \sqrt{A^*A} \). The trace-distance measure has a physical interpretation in terms of the distinguishability of two quantum states, variation of which during the evolution can be interpreted as an information exchange between the principal system and its environment. Especially, a monotonic loss of distinguishability between \( \rho_1(t) \) and \( \rho_2(t) \) throughout the dynamics, i.e., \( dD(t)/dt < 0 \), indicates that information flows from the system to the environment at all times. On the other hand, \( dD(t)/dt > 0 \) implies that there exists a back-flow of information from the environment back to the system, giving rise to a non-Markovian process. Based on this criterion, the BLP measure reads
\[
N_\rho(\mathcal{E}) = \max_{\rho_1(0), \rho_2(0)} \int_{[dD(t)/dt] \leq 0} \frac{dD(t)}{dt} dt,
\]
where the maximum is taken over all possible pairs of initial states \( \rho_1(0) \) and \( \rho_2(0) \). Markovian maps satisfy the property of divisibility, i.e., \( \mathcal{E}_t = \mathcal{E}_{t,s} \mathcal{E}_s \), with \( \mathcal{E}_{t,s} \) being completely positive and trace preserving (CPTP) and \( s \leq t \). It is important to note that, although the trace distance is contractive (monotonically decreasing) under CPTP maps, so that the distinguishability between \( \rho_1(t) \) and \( \rho_2(t) \) monotonically decreases for all divisible processes at all times, non-Markovianity based on trace distance is not exactly equivalent to the nondivisibility. Indeed, the BLP measure is only a witness for nondivisibility of quantum processes.

In addition, there exists a different class of non-Markovianity measures that exploit the fact that entanglement, mutual information, or some other information theoretic quantities are monotonically decreasing under local CPTP maps. Different from the case of the BLP measure, here an ancillary system is introduced with the same dimension as the principal system. Then, assuming that the map \( \mathcal{E}_t \) acts only on the subsystem \( B \) and the ancilla \( A \) evolves trivially, the absence of dynamical memory effects immediately suggests that
\[
X(\mathcal{E}_t \rho_{AB}) \leq X(\mathcal{E}_s \rho_{AB}) \tag{13}
\]
at all times \( 0 \leq s \leq t \) for all bipartite states \( \rho_{AB} \). Note that the classically correlated quantum map in Eq. (8) no longer acts locally on the bipartite state. As a consequence, one cannot exploit the monotonicity property of certain quantities, such as mutual information, under local CPTP maps to detect the violation of divisibility. However, the type of correlated maps that we consider in Eq. (3) can be implemented by local operations and classical communication (LOCC); that is, they belong to the class of LOCC maps since they are nothing but probabilistic unitary operations with local operators. For such quantum maps, we can utilize any entanglement measure as the quantifier \( X \) to filter out the direct effect of classical correlations, for entanglement measures by definition are monotonic not only under local CPTP maps but also under local operations and classical communication. In our setting, if we assume that the intermediate map \( \mathcal{E}_{t,s} \) cannot be a valid CPTP map unless it is LOCC, then the inequality in Eq. (13) is temporarily invalidated only for nondivisible dynamics.

IV. NON-MARKOVIANITY OF CLASSICALLY CORRELATED CHANNELS

Having introduced both the type of correlated channels that we will use in our analysis and the non-Markovianity quantifiers, we can now study the effect of correlations in the channel on the non-Markovian dynamics.

Using Eq. (8), we can write the time evolution of the density matrix of the system as follows:
\[
\rho(t) = \rho(0) \circ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \Phi(v) & \Phi(v) & \Gamma(v, \mu) \\ \Phi(v) & 1 & \Gamma(v, \mu) & \Phi(v) \\ \Phi(v) & \Gamma(v, \mu) & 1 & \Phi(v) \\ \Gamma(v, \mu) & \Phi(v) & \Phi(v) & 1 \end{pmatrix},
\]
where \( \Gamma(v, \mu) = -\Phi(v)^2(-1 + \mu) + \mu \). Note that only the anti-diagonal components depend on the correlation strength \( \mu \). Using the analytical expression for the density-matrix evolution, we can calculate the BLP measure by numerical optimization over many pair of states. In Fig. 1(a) we display the dynamics of trace distance for the optimal pair, where the intervals of information back-flow due to nondivisibility can be witnessed through the temporary increase of trace distance. In Fig. 1(b) we show how the BLP measure changes as we change the correlation coefficient \( \mu \), sweeping between vanishing and
full classical correlations for two consecutive uses of the channel. Note that in this plot the parameter \( \tau \), controlling the degree of non-Markovianity of the map in the absence of classical correlations, is fixed to 1 so that we can isolate the effect of the correlation parameter \( \mu \) (non-Markovianity rises with increasing \( \tau \)). Performing an extensive numerical sampling of different pairs, we conclude that the optimal pair giving the maximum value of the BLP measure is always given by the separable states

\[
|\pm\rangle \langle \pm| = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix}
  1 & \pm 1 & \pm 1 & \pm 1 \\
  \pm 1 & 1 & 1 & \pm 1 \\
  \pm 1 & 1 & 1 & \pm 1 \\
  1 & \pm 1 & \pm 1 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

In fact, from the plot one notices that the BLP measure seems to be independent of the effects of correlations in the application of the channel. A closer inspection reveals that the trace distance for the pair of optimal states reads

\[
D = \frac{1}{2} \sum |A_i| = |\Phi(v)|,
\]

where \( A_i \) are the eigenvalues of \( \rho_1(t) - \rho_2(t) = \rho_{12}(t) \). Consequently, non-Markovianity as measured by trace distance is completely insensitive to classical correlations in multiple applications of the channel. Stated another way, dynamical non-Markovianity does not depend on whether or not the channel here has correlations.

Let us now turn our attention to the entanglement-based measure of non-Markovian memory effects described in Eq. (12). We choose \( X \) to be the concurrence, which is defined as

\[
C(\rho) = \max(0, \sqrt{\lambda_1} - \sqrt{\lambda_2} - \sqrt{\lambda_3} - \sqrt{\lambda_4}).
\]

with \( \{\lambda_i\} \) being the eigenvalues of the Hermitian operator \( R = \rho(\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y)\rho^\ast(\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y) \) in decreasing order and \( \rho^\ast \) being the complex conjugate of the density matrix \( \rho \). In Fig. 2(a) we present the results of our analysis for the entanglement-based measure of non-Markovianity performing a numerical sampling of many different types of initial bipartite states. For convenience, we only display the Bell states (black crosses) and the family of maximally entangled states obtained from the Bell states by applying local unitary operations (blue points), even though our sampling included many more different types of initial states. Unlike the BLP measure, the maximizing state and the optimal value of the entanglement-based measure are clearly dependent on the correlation parameter \( \mu \) in this case. Particularly, non-Markovian memory effects are strengthened as the degree of classical correlations in the channel increases. This demonstrates a fundamental difference between these two approaches to the quantification of dynamical memory effects when they are analyzed in relation to the classical correlations in the operation of quantum channels.

For Bell states the concurrence can be written as

\[
C(t) = -\Phi(v)^2(-1 + \mu) + \mu.
\]

Hence, for \( \mu = 1 \), in the case of fully correlated channels, entanglement is frozen at unity, as can be seen in Fig. 2(b). Note that the diagonal elements of the density matrix are constant for the pure dephasing case. Moreover, when the channels are fully correlated \( (\mu = 1) \), the antidiagonal elements are also constant in time. Hence, any X-shaped state including the Bell states does not evolve in time for fully correlated channels. As the correlation parameter \( \mu \) decreases, the time dependency of the Bell states becomes more and more dominant, and the concurrence begins to decay increasingly. On the other hand, the degree of revivals and thus amount non-Markovian behavior get amplified as well. Looking at Fig. 2(b), we also see that the Bell states maximize the measure only for \( \mu = 0 \) (in the case of uncorrelated channels). For \( \mu > 0 \), other maximally entangled states, which can be obtained from the Bell states by local application of unitary operations, optimize the measure. It is worth noticing that if one were to assume that the Bell states are the optimal ones, which has usually been done in the literature, one could get the completely wrong idea about the non-Markovian behavior of the dynamics.

As a final remark we emphasize that the intervals of temporary revivals for both trace distance and concurrence fully coincide [even though this is not immediately obvious by comparing Figs. 1(a) and 2(b) since revivals in concurrence become very small as time passes]. Since the revivals in the trace distance always imply that the intermediate map \( E_{t,s} \) is not CPTP during these time intervals, one can conclude that the revivals in entanglement are also due to the nondivisibility of
the map rather than to the fact that $\mathcal{E}_{t,t}$ cannot be implemented by LOCC operations. Note that, despite the fact that we cannot prove in general the validity of our assumption, namely, that the intermediate map $\mathcal{E}_{t,t}$ is non-CPTP unless it is LOCC, we observe that it can be justified in the studied example. Consequently, both the trace-distance measure and the entanglement-based measure quantify the revivals occurring purely as a result of nondivisibility properties of the map. Nonetheless, we should always keep in mind that both these quantifiers are just witnesses for nondivisible dynamics. Indeed, we have seen that while the entanglement-based measure can detect the effects of the classical correlations in the channel, the trace-distance-based measure does not feel such effects even for the fully correlated case, which points out a remarkable difference between the two.

V. CONCLUSION

In summary, we have explored the effect of correlations in the quantum channels with correlated noise on the dynamical memory effects stemming from the non-Markovian dynamics of the open quantum system. Particularly, with a well-motivated model for quantum channels with memory, we have investigated the role of correlations between uses of quantum channels in modifying the non-Markovian memory effects arising throughout the time evolution of the system.

For this purpose, we have considered a colored pure dephasing model with non-Markovian characteristics. Our analysis has unveiled that the classical correlations present in the studied quantum channels do not affect the non-Markovian features of the dynamics in any way when we quantify the memory effects through the trace-distance measure. On the other hand, we have demonstrated that, if we choose to utilize the entanglement-based measure, correlations between the multiple applications of the quantum channels can indeed amplify the dynamical non-Markovian memory effects. Therefore, our investigation reveals a clear difference between these two widely used measures of non-Markovianity.

We should finally mention that even though we have examined a particular model, which describes the correlations between the consecutive uses of quantum channels, and also considered a specific type of dephasing dynamics, our treatment can be easily applied to study more general scenarios in a straightforward way.
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