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We report on the realization of a single-electron source, where current is transported through a single-level quantum dot (Q) tunnel coupled to two superconducting leads (S). When driven with an ac gate voltage, the experiment demonstrates electron turnstile operation. Compared to the more conventional superconductor–normal-metal–superconductor turnstile, our superconductor–quantum-dot–superconductor device presents a number of novel properties, including higher immunity to the unavoidable presence of nonequilibrium quasiparticles in superconducting leads. Moreover, we demonstrate its ability to deliver electrons with a very narrow energy distribution.
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The ability to control current flow down to the single-electron level in nanodevices has triggered a vast activity on quantum metrological current sources in recent years [1–17]. In a quantum current source, electrons are conveyed one by one across a mesoscopic conductor, which is achieved by Coulomb repulsion. Early device geometries relied on two or more Coulomb blocked islands in series [3]. Among the most promising recent approaches are islands with tunable barriers in 2D electron gases [2,6,11,17] along with superconducting single-electron transistors [7]. Beyond metrological applications, the development of on-demand single-electron sources opens broad perspectives in the field of quantum coherent electronics and electron optics [18–22].

The superconducting single-electron transistor (SINIS) turnstile [7,23] takes advantage of the sharply defined energy gap in the density of states in superconductors, as an energy filter. A normal metallic region (N) is weakly coupled to two superconducting leads (S) through tunnel barriers. N has to be sufficiently small to have a Coulomb charging energy U, which should be at least on the order of the superconducting gap in the leads, Δ. Nevertheless, N displays a dense set of states appearing as continuous at accessible temperatures. A finite island temperature then allows for an entire energy window ~k_B T of available states in N for tunneling, which leads to turnstile operation errors associated to double occupation or tunneling into the wrong lead [23].

In this Letter, we demonstrate the first realization of a source of quantized dc current based on a single quantum energy level. The physical operation principle is similar to the SINIS turnstile, with the important difference that electrons are here carried by a single energy level of a quantum dot (Q). After demonstrating the expected principal turnstile operation characteristics, we focus on novel electronic transport features of the superconductor–quantum-dot–superconductor (SQS) turnstile. In particular, we show that tunneling can be tuned to occur within a narrow energy window. We theoretically compare the dominant turnstile error processes in the SQS and SINIS devices, concluding that the former has a lower sensitivity to out-of-equilibrium quasiparticles.

The fabrication of the SQS junctions described in Ref. [24] relies on the in situ creation of a nanometer-sized fracture in superconducting constrictions by electromigration [25], a proven technique for connecting single molecules [26] and nanoparticles [27]. Randomly dispersed gold nanoparticles of about 5 nm diameter can occasionally bridge the nanometric fractures, providing thereby well-defined quantum dot junctions. By using superconducting aluminum electrodes, SQS junctions can be obtained [24,28]. Because higher-order processes are detrimental to turnstile operation accuracy, we restrict ourselves to rather weakly coupled devices.

The relevant device parameters of the quantum dot junction are its charging energy U, the quantum dot orbital level spacing δE, the tunnel couplings γ, and the capacitances C to the three terminals’ source, drain, and gate, which we denote by indices S, D, and G, respectively. All these can be determined from transport data in static conditions, that is, measuring the current I as a function of the applied bias voltage V_B and gate voltage V_G. The I(V_B, V_G) maps show typical Coulomb blockade behavior in which only a single or at most a few charge degeneracy points [Fig. 1(a)] are accessible in the available gate voltage range. We find charging energies U > 50 meV and orbital energy level spacings δE on the order of 1 meV or higher. Because δE ≫ k_B T, the thermal population beyond the
ground state is vanishingly small, and electron transport occurs uniquely through a single orbital quantum level [29]. We focus on two devices with quite different tunnel couplings: $S$ has rather symmetric tunnel couplings ($\gamma_S = 2.1 \mu eV$, $\gamma_D = 1.4 \mu eV$), while $A$ is strongly asymmetric ($\gamma_S = 5.2 \mu eV$, $\gamma_D = 0.4 \mu eV$). Here and further, we set $\hbar = 1$. The determination of all dc transport characteristics of both devices was described in detail in Ref. [24].

Superconductivity in the leads provides a hard energy filter for tunneling. The absence of quasiparticle states at energies $|E| < \Delta \approx 260 \mu eV$ in the leads results in a suppression of conductance for $|V_B| < 2\Delta / e$ at any gate voltage, as is seen in Fig. 1(a). For turnstile operation, a small constant bias $0 < |V_B| < 2\Delta / e$ is applied, and a periodic modulation signal with frequency $f$ and variable amplitude is added to the static gate potential. The energy difference between the $n+1$ and $n$ electron occupation numbers in the quantum dot $\epsilon(t)$ varies between $\bar{\epsilon} \pm \Delta$, where $\bar{\epsilon}$ is controlled by the static voltages $V_G$ and $V_B$. A single electron can tunnel into the quantum dot as soon as $\epsilon(t)$ reaches the occupied states of the contact with the higher chemical potential [Fig. 1(b); right grey triangle in Fig. 1(a)]. By raising $\epsilon(t)$ via the back gate to reach the empty states above the upper gap edge in the opposite lead [left grey triangle in Fig. 1(a)], the level is emptied to that lead. By driving $\epsilon(t)$ cyclically, one electron is conveyed per cycle from the higher chemical potential lead to the other, giving rise to a dc current $I = ef$.
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**FIG. 1.** (a) Experimental current map of a superconductor–quantum-dot hybrid device as a function of gate and bias potential, in the absence of periodic gate drive (device $S$). Colored solid lines correspond to the four superconducting gap edges as illustrated in (b). The device is operated as a single-level turnstile when its state is modulated periodically around its $(n, n + 1)$ charge degeneracy point. The on-state currents are $I_+ = 290 \text{ pA}$ (red) and $I_- = 250 \text{ pA}$ (blue). (b) Energy diagram of the device with a small bias applied, illustrating electron tunneling events in and out the quantum dot. Grey areas indicate the amplitude range for solely forward tunneling, also seen in (a). Driving the turnstile with a square wave signal allows for tunneling to occur within a narrow energy window.

The combination of both of the above tunneling processes, in and out of the quantum dot, corresponds to the desired operation mode of the turnstile and will be named forward tunneling in the remainder. As shown in Fig. 1, forward tunneling requires the amplitude $A_c$ of the modulation of $\epsilon(t)$ to be $A_c > \Delta - e|V_B|/2$. On the other hand, a too large modulation amplitude $A_c > \Delta + e|V_B|/2$ will eventually allow for tunneling into or from the opposite lead. Such backtunneling processes are detrimental to current quantization, and their signature will be discussed later on.

Throughout this work, a square wave signal, with a rise time $\tau \approx 1.6 \text{ ns}$ associated to the finite bandwidth of the generator, is used for modulating $\epsilon(t)$. The experimental dc current $I(V_B)$ measured for $\epsilon(t)$ with an amplitude $A_c$ around $\bar{\epsilon} = \bar{\epsilon}_0 \equiv (\mu_S + \mu_D)/2$ is shown in Fig. 2(a). Here $\mu_{S,D}$ are the leads’ chemical potentials, with $\mu_S - \mu_D = eV_B$. Above the threshold voltage for forward tunneling, $V_B^{f/w} = \pm (\Delta - A_c)/e$, a broad current plateau at $I = ef$ develops. Turnstile operation is only effective for a restricted range of $\bar{\epsilon}$ [Fig. 2(b)]. The value of the turnstile current determined at the inflection point follows the predicted linear dependence on frequency [Fig. 2(c)], with a standard deviation of about 1%, to which adds a systematic deficit of about 0.7% at higher frequencies. The plateaus show a small residual slope at all frequencies. This feature has instrumental origin, which is discussed in the Supplemental Material [30].

![Figure 2](image2.png)

**FIG. 2.** (a) Current-bias traces measured near the charge degeneracy point. Characteristic plateaus appear with $I = ef$ (indicated by dashed lines) when applying a small modulation signal (magenta, $A_c \approx 0.64 \Delta$, $f = 190 \text{ MHz}$; blue, $A_c \approx 1.0 \Delta$, $f = 60 \text{ MHz}$) to the gate. The black trace shows the current response with no ac gate drive. (b) Current-gate traces measured for $A_c \approx \Delta$ and the same frequencies as in (a), at $V_B = \frac{1}{2} \Delta / e$ (magenta) and $V_B = \Delta / e$ (blue). (c) Current at the inflection point of the plateaus shown in (a) as a function of operation signal frequency. The insets highlight deviations of the normalized current $I/ef$ from 1 in both the low and high frequency ranges (all data are from device $S$).
At charge degeneracy, the thresholds for the onset of both forward and backtunneling can be seen as the narrow blue stripes in Fig. 3(a). Both thresholds cross at $V_B = 0$ when $A_c = \Delta$. Whereas the frequency-dependent transmission of the ac gate signal to the device is not precisely known, this crossing is used to calibrate $A_c$. The bright color identifies regions of voltage-independent current corresponding to $I = 0$ and $I = \pm e f$, respectively.

When $\bar{\epsilon}$ is slightly detuned from $\bar{\epsilon}_0$ by the static gate potential, the onset of forward tunneling is linearly shifted towards larger $A_c$ [Fig. 1(b)]. Note that turnstile operation requires two successive tunneling events to occur. This is visible in Fig. 3(b), where the current is shown as a function of gate detuning and modulation amplitude. For larger amplitudes $A_c$, an increasing tolerance of the turnstile operation with respect to the proper tuning of $\bar{\epsilon} - \bar{\epsilon}_0$ develops.

Having evidenced electron turnstile operation, let us now identify the hallmarks of transport through a single quantum energy level. In SINIS turnstiles, backtunneling can be occasioned by electrons from the high-energy tail of the thermal energy distribution in $N$. The backtunneling probability increases, thus, steadily and smoothly as $A_c$ is cranked up [33]. Conversely, in a SQS turnstile, backtunneling sets in abruptly when the threshold $A_c = \Delta + |V_B|/2e$ is exceeded. This is seen in Fig. 4(a), where at high enough modulation amplitudes, the current drops suddenly from $e f$. We numerically model the turnstile current dependence on $A_c$, both for the SINIS and the SQS turnstile, by solving the time-dependent rate equations using the measured output of the ac signal generator. In the SQS case, the instantaneous tunneling rates to each lead are found from the retarded Green’s function’s pole [24,34,35], that is, beyond Fermi’s golden rule. This is particularly important near the singularities in the superconducting density of states (see the Supplemental Material [30]). The calculation [continuous line in Fig. 4(a)] nicely captures the abrupt decrease of the current as soon as the backtunneling threshold is met. For comparison, in a SINIS device with parameters taken from the most precise devices presently studied [36,37], the onset of backtunneling is markedly smoother (dashed line).

This particularly sharp onset of backtunneling is all the more pronounced if the rise time $\tau$ of $c(t)$ is short, or more precisely, if the time available for forward tunneling only is brief. If $\epsilon$ is raised to the backtunneling threshold within $\tau \ll \gamma_{SD}^{-1}$, the probability of backtunneling may actually exceed that of forward tunneling. This means that a current inversion of magnitude up to $e f$ might eventually be produced with proper parameter combinations. This could not, however, be observed in our experiment because the
square wave rise time is of the same order of magnitude as the inverse tunneling rate \( \tau \sim \gamma_\alpha^{1/2} \).

To highlight the energy selectivity of the tunneling process, we calculate the energy resolved transferred charge \( dq/de \) over a half-period of an ac gate cycle using the assumptions and parameters of the calculation in Fig. 4(a). The results are shown for different values of \( A_e \) and for both forward and backward processes in Fig. 4(c). While a certain fraction of forward tunneling occurs near the quasiparticle energy gap edge, the missed tunneling event is limited by the tunnel coupling, and the energy selectivity of the tunneling is achieved for sufficiently large values of \( A_e \). For even larger \( A_e \), backtunneling is possible, which we represent using negative values of \( dq/de \). The accuracy of the energy selectivity is ultimate limited by the tunnel coupling, but in the present experiment, it is dominated by deviations of the ac drive signal from a perfect square wave. The SQS device is expected to yield about the same energy resolution as the semiconductor ac single-electron source [18], assuming identical gate drive and tunnel couplings [39].

For comparison, the energy distribution of levitons [21] is available for tunneling at one of the leads. For a symmetric square wave modulation of \( \epsilon \), the probability of missed tunneling at one of the leads can be roughly estimated as \( e^{-\Gamma_t \epsilon} \). Here, the effective time available for tunneling \( \tau_{\text{eff}} \approx 1/(2f) - \tau \) takes into account the signal rise time. At frequencies around 200 MHz, this estimate gives a current deficit of 0.8% for the device parameters of sample \( S \), which agrees well with the experimental value of about 0.7% [Fig. 2(c) inset]. For device \( A \), the missed tunneling rates at high frequencies are higher because of the tunneling bottleneck at its less transparent tunnel junction.

In turnstile operation with a normal metal island and at finite temperature, a fraction \( \sim \exp(-\Delta/k_B T) \) of electrons has sufficiently high energy for backtunneling. In aluminum-based SINIS turnstiles, the associated error is rapidly dominant above about 300 mK [37]. An expected hallmark of energy quantization in the turnstile operation should be a rather marked temperature insensitivity as long as \( \delta E \gg k_B T \) and Pauli blocking of states in the leads can be neglected. We have followed the turnstile operation of device \( A \) as a function of temperature up to 0.5 K, and we indeed observe the turnstile plateau to subsist through the entire temperature range, with only a rather moderate increase in error rate. We quantify the error by the \( I = ef \) plateau slope \( dI/dV_B \). As seen in Fig. 4(b), this slope shows only little dependence on temperature. For comparison, the calculation of the same for a SINIS turnstile shows a rapid divergence above about 300 mK. While thermal errors are negligible only in the low mK range in most reported turnstiles, the SQS device can operate up to relatively high temperatures without suffering from thermal tunneling.

An important source of errors in superconducting turnstiles is related to the presence of nonequilibrium quasiparticles in the leads, with concentration \( \epsilon_{\text{qp}} = n_{\text{qp}}/(2\nu \Delta) \). Here, \( n_{\text{qp}} \) is the quasiparticle density in the lead, and \( \nu \) is the density of states (per spin projection) at the Fermi level in the normal state. Such quasiparticles can accumulate as a consequence of noise and, in particular, of the turnstile operation itself and are well known to be difficult to evacuate [36, 40]. Using the diffusion model described in Ref. [36], we estimate \( \epsilon_{\text{qp}} \sim 10 \mu \text{m}^{-3} \) near the SQS junction, yielding \( \epsilon_{\text{qp}} \approx 2 \times 10^{-6} \). In the SINIS turnstile, direct tunneling of quasiparticles between one lead and the central island occurs with a rate \( \sim \epsilon_{\text{qp}} \Delta \) leading to a frequency-independent leakage current. Here, \( g \) is the dimensionless conductance of the tunnel junctions in units of the conductance quantum. Crucially, this leakage, which is present in the turnstile operation by Zeeman splitting in a moderate magnetic field [30].

To conclude, a metallic quantum dot embedded between superconducting leads allows for turnstile operation in which the charges are conveyed by a single quantum level. As a consequence, tunneling occurs within a narrow energy window determined by the level energy and broadening. Under realistic assumptions, the SQS turnstile can serve as the monochromatic on-demand single-electron source. As a next step, one can explore the possibility of spin-polarized turnstile operation by Zeeman splitting in a moderate magnetic field [30].
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