
This is an electronic reprint of the original article.
This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Iñiguez, Gerardo; Jo, Hang Hyun; Kaski, Kimmo
Special issue "computational social science"

Published in:
Information (Switzerland)

DOI:
[10.3390/info10100307](https://doi.org/10.3390/info10100307)

Published: 01/10/2019

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published under the following license:
CC BY

Please cite the original version:
Iñiguez, G., Jo, H. H., & Kaski, K. (2019). Special issue "computational social science". *Information (Switzerland)*, 10(10), Article 307. <https://doi.org/10.3390/info10100307>

This material is protected by copyright and other intellectual property rights, and duplication or sale of all or part of any of the repository collections is not permitted, except that material may be duplicated by you for your research use or educational purposes in electronic or print form. You must obtain permission for any other use. Electronic or print copies may not be offered, whether for sale or otherwise to anyone who is not an authorised user.

Editorial

Special Issue “Computational Social Science”

Gerardo Iñiguez ^{1,2,3,*} , Hang-Hyun Jo ^{2,4,5}  and Kimmo Kaski ²¹ Department of Network and Data Science, Central European University, 1051 Budapest, Hungary² Department of Computer Science, Aalto University School of Science, 00076 Aalto, Finland; h2jo23@gmail.com (H.-H.J.); kimmo.kaski@aalto.fi (K.K.)³ IIMAS, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ciudad de México 01000, Mexico⁴ Asia Pacific Center for Theoretical Physics, Pohang 37673, Korea⁵ Department of Physics, Pohang University of Science and Technology, Pohang 37673, Korea

* Correspondence: iniguezg@ceu.edu

Received: 29 September 2019; Accepted: 29 September 2019; Published: 1 October 2019



1. Introduction

The last centuries have seen a great surge in our understanding and control of “simple” physical, chemical, and biological processes through data analysis and the mathematical modeling of their underlying dynamics. Encouraged by its success, researchers have recently embarked on extending such approaches to gain a qualitative and quantitative understanding of social and economic systems and their dynamics [1,2]. This has become possible due to the massive amounts of data generated by information-communication technologies [3,4] and the unprecedented fusion of off- and on-line human activity [5,6]. However, due to the presence of adaptability, feedback loops, and strong heterogeneities of the individuals and interactions making up our modern digital societies, it is as of yet unclear if statistical “laws” of sociotechnical behavior even exist [7–9], akin to those found for natural processes. The continuing search has resulted in the fields of computational social science and social network science, which share the goal of first analyzing social phenomena and then modeling them with enough accuracy to make reliable predictions [10–12]. This Special Issue has invited contributions to such fields of study, with a focus on the temporal evolution and dynamics of complex social systems [13]. In what follows, we briefly summarize the articles comprising this issue.

2. Summary of Articles

1. *Axiomatisation and Simulation* by Klaus G. Troitzsch [14]: In the context of a “non-statement view” of the structuralist program in philosophy of science, the author discusses a research architecture for the role of simulation in the process of theory building. The author claims that computer simulation is a proper way of doing science then applies the formal definition of a theory to historical data of teachers in the “Gymnasien” of Rhineland-Palatinate in Germany by focusing on the estimation of parameters characterizing the probability of a woman replacing a retired teacher at school. The results of simulations (using MIMOSE and NetLogo) are used to validate the empirical data, allowing new insights into this particular historical process.
2. *Analysis of SAP Log Data Based on Network Community Decomposition* by Martin Kopka and Miloš Kudělka [15]: The authors introduce a framework for analyzing SAP log data using network science tools such as community detection methods. SAP is an enterprise resource planning software enabling customers to run their business processes. Due to its large volumes of related log data and their complicated structure, the visualization of the detected communities (or structural patterns) can be useful in supporting management decision making in a company, as the authors show.

3. *Dynamic Evolution Model of a Collaborative Innovation Network from the Resource Perspective and an Application Considering Different Government Behaviors* by Zhaoyang Wu, Yunfei Shao, and Lu Feng [16]: Based on a case study of collaborative innovation networks in China, the authors develop a dynamic evolutionary network model to examine a resource-priority mechanism and the role of government in Chinese society. By comparing the results of three different government policies, the authors conclude that supporting enterprises that have recently entered the network can help maintain its innovation vitality.
4. *A Novel Approach for Web Service Recommendation Based on Advanced Trust Relationships* by Lijun Duan, Hao Tian, and Kun Liu [17]: Web service recommendation and other methods of collaborative filtering are central in managing the massive amounts of information online. In order to decrease the manipulation of web service recommendation systems by malicious users, the authors introduce a new algorithm based on the formalization of a trust relationship between users. By means of an experimental analysis, the authors show that their recommendation system is more effective than current algorithms at resisting malicious attacks.
5. *Interactional and Informational Attention on Twitter* by Agathe Baltzer, Márton Karsai, and Camille Roth [18]: Even though Twitter is often considered a decentralized social platform (in which users receive information from followees and pass it on to their followers), such information processing in terms of attention is not devoid of hierarchy or heterogeneity. In order to further understand human attentional patterns online, the authors study a large corpus of Twitter follower and retweet data. Interestingly, the authors find a “two-level flow of attention” in which users first focus their attention on a core of potentially interesting peers and topics and then distribute their attention uniformly within that core.

3. Outlook

During the last few years, the emerging field of computational social science has been characterized by a surge of common research activity in traditionally separate disciplines, as well as by increasing collaboration across disciplinary boundaries. As the articles in this Special Issue show, such efforts bring difficulties in terms of common language and perspectives but also promote diversity in the tools available to analyze, model, and ultimately predict social phenomena. Among the myriad of recent research topics in computational social science, we see as particularly promising lines those focusing on more realistic models of social dynamics [19]; the use of statistical inference, machine learning, and other cross-disciplinary techniques to complement the analysis of social dynamics [20]; and the creation of loops between data acquisition and model analysis to increase accuracy in the prediction of social trends [21]. We hope this Special Issue will help in our continuing task to bring together expertise from a wide range of research communities interested in similar topics, including computational social science, network science, information science, and complexity science.

References

1. Borgatti, S.P.; Mehra, A.; Brass, D.J.; Labianca, G. Network analysis in the social sciences. *Science* **2009**, *323*, 892–895. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
2. Castellano, C.; Fortunato, S.; Loreto, V. Statistical physics of social dynamics. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **2009**, *81*, 591. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Lazer, D.; Pentland, A.; Adamic, L.; Aral, S.; Barabasi, A.-L.; Brewer, D.; Christakis, N.; Contractor, N.; Fowler, J.; Gutmann, M.; et al. Computational social science. *Science* **2009**, *323*, 721–723. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
4. Conte, R.; Gilbert, N.; Bonelli, G.; Cioffi-Revilla, C.; Deffuant, G.; Kertesz, J.; Loreto, V.; Moat, S.; Nadal, J.-P.; Sanchez, A.; et al. Manifesto of computational social science. *Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top.* **2012**, *214*, 325–346. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Vespignani, A. Predicting the behavior of techno-social systems. *Science* **2009**, *325*, 425–428. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
6. González-Bailón, S. Social science in the era of big data. *Policy Internet* **2013**, *5*, 147–160. [[CrossRef](#)]

7. Macy, M.W.; Willer, R. From factors to actors: Computational sociology and agent-based modeling. *Annu. Rev. Sociol.* **2002**, *28*, 143–166. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Vespignani, A. Modelling dynamical processes in complex socio-technical systems. *Nat. Phys.* **2012**, *8*, 32. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Barzel, B.; Liu, Y.Y.; Barabási, A.L. Constructing minimal models for complex system dynamics. *Nat. Commun.* **2015**, *6*, 7186. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
10. Watts, D.J. Computational social science: Exciting progress and future directions. In *Frontiers of Engineering: Reports on Leading-Edge Engineering from the 2013 Symposium*; The National Academies Press: Washington, DC, USA, 2014; pp. 17–24.
11. Pentland, A. *Social Physics: How Good Ideas Spread—The Lessons from a New Science*; Penguin Press: London, UK, 2014.
12. Salganik, M. *Bit by Bit: Social Research in the Digital Age*; Princeton University Press: Princeton, NJ, USA, 2019.
13. Holme, P. Modern temporal network theory: A colloquium. *Eur. Phys. J. B* **2015**, *88*, 234. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Troitzsch, K.G. Axiomatisation and Simulation. *Information* **2019**, *10*, 53. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Kopka, M.; Kudělka, M. Analysis of SAP log data based on network community decomposition. *Information* **2019**, *10*, 92. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Wu, Z.; Shao, Y.; Feng, L. Dynamic Evolution Model of a Collaborative Innovation Network from the Resource Perspective and an Application Considering Different Government Behaviors. *Information* **2019**, *10*, 138. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Duan, L.; Tian, H.; Liu, K. A Novel Approach for Web Service Recommendation Based on Advanced Trust Relationships. *Information* **2019**, *10*, 233. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Baltzer, A.; Karsai, M.; Roth, C. Interactional and Informational Attention on Twitter. *Information* **2019**, *10*, 250. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Holme, P.; Liljeros, F. Mechanistic models in computational social science. *Front. Phys.* **2015**, *3*, 78. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Overgoor, J.; Benson, A.; Ugander, J. Choosing to grow a graph: Modeling network formation as discrete choice. In *Proceedings of the WWW'19 The World Wide Web Conference, San Francisco, CA, USA, 13–17 May 2019*; pp. 1409–1420.
21. Van den Broeck, W.; Gioannini, C.; Gonçalves, B.; Quaggiotto, M.; Colizza, V.; Vespignani, A. The GLEaMviz computational tool, a publicly available software to explore realistic epidemic spreading scenarios at the global scale. *BMC Infect. Dis.* **2011**, *11*, 37.



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).