



This is an electronic reprint of the original article. This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Guo, Xiangdong; Liu, Ruina; Hu, Debo; Hu, Hai; Wei, Zheng; Wang, Rui; Dai, Yunyun; Cheng, Yang; Chen, Ke; Liu, Kaihui; Zhang, Guangyu; Zhu, Xing; Sun, Zhipei; Yang, Xiaoxia; Dai, Qing

Efficient All-Optical Plasmonic Modulators with Atomically Thin Van Der Waals Heterostructures

Published in: Advanced Materials

DOI: 10.1002/adma.201907105

Published: 01/03/2020

Document Version Peer-reviewed accepted author manuscript, also known as Final accepted manuscript or Post-print

Please cite the original version:

Guo, X., Liu, R., Hu, D., Hu, H., Wei, Z., Wang, R., Dai, Y., Cheng, Y., Chen, K., Liu, K., Zhang, G., Zhu, X., Sun, Z., Yang, X., & Dai, Q. (2020). Efficient All-Optical Plasmonic Modulators with Atomically Thin Van Der Waals Heterostructures. *Advanced Materials*, *32*(11), Article 1907105. https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201907105

This material is protected by copyright and other intellectual property rights, and duplication or sale of all or part of any of the repository collections is not permitted, except that material may be duplicated by you for your research use or educational purposes in electronic or print form. You must obtain permission for any other use. Electronic or print copies may not be offered, whether for sale or otherwise to anyone who is not an authorised user.

Efficient all-optical plasmonic modulators with atomically

thin van der Waals heterostructures

Xiangdong Guo^{1,2,3,4}, Ruina Liu¹, Debo Hu^{1,3}, Hai Hu^{1,3}, Zheng Wei⁵, Rui Wang¹, Yunyun Dai⁶, Yang Cheng⁴, Ke Chen^{1,3}, Kaihui Liu⁴, Guangyu Zhang⁵, Xing Zhu^{1,2,4}, Zhipei Sun^{*6,7}, Xiaoxia Yang^{*1,3}, Qing Dai^{*1,3}

¹Division of Nanophotonics, CAS Center for Excellence in Nanoscience, National Center for Nanoscience and Technology, Beijing 100190, China.

² Academy for Advanced Interdisciplinary Studies, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China.

³ Center of Materials Science and Optoelectronics Engineering, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China.

⁴ State Key Lab for Mesoscopic Physics, School of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China.

⁵·Beijing National Laboratory for Condensed Matter Physics and Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

^{6.} Department of Electronics and Nanoengineering, Aalto University, Tietotie 3, FI-02150, Espoo, Finland

^{7.} QTF Centre of Excellence, Department of Applied Physics, Aalto University, FI-00076, Aalto, Finland

E-mail: daiq@nanoctr.cn; yangxx@nanoctr.cn; zhipei.sun@aalto.fi

Abstract

All-optical modulators are attracting significant attention due to their intrinsic perspective on high-speed, low-loss and broadband performance, which are promising to replace their electrical counterparts for future information communication technology. However, high-power consumption and large footprint remain obstacles for the prevailing non-linear optical methods due to the weak photon-photon interaction. Here, we report efficient all-optical plasmonic waveguide and free-space modulators in atomically thin graphene-MoS₂ heterostructures based on the ultrafast and efficient doping of graphene with the photo-generated carrier in the monolayer MoS₂. Plasmonic modulation of 44 cm⁻¹ has been demonstrated by a LED with light intensity down to 0.15 mW/cm², which is 4 orders of magnitude smaller than the prevailing graphene nonlinear all-optical modulators (~10³ mW/cm²). The fast carrier transfer and recombination time constants of photogenerated carriers in the heterostructure enable

ultrafast modulation with speed down to the sub-10 ps range, around three orders of magnitude faster than the demonstrated electrical plasmonic modulation methods. Our demonstration of the efficient and ultrafast all-optical plasmonic modulators, with chip-scale integrability and deep-sub wavelength light field confinement derived from the van der Waals heterostructures, may be an important step towards on-chip all-optical processing.

Introduction

The increasingly widespread need to process and transmit massive amounts of data has motivated an enormous demand for high-speed and small-sized devices^{1, 2}. Since the increasing power consumption and heat generation in integrated electronic circuits are hampering further improvements in the speed and capacity of the information communication technology, it is natural to consider its optical alternative^{1, 3}. Indeed, all-optical logical circuitry capable of processing and transmitting data is believed to be superior to its electronic counterpart because of its much higher operating speed⁴⁻⁶. For this reason, many research efforts such as nonlinear optical dielectrics with optical Kerr effects⁷, photo-induced phase change^{4, 8, 9}, and photo-generated carrier injection in semiconductors¹⁰ have been devoted to the development of all-optical processing devices. However, due to the diffraction limit and the weak photon-photon interaction, all-optical devices are always exhausted by the large footprint and high-power consumption^{5, 11}.

Graphene plasmons with ultra-high light confinement and wide tunability provide a promising platform to realize ultra-compact all-optical devices¹²⁻¹⁷. For example, the ultrafast switching of non-equilibrium graphene plasmons has been demonstrated, which are originated from the generation and annihilation of hot electrons by controlling light-induced heating¹⁸. Unfortunately, the hot-electron plasmon approach is inefficient by the linear dispersion of graphene. Tuning of graphene plasmons has also been demonstrated with controllable desorption of oxygen by UV illuminations¹⁹. However, the physical adsorption modulation method as well as the phase change approach and electrical methods are typically slow^{4, 20}. Constructing van der Waals (vdW) heterostructures of graphene and other two dimensional (2D) semiconductors provides a promising approach for achieving devices with new functions²¹⁻²⁴. It has already been demonstrated that ultrafast and efficient charge transfer occurs in the atomically thin vdW heterostructures of graphene/TMD²⁵. Compared to traditional bulk semiconductors based heterostructures, 2D heterostructures can significantly enhance the transfer of photogenerated carriers with high transfer speed and efficiency²⁶. For example, in the monolayer graphene/WS₂ vdW heterostructure, the photogenerated carrier transfer time is about 1.4 ps with the transfer efficiency of nearly 100%²⁵. Hence, vdW heterostructure can provide a new avenue for efficient and ultrafast optical modulation.

Here, we demonstrate highly efficient and ultra-fast optical plasmonic waveguide and free-space modulators in vdW heterostructures of graphene and monolayer MoS₂. The photogenerated electrons in MoS₂ can dope graphene within around 7 ps, enabling ultrafast modulation. In the waveguide modulators, flexible modulating of graphene plasmon propagation can be controlled by visible light, as measured by scattering-type scanning near-field optical microscope (s-SNOM). We also demonstrated the modulation efficiency can be quantitatively controlled by the wavelength and power density of illumination, for example a 3.6 dB/atomic-layer relative modulation depth can be achieved by using a 440 nm laser with intensity of 180 mW/cm². Our findings enable a versatile way for ultrafast and efficient control of graphene plasmons using ordinary LEDs, which can be used for on-chip integration of all-optical light modulation and switching.

Results and discussion

The operation principle of all-optical plasmonic modulators in the vdW heterostructures. As shown schematically in Fig. 1a, s-SNOM is used to detect the graphene plasmon controlled by turning on/off of visible light illumination (the orange beam). Here, graphene was p-type doped by the surface dangling bonds of the substrate^{27, 28}, and more holes were introduced by doping with small amount of NO₂ gas for the s-SNOM measurement^{29, 30}. The IR laser beam (red beam) incident on the

metal-coated s-SNOM tip generates strong near-field confinement at the tip apex which results high momenta to excite graphene plasmons. The plasmons propagate along the graphene and reflect at the edge, which interfere with the incident light and form interference patterns as the tip scanning over the sample surface.^{31, 32} The graphene plasmon in the heterostructure can be turned off and on by switching on and off the visible light (right part in Fig. 1a). In contrast, the graphene plasmon has no response to the visible light in the individual graphene layer (left part in Fig. 1a).

The optical modulation of graphene plasmon in the heterostructure originates from the doping of graphene by the photo-generated electrons in MoS₂. As shown in Fig. 1b, the electrons in MoS₂ monolayer can absorb the visible light and jump from the valence band to the conduction band, generating electron-hole pairs. Photoelectrons in the MoS₂ then transfer to the p-type doped graphene film due its lower available energy levels for electrons, leaving the remaining holes trapped in the MoS₂ film (Fig. 1b-c). The transferred photoelectrons recombine with the holes in the p-type doped graphene and thus erase the graphene plasmons, i.e., the resonant oscillation of conducting holes. When visible illumination is removed, the photogenerated carriers recombine and the Fermi level of graphene recover to the initial state, which revives the plasmon signal (bottom panel, Fig. 1b).

To test the operation principle, monolayer MoS_2 was grown directly onto a Si/SiO₂ substrate by chemical vapor deposition $(CVD)^{33, 34}$, then exfoliated graphene was released on the MoS₂ layer by dry-transfer technique to form the graphene/MoS₂ heterostructure (Details in Methods)³⁵. Raman spectroscopy confirmed the high quality of monolayer graphene and MoS₂ (Fig. S1, in Supplementary Information). The photoluminescence (PL) spectrum of the MoS₂ film exhibits a prominent peak around 670 nm in Fig. 1d (black line), corresponding to the direct interband recombination of the photogenerated electron-hole pairs in the MoS₂. In contrast, the PL of the MoS₂ is quenched to a magnitude nearly half that of the graphene/MoS₂ heterostructure, a direct reflection of the transfer of photogenerated electrons into the graphene and correspondingly reduced electron hole recombination in the MoS₂.

The transient carrier transfer process of carriers in the graphene/MoS2

heterostructure was studied via the two-color pump-probe technique^{25, 26}. We adapted a 600 nm pump laser (~100 fs width, 1.49 μ J/ μ m² fluence) to excite MoS₂, and a 780 nm probe laser (~100 fs width, 0.52 μ J/ μ m² fluence) to avoid recording the optical response of the MoS₂ and substrate (Figs. S2a-b, Supplementary Information). Under this set-up, the photogenerated carriers can be attributed to the excitation of MoS₂ and the detection signal is emitted only from the graphene, which can reveal the charge transfer at the heterostructure interface^{34, 36}, as indicated in Fig. 1c.

The transient absorption signals of the graphene/MoS₂ heterostructure and pure graphene are shown in Fig. 1e and S2c, respectively. The rising-up part of the transient absorption spectrum usually corresponds to the excitation, electron-electron interaction and transfer of photogenerated electrons. By deconvolution of the spectra with the laser cross correlation function (Fig. S2d), the calculated rising time of the heterostructure and individual graphene layer are about 1000 fs and 360 fs, respectively. The increase of the rising time in the heterostructure mainly originates from the charge transfer process of photogenerated electron from MoS₂ to the graphene layer, which is estimated to be in the level of 600 fs here. Theoretically, the charge transfer time could be reduced by an order of magnitude if conducted at a perfect interface. Although the dry-transfer method employed fabricates a clean interface, small amounts of impurities and air bubbles are inevitable.

Since the recombination of photogenerated electrons and holes in the heterostructure is much slower than the transfer and intraband equilibration, the former determines the modulation speed of the graphene plasmon modulators. The recombination time can be estimated by exponentially fitting the falling-down part of the transient absorption spectra. As shown in Figs. 1e and S2c, the carrier recombination times of pure graphene and the heterostructure are approximately 1.4 ps and 6.3 ps, respectively. Hence, assuming that the excitation time of plasmon is shorter than 50 fs¹⁸, an ultra-fast switching-off of graphene plasmon of approximately 7 ps (i.e., <10 ps) could be expected by considering the transfer and recombination of photogenerated electrons. Nevertheless, if n-type doped graphene based heterostructure devices can be realized, where the switching off time of this optical modulation depends only on the

photogenerated electrons transfer time, a response time around hundreds of femtoseconds can be expected.

Plasmonic waveguide modulator. Fig. 2a schematically exhibits the decrease of absolute value of the graphene Fermi level in the heterostructure under the illumination of visible light, according to the charge transfer process illustrated above. Figs. 2b,c show two typical s-SNOM images in the heterostructure at a frequency of 926 cm⁻¹. The dashed lines indicate the natural edge of the graphene layer supported on monolayer MoS₂ with the region to the left of the edge corresponding to the graphene/MoS₂ heterostructure and the region to the right corresponding to pure MoS₂. In the absence of visible light illumination, two interference fringes of graphene plasmons appear along the graphene edge in the heterostructure region (Fig. 2b). In contrast, when we turned on a 633 nm laser illumination, the graphene plasmon fringes in the same region nearly disappeared, as shown in Fig. 2c. More evidences can be found in Fig. S3. When visible laser was switched on and off during the measurements, sharp boundaries between the regions with and without plasmon fringes are shown, corroborating the ultrafast optical switching of the graphene plasmon in the heterostructure. For comparison, the plasmon imaging on pure graphene samples (i.e. without MoS₂) with the visible laser on and off was also conducted, which revealed no change to the very stable images (Fig. S3d). This is direct evidence that the visible laser has no effect on pristine graphene plasmons.

To quantify the optical response of the graphene plasmon in the heterostructure, we extract the data on the cutting lines of the plasmon images in Figs. 2b-c for analysis, as plotted in Fig. 2d. For the case in which the visible laser is switched off, the graphene plasmon interference fringes parallel to the edges are clearly detectable as peaks in the shaded area. These fringes result from the interference of the local field below the tip with the tip-launched and edge reflected fundamental plasmon mode. The fringe separation consequently corresponds to half of the graphene plasmon wavelength, and $\lambda/2 = 100$ nm can be extracted from the red line. Most intriguingly, when the visible laser is switched on, the plasmon fringe information almost completely

disappears, as evidenced by the lack of peaks in the shaded area (blue line), verifying the efficient response of the graphene plasmons to the MoS₂ photo-generated carriers. And when the visible laser is switched off, the plasmon can recover to the initial state (i.e., the plasmonic peak positions and strengths), as demonstrated in Fig. S3g. The quantitative analysis further demonstrates no optical response of plasmon in the individual graphene sample (Fig. S3h and Supplementary Note 1). The finite element method (FEM) simulation of the near-field images in Figs. 2b,c results that the Fermi energy of graphene in the heterostructure are about 0.27 eV (laser off) and 0.18 eV (laser on), respectively (Fig. S4 and Supplementary Note 2)³⁷⁻³⁹. These values corroborate the all-optical modulation operation principle, i.e., photogenerated carrier doping, of the graphene plasmon in the heterostructures.

Free-space plasmonic modulators. We also constructed free-space plasmonic modulators with the concept (Fig. 3a). Large-area graphene/MoS₂ heterostructures were fabricated with high quality CVD grown graphene and monolayer MoS₂⁴⁰ on IR transparent MgF₂/Si substrate (Fig. S5a)^{41, 42}. The heterostructures were patterned into electrically continuous nanoribbon arrays which were measured by a far-field Fourier transform infrared microscope (FTIR), as shown in Fig. 3a. The measured plasmonic extinction spectra can be described as $1-T_{Vg}/T_{CNP}$, where T_{Vg} and T_{CNP} represent the transmittance measured with an applied gate voltage V_g and at the charge neutral points (CNP) of the graphene nanoribbons, respectively⁴². Collectively, these data allow us to quantitatively study the optical tunability of the plasmon from the aspects of plasmon frequency and strength in the heterostructures.

We systematically studied the plasmonic modulator under illumination with varied wavelengths. Three typical laser wavelengths, i.e. 440 nm, 520 nm and 650 nm with power density fixed at 80 mW/cm² were used and the measured graphene plasmon extinction spectra are presented in Fig.3b. The plasmon resonance frequencies are extracted to be 996 cm⁻¹ (dark), 981 cm⁻¹ (650 nm laser), 956 cm⁻¹ (520 nm laser), and 892 cm⁻¹ (440 nm laser), respectively by fitting the extinction spectra with Lorentz function (solid lines). Therefore, shorter wavelength laser irradiation prompts a greater redshift of the plasmon frequency and decreased plasmon intensity.

The electrical transfer curves were monitored while measuring the plasmons (Fig. S5b). The graphene CNP points exhibit a gradually decreasing trend from 7 V (dark) to 6.1 V (650 nm laser), 5.6 V (520 nm laser), and 5 V (440 nm laser). This indicates that graphene is heavily hole-doped throughout the experiment, and that the injection of photoinduced electrons decreases the number of holes and drives the Fermi-level upwards. Illumination of a shorter wavelength causes more photoinduced carriers to be injected, as is consistent with the change observed in the graphene plasmon extinction spectra. The physical mechanism proceeds as follows: Photons with higher energy will transit electrons to a higher conduction band level in MoS₂ to enable more electrons transfer to graphene layer. Therefore, there is a greater decrease to the hole density in graphene, which makes the hole plasmons shift to red further and prompts a larger decrease in the resonance. Unfortunately, simple pursuit of lasers with shorter wavelength is not an effective strategy for improving efficiency because once wavelengths are decreased to the ultraviolet range, undesirable phenomena such as the ultraviolet light-induced O₂ doping of graphene occur^{19, 43}. To restrict the focus of this study to an optically tunable method, the shortest laser wavelength used herein was 440 nm.

The optical modulation of graphene plasmon can also be controlled by the laser intensity. Fig. 3c displays the plasmonic extinction spectra under 440 nm laser illumination with varied intensity. As shown, the plasmon frequency and intensity obviously decrease with increasing laser power densities. This is because more photogenerated electrons are transferred from MoS₂ into the graphene layer, which can be confirmed from corresponding electrical transfer curves of the device (Fig. S6). To allow for quantitative analysis, the plasmon frequency shifts are calculated and plotted as a function of the power density (Fig. 3d). The frequency shift and the relative modulation depth exhibit a sub-linear dependence on power intensity, and under a laser intensity of 180 mW/cm² can reach values of ~140 cm⁻¹ and 56% (3.6 dB/atomic-layer), respectively. The curves can be fitted by the equation: $\Delta X_i = P^{\beta_i}$, (*i* = 1,2), where *P* is the power of the irradiation light, ΔX_1 and ΔX_2 represent the wavenumber shifts and the modulation depth, respectively. β_1 and β_2 at around 0.28 and 0.32 can give the best fitting. This curve implies that the all-optical modulation effect gradually becomes saturated at high laser power. The phenomenon is similar to the photoresponsivity of MoS₂ on illumination intensity⁴⁴, which could be explained in terms of trap states present either in MoS₂ or at the interface between the MoS₂ and the underlying MgF₂ layer or the graphene layer. This is aggravated by the high surface-to-volume ratio of the MoS₂. Under higher illumination intensities the density of available states is reduced, resulting in saturation of the photoresponse.

To further analyze the optically tunable plasmon in the graphene/MoS₂ heterostructure, we perform FEM simulation of the experimentally measured extinction spectra ^{42, 45}. The graphene Fermi energy in the absence of laser illumination was measured to be 0.216 eV according to the absorption spectrum (Fig. S7 and Supplementary Note 3)⁴⁶, which was used to simulate the experimental extinction spectrum (the gray line in Fig. 3c). Exposure to the visible laser illumination only changes the Fermi energy. By simulating the colored lines in Fig. 3c, we can extract the corresponding graphene Fermi energy, which ranges from 0.216 to 0.145 eV at the different tested laser intensities (details in Fig. S8 and Note 4). All the experimental and simulated plasmon frequencies are plotted as a function of Fermi energy with different laser intensities and wavelengths in Fig. S9. These data satisfy the graphene plasmon dispersion relationship $\omega \propto |E_F|^{1/2}$, implying that the optically tunable plasmon phenomenon depends mainly on the carrier concentration and Fermi energy in graphene, rendering it very consistent with the traditional physical mechanism of graphene plasmons.

Ultrahigh-efficient optical modulation of graphene plasmons via a weak LED. Because the relationship between plasmon modulation and the laser energy density implies that this plasmon optical modulation should be very efficient, we studied the modulation in response to an LED light. Fig. 4a is the real-time drain-source current (I_{ds}) of the heterostructure device which was recorded simultaneously to the switching of the LED illumination. This weak LED light with a power density of approximately 0.15 mW/cm² can cause about 15% decrease in the current intensity, and the CNP is

reduced from 7V to 6V (Fig. S10a), further corroborating the sensitive of the all-optical modulation in the heterostructure. When we zoom in the Fig. 4a at the time turning on the visible light, it can be found that the optical response velocity should be much faster than the electrical response due to the limitation of electrical measurement (\sim 50 ms), as shown in Fig. 4b. Fig. 4c depicts the extinction spectra of the graphene/MoS₂ nanoribbon arrays with the LED illumination turning off (gray line), on (red line) and off (blue line) successively at a fixed gate voltage Δ_{CNP} =-12 V. When the LED is on, the plasmon frequency can be modulated by \sim 43 cm⁻¹. After switching off the LED, the plasmon can achieve nearly 100% recovery. The results of the simulation (Fig. S10b) are consistent with the experiment. If we consider the modulating time as 7 ps (Fig. 1e), the corresponding modulation energy consumption is on the order of 0.1 attojoule, which is the lowest energy consumption that can realize optical modulation⁶. In addition, a series test of graphene plasmon to LED light response were performed for pure graphene and heterostructure under different gate voltage conditions (Fig. S11). The results demonstrated a stable and significant optical-modulated plasmon phenomenon in the heterostructure while the pure graphene devices nearly have no visible light response.

Discussion

The energy consumption of optical modulation: The overall energy consumption required for information processing and communications is one of the most pressing issues in current technological progress. The target energy consumption demanded for an optical device, as well as CMOS devices, is on the order of tens of attojoules⁶. Previous work examining the all-optical modulation of graphene has mainly exploited third-order nonlinear effects^{14, 47}, such as saturation absorption⁴⁸ and Kerr effect⁴⁹, which require high energy or intensity. For example, since saturated absorption requires strong field laser injection into graphene, the corresponding laser intensity is ~10³ mW/cm² or greater⁴⁸. Here, the construction of atomically vdW heterostructures of graphene and a direct band TMD monolayer allow us to tune graphene plasmons via extremely low energy consumption for optical modulation. By using weak LED light

down to 0.15 mW/cm², the plasmon frequency can be tuned by ~43 cm⁻¹.

The response time of this optical modulation in the heterostructure can reach the picosecond timescale, which is at least 3 orders of magnitude faster than the electrical plasmonic method²⁰. In our design, the optically modulated time includes not only the transfer time of photogenerated electrons (at the level of 600 fs), but also photogenerated electron-hole recombination time (~6.3 ps) due to the modulation of the p-type doped graphene plasmon inherent to the device as a result of its preparation. If n-type graphene can be effectively obtained for the processing of future devices, the optically modulated time will only depend on the transfer time of photogenerated electrons, and therefore improve to the order of ~100 fs.

To summarize, we have demonstrated efficient all-optical waveguide and freespace graphene plasmonic modulators based on atomically thick graphene/MoS₂ heterostructures. The ultra-high photosensitivity of single layer MoS₂ to visible light can prompt excitation of the photogenerated carriers in MoS₂ which are transferred to graphene where they tune the graphene plasmons. We experimentally demonstrated that weak LED light (density 0.15 mW/cm²) can modulate the graphene plasmons by 43 cm⁻¹, which is about 4 orders of magnitude weaker than the nonlinear all-optical graphene devices. And the modulation depth can be further improved with shorter the laser wavelength and the stronger the laser power. The time response of optical tunable graphene plasmons mainly determined by transfer time of photogenerated electrons (~600 fs) and the recombination time of photo-generated electron-hole pairs (~6.3 ps) can enable ultrafast optical-modulation graphene plasmons on the order of picoseconds. Therefore, our heterostructure system design achieves efficient all optical ultrafast modulation, which lays the foundation for the realization of ultra-compact, low energy consumption all-optical devices for future information processing and communication.

Methods

Fabrication and characterization of heterostructures. Monolayer MoS₂ was grown directly on the Si/SiO₂ substrate by CVD. The monolayer graphene was mechanically exfoliated onto Si/SiO₂ substrates and then transferred onto the MoS₂ using a common

dry method. The PDMS/graphene films were clamped by a manipulator equipped with a step-motor to assist both their peeling-off from SiO₂ substrates and stamping onto receiving MoS₂/SiO₂ substrates. Graphene was doped with NO₂ gas by insertion in a gas chamber for half an hour. PL and Raman characterizations were performed using a Horiba Jobin Yvon LabRAM HR-Evolution Raman system with 514 nm laser excitation. **Transient Absorption Spectrum.** The laser system we used was based on Ti: sapphire oscillator and optical parametric oscillator (OPO) (76 MHz, 100 fs pulse duration in the output. Coherent. Inc). The diameters of the focused pump and probe pulses were about 2 and 1 µm, respectively. The transient absorption signal, $\Delta R/R = (R_{with pump} -$

 $R_{without pump})/R_{without pump}$, was recorded by a PMT and lock-in amplifier with reflective geometry. In addition, the pump and probe fluence were quite low to prevent the introduction of nonlinear processes.

Near-Field Optical Microscopy Measurements. Near-field imagining was conducted using a commercially available s-SNOM (Neaspec GmbH), equipped with infrared lasers. P-polarized infrared light from the monochromatic quantum cascade lasers was focused via a parabolic mirror onto both the tip and sample at an angle of 60° to the surface normal. The probes were metallized atomic force microscope(AFM) probes with an apex radius of ≈ 25 nm (Nanoworld). Using this technique, we recorded both the amplitude and phase of $E_s(x, y)$. All figures show the magnitude of $E_s(x, y)$.

Nanofabrication and electrical characterization of graphene/MoS₂ plasmon freespace modulators. Graphene and monolayer MoS₂ sheets were grown on copper foil and single-crystalline sapphire wafers, respectively, by chemical vapor deposition. The sheets were then transferred onto a MgF₂ (600 nm)/Si substrate using the PMMAassisted method. Nanoribbon arrays were patterned onto the graphene surface using electron beam lithography (Vistec 5000 +ES, Germany) in PMMA followed by oxygen plasma etching. Two Ti (10 nm)/Au (60 nm) electrode patterns were fabricated using a second electron-beam lithography cycle combined with electron beam evaporation (OHMIKER-50B, Taiwan). The morphologies of the fabricated graphene/MoS₂ nanoribbons were characterized by scanning electron microscopy (Hitachi S-4800). The electrical measurements were carried out with a semiconductor parameter analyzer (Agilent 4294 A).

Fourier transform infrared microscopy measurements. Infrared transmission measurements were performed via FTIR microscopy (Thermo Fisher Nicolet iN10). The graphene/MoS₂ nanoribbon array transmission spectra at CNP (T_{CNP}) were used to generate a background spectrum for each measurement. The plasmon extinction spectra are given by the normalized transmittance spectra T (V_{bg} = -5 V corresponds to Δ_{CNP} =-12 V) relative to the transmittance at the CNP as $1-T(V_{bg})/T_{CNP}^{42}$. Thus, the extinction spectra are purely plasmonic signals initiated by incident light excitation. Each measurement was repeated several times to confirm the extinction spectra.

Simulations. The numerical calculations were performed using the finite element method. The graphene was modelled as a homogenous 2D conducting layer with the conductivity given by the Kubo formula⁵⁰⁻⁵². A dielectric constant of monolayer 3.7 was adopted for the $MoS_2^{53, 54}$. To balance the 3D FEM calculations and the mesh quality, the smallest mesh size of graphene was 0.5 nm and the mesh size gradually increased outside the graphene/MoS₂ layer. The near-field optical image simulation of s-SNOM and the far-field infrared spectroscopy simulation of FTIR have been presented in our previous works^{37, 42, 50}.

References

- 1. Nozaki K, Tanabe T, Shinya A, Matsuo S, Sato T, Taniyama H, *et al.* Sub-femtojoule all-optical switching using a photonic-crystal nanocavity. *Nat. Photon.* **4**, 477-483(2010).
- 2. Almeida VR, Barrios CA, Panepucci RR, Lipson M. All-optical control of light on a silicon chip. *Nature* **431**, 1081(2004).
- 3. Sun C, Wade MT, Lee Y, Orcutt JS, Alloatti L, Georgas MS, *et al.* Single-chip microprocessor that communicates directly using light. *Nature* **528**, 534-538(2015).
- 4. Ríos C, Stegmaier M, Hosseini P, Wang D, Scherer T, Wright CD, *et al.* Integrated all-photonic non-volatile multi-level memory. *Nat. Photon.* **9**, 725-732(2015).
- Nozaki K, Matsuo S, Fujii T, Takeda K, Shinya A, Kuramochi E, *et al.* Femtofarad optoelectronic integration demonstrating energy-saving signal conversion and nonlinear functions. *Nat. Photon.* 13, 454-459(2019).
- 6. Miller DA. Are optical transistors the logical next step? *Nat. Photon.* **4**, 3(2010).

- 7. Li G, de Sterke CM, Palomba S. Fundamental Limitations to the Ultimate Kerr Nonlinear Performance of Plasmonic Waveguides. *ACS Photon.* **5**, 1034-1040(2018).
- 8. Kuramochi E, Notomi M. Phase-change memory. Nat. Photon. 9, 712-714(2015).
- Li P, Yang X, Mass TW, Hanss J, Lewin M, Michel AK, *et al.* Reversible optical switching of highly confined phonon-polaritons with an ultrathin phase-change material. *Nat. Mater.* 15, 870-875(2016).
- Huber MA, Mooshammer F, Plankl M, Viti L, Sandner F, Kastner LZ, *et al.* Femtosecond photo-switching of interface polaritons in black phosphorus heterostructures. *Nat. Nanotech.* 12, 207-211(2017).
- Jahani S, Kim S, Atkinson J, Wirth JC, Kalhor F, Noman AA, *et al.* Controlling evanescent waves using silicon photonic all-dielectric metamaterials for dense integration. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 1893(2018).
- 12. Constant TJ, Hornett SM, Chang DE, Hendry E. All-optical generation of surface plasmons in graphene. *Nat. Phys.* **12**, 124-127(2015).
- 13. Lee IH, Yoo D, Avouris P, Low T, Oh SH. Graphene acoustic plasmon resonator for ultrasensitive infrared spectroscopy. *Nat. Nanotech.* **14**, 313-319(2019).
- 14. Sun Z, Martinez A, Wang F. Optical modulators with 2D layered materials. *Nat. Photon.* **10**, 227-238(2016).
- 15. Low T, Chaves A, Caldwell JD, Kumar A, Fang NX, Avouris P, *et al.* Polaritons in layered two-dimensional materials. *Nat. Mater.* **16**, 182-194(2017).
- Basov DN, Fogler MM, Garcia de Abajo FJ. Polaritons in van der Waals materials. *Science* 354, (2016).
- 17. Yang X, Sun Z, Low T, Hu H, Guo X, Garcia de Abajo FJ, *et al.* Nanomaterial-Based Plasmon-Enhanced Infrared Spectroscopy. *Adv. Mater.* **30**, e1704896(2018).
- 18. Ni GX, Wang L, Goldflam MD, Wagner M, Fei Z, McLeod AS, *et al.* Ultrafast optical switching of infrared plasmon polaritons in high-mobility graphene. *Nat. Photon.* **10**, 244-247(2016).
- 19. Dai Y, Xia Y, Jiang T, Chen A, Zhang Y, Bai Y, *et al.* Dynamical Tuning of Graphene Plasmonic Resonances by Ultraviolet Illuminations. *Adv. Opt. Mater.* **6**, 1701081(2018).
- Basov DN, Fogler MM. Quantum materials: The quest for ultrafast plasmonics. *Nat. Nanotech.* 12, 187-188(2017).
- 21. Novoselov KS, Mishchenko A, Carvalho A, Castro Neto AH. 2D materials and van der Waals heterostructures. *Science* **353**, aac9439(2016).
- Roy K, Padmanabhan M, Goswami S, Sai TP, Ramalingam G, Raghavan S, *et al.* Graphene-MoS2 hybrid structures for multifunctional photoresponsive memory devices. *Nat. Nanotech.* 8, 826-830(2013).
- 23. Xu H, Wu J, Feng Q, Mao N, Wang C, Zhang J. High responsivity and gate tunable graphene-MoS2 hybrid phototransistor. *Small* **10**, 2300-2306(2014).
- Rathi S, Lee I, Lim D, Wang J, Ochiai Y, Aoki N, *et al.* Tunable Electrical and Optical Characteristics in Monolayer Graphene and Few-Layer MoS2 Heterostructure Devices. *Nano Lett.* 15, 5017-5024(2015).
- 25. He J, Kumar N, Bellus MZ, Chiu HY, He D, Wang Y, *et al.* Electron transfer and coupling in graphene-tungsten disulfide van der Waals heterostructures. *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 5622(2014).
- 26. Hong H, Zhang J, Zhang J, Qiao R, Yao F, Cheng Y, et al. Ultrafast Broadband Charge

Collection from Clean Graphene/CH3NH3PbI3 Interface. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 140, 14952-14957(2018).

- 27. Hu H, Zhai F, Hu D, Li Z, Bai B, Yang X, *et al.* Broadly tunable graphene plasmons using an ion-gel top gate with low control voltage. *Nanoscale* 7, 19493-19500(2015).
- Yang X, Zhai F, Hu H, Hu D, Liu R, Zhang S, *et al.* Far-Field Spectroscopy and Near-Field Optical Imaging of Coupled Plasmon-Phonon Polaritons in 2D van der Waals Heterostructures. *Adv. Mater.* 28, 2931-2938(2016).
- 29. Farmer DB, Avouris P, Li Y, Heinz TF, Han S-J. Ultrasensitive Plasmonic Detection of Molecules with Graphene. *ACS Photon.* **3**, 553-557(2016).
- 30. Liu H, Liu Y, Zhu D. Chemical doping of graphene. J. Mater. Chem. 21, 3335-3345(2011).
- 31. Chen J, Badioli M, Alonso-Gonzalez P, Thongrattanasiri S, Huth F, Osmond J, *et al.* Optical nano-imaging of gate-tunable graphene plasmons. *Nature* **487**, 77-81(2012).
- 32. Fei Z, Rodin AS, Andreev GO, Bao W, McLeod AS, Wagner M, *et al.* Gate-tuning of graphene plasmons revealed by infrared nano-imaging. *Nature* **487**, 82-85(2012).
- 33. Zhang J, Wang J, Chen P, Sun Y, Wu S, Jia Z, *et al.* Observation of Strong Interlayer Coupling in MoS2/WS2 Heterostructures. *Adv. Mater.* **28**, 1950-1956(2016).
- 34. Ji Z, Hong H, Zhang J, Zhang Q, Huang W, Cao T, *et al.* Robust Stacking-Independent Ultrafast Charge Transfer in MoS2/WS2 Bilayers. *ACS Nano* **11**, 12020-12026(2017).
- 35. Du L, Zhao Y, Jia Z, Liao M, Wang Q, Guo X, *et al.* Strong and tunable interlayer coupling of infrared-active phonons to excitons in van der Waals heterostructures. *Phys. Rev. B* **99**, (2019).
- 36. Hong X, Kim J, Shi SF, Zhang Y, Jin C, Sun Y, *et al.* Ultrafast charge transfer in atomically thin MoS(2)/WS(2) heterostructures. *Nat. Nanotech.* **9**, 682-686(2014).
- Guo X, Hu H, Hu D, Liao B, Chen K, Liu L, *et al.* High-efficiency modulation of coupling between different polaritons in an in-plane graphene/hexagonal boron nitride heterostructure. *Nanoscale* 11, 2703-2709(2019).
- Nikitin AY, Alonso-González P, Vélez S, Mastel S, Centeno A, Pesquera A, *et al.* Real-space mapping of tailored sheet and edge plasmons in graphene nanoresonators. *Nat. Photon.* 10, 239-243(2016).
- 39. Hu F, Luan Y, Fei Z, Palubski IZ, Goldflam MD, Dai S, *et al.* Imaging the Localized Plasmon Resonance Modes in Graphene Nanoribbons. *Nano Lett.* **17**, 5423-5428(2017).
- 40. Yu H, Liao M, Zhao W, Liu G, Zhou XJ, Wei Z, *et al.* Wafer-Scale Growth and Transfer of Highly-Oriented Monolayer MoS2 Continuous Films. *ACS Nano* **11**, 12001-12007(2017).
- 41. Hu H, Yang X, Zhai F, Hu D, Liu R, Liu K, *et al.* Far-field nanoscale infrared spectroscopy of vibrational fingerprints of molecules with graphene plasmons. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 12334(2016).
- 42. Hu H, Yang X, Guo X, Khaliji K, Biswas SR, Garcia de Abajo FJ, *et al.* Gas identification with graphene plasmons. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 1131(2019).
- 43. Ryu S, Liu L, Berciaud S, Yu YJ, Liu H, Kim P, *et al.* Atmospheric oxygen binding and hole doping in deformed graphene on a SiO(2) substrate. *Nano Lett.* **10**, 4944-4951(2010).
- 44. Lopez-Sanchez O, Lembke D, Kayci M, Radenovic A, Kis A. Ultrasensitive photodetectors based on monolayer MoS2. *Nat. Nanotech.* **8**, 497-501(2013).
- 45. Rodrigo D, Limaj O, Janner D, Etezadi D, De Abajo FJG, Pruneri V, *et al.* Mid-infrared plasmonic biosensing with graphene. *Science* **349**, 165-168(2015).
- 46. Ju L, Geng B, Horng J, Girit C, Martin M, Hao Z, *et al.* Graphene plasmonics for tunable terahertz metamaterials. *Nat. Nanotech.* **6**, 630-634(2011).

- 47. Yu S, Wu X, Wang Y, Guo X, Tong L. 2D Materials for Optical Modulation: Challenges and Opportunities. *Adv. Mater.* **29**, (2017).
- 48. Sun Z, Hasan T, Torrisi F, Popa D, Privitera G, Wang F, *et al.* Graphene mode-locked ultrafast laser. *ACS Nano* **4**, 803-810(2010).
- 49. Soh DBS, Hamerly R, Mabuchi H. Comprehensive analysis of the optical Kerr coefficient of graphene. *Phys. Rev. A* **94**, (2016).
- 50. Guo X, Hu H, Zhu X, Yang X, Dai Q. Higher order Fano graphene metamaterials for nanoscale optical sensing. *Nanoscale* **9**, 14998-15004(2017).
- Christensen J, Manjavacas A, Thongrattanasiri S, Koppens FH, García de Abajo FJ. Graphene plasmon waveguiding and hybridization in individual and paired nanoribbons. *ACS Nano* 6, 431-440(2011).
- 52. Chen P-Y, Alu A. Atomically thin surface cloak using graphene monolayers. *ACS Nano* 5, 5855-5863(2011).
- 53. Liu R, Liao B, Guo X, Hu D, Hu H, Du L, *et al.* Study of graphene plasmons in graphene-MoS2 heterostructures for optoelectronic integrated devices. *Nanoscale* **9**, 208-215(2017).
- 54. Davelou D, Kopidakis G, Kioseoglou G, Remediakis IN. MoS2 nanostructures: Semiconductors with metallic edges. *Solid State Communications* **192**, 42-46(2014).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Basic Key Research Program of China (Grant No. 2015CB932400), the National Key Research and Development Program of China (Grant No. 2016YFA0201600), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11674073, 11504063, 11704085), the key program of the Bureau of Frontier Sciences and Education, Chinese Academy of Sciences (QYZDB-SSW-SLH021), the Key Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (ZDBS-SSW-JSC002), Youth Innovation Promotion Association CAS, and CAS Interdisciplinary Innovation Team (JCTD-2018-03), Aalto Centre for Quantum Engineering, Business Finland (A-Photonics), Academy of Finland (grants: 276376, 284548, 295777, 304666, 312297, 312551, and 314810), Academy of Finland Flagship Programme (320167,PREIN), the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (820423,S2QUIP), and ERC (834742).

Author contributions

Q.D., X.Y., Z.S., X.G. conceived the experiment. X.G. performed the device fabrication, characterization, FTIR measurements, and provided simulations; D.H. and X.G. performed the s-SNOM measurements; R.W., Y.C. and X.G. performed the transient absorption spectrum measurements; Z.W. and G.Z. provided the CVD MoS₂; H.H., R.L., K.C., K.L. and X.Z. helped analyzing the data; Q.D. and X.Y. supervised the project; X.G., X.Y., Z.S., and Q.D. analyzed the data and co-wrote the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/**



Figure 1. Optical modulation of graphene plasmons in graphene/MoS₂ heterostructure. (a) Schematic of the graphene plasmon waveguide modulator. Propagating graphene plasmons (concentric red ripples) are excited by focusing the infrared laser beam (left, red) on the s-SNOM tip. Meanwhile, a visible laser beam (right, orange) is focused on the sample. In the area illuminated by the visible laser, graphene plasmons switch off, however, switching off the visible light causes the graphene plasmons to recover. Here, 0 and 1 indicate the off and on states of the visible illumination, respectively. (b) Up: photogenerated carriers are excited in the MoS_2 layer under the visible light illumination. The electrons transfer into the p-type doped graphene, while the holes remain in the MoS₂. Bottom: the photogenerated carriers recombine after the visible light is turned off. (c) Schematic of ultrafast band-edge charge collection in the hole-doped graphene/MoS₂ heterostructure under laser irradiation. (d) PL spectra of monolayer MoS_2 and the graphene/ MoS_2 heterostructure. (e) Differential reflection (black circles) measured from the graphene/MoS₂ heterostructure. The solid red line represents the corresponding fitting analysis. Here, the lasers employ a 600 nm-pump and 780 nm-probe pulse.



Figure 2. The all-optical graphene plasmon waveguide modulation with a thickness of only several atomic layers. (a) Schematic diagram of band alignment and the physical mechanism of photocarrier transfer in the hole-doped graphene/MoS₂ heterostructure under visible light irradiation. (b-c) Near-field images of a graphene/MoS₂ heterostructure on SiO₂ substrate in the absence (a) and presence (b) of 633 nm laser irradiation (6 mW/cm²). Dashed lines indicate the graphene edge. (d) The plasmon signals extracted from the cut-lines (red and blue lines) in (b-c), respectively.



Figure 3. Graphene plasmon free-space modulator. (a) A schematic of FTIR measurement of plasmons in graphene/MoS₂ nanoribbon arrays. Graphene plasmons are excited by an incident infrared beam (the red shaded pillar), and can be measured by *in situ* background subtraction through the MgF₂/Si back gating. A visible light beam (orange shaded pillar) incident to the heterostructure is used to optically excite MoS₂. (b-c) Graphene plasmon extinction spectra (colored lines) of the graphene/MoS₂ heterostructure under irradiation of varied wavelengths and densities. The laser power density in (b) is fixed at 80 mW/cm² while the wavelength in (c) is fixed at 440 nm. The extinction spectrum in the absence of laser irradiation is also shown (gray line) at $\Delta_{CNP} = -12V$. The circles represent the experimental data, which can be fitted with the Lorentz function (solid lines) (d) Frequency shifts and modulation depth as a function of the optical power illuminating the graphene/MoS₂ device.



Figure 4. Ultra-low intensity all-optical modulation. (a) Electrical response of the graphene/MoS₂ device to the switching of LED light. V_{bg} = -3 V, \underline{V}_{ds} = 0.1 V. (b) Enlarged region in (a), indicating the instant turning on the visible light. (c) Graphene plasmonic spectra in the heterostructure modulated by weak LED light (0.15 mW/cm²), with the illumination off (gray line), on (red line), and back off (blue line).