

---

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Harjumäki, Riina; Nugroho, Robertus Wahyu N.; Zhang, Xue; Lou, Yan Ru; Yliperttula, Marjo; Valle-Delgado, Juan José; Österberg, Monika

**Author Correction: Quantified forces between HepG2 hepatocarcinoma and WA07 pluripotent stem cells with natural biomaterials correlate with in vitro cell behavior (Scientific Reports, (2019), 9, 1, (7354), 10.1038/s41598-019-43669-7)**

*Published in:*  
Scientific Reports

*DOI:*  
[10.1038/s41598-020-65140-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-65140-8)

Published: 01/12/2020

*Document Version*  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

*Published under the following license:*  
CC BY

*Please cite the original version:*  
Harjumäki, R., Nugroho, R. W. N., Zhang, X., Lou, Y. R., Yliperttula, M., Valle-Delgado, J. J., & Österberg, M. (2020). Author Correction: Quantified forces between HepG2 hepatocarcinoma and WA07 pluripotent stem cells with natural biomaterials correlate with in vitro cell behavior (Scientific Reports, (2019), 9, 1, (7354), 10.1038/s41598-019-43669-7). *Scientific Reports*, 10(1), Article 8803. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-65140-8>



OPEN

# Author Correction: Quantified forces between HepG2 hepatocarcinoma and WA07 pluripotent stem cells with natural biomaterials correlate with *in vitro* cell behavior

Riina Harjumäki , Robertus Wahyu N. Nugroho, Xue Zhang, Yan-Ru Lou ,  
Marjo Yliperttula, Juan José Valle-Delgado & Monika Österberg

Correction to: *Scientific Reports* <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-43669-7>, published online 14 May 2019

This Article contains two errors in the Discussion section under the subheading ‘Effect of material properties on the cell interactions’.

“In accordance with previous findings measured with a different method and other cell types<sup>74</sup>, we observed that the un-normalized adhesion of a polysaccharide with cells was very weak, only about 2 nJ for WA07 and 2.7 nJ for HepG2 after 30 s in contact (Table S1e,f)”

should read:

“In accordance with previous findings measured with a different method and other cell types<sup>74</sup>, we observed that the un-normalized adhesion of a polysaccharide with cells was very weak, only about 1.6 fJ for WA07 and 2.7 fJ for HepG2 after 30 s in contact (Table S1e,f)”.

Secondly,

“The corresponding un-normalized adhesion values for LN-521 interactions were 3.8 nJ for WA07 and 33 nJ for HepG2 (Table S1e,f)”

should read:

“The corresponding un-normalized adhesion values for LN-521 interactions were 3.8 fJ for WA07 and 33 fJ for HepG2 (Table S1e,f)”.

Additionally, the Supplementary Information that accompanies this Article contains errors in Table S1, S2 and S3.

In Table S1, the units of adhesion energy are incorrectly given as nJ instead of fJ. Additionally, three values of adhesion energy for CNF in panels (b), (d) and (f) are incorrect.

In Table S2, two values of radius are incorrect for CNF in panel (a) and Col I in panel (b).

In Table S3, the units of adhesion energy are incorrectly given as nJ instead of fJ.

The correct Tables S1, S2 and S3 appear below as Tables 1–3, respectively.

Published online: 26 May 2020

a HepG2 – 1 s contact							b WA07 – 1 s contact						
	Number of force curves analyzed	Normalized adhesion energy (nJ/m)	SEM (nJ/m)	Adhesion energy (fJ)	Maximum pull-off force (mN/m)	SEM (mN/m)		Number of force curves analyzed	Normalized adhesion energy (nJ/m)	SEM (nJ/m)	Adhesion energy (fJ)	Maximum pull-off force (mN/m)	SEM (mN/m)
Col I	37	0.168	0.027	2.54	0.051	0.008	Col I	10	0.060	0.008	0.88	0.016	0.002
Col IV	21	0.319	0.042	4.32	0.074	0.008	Col IV	20	0.026	0.004	0.32	0.013	0.002
CNF	24	0.122	0.026	1.79	0.085	0.012	CNF	48	0.098	0.012	1.00	0.032	0.002
LN-521	23	0.94	0.14	13.7	0.199	0.025	LN-521	48	0.231	0.038	2.19	0.053	0.007
c HepG2 – 10 s contact							d WA07 – 10 s contact						
	Number of force curves analyzed	Normalized adhesion energy (nJ/m)	SEM (nJ/m)	Adhesion energy (fJ)	Maximum pull-off force (mN/m)	SEM (mN/m)		Number of force curves analyzed	Normalized adhesion energy (nJ/m)	SEM (nJ/m)	Adhesion energy (fJ)	Maximum pull-off force (mN/m)	SEM (mN/m)
Col I	29	0.213	0.019	3.24	0.069	0.007	Col I	11	0.051	0.012	0.75	0.015	0.003
Col IV	13	0.374	0.056	5.08	0.090	0.010	Col IV	21	0.075	0.013	0.91	0.030	0.004
CNF	24	0.153	0.030	2.25	0.097	0.014	CNF	44	0.130	0.016	1.33	0.044	0.004
LN-521	20	1.15	0.14	16.8	0.240	0.027	LN-521	29	0.317	0.042	3.14	0.066	0.006
e HepG2 – 30 s contact							f WA07 – 30 s contact						
	Number of force curves analyzed	Normalized adhesion energy (nJ/m)	SEM (nJ/m)	Adhesion energy (fJ)	Maximum pull-off force (mN/m)	SEM (mN/m)		Number of force curves analyzed	Normalized adhesion energy (nJ/m)	SEM (nJ/m)	Adhesion energy (fJ)	Maximum pull-off force (mN/m)	SEM (mN/m)
Col I	22	0.249	0.028	3.90	0.074	0.006	Col I	12	0.207	0.026	3.04	0.039	0.005
Col IV	11	0.384	0.087	5.27	0.090	0.014	Col IV	22	0.072	0.011	0.87	0.027	0.003
CNF	26	0.182	0.043	2.68	0.045	0.014	CNF	46	0.160	0.026	1.64	0.055	0.006
LN-521	18	2.26	0.20	33.0	0.482	0.035	LN-521	27	0.379	0.041	3.76	0.100	0.016

**Table 1.** Adhesion energies and maximum pull-off forces for HepG2 (a,c,e) and WA07 (b,d,f) cell interactions with collagen I (Col I), collagen IV (Col IV), cellulose nanofibrils (CNF), and laminin-521 (LN-521) at contact times of 1, 10 and 30 seconds. Mean values and standard errors of the mean (SEM) are shown.

a			b		
HepG2	Col I	13.0 – 19.2	WA07	Col I	14.7 – 17.6
	Col IV	13.5 – 14.4		Col IV	12.2
	CNF	14.7		CNF	10.3
	LN-521	14.6		LN-521	8.2 – 10.2

**Table 2.** Radii (μm) of the used colloidal probes for force measurements between HepG2 (a) and WA07 (b) cells and collagen I (Col I), collagen IV (Col IV), cellulose nanofibrils (CNF), and laminin-521 (LN-521).

a HepG2 – controls, 1 s contact							b HepG2 – controls, 10 s contact						
	Number of force curves analyzed	Normalized adhesion energy (nJ/m)	SEM (nJ/m)	Adhesion energy (fJ)	Maximum pull-off force (mN/m)	SEM (mN/m)		Number of force curves analyzed	Normalized adhesion energy (nJ/m)	SEM (nJ/m)	Adhesion energy (fJ)	Maximum pull-off force (mN/m)	SEM (mN/m)
Glass	18	0.023	0.007	0.36	0.011	0.002	Glass	17	0.030	0.007	0.46	0.015	0.002
APTES	12	0.043	0.008	0.56	0.018	0.002	APTES	6	0.090	0.042	1.18	0.042	0.013
PEI	7	0.66	0.19	7.22	0.150	0.048	PEI	5	0.45	0.19	4.88	0.086	0.027
c HepG2 – controls, 30 s contact							d Radii (μm) of control probes						
	Number of force curves analyzed	Normalized adhesion energy (nJ/m)	SEM (nJ/m)	Adhesion energy (fJ)	Maximum pull-off force (mN/m)	SEM (mN/m)							
Glass	15	0.083	0.020	1.30	0.032	0.005	HepG2	Glass		15.6			
APTES	7	0.172	0.026	2.24	0.124	0.026		APTES		13.1-13.5			
PEI	7	1.14	0.32	12.5	0.31	0.11		PEI		11.0-13.4			

**Table 3.** Adhesion energies and maximum pull-off forces for HepG2 cell interactions with uncoated, APTES-coated, and PEI-coated glass probes after 1 s (a), 10 s (b), and 30 s (c) contact times. The radii of used colloidal probes (μm) are presented in (d). Mean values and standard errors of the mean (SEM) are shown.



**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2020