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We study the effect of atomic-scale surface-lubricant interactions on nanoscale boundary-lubricated friction by considering two example surfaces—hydrophilic mica and hydrophobic graphene—confining thin layers of water in molecular dynamics simulations. We observe stick-slip dynamics for thin water films confined by mica sheets, involving periodic breaking-reforming transitions of atomic-scale capillary water bridges formed around the potassium ions of mica. However, only smooth sliding without stick-slip events is observed for water confined by graphene, as well as for thicker water layers confined by mica. Thus, our results illustrate how atomic-scale details affect the wettability of the confining surfaces and consequently control the presence or absence of stick-slip dynamics in nanoscale friction.
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Understanding friction plays a central role in technological applications and phenomena in diverse fields ranging from micromechanical devices to bioengineering [1] and to earthquakes [2]. Given the continuing miniaturization of mechanical devices towards the nanoscale [3], improved understanding of friction and wear could help in reducing energy consumption, improving reliability, and extending service life. Indeed, an important part of their design process consists of trying to minimize friction and to eliminate stick-slip dynamics [4].

Stick-slip control in lubricated friction is of particular importance given the vast number of applications where lubricants are used to reduce the detrimental effects of friction and wear [5]. Examples of mechanisms behind the emergence of stick-slip in boundary-lubricated systems have been numerically demonstrated to include repeated crystallization and shear melting of the thin lubricant film [6], interlayer slips within the ordered solidlike lubricant film, or wall slips at the wall-film interface [7]. Most of the numerical studies of stick slip in boundary lubrication have focused on coarse-grained or simplified or idealized models [6,8,9], not explicitly considering the atomic-scale interactions occurring in real systems. On a coarse-grained scale, a useful classification of the lubricant-surface interactions is given by the wettability of the confining surfaces by the lubricant, with systems displaying a larger contact angle or lower wetting generally exhibiting lower friction. Other approaches to friction control include, e.g., applying mechanical oscillations [10,11]. While the effect of wettablity on lubricated friction has been studied experimentally in macroscopic [12–15] and nanoscale [16] systems and modeled using phenomenological finite-element models [17] and simplified molecular dynamics (MD) simulations of nanopatterned surfaces [18,19], less is known about the underlying atomic-scale processes and mechanisms responsible for the presence or absence of stick slip.

Given the large surface-to-volume ratio in boundary lubrication, the nature of the interaction between the lubricant and the confining surfaces originating from their atomic composition should play a crucial role. Thus, we study the interaction of a thin water layer (thickness $h$ around 0.5 nm unless stated otherwise) in MD simulations using full atomic models of two experimentally relevant confining surfaces with different wetting characteristics: crystalline mica, a hydrophilic substrate that strongly adsorbs water [20], and graphene, a hydrophobic surface interacting weakly with water [21]; see Fig. 1. We observe stick-slip dynamics for thin water layers confined by mica: each unit cell of mica contains two $K^+$ ions, interacting strongly with the water oxygens via Coulomb interactions, leading to the formation of atomic-scale capillary bridges next to the $K^+$ ions, connecting the two mica surfaces in the stick state. These bridges break during the subsequent slip event and reform during the next stick phase, a process that is also visible as the breaking and reforming of interfacial hydrogen bonds between water and mica. This mechanism is different from both the crystallization-shear melting transitions [6] and interlayer or lubricant-surface slips [7] observed before in simplified models. In contrast, water films confined by hydrophobic graphene, as well as thicker water layers confined by mica, exhibit fundamentally different dynamics with no stick slip.

To model the confined water film, we consider systems ranging from 200 to 1200 SPC/Fw water molecules [22]. We consider 2M1-muscovite mica with the formula $KAl_2(Al,Si_3)O_{10}(OH)_2$, with the force field parameters from Ref. [23]. One mica surface consists of 10 $\times$ 6 unit cells and has linear dimensions of $L_x = 52.07 \, \AA$ and $L_y = 54.036 \, \AA$; see Fig. 1. To create site disorder, mimicking a real mica surface with a random distribution of potassium ions on it, one $K^+$ ion of the pair in each unit cell is removed and subsequently placed on the bottom part of
Simulation results for 256 water molecules confined by mica sheets for $P_\perp = 1$ atm and $V = 0.1$ m/s are shown in Fig. 2. The force per atom on the bottom sheet applied by the spring, $F_s/N_p$, exhibits characteristic stick-slip behavior [Fig. 2(a)]. Figure 2(b) shows the friction force per sheet atom on the bottom mica plate applied by the water and the top mica plate, $F_r/N_p$, exhibiting similar time dependence as the spring force, with superimposed high-frequency fluctuations due to the finite temperature. Figure 2(c) shows the position $Z$ of the center of mass of the top sheet in the $z$ direction. The center of the bottom mica sheet is fixed at $z = 4.16$ Å. During each slip event, $Z$ increases by roughly 10% [6]. Since the formation and breaking of interfacial chemical bonds is known to play a role in friction (see Ref. [29] for an example from rock friction), we show also the time dependence of the number of hydrogen bonds (i.e., the number of water hydrogens closer than 3 Å from the bottom mica surface) between water and the bottom mica surface in Fig. 2(d): bonds break as the system evolves from stick to the slip state.

For comparison, we also performed MD simulations of water confined by hydrophobic graphene sheets. We varied the number of water molecules from 200 to 1200, the
normal loads from $P_\perp = 1$ to 10 atm, and the driving velocities from $V = 0.01$ to 0.1 m/s. Figure 2(e) shows the spring force from simulations of 200 water molecules, $P_\perp = 1$ atm, and $V = 0.1$ m/s; similar results are obtained for other $P_\perp$ and $V$ values. We observe a small increase of friction with $V$ for both mica and graphene; see the Supplemental Material [30] and Refs. [31,32] for experimental results on mica-confined systems with sliding velocities significantly lower than those reachable in our MD simulations. Our simulations thus demonstrate that the stick-slip behavior does not arise for thin water films confined by graphene. Instead, continuous, smooth sliding with the maximum friction force well below that obtained for mica is observed for all parameter values considered. We also note that the same applies to the mixed system with one graphene and one mica surface: slip is localized at the hydrophobic graphene-water interface, and no stick-slip is observed.

This difference between the two kinds of surfaces may be explained by the relatively strong interaction of the potassium ions on the mica surfaces with the oxygen atoms of the water molecules via Coulomb interactions. Thus, the ions could act as “freezing nuclei,” with the water molecules gathering around them to form nanoscale capillary water bridges [33,34], connecting the top and bottom surfaces within the stick phase. As the system starts to slip, these bridges would break. The interaction of carbon atoms with oxygen is much weaker, and we expect that no capillary bridges are formed between graphene sheets, explaining the absence of stick-slip dynamics in that case.

To verify this hypothesis, we calculate the density distributions $\rho(x, y)$ of water oxygens in the contact layer relative to the bottom surfaces. Figure 3(a) shows $\rho(x, y)$ for a water film confined by mica sheets when the system sticks [t = 1 ns in Fig. 2(a)]. Peaks in $\rho(x, y)$ are located at the K$^+$ ions. Figure 3(b) presents the corresponding $\rho(x, y)$ graph during the first slip state when t = 5 ns [cf. again Fig. 2(a)]: the peaks of $\rho(x, y)$ become smaller and broader. Finally, Fig. 3(c) shows $\rho(x, y)$ for the subsequent stick state at $t = 7$ ns [Fig. 2(a)], where we again observe that the peaks are as high and narrow as those of the previous stick state.

To gain more insight into the nucleation and breaking of the capillary bridges between the surfaces, we calculate the density profiles $\rho(z)$ of water oxygens across the gap. When the system is slipping [Fig. 3(d)], $\rho(z)$ exhibits two separate peaks, consistent with breaking of the capillary bridges. In the stick state [Fig. 3(e)], $\rho(z)$ exhibits multiple peaks spanning the gap. This can be understood as the water molecules forming nanoscale capillary bridges between the two mica surfaces. In contrast to this behavior, the density distributions $\rho(x, y)$ of the water film consisting of 200 water molecules confined by graphene sheets in Fig. 4 show that water clusters to form a single, relatively large dropletlike structure between the two

![FIG. 3](color online). Contour graphs of the density distribution $\rho(x, y)$ of water oxygens in the contact layer relative to the bottom mica surface for (a) $t = 1$ ns (“stick”), (b) $t = 5$ ns (“slip”), and (c) $t = 7$ ns (“stick”). White corresponds to no water molecules being present. The density profiles across the gap $\rho(z)$ of water confined by mica sheets when the system (d) slips and (e) is in the stick state. In both (d) and (e), the top surface of the bottom mica sheet is at $z = 8.3$ Å, while the lower surface of the top mica sheet is at $z = 14.7$ Å in (d) and at $z = 13.4$ Å in (e).

![FIG. 4](color online). Contour graphs of the density distribution $\rho(x, y)$ of water oxygens in the contact layer relative to the bottom graphene surface for (a) $t = 0$ ns, (b) $t = 3$ ns, (c) $t = 5$ ns, and (d) $t = 8$ ns. White corresponds to no water molecules being present.
and the bulk flow properties of water play little or no role in friction. However, they may be recovered by increasing the thickness of the water layer [36], with the conditions approaching those of hydrodynamic lubrication. To this end, we performed MD simulations with four different, larger thicknesses of the water layer: \( h = 1.77, 2.03, 2.29, \) and 2.56 nm, corresponding to 1536, 1792, 2048, and 2304 water molecules, respectively. For these thicker water films, the stick-slip dynamics disappears. Instead, smooth sliding dynamics is observed, which at a first glance looks similar to that in the graphene-confined system. However, subtle differences can still be observed between the two surfaces. Zooming in to the spring force time series (e.g., the one shown in Fig. 2(e)) reveals periodic oscillations corresponding to the eigenfrequency of the spring-bottom plate mass \((M)\) system \( f = 1/(2\pi)\sqrt{k/M}. \) For both surfaces, the varying amplitudes of these oscillations at each period [blue circles in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b)] form sequences of time-ordered observations \( X(n) \), which can be well described by an autoregressive model \( X(n+1) = \alpha X(n) + W(n) \) [or equivalently, the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process \( X(n+1) - X(n) = -(1-\alpha)X(n) + W \)], with \( W \) white noise originating from the interaction with the fluctuating lubricant and \( \alpha \) a model parameter, both extracted using the \textit{R} package [37]. For water confined by graphene, we find \( \alpha \approx 0.8 \) and \( \delta W \approx 0.1 \text{pN} \) for all conditions considered, while we find \( \alpha \approx 0.1 \) and \( \delta W \approx 0.3 \text{pN} \) for thick water films \( (h \geq 1.77 \text{nm}) \) confined by mica. Accordingly, the autocorrelation function (ACF) of \( X(n) \) for mica decays more rapidly to zero than its counterpart for graphene. In both cases, the ACFs computed from the simulation data agree with those of the corresponding autoregressive model [see Figs. 5(c) and 5(d)]. The observation that \( \delta W \) does not significantly depend on \( h \) for \( h \geq 1.77 \text{nm} \) indicates that the screened mica-water interaction has a subnanometer range, resulting essentially in a surface effect of the fluctuations of the water layer. Also, the stronger interaction of mica with the fluctuating lubricant (as compared to that of graphene) results in a factor of 3 greater \( \delta W \) [see also Figs. 5(e) and 5(f)].
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**FIG. 5** (color online). Time dependence of \( F_s/N_p \) during a time period of 0.1 ns (a) for 1200 graphene-confined and (b) for 1536 mica-confined water molecules. Blue circles show the local maxima of the signals, corresponding to the time-varying amplitudes \( X(n) \) of the spring force oscillations. The autocorrelation functions (as a function of the lag \( \tau = n - n' \)) of these amplitudes are given (c) for graphene and (d) for mica, extracted from 10 ns long spring force signals. The solid lines correspond to the simulation results, while the dashed lines show the corresponding ACFs from the autoregressive model. The plots of \( X[n+1] \) vs \( X[n] \) extracted from the simulations for (e) graphene and (f) mica further illustrate the different natures of the smooth sliding dynamics for the two kinds of confining surfaces. The slopes of the lines (linear fits) are 0.9 and 0.1 for graphene and mica, respectively.
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