



This is an electronic reprint of the original article. This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Gustafsson, Tom; Stenberg, Rolf; Videman, Juha Nitsche's method for the obstacle problem of clamped Kirchhoff plates

Published in: Numerical Mathematics and Advanced Applications ENUMATH 2017

DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-96415-7_36

Published: 01/01/2019

Document Version Peer-reviewed accepted author manuscript, also known as Final accepted manuscript or Post-print

Please cite the original version:

Gustafsson, T., Stenberg, R., & Videman, J. (2019). Nitsche's method for the obstacle problem of clamped Kirchhoff plates. In F. A. Radu, K. Kumar, I. Berre, J. M. Nordbotten, & I. S. Pop (Eds.), *Numerical Mathematics and Advanced Applications ENUMATH 2017* (pp. 407-415). (Lecture Notes in Computational Science and Engineering; Vol. 126). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-96415-7_36

This material is protected by copyright and other intellectual property rights, and duplication or sale of all or part of any of the repository collections is not permitted, except that material may be duplicated by you for your research use or educational purposes in electronic or print form. You must obtain permission for any other use. Electronic or print copies may not be offered, whether for sale or otherwise to anyone who is not an authorised user.

Nitsche's method for the obstacle problem of clamped Kirchhoff plates

Tom Gustafsson¹, Rolf Stenberg², and Juha Videman³

- ¹ Department of Mathematics and Systems Analysis, Aalto University, P.O. Box 11100, 00076 Aalto, Finland, tom.gustafsson@aalto.fi
- ² Department of Mathematics and Systems Analysis, Aalto University, P.O. Box 11100, 00076 Aalto, Finland, rolf.stenberg@aalto.fi
- ³ CAMGSD/Departamento de Matemática, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Av. Rovisco Pais 1, 1049-001 Lisbon, Portugal, jvideman@math.tecnico.ulisboa.pt

Abstract. The theory behind Nitsche's method for approximating the obstacle problem of clamped Kirchhoff plates is reviewed. A priori estimates and residualbased a posteriori error estimators are presented for the related conforming stabilised finite element method and the latter are used for adaptive refinement in a numerical experiment.

1 Introduction

Nitsche's method is widely used for the numerical approximation of contact problems, cf. [5,9,10,6] and all the references therein. It was first proposed as a non-standard treatment of boundary conditions [23] and as such is related to later discovered discontinuous Galerkin methods. Over 20 years ago (cf. [25]), using a Lagrange multiplier to impose (weakly) the Dirichlet boundary condition on the Poisson equation, we observed that the Lagrange multiplier can be eliminated, element by element, from the stabilised finite element formulation, leading to an optimally conditioned, symmetric and positive definite system corresponding to a method by Nitsche which was, at that time, largely forgotten.

There are, however, fundamental issues with Nitsche's formulation since its analysis requires an additional smoothness assumption and the a posteriori estimates are based on a so-called *saturation assumption*, cf. [1,20,8]. Recently, by going back to the interpretation of Nitsche's method as a stabilised formulation, recalling our analysis for the Stokes problem [26] and using the techniques from [13,15], we were able to give a complete error analysis (both a priori and a posteriori) for the stabilised/Nitsche's method when applied to the membrane obstacle problem [17]. We emphasise that the stabilised form is only needed for the analysis. For the practical implementation, Nitsche's formulation is preferable.

In this note, we will review our latest results on conforming stabilised finite element methods for the plate obstacle problem, cf. [19]. Our method

2 T. Gustafsson, R. Stenberg, and J. Videman

is based on a saddle point formulation with the contact force appearing as an additional unknown (Lagrange multiplier). We present an a priori estimate with minimal regularity assumptions and introduce the Nitsche's formulation with Lagrange multiplier providing an approximation for the contact force and the unknown contact domain. We will also present an a posteriori error estimator and use it for adaptive refinement in a numerical experiment.

Numerical approximation of fourth-order variational inequalities has been previously studied, e.g., in [24,4,3,16,2] but to our knowledge Nitsche's or stabilised methods using conforming C^1 -continuous elements were for the first time proposed and rigorously analysed in [19].

2 Problem statement

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ denote a polygonal domain occupied by (the mid-surface of) a thin plate of thickness d whose deformation is governed by the Kirchhoff–Love theory. Assume that the vertical displacement u of the plate, resulting from an applied load $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, is constrained by a rigid obstacle $g \in H^2(\Omega)$ and suppose, for simplicity, that the plate is clamped at all edges.

Letting $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{v}) = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla \boldsymbol{v} + \nabla \boldsymbol{v}^T)$ denote the infinitesimal strain tensor and $\boldsymbol{K}(u) = -\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\nabla u)$ the curvature, the bending moment \boldsymbol{M} is defined by

$$\boldsymbol{M}(u) = \frac{Ed^3}{12(1+\nu)} \left(\boldsymbol{K}(u) + \frac{\nu}{1-\nu} \operatorname{tr} \left(\boldsymbol{K}(u) \right) \boldsymbol{I} \right),$$

where E and ν are the Young's modulus and the Poisson ratio (see, e.g., [12]). Defining the bilinear and linear forms a and l by

$$a(w,v) = \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{M}(w) : \boldsymbol{K}(v) \, \mathrm{d}x, \qquad l(v) = \int_{\Omega} f v \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

the solution to the clamped plate obstacle problem can be characterised as

$$u = \operatorname{argmin}_{v \in K} \left[\frac{1}{2} a(v, v) - l(v) \right],$$

where $K = \{ v \in H_0^2(\Omega) : v \ge g \text{ in } \Omega \}$ or, equivalently, as the solution to the variational inequality: Find $u \in K$ such that

$$a(u, v - u) \ge l(v - u) \quad \forall v \in K.$$

$$\tag{1}$$

Remark 1. The existence of a unique solution to problem (1) follows from standard theory, see [21]. For smooth data, the solution has been shown to be in $H^3_{\text{loc}}(\Omega) \cap C^2(\Omega)$, in convex domains in $H^3(\Omega)$, cf. [14,7], and the smoothness threshold seems to be $H^{7/2-\varepsilon}(\Omega), \varepsilon > 0$, see Example 1 in [3].

Nitsche's method for approximating the plate obstacle problem can be regarded as a stabilised finite element method for the Lagrange multiplier formulation of problem (1) with the stabilisation term arising from its strong form. Associating a Lagrange multiplier λ to the constraint $v \ge g$, the strong form reads as follows:

$$\mathcal{A}(u) - \lambda = f \lambda \ge 0, \quad u - g \ge 0, \quad \lambda(u - g) = 0$$
 in Ω , (2)

$$u = 0$$
 and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0$ on $\partial \Omega$, (3)

where

$$\mathcal{A}(u) = \frac{Ed^3}{12(1-\nu^2)} \Delta^2 u \,.$$

The Lagrange multiplier λ corresponds to a reaction force exerted on the plate by the obstacle and it belongs to the space

$$\Lambda = \{ \mu \in Q : \langle v, \mu \rangle \ge 0 \ \forall v \in V \text{ s.t. } v \ge 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega \},$$

where $V = H_0^2(\Omega), Q = H^{-2}(\Omega) = [H_0^2(\Omega)]'$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times Q \to \mathbb{R}$ denotes the duality pairing.

Let us define a bilinear form $\mathcal{B}: (V \times Q) \times (V \times Q) \to \mathbb{R}$ and a linear form $\mathcal{L}: V \times Q \to \mathbb{R}$ through

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(w,\xi;v,\mu) &= a(w,v) - \langle v,\xi \rangle - \langle w,\mu \rangle, \\ \mathcal{L}(v,\mu) &= (f,v) - \langle g,\mu \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Problem (2)-(3) can now be written as the following variational inequality:

Find $(u, \lambda) \in V \times \Lambda$ such that

$$\mathcal{B}(u,\lambda;v,\mu-\lambda) \le \mathcal{L}(v,\mu-\lambda) \quad \forall (v,\mu) \in V \times \Lambda.$$
(4)

The bilinear form \mathcal{B} is continuous and stable (cf. [19]) with respect to the norm

$$|||(w,\xi)||| = \left(||w||_2^2 + ||\xi||_{-2}^2\right)^{1/2}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_2$ and $\|\cdot\|_{-2}$ are the usual norms in $H^2(\Omega)$ and $H^{-2}(\Omega)$. The saddle-point formulation (4) and formulation (1) are equivalent, cf. [11].

3 Stabilised finite element method

Let C_h be a conforming shape-regular triangulation of Ω into triangles K and let $V_h \subset V$ and $Q_h \subset Q$ be finite element subspaces. Defining

$$\Lambda_h = \{\mu_h \in Q_h : \mu_h \ge 0 \text{ in } \Omega\} \subset \Lambda$$

T. Gustafsson, R. Stenberg, and J. Videman

and introducing stabilised bilinear and linear forms \mathcal{B}_h and \mathcal{L}_h by

$$\mathcal{B}_h(w,\xi;v,\mu) = \mathcal{B}(w,\xi;v,\mu) - \alpha \sum_{K \in \mathcal{C}_h} h_K^4(\mathcal{A}(w) - \xi, \mathcal{A}(v) - \mu)_K,$$
$$\mathcal{L}_h(v,\mu) = \mathcal{L}(v,\mu) - \alpha \sum_{K \in \mathcal{C}_h} h_K^4(f,\mathcal{A}(v) - \mu)_K,$$

where $\alpha > 0$ is a stabilisation parameter, the stabilised finite element method becomes: Find $(u_h, \lambda_h) \in V_h \times \Lambda_h$ such that

$$\mathcal{B}_{h}(u_{h},\lambda_{h};v_{h},\mu_{h}-\lambda_{h}) \leq \mathcal{L}_{h}(v_{h},\mu_{h}-\lambda_{h}) \quad \forall (v_{h},\mu_{h}) \in V_{h} \times \Lambda_{h}.$$
(5)

The stabilised formulation is consistent and, assuming that $\alpha \in (0, C_I)$ where $C_I > 0$ is the constant from the inverse inequality

$$C_I \sum_{K \in \mathcal{C}_h} h_K^4 \| \mathcal{A}(w_h) \|_{0,K}^2 \le a(w_h, w_h) \quad \forall w_h \in V_h,$$

it is stable which leads to a quasi-optimal a priori error estimate (see [19]):

$$|||(u-u_h,\lambda-\lambda_h)||| \lesssim \inf_{\substack{v_h \in V_h, \\ \mu_h \in \Lambda_h}} \left(||(u-v_h,\lambda-\mu_h)||| + \sqrt{\langle u-g,\mu_h \rangle} \right) + \operatorname{osc}(f).$$

Above osc(f) denotes the data oscillation defined by

$$\operatorname{osc}(f)^2 = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{C}_h} h_K^2 ||f - f_h||_{0,K},$$

with $f_h \in V_h$ standing for the L^2 -projection of f.

4 Nitsche's method

Assume that the finite element spaces consist of a C^1 -element for the displacement field (in our example, the Argyris element) coupled with a piecewise polynomial and discontinuous approximation of the Lagrange multiplier. The Lagrange multiplier can thus be eliminated elementwise from the stabilised formulation (5) leading to the Nitsche's method:

Find $u_h \in V_h$ such that

$$a_h(u_h, v_h; u_h) = l_h(v_h; u_h) \quad \forall v_h \in V_h, \tag{6}$$

where

4

$$a_{h}(u_{h}, v_{h}; w_{h}) = a(u_{h}, v_{h}) + \left(\frac{1}{\alpha \mathcal{H}^{4}} u_{h}, v_{h}\right)_{\Omega_{C}(w_{h})} - (\mathcal{A}(u_{h}), v_{h})_{\Omega_{C}(w_{h})} - (u_{h}, \mathcal{A}(v_{h}))_{\Omega_{C}(w_{h})} - \left(\alpha \mathcal{H}^{4} \mathcal{A}(u_{h}), \mathcal{A}(v_{h})\right)_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_{C}(w_{h})}, l_{h}(v_{h}; w_{h}) = (f, v_{h}) + \left(\frac{1}{\alpha \mathcal{H}^{4}} g, v_{h}\right)_{\Omega_{C}(w_{h})} - (g, \mathcal{A}(v_{h}))_{\Omega_{C}(w_{h})} - (f, v_{h})_{\Omega_{C}(w_{h})} - (\alpha \mathcal{H}^{4} f, \mathcal{A}(v_{h}))_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_{C}(w_{h})}.$$

Above, $\mathcal{H} \in L^2(\Omega)$ is defined as $\mathcal{H}|_K = h_K$, $\forall K \in \mathcal{C}_h$, and the contact set $\Omega_C(w_h) = \{(x, y) \in \Omega : F(w_h) > 0\}$, where

$$F(w_h) = \frac{1}{\alpha \mathcal{H}^4} \left(g - w_h + \alpha \mathcal{H}^4(\mathcal{A}(w_h) - f) \right)_+, \qquad w_+ = \max(w, 0),$$

is the reaction force (Lagrange multiplier), is approximated iteratively using the previous displacement field to linearise problem (6).

Based on an a posteriori error analysis of the stabilised method, the local error estimator used in an adaptive refinement strategy is defined as

$$\mathcal{E}_{K}^{2} = \eta_{K}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{E \subset K} \eta_{E}^{2} + ((u_{h} - g)_{+}, \lambda_{h})_{K} + \|(g - u_{h})_{+}\|_{2,K}^{2}$$

where E are the (interior) edges of $K \in \mathcal{C}_h$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_K^2 &= h_K^4 \|\mathcal{A}(u_h) - \lambda_h - f\|_{0,K}^2, \quad \eta_E^2 = h_E^3 \| \llbracket V_n(u_h) \rrbracket \|_{0,E}^2 + h_E \| \llbracket M_{nn}(u_h) \rrbracket \|_{0,E}^2, \\ \text{with } \llbracket V_n(u_h) \rrbracket \text{ and } \llbracket M_{nn}(u_h) \rrbracket \text{ denoting the jumps over interior edges of the Kirchhoff shear force and the normal moment, see [18,19] for more details. \end{aligned}$$

5 Numerical results

We will consider the problem from [2, Example 7.4] where the domain Ω is given by $\Omega = (-0.5, 0.5)^2 \setminus [0, 0.5]^2$ and the obstacle and load function are

$$g(x) = -\sin(2\pi(x+0.5)(y+0.5))\sin(4\pi(x-0.5)(y-0.5)) - 0.35$$

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 500 \ e^{(x+0.25)^2 + (y+0.25)^2}, & x \le 0, \ y > 0\\ 0, & x \le 0, \ y \le 0\\ 1000 \ (0.5 + (x-0.25)^2 + (y+0.25)^2)^{3/2}, & x > 0, \ y \le 0 \end{cases}$$

The stabilisation parameter is chosen as $\alpha = 10^{-5}$, and the marking and adaptive refinement strategies are as in [19]. For this problem the contact set is one-dimensional. The discrete solution and the discrete contact set are visualised in Fig. 1. The adaptive meshes and the total error are given in Fig. 2. Note that due to the re-entrant corner, the exact solution belongs to $H^{2.54}(\Omega)$ (cf. [22]) which corresponds to the convergence rate $N^{-0.27}$ obtained with uniform refinement, with N denoting the number of degrees of freedom, and that the adaptive meshing strategy recovers the optimal rate of convergence N^{-2} for fifth order elements.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful for the financial support from the Portuguese Science Foundation (FCOMP-01-0124-FEDER-029408), Tekes (Decision number 3305/31/2015), the Finnish Academy of Science and Letters, and the Finnish Cultural Foundation.



Fig. 1. The discrete solution u_h and the discrete contact set, $\Omega_C(u_h)$, after two adaptive mesh refinements.

References

- R. BECKER, P. HANSBO, AND R. STENBERG, A finite element method for domain decomposition with non-matching grids, ESAIM: Math. Model. Numer. Anal. 37 (2003), 209–225.
- S. BRENNER, J. GEDICKE, L.-Y. SUNG, AND Y. ZHANG, An a posteriori analysis of C⁰ interior penalty methods for the obstacle problem of clamped Kirchhoff plates, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 55 (2017), 87–108.
- S. BRENNER, L.-Y. SUNG, H. ZHANG, AND Y. ZHANG, A Morley finite element method for the displacement obstacle problem of clamped Kirchhoff plates, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 254 (2013), 31–42.
- S. BRENNER, L.-Y. SUNG, AND Y. ZHANG, Finite element methods for the displacement obstacle problem of clamped plates, Math. Comp. 81 (2012), 1247– 1262.
- E. BURMAN, A penalty-free nonsymmetric Nitsche-type method for the weak imposition of boundary conditions, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 50 (2012), 1959– 1981.
- E. BURMAN, P. HANSBO, AND M.G. LARSON, The penalty-free Nitsche's method and non-conforming finite elements for the Signorini problem, SIAM J. Numer. Anal 55 (2017), 2523-2539.
- L.A. CAFFARELLI AND A. FRIEDMAN, The obstacle problem for the biharmonic operator, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) 6 (1979), 151–183.
- 8. F. CHOULY, M. FABRE, P. HILD, J. POUSIN, AND Y. RENARD, Residual-based a posteriori error estimation for contact problems approximated by Nitsche's method, IMA J. Numer. Anal. (to appear).
- F. CHOULY AND P. HILD, A Nitsche-based method for unilateral contact problems: Numerical analysis, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 51 (2013), 1295–1307.



Fig. 2. A sequence of adaptively refined meshes and the total error as a function of the number of degrees of freedom. The upper-left panel depicts the initial mesh.

- 8 T. Gustafsson, R. Stenberg, and J. Videman
- F. CHOULY, P. HILD, AND Y. RENARD, Symmetric and non-symmetric variants of Nitsche's method for contact problems in elasticity: theory and numerical experiments, Math. Comp. 84 (2015), 1089-1112.
- 11. I. EKELAND AND R. TEMAM, Convex Analysis and Variational problems, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1999.
- K. FENG AND Z.-C. SHI, Mathematical Theory of Elastic Structures, Springer Verlag, Berlin; Science Press, Beijing, 1996.
- L.P. FRANCA AND R. STENBERG, Error analysis of Galerkin least squares methods for the elasticity equations, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 28 (1991), 1680–1697.
- 14. J. FREHSE, Zum Differenzierbarkeitsproblem bei Variationsungleichungen höherer Ordnung, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **36** (1971), 140–149.
- T. GUDI, A new error analysis for discontinuous finite element methods for linear elliptic problems, Math. Comp. 79 (2010), 2169–2189.
- T. GUDI AND K. PORWAL, A C⁰ interior penalty method for a fourth-order variational inequality of the second kind, Numer. Methods Partial Differ. Equ. **32** (2016), 36–59.
- T. GUSTAFSSON, R. STENBERG, AND J. VIDEMAN, Mixed and stabilized finite element methods for the obstacle problem, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 55 (2017), 2718-2744.
- T. GUSTAFSSON, R. STENBERG, AND J. VIDEMAN, A posteriori estimates for conforming Kirchhoff plate elements, arXiv preprint: 1707.08396.
- 19. T. GUSTAFSSON, R. STENBERG, AND J. VIDEMAN, A stabilized finite element method for the plate obstacle problem, arXiv preprint: 1711.04166.
- M. JUNTUNEN AND R. STENBERG, Nitsche's method for general boundary conditions, Math. Comp. 78 (2009), 1353–1374
- J.L. LIONS AND G. STAMPACCHIA, Variational inequalities, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 20 (1967), 493-519.
- 22. H. MELZER AND R. RANNACHER, Spannungskonzentrationen in Eckpunkten der vertikal belasteten Kirchhoffschen Platte, Bauingenieur 55 (1980), 181–189.
- J. NITSCHE, Über ein Variationsprinzip zur Lösung von Dirichlet-Problemen bei Verwendung von Teilräumen, die keinen Randbedingungen unterworfen sind, Abh. Math. Univ. Hamburg 36 (1970/1971), 9–15.
- R. SCHOLZ, Mixed finite element approximation of a fourth order variational inequality by the penalty method, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. 9 (1987), 233– 247.
- R. STENBERG, On some techniques for approximating boundary conditions in the finite element method, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 63 (1995), 139–148.
- R. STENBERG AND J. VIDEMAN, On the error analysis of stabilized finite element methods for the Stokes problem, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 53 (2015), 2626– 2633.