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Abstract: In built environments the combustibility of wood is a great concern, which limits the use of wood as a building material due to legislation. The reaction-to-fire properties of wood can be altered with the use of fire-retardant chemicals, and most of the commonly used fire retardants already have a long history of use. However, only limited information is available on the impact of different fire retardants on the adhesion properties of wood. Additionally, comparative studies between chemicals from different groups of fire retardants is scarce. The objective of this study was to investigate and compare the effects of two commonly used fire retardants, sodium silicate (SS) and diammonium phosphate (DAP), on veneer properties, the focus being especially on thermal behavior and adhesion. Thermal properties and combustibility were studied using thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), flame test and calorimetry. Glue bond strength was analyzed with an automated bonding evaluation system (ABES) and the leaching of chemicals was determined according to EN84. Additionally, the surface characteristics of modified veneers were imaged with scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Results revealed notable differences in the thermal properties of SS and DAP, with DAP having better fire-retardant performance in all thermal testing. SS also affected thermal properties and combustibility of modified veneers, but the effect was only moderate compared to DAP. Neither SS or DAP had any significant resistance against leaching but ABES testing showed a notable increase in the glue bond strength of DAP modified veneers.
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Introduction

Combustibility, which is often considered the greatest disadvantage of wood products in built environments, can be reduced effectively with the utilization of fire-retardant chemicals. The progress in the development of new fire retardants is relatively slow, especially in the field of wood technology, and the majority of the common fire retardants already have a long history of use (Kozlowski and Wladyka-Przybylak 2000; Rowell and Dietenberger 2012). Most of the recent studies on the subject have focused on the development of solutions with a lower environmental impact and more leaching resistant systems. Achieving a good fire performance is relatively easy, but leaching and migration within the material in moist conditions and humid environments is the main issue with many of the current fire retardants. This naturally limits their use in exterior applications (Rowell and Susott 1982; Östman et al. 2001; Obanda et al. 2008). However, the effects of fire retardants on other wood properties, especially adhesion, have received less attention.

In general, fire retardants do not improve the fire endurance of wood, but rather inhibit the flame spread and decelerate the ignition and heat release characteristics, which are the most important aspects considering real fire scenarios in built environments (Sweet 1993). Commercial fire-retardant formulations usually employ a wide mixture of different components to produce the best results. Ideally, the selected components should target different parts in the combustion process. Some fire retardants are more effective in delaying ignition, while others better inhibit smoke production or subdue glowing combustion (Kozlowski and Władyka-Przybylak 2000;
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selves. Therefore, in this work a typical example of an
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in their fire performance and their effects on veneer adhe-
sion, using equal concentrations and treatment processes.

Diammonium phosphate (DAP) is one of the most
used ammonium salt-based fire retardants. DAP decom-
poses to ammonia and phosphoric acid when exposed to
heat. Phosphoric acid releases water, which together with
ammonia dilutes the volatile pyrolysis gases. This type
of combination of phosphorous and nitrogen presents
effective synergism by enhancing the fire performance of
the two individual components, when combined (Tyner
1941; Kozlowski and Wladyka-Przybylak 2000; Lowden
and Hull 2013; Östman and Tsantaridis 2016b). The most
explored forms of silicon-based fire retardants are those
based on silicon dioxides, also known as silicates, which
are also widely employed as additives (e.g. glue extend-
ers). They are typically introduced to wood in an alkali
form, the most common example being sodium silicate
(SS; Na$_2$SiO$_3$), also known as water glass. In fire retard-
ant formulations, SS functions by forming a heat barrier
of accumulating inorganic silica residues on the wood
surface. This residue functions as an insulating, porous
barrier that prevents the release of the volatile pyrolysis
products and also reduces the amount of heat that is trans-
ferred back to the wood substrate (Mai and Militz 2004;
Lowden and Hull 2013; Lee and Thole 2018; Nguyen et al.
2019). Many fire retardants for lignocellulosic materials
work in a similar way by promoting char formation at
lower temperatures, as char has a thermal conductivity
several times lower than wood (Kozlowski and Wladyka-
Przybylak 2000).

Impregnation is the most common method of incor-
porating fire retardant chemicals to veneers and veneer
products. However, changes in the direct wood surface
affect also the adhesion properties of the material. Ade-
quate penetration of glue into the wood substrate is nec-
essary in order for strong adhesion to take place (Jost and
Sernek 2009; White and Dietenberger 2010). It is well
acknowledged that modification with fire retardant chem-
icals may cause a disturbance with the adhesion of wood
surfaces and especially in coating systems, as the chemi-
cals interfere with the direct glue contact. This is likely
to occur especially with silicate-based systems, as they
tend to form a protective film on the wood material
(Lowden and Hull 2013). Besides physically preventing the direct
contact between the glue and wood substrate, fire retard-
ants can also cause chemical interferences in the glue
bond formation. Distinguishing these chemical effects of
fire retardants on adhesion is more challenging because
of the complexity of the polymerization reaction, as well
as the generally high variability in the glue compositions
(Black 1958). Fire retardants containing inorganic salts
are known to release acid compounds in elevated temper-
ature and moisture conditions, which are present in the
hot-press curing. For example, the effectiveness of DAP as
a fire-retardant chemical is based on its ability to release
phosphoric acid and ammonia at elevated temperatures.
Phosphoric acid and ammonia prevent the curing of phe-
nolic resins, which are generally alkaline substances.
Changes in the hygroscopicity of the wood material, which
is a common side effect of many fire-retardant chemicals,
also affects the glue bond formation, because water is a
common carrier agent for most adhesives (Sernek 2002;
White and Dietenberger 2010).

The aim of the present work was to compare two
common fire retardants of different chemical groups, SS
and DAP, in their thermal behavior and combustibility.
Their effects on other veneer properties were also evalu-
ated: the extent of leaching was determined, as well as
their effect on veneer adhesion.

Materials and methods

Materials: The wood material used in all of the tests, except for mass
loss calorimetry, was 0.8 mm thick rotary cut silver birch (*Betula pen-
dula Roth*) veneer, cut to dimensions of 116 × 112.5 mm$^2$. Two different
chemicals were used for modifications: SS (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt,
Germany, sodium silicate solution extra pure, CAS Number: 1344-09-8) and DAP (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany, ≥99%, CAS Number: 7783-28-0). From both, 30 w% and 15 w% aq. solutions were prepared and used to impregnate the wood material used in the thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), flame test, leaching test and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and automated bonding evaluation system (ABES) analysis. Impregnation was carried out in a vacuum of 50 mbar absolute pressure for 1 h in room temperature. The treated veneers were then dried in 103°C for 24 h and conditioned in 25°C 30% relative humidity (RH) prior to testing. The weight percent gain (WPG) of samples was calculated from the difference of oven dry sample mass before and after the treatment, divided by the initial oven dry mass of the unmodified sample. For mass loss calorimeter (MLC) testing, separate laboratory plywood panels with dimensions of 10 × 10 × 1 cm³ were prepared from 1.5-mm thick veneers, which is the typical thickness of birch veneer in plywood production. The thicker veneers were impregnated with 20% solutions of DAP and SS, with the impregnation carried out as previously described. Phenol-formaldehyde resin (14J025, Prefere Resins Oy, Hamina, Finland) without hardeners was used in the panel preparation, using glue spread of 160 g m⁻². After prepressing at room temperature for 8 min and hot-pressing at 130°C for 7 min, the panels were cooled down and stored at 20°C and 65% RH.

Thermal properties: The thermal behavior of the impregnated veneers and the pure chemical components themselves was characterized by TGA, using a Thermo Gravimetric Analyzer Q500 TGA (TA Instruments, New Castle, DE, USA). Sample decompositions were measured from 40°C to 1000°C at a heating rate of 10°C min⁻¹, with a flow rate of 50 ml min⁻¹ of nitrogen gas. The effective heat of pyrolysis was calculated from the temperature at the maximum rate of decomposition, T_max.

Mass loss calorimeter testing was performed according to ISO 5660-1. The samples were prepared in aluminum foil from their sides and bottom, leaving one face open. A heat flux of 50 kW m⁻² was used and the time to ignition (TTI), total heat release (THR) rate and mass loss were recorded for five samples per treatment group. The measurements were carried out at a heating rate of 10°C min⁻¹, ranging from room temperature to 600°C. The weight percent gain (WPG) of samples was calculated from the difference of oven dry sample mass before and after the treatment, divided by the initial oven dry mass of the unmodified sample. For mass loss calorimeter (MLC) testing, separate laboratory plywood panels with dimensions of 10 × 10 × 1 cm³ were prepared from 1.5-mm thick veneers, which is the typical thickness of birch veneer in plywood production. The thicker veneers were impregnated with 20% solutions of DAP and SS, with the impregnation carried out as previously described. Phenol-formaldehyde resin (14J025, Prefere Resins Oy, Hamina, Finland) without hardeners was used in the panel preparation, using glue spread of 160 g m⁻². After prepressing at room temperature for 8 min and hot-pressing at 130°C for 7 min, the panels were cooled down and stored at 20°C and 65% RH.

Thermogravimetry

TGA revealed that both SS and DAP altered the veneer pyrolysis behavior. The TGA results of the reference veneer material and modified samples are presented in Figure 1 and summarized in Table 1. The effectiveness of a fire retardant can be evaluated from TGA data through a decrease in the pyrolysis onset point, T_onset, and the temperature of the maximum rate of decomposition, T_max. Additionally, an increase in the amount of produced charcoal is known as an indirect indicator of reduction in the amount of combustible gases produced in the process, which can be evaluated from the amount of residual mass (RM) after pyrolysis (Rowell and Dietenberger 2012). TGA revealed that the presence of SS had very little effect on the T_onset, which remained on the level of the reference with the 30% impregnated samples. For the 15% SS impregnated samples, T_onset rose by only 5°C. However, regardless of the treatment concentration, SS effectively lowered T_max compared to the reference and, therefore, shifted the pyrolysis pathway at least partially to lower temperatures by nearly...
An increase in the amount of RM was also observed for SS modified veneers, 27.3% for 15% SS and 33.5% for 30% SS, which is higher than that of the reference (13.1%). Additionally, the RM of modified samples was compared with the calculated theoretical RM based on the results from pure chemicals and reference. This was done in order to evaluate the impact of fire-retardant chemicals on the actual char yield after pyrolysis. For SS modified samples, a notable increase in the RM was indeed observed. The calculated theoretical RM values of 15% and 30% SS treatments did not compare with the actual test results: the theoretical RM was lower than the measured value (19.2% for 15% SS and 25.9% for 30% SS). This may indicate that increased char formation took place, which allowed protection of the wood substrate during pyrolysis. However, in a recent study Xiao et al. (2016) found alkaline silicate dispersions to have no effect on the char yield, so further study on the subject is needed.

Modification with DAP effectively lowered both $T_{\text{onset}}$ and $T_{\text{max}}$ even more than SS, indicating an improved fire performance by shifting the overall pyrolysis to a lower temperature range. Modification with 30% DAP lowered on average the $T_{\text{onset}}$ by 28°C, which was notably more than with SS. The WPG of the sample veneers affected the $T_{\text{onset}}$, which was lowered more with the 15% than with the 30% DAP concentration. This was unexpected, as stronger treatments and higher WPG values were assumed to be more effective and therefore lower the $T_{\text{onset}}$ more compared to the reference. However, these differences were balanced out by the $T_{\text{max}}$ values, which were within 4°C from each other for both treatment concentrations, independent of the differences in WPG values. DAP also increased the amount of RM by nearly 17% compared to the reference. The effect of DAP on the char yield was analyzed in the same way as with SS, and again the theoretical RM was lower than the actual measured value (19.4% for 15% DAP and 15.0% for 30% DAP). Indeed, Di Blasi et al. (2007) reported that DAP content of 20% in wood

![Figure 1: TGA curves of reference and 15% and 30% modified SS and DAP veneers.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Treatment concentration (%)</th>
<th>WPG (%)</th>
<th>$T_{\text{onset}}$ ($^\circ$C)</th>
<th>$T_{\text{max}}$ ($^\circ$C)</th>
<th>RM (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS</td>
<td>Pure component</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>36.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.4 (0.7)</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>27.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.5 (2.5)</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>33.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAP</td>
<td>Pure component</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>31.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.8 (1.4)</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>30.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.6 (2.7)</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>30.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DAP, Diammonium phosphate; RM, residual mass; SS, sodium silicate; TGA, thermogravimetric analysis; WPG, weight percent gain.
strongly increased the char yield. This is also supported by the observation that RM of 15% and 30% DAP impregnated samples was within 0.1% from each other, which based on the different WPG values was unexpected. If the RM consisted mainly of the DAP salt itself, which was still at the stage of rapid decomposition in the TGA testing until 600°C, the RM should depend solely on the WPG of the samples. Therefore, the salt may have protected the wood substrate. Overall, it is evident from TGA results that SS and DAP affected the veneer pyrolysis differently, targeting different stages of the degradation process. DAP shifted the pyrolysis pathway to lower temperatures more effectively, which in the light of previous literature also indicates better performance in actual combustion.

Flame test

The mass loss results from flame test are presented in Figure 2a. The test revealed great differences in the combustibility of SS and DAP modified samples. Reference samples decomposed fully in the flame test, resulting in nearly 0% RM. Both SS and DAP provided at least partial fire protection to the modified veneer material, but the effect of the treatment concentration was clearly visible. With DAP this was the most prominent, as the 30% DAP impregnation inhibited the ignition almost completely and a mass loss of less than 1% occurred after the source of ignition was removed. The total RM was 92%, which was notably higher than with the other treatments. With 15% DAP impregnation, the amount of RM was only 33%, although this was still the second highest result of all samples. The durations of flaming and glowing combustion were also determined, and the results are presented in Figure 2b. With both 15% and 30% DAP samples, visible charring occurred close to where the source of ignition was placed, but no flaming or glowing combustion could be observed after the source was removed after 20 s.

In turn, SS modified veneers continued burning and the flames rapidly spread across the sample after the source of ignition was removed and the samples were thoroughly charred during the test. Fifteen percent of SS solution impregnated samples resulted in 14% of RM, but the 30% solution impregnated veneers improved the material protection so that the amount of RM was 28%. The amount of RM was clearly prolonged by SS modification, although the variation was also higher than with other samples. Similar behavior for cellulosic textile fibers was reported by Basak et al. (2014), who found that treatment with SS up to 6% WPG significantly prolonged the afterglow. In glowing combustion, CO and CO₂ are produced in the exothermic oxidation reactions of the highly active residual char, if sufficient oxygen is provided. Effective glow retardants are known to increase the ratio of CO to CO₂, which minimizes the heat needed for the oxidative reactions to proceed. Cations, such as sodium, do the opposite and reduce the ratio of the two compounds. Therefore, the sodium component of SS may in fact promote glowing (Shafizadeh 1984). In turn, phosphoric salt compounds, such as DAP, are known to alter the CO to CO₂ ratio even in small amounts, which makes them highly effective in preventing glowing combustion (Browne 1958; Tyner 1941).

Mass loss calorimeter

Results from calorimeter testing verified the FR effect observed during the TGA and flame test for PF-bonded
veneer layers (plywood). Unmodified solid wood and plywood from unmodified veneers were compared with plywood prepared from veneers impregnated with 20% SS and DAP solutions, as is presented in Table 2. Unsurprisingly, SS and DAP both affected how the controlled combustion of samples proceeded, although clear differences were observed between the two treatments. Both resulted in a lowered THR, which is the total cumulative heat released over the total duration of the test. With DAP, a reduction in THR compared to reference solid wood and to unmodified plywood was observed, resulting in a decrease of 38 MJ m$^{-2}$ and 46 MJ m$^{-2}$ of THR, respectively. Similar findings regarding the effect of phosphate salts on the heat release of wood-based substrates during calorimetry have been reported in the literature (Grexa et al. 1999; Terzi et al. 2011; Ayrilmis et al. 2012). DAP was also found to be more effective against ignition, delaying the TTI by 5 s compared to the reference materials. High variation in the TTI of DAP samples is due to very weak burning of the samples during the test, with the fire self-extinguishing and re-igniting during the test. Similar effect did not occur with SS, which resulted in the same TTI of 38 s as the unmodified materials, although the data variation was high. Similar findings about silicate-based fire retardants not being able to delay the ignition of cellulosic substrates have been reported previously (Shabir Mahr et al. 2012; Grancaric et al. 2015).

Total mass loss of reference solid wood was 99.8%, which was higher than that of reference plywood with 97.1%. This is likely due to the amount of non-combustible residue from the phenol-formaldehyde resin present in the plywood panels, although the exact amount of residue could not be determined due to high data variation. The residual resin was excluded from the calculations, as it was considered to correspond to only to a small fraction in calculating the mass loss of modified plywood samples. Otherwise, the mass loss was evaluated similarly as the mass loss measured in the TGA. The mass loss was corrected for the WPG of the modified samples to ensure that the reduction in mass loss is not solely caused by the presence of a less-combustible material, and the calculations were carried out according to Eq. 1. Thereby, the loss in mass was related to the pure wood material and the results are visualized in Figure 3. Even though the actual mass loss of 20% SS and DAP plywood were similar and seemingly low compared to the references, 79% and 77%, respectively, the corrected mass loss was higher. The corrected mass loss was 90.1% for SS and 86.2% for DAP plywood, taking into account the amount of remaining fire-retardant chemical. However, this is still lower than the reference mass loss, indicating that protective mechanisms have taken place to shield the wood substrate in the plywood samples. Mass loss for DAP was nearly 4% lower than for SS, signaling a slightly better performance, although the difference was so small that it would likely be negligible in real fire scenarios. It has been reported previously that elevated temperatures weaken the performance of fire retardants in an actual fire, which should be taken into account when considering these results (White and Dietenberger 2004). The treated veneers were briefly exposed to 130°C for 7 min during hot-pressing of the panels, which may have lowered their actual performance in calorimetry.

### Leaching

Results from leaching tests are presented in Figure 4. The exact amount of leachant from each sample was calculated and compared with the original WPG value. The amount of leachant from the reference was 2.5%, which was likely due to the removal of extractives. In Figure 4, those showing resistance against leaching are the ones positioned under
the y = x line, marking the balance between leachant and original WPG values. The load of chemicals after leaching in 15% and 30% SS modified samples was –1.7 w% and 2.7 w%, respectively. This implies that from 15% SS samples, all of the modifying agent and some excess wood material were washed out in the process. However, 30% SS samples still held some chemicals after the leaching process, showing minimal resistance against leaching. As an alkaline substance, SS is highly soluble in water and readily forms anionic silanolate groups, where the silicon particles directly interact with hydroxyl groups (Pries and Mai 2013). With DAP, the difference between leachant and WPG was 0.2 w% for 15% samples and –0.1 w% for the 30% samples, indicating that nearly all of the salt was washed off. The low resistance of DAP against leaching was anticipated, because as an inorganic salt it is extremely susceptible to leaching (Holmes 1976; Östman et al. 2001; Östman and Tsantaridis 2016a). These results again highlight how additional chemical agents or multi-stage impregnation processes are need in in order to produce more leach-resistant systems (Kozlowski and Wladyka-Przybylak 2000). Based on these results, SS and DAP as they are should only be used in interior applications, where severe leaching will not be an issue. The leaching tests in this work were performed separately from ABES testing or SEM imaging, not as a pre-treatment prior to any other tests.

**SEM and ABES**

SEM images of the surfaces of 30% DAP and SS modified veneers are shown in Figure 5. For 30% DAP modified veneers, large salt crystals could be found on the veneer surface and accumulation of salt especially near the pits was observed. This visible salt crystallization at the veneer surface indicates migration of the solute salt within the veneer material during drying, when the solvent has moved within the structures and evaporated from the surface (LeVan and Jerrold 1990; Östman et al. 2001). For SS, the well-known formation of a ceramic SS layer on the veneer surface was observed. Strong cracking of the layer was also detected, which is clearly visible on the right-hand side of Figure 5b. Similar behavior of the
cracking layer was previously reported with silicate nanoparticles and wood by Shabir Mahr et al. (2012), who concluded that this was likely due to the large solid content of the used silicate precursors. Interestingly, cracking of the silicate layer was also linked to a reduced fire performance during cone calorimetry.

Results from ABES testing are presented in Figure 6. The glue bond strength of unmodified reference birch veneers was in the range of 350–420 N, which was similar to strength values reported by Rohumaa et al. (2014). An abnormal decrease in the glue bond strength was observed after 140 s press time for the reference; however, the variation at this measurement point was also high. As expected, modification with SS decreased the glue bond strength, especially with the stronger 30% solution impregnation. This was likely due to the formation of a ceramic layer on the veneer surface that was observed with SEM, which prevents direct contact between the adhesive and the wood substrate. However, gradual improvement in the glue bond strength of SS veneers was observed over longer press times, and at 140 s the performance was already at the level of reference veneers. As was noticed in TGA, thermal degradation of pure SS begins already at a T_{start} of 116°C. Therefore, the improvement of the glue bond strength over the longer press times at 130°C may be due to gradual degradation of the SS, which eventually allowed for better adhesion to take place. Interestingly, DAP in turn notably improved the glue bond strength of the veneers with both 15 and 30% concentrations. With 30% impregnated samples, the improvement in glue line strength was nearly linear with the longer press times. This was unexpected, as the gluing of DAP treated has veneers with phenol-formaldehyde has been reported to produce only moderate adhesive strength at best (Selbo 1959). DAP releases phosphoric acid during heating, which due to the lowered pH prevents the setting of phenolic resins and overall weakens the wood material. This phosphoric acid formation takes place at 160–170°C, which is higher than the 130°C press temperature used in this work (Sam Williams 2010; Lowden and Hull 2013). The slightly alkaline pH-value of DAP solutions used in the treatments (8.5) may have promoted the glue bond formation during pressing, as the synthesis and curing reactions of phenol formaldehyde occur at basic conditions, and DAP had not yet decomposed into a product of lower pH-value at 130°C (Kline et al. 1946; Riedl and He 2004). The small difference between 15% and 30% DAP samples may be explained by the salt migration towards the surface, which would have evened out the differences in actual salt load at the bonded surface. The fact that DAP modification improved bonding could have practical relevance in developing plywood products, as the increased glue bond strength could potentially be used to compensate for the strength loss that ammonium salt-based fire retardants are known to have on plywood (Lebow and Winandy 1999). Further work is needed to determine the actual mechanism behind the phenomena and investigate the potential of DAP in improving the adhesion along with fire performance of veneer products. Also, the impact of cyclic humidity exposure should be investigated when considering veneers modified with fire retardants, as the moisture sensitive chemicals may cause weakening of the glue bond strength in varied moisture conditions (LeVan et al. 1996).

Conclusions

The effect of SS and DAP on veneer properties was evaluated. When comparing the two fire retardants regarding their thermal properties, DAP performed better than SS in all areas: in TGA it shifted the pyrolysis pathway most effectively to lower temperatures, indicating a superior fire performance. This was also demonstrated in a flame test and by mass loss calorimetry, where DAP was more efficient in reducing the flaming and glowing combustion, mass loss, TTI and THR. Compared to DAP, SS had only a moderate performance in TGA, flame test and mass loss calorimetry, although it did provide some fire retardancy to the modified veneers. The fire retardants were also compared regarding their resistance against leaching, but both SS and DAP performed poorly. Interestingly, DAP notably increased the glue bond strength in ABES testing, which was unexpected. This was likely due to the optimal
pH-conditions during hot-pressing but further work is needed to determine the actual mechanism behind the finding.

Acknowledgements: The authors thank the support from Regional Council of South Savo from European Regional Development Fund (project number 18/13.01/2016), granted for the project Veneer modification, within which these results were obtained.

References


