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Abstract—Lightning has vital effects on power systems. When lightning strikes to the transmission towers, the equipment and the network components are jeopardized by the over-voltages. If the over-voltage magnitudes are more than the basic insulation level (BIL) of the equipment, irreversible damages occur in the system. This paper analyzes lightning over-voltages in two different nuclear power plants (NPP) by applicable modeling and simulations in EMTP-ATPDraw software with different cases of protective device combinations and different scenarios of network structure. In order to keep the over-voltage magnitudes below BILs of the equipment, protection ways are proposed with the surge arresters and the surge capacitances.

Index Terms—Basic Insulation Level, Lightning, Nuclear Power Plant, Over-voltage, Surge Arrester, Surge Capacitance

I. INTRODUCTION

Lightning is an important meteorological process that threatens both the planet and human being with financial and physical damages. From power systems point of view, when the lightning strikes to a power system, travelling voltage waves having large magnitudes are generated. If the magnitude of the over-voltages exceeds the basic insulation level (BIL) of the equipment, it prevents the proper operation of the equipment and gives damages to the system. Usually, lightning causes the damage in two different ways. The first one is that when the voltage across the equipment increases above a limit, it causes a discharge between the terminals by giving a permanent damage to the electrical insulation of the equipment. The second one is that if the energy of the lightning is higher than the energy handling capacity of the equipment, the equipment may melt or be broken [1]. From the insulation coordination point of view, in order to make the system reliable and secure against these possible effects of lightning, the system has to be analyzed against lightning strokes and protected properly.

There are two types of lightning strokes; direct and indirect stroke. When the lighting strikes to any part of the electrical network, it is defined as direct stroke [1]. Travelling waves are generated as a result of the direct strokes. These travelling waves travel along the line and are often affected by the insulator between the phase conductors and cross-arm of the tower. If the voltage magnitude is high, flash over of the insulator causes short circuit in the system [2]. On the other hand, when the lightning hits to the grounded parts of the system, it might cause an indirect stroke [1]. Travelling waves travel back and forth along the tower and are reflected at the footing and top of the tower, which causes a rise in the voltage and electrical stress on the insulators. Insulator flashes over when the withstand level of the insulator is exceeded. This flash over type is defined as back flashover [2].

In this paper, over-voltages caused by direct lightning stroke in the electric system of two different nuclear power plants (NPP) are analyzed. Electric system of NPPs consists of transmission towers, overhead lines, power transformers, generators, motors, and protective components. These different parts of the systems have been modeled properly for suitable simulations in EMTP-ATPDraw software. After modeling the system parts, direct lightning stroke has been simulated for different cases with the surge arresters and surge capacitance combinations, and different scenarios with different network structures in terms of transmission towers. By using several cases and scenarios, over-voltage magnitude on each phase of each voltage level has been recorded. They have been compared with the BIL of each voltage level, and protection ways have been proposed to keep over-voltage magnitude of the equipment under BIL.

II. MODELING OF THE SYSTEM

In this part, the single line diagrams of both NPPs will be shown initially. Then modeling of the system parts will be explained according to single line diagrams.

A. Single Line Diagrams

Single line diagrams of the first and second NPP are shown in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 respectively.

The first NPP is composed of four voltage levels as 400 kV, 15.75 kV, 6 kV, and 400 V. Between each level, there are power transformers as 415/15.75 kV, 15.75/6 kV, and 6/0.4 kV. The generator is in 15.75 kV level and motors are in 6 kV level. The power transformers, the motors, and the generator are protected with the surge arresters. Also, there is a surge capacitance in 15.75 kV level to reduce the over-voltage magnitude.

The second NPP is composed of five voltage levels as 400 kV, 20 kV, 6.9 kV, 690 V, and 400 V. Between each level, there are power transformers as 415/21.5 kV, 20/6.9 kV, 6.9/0.69 kV, and 690/400 V. The generator is in 20 kV level and motors are in 6.9 kV level. The power transformers, the motors, and the generator are protected with the surge arresters. Also, there is a surge capacitance in 20 kV level to reduce the over-voltage magnitude.
In addition to the parts of the plants in the single line diagrams, four transmission towers, overhead lines, and a lightning source have been modeled for the simulations.

B. Modeling of Lightning Source

Lightning source has been modeled as a current source, and a 400 Ω parallel resistance has been used for the lightning path [3]. 200 kA and 20 kA magnitudes have been used for the stroke to the ground wire and phase conductors respectively. 1.2/50 μs front and tail times have been used according to IEC 60060-1 standards [4]. The model can be represented as shown in Fig 3.

C. Modeling of Transmission Towers

After the generation of the electricity in the plant, it is stepped-up to the transmission voltage level via a transformer. This voltage is transmitted to the primary and other level transformers via transmission towers and overhead lines. Therefore, in order to investigate the over-voltages in the system, proper implementation of transmission towers and overhead lines is inevitable. For this purpose, 400 kV double circuit configuration has been used for the modeling of transmission towers. The model proposed by [5] has been used as a reference. The proposed model is in Fig 4.

\[ Z_i = 60 \cdot \ln \left( \frac{\sqrt{2} \cdot \frac{2}{r} \cdot h}{r} \right) - 60; \quad i = 1...4 \]  
\[ R_i = \frac{-2 \cdot Z_i \cdot \ln(\alpha)}{H_1 + H_2 + H_3}; \quad i = 1...3 \]  
\[ R_4 = -2 \cdot Z_4 \cdot \ln(\alpha) \]  
\[ H = \sum_{i=1}^{4} H_i \]  
\[ L_i = \alpha \cdot R_i \frac{2 \cdot H_i}{C_0}; \quad i = 1...4 \]  

where \( \alpha \) is the attenuation constant taken as 0.89. \( C_0 \) is the propagation velocity taken as 3 \( \times \) 10^8 m/s, which is the speed
of the light. \( H \) are the parameters related to the dimensions of the selected tower.

In addition to the calculated parameters, footing resistance \((Z_f)\) has been used as 30 \( \Omega \) [8].

### D. Modeling of Overhead Lines

Overhead lines have been modeled by \( \pi \) equivalent model approach. The parameters of the model have been retrieved from the data of "400 kV 2*Finch" overhead line. The length of the overhead lines between two towers has been taken as 250 m.

### E. Modeling of Primary Transformers

In this study, one of the most important part is the modeling of the primary transformers in order to observe the transferred over-voltage to the other levels. Since a power transformer is composed of capacitive and inductive elements, the behavior of the transformer is frequency dependent. Therefore, a model that should work in high frequency is needed. There are two possible methods to find the model parameters of the transformer in high frequencies as (i) using mechanical description and (ii) using frequency response analysis (FRA) data [9]. In this study the second method has been chosen because of the lack of information about the mechanical description and difficulties to calculate the parameters. In order to model 415/15.75 kV transformer of the first NPP and 415/21.5 kV transformer of the second NPP, FRA of the transformers have been used. The parameters of the model consisting of inductive and capacitive components have been developed according to FRA of the transformers. The model is represented in Fig. 5.

In the model, \( C_A, C_B, \) and \( C_C \) represent the capacitances and \( L_A, L_B, \) and \( L_C \) represent the inductances in the primary sides of each phase. Between the primary and secondary sides of each phase, there are capacitances as \( C_{Aa}, C_{Bb}, \) and \( C_{Cc} \). Between the phases in the secondary side, there are capacitances of \( C_{ab}, C_{bc}, \) and \( C_{ca} \) similar to primary sides. The inductances and capacitances in the primary and secondary sides are parallel to each other in the model. Moreover, there are additional capacitances in the secondary sides connected to the ground to represent the effect of stray capacitances. These parameters have been calculated according to FRA data of the transformers. FRA of the primary side, FRA of the secondary side, and FRA between primary and secondary sides have been used. The regions in which the transformer shows capacitive or inductive behavior have been determined and capacitances and inductances shown in the model have been found meticulously.

In order to find these values, firstly, end to end test setup has been used for FRA and 50 \( \Omega \) resistance has been chosen as the measurement impedance. According to these, transfer function is found as

\[
\frac{V_{out}}{V_{in}} = \frac{50}{50 + Z_{Tr}}
\]

where \( Z_{Tr} \) is the coil impedance of the transformer. From (6), \( Z_{Tr} \) is expressed as

\[
Z_{Tr} = 50 \left(1 - \frac{V_{out}}{V_{in}}\right)
\]

Inductance \((L)\) and capacitance \((C)\) calculations have been made by finding \( Z_{Tr} \) from (7) according to the FRA data and using

\[
L = \frac{x}{\omega}
\]

\[
C = \frac{1}{x \cdot \omega}
\]

where \( x \) is the reactance and \( \omega \) is the angular frequency.

### F. Modeling of Secondary and Other Level Transformers

In order to model 15.75/6 kV and 6/0.4 kV transformers of the first NPP and 20/6.9 kV, 6.9/0.69 kV, and 690/400 V transformers of the second NPP, the proposed model for the medium voltage distribution systems in [1] has been used. The model can be used for both loaded and unloaded situations. One phase of the model implemented in ATPDraw software is shown in Fig. 6.

The model in Fig. 7 consists of one parallel resistance of 1 M\( \Omega \), two inductances as \( L_0 \) and \( L_1 \), and two non-linear elements as \( A_0 \) and \( A_1 \). According to Pinceti [10], the
parameters of this model are found according to the electrical data of the selected surge arrester. Inductances are found as in (10) and (11).

\[ L_0 = 0.01 \cdot V_n \]  
\[ L_1 = 0.03 \cdot V_n \]  

In (10) and (11), \( V_n \) is the rated voltage of the selected surge arrester. For the parameters of \( A_0 \) and \( A_1 \), V-I curve characteristics defined by IEEE has been used. The per unit voltage values in the characteristics have been converted to real values and entered to the simulation software.

For the first NPP, surge arresters have been used in 400 kV, 15.75 kV, and 6 kV levels. For the second NPP, surge arresters have been used in 400 kV, 20 kV, and 6.9 kV levels. Same surge arresters have been preferred for 15.75 kV and 20 kV levels, and 6 kV and 6.9 kV levels. The surge arresters used in [11] for a similar study have been implemented here too. The electrical data of the selected surge arresters can be found in Table I.

### Table I: Electrical Data of the Selected Surge Arresters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voltage Level (kV)</th>
<th>Current Class (kA)</th>
<th>Rated Voltage (kV)</th>
<th>Max COV 8/20 μs 10 kA (kV)</th>
<th>Max RV 8/20 μs 20 kA (kV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6, 6.9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.75, 20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>58.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>808</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### III. Simulations and Results

After modeling each part of the systems according to single line diagrams, overall models have been simulated in ATPDraw software. Four main studies have been conducted for both NPPs. Direct stroke to the ground wire and phase conductors have been simulated in these studies. For the ground wire case, the impulse having 200 kA magnitude has been applied. On the other hand, for the phase conductors case, 20 kA impulse has been applied. For all studies, 1.2/50 μs front and tail times have been used as impulse parameters.

Firstly, the simulations have been made without using a surge capacitance. Then, a surge capacitance of 0.36 μF has been used in 15.75 kV level of the first NPP and 20 kV level of the second NPP. The effect of using surge capacitance has been observed with the comparisons.

Four transmission towers exist in the simulation models. Different numbers of transmission towers from 1 to 4 have been simulated with the different stroke locations. Initially, cases without the operation of the surge arresters have been simulated. Then, different combinations with the surge arrester operations have been investigated. Based on the simulation results, proper protection ways for all scenarios have been found to keep over-voltage magnitudes BILs of the equipment. These BILs of the voltage levels of the NPPs can be given in Table II.

#### Table II: Basic Insulation Levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nuclear Power Plants</th>
<th>400 kV Level</th>
<th>20 kV Level</th>
<th>15.75 kV Level</th>
<th>6.9 kV Level</th>
<th>6 kV Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First NPP</td>
<td>1680 kV</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29 kV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second NPP</td>
<td>1300 kV</td>
<td>150 kV</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29 kV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Simulation results of the first and second NPP will be given in the following subsections.

#### A. Simulation Results of the First NPP

Simulations have been made for various scenarios with different numbers of transmission towers and stroke locations. Different cases with different combinations of surge arrester operations have been investigated. The results for 200 kA 1.2/50 μs will be given in detail; however, ultimate results with the proposed protection way will be explained in the other studies for simplicity and consistency. Simulation results of Phase A will be given although results have been found for all phases.

1) 200 kA 1.2/50 μs Direct Stroke to the Ground Wire:

Simulation results for all scenarios and cases without a surge capacitance in 15.75 kV level can be found in Table III. Red colored values show the values above BILs.

In Table III, surge arrester operation in all phases of 400 kV, 400 kV + 15.75 kV, and 400 kV + 15.75 kV + 6 kV have been investigated. According to the simulation results, operation of the surge arresters in 6 kV level does not have a significant effect in reducing the induced voltage level. Therefore, operation of the surge arresters in 6 kV level is not necessary. However, surge arresters in 15.75 kV level affects the induced voltage level significantly. Without 15.75 kV level surge arresters, BIL in 15.75 kV level is exceeded mostly. Therefore, the system is protected in a best way if 400 kV and 15.75 kV surge arresters are operating although BIL in Phase A of 400 kV level is exceeded. In order to make that phase below BIL, a surge arrester with different ratings might be preferred.

If a surge capacitance of 0.36 μF is used in 15.75 kV level, the results for 400 kV level is almost the same as the results in Table III. However, for 15.75 kV and 6 kV levels, the values decrease almost to the half. In this case, operation of the surge arresters in 15.75 kV level is unnecessary.

2) 20 kA 1.2/50 μs Direct Stroke to the Phase A Conductor:

According to the simulations of this case, one surge arrester operation in Phase A of 400 kV level makes the system safe. All phases of each level becomes under BIL.
3) 20 kA 1.2/50 μs Direct Stroke to the Phase B Conductor:
According to the simulations of this case, one surge arrester operation in Phase B of 400 kV level makes the system safe. All phases of each level becomes under BIL.

4) 20 kA 1.2/50 μs Direct Stroke to the Phase C Conductor:
According to the simulations of this case, one surge arrester operation in Phase C of 400 kV level makes the system safe. All phases of each level becomes under BIL.

B. Simulation Results of the Second NPP

1) 200 kA 1.2/50 μs Direct Stroke to the Ground Wire:
Simulation results for all scenarios and cases without a surge capacitance in 20 kV level can be found in Table IV.

In Table IV, surge arrester operation in all phases of 400 kV, 400 kV + 20 kV, and 400 kV + 20 kV + 6.9 kV have been investigated. According to the simulation results, similar to the results of the first NPP, operation of the surge arresters in 6.9 kV level does not have a significant effect in reducing the induced voltage level. However, 20 kV level surge arresters reduce the induced voltage level significantly. In contrast with the first NPP, there is no need to operate the surge arresters in 20 kV because voltage level is already below BIL in 20 kV level without surge arresters due to higher BIL of the equipment (150 kV) than the one in the first NPP (69 kV). Therefore, in order to protect system in a best way, operation of the surge arresters in 400 kV level is sufficient although it doesn’t make Phase A of 400 kV level below BIL. A surge arrester with different ratings might be preferred to make that phase below BIL.

If a surge capacitance of 0.36 μF is used in 20 kV level, similar to the first NPP, the results for 400 kV level is almost the same as the results in Table IV. However, for 20 kV and 6.9 kV levels, the values decrease almost to the half.

2) 20 kA 1.2/50 μs Direct Stroke to the Phase A Conductor:
According to the simulations of this case, one surge arrester operation in Phase A of 400 kV level makes the system safe. All phases of each level becomes under BIL.

3) 20 kA 1.2/50 μs Direct Stroke to the Phase B Conductor:
According to the simulations of this case, one surge arrester operation in Phase B of 400 kV level makes the system safe. All phases of each level becomes under BIL.

4) 20 kA 1.2/50 μs Direct Stroke to the Phase C Conductor:
According to the simulations of this case, there is no need to operate any surge arrester as the BILs are not exceeded.
C. Summary of the Proposed Protection Ways

Summary of the proposed protection ways for the first NPP is given in Table V.

### Table V

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stroke Magnitude</th>
<th>Stroke Location</th>
<th>Surge Cap.</th>
<th>Surge Arresters</th>
<th>Operating Phases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>200 kA</td>
<td>Ground</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>400 kV + 15.75 kV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 kA</td>
<td>Phase A</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>400 kV</td>
<td>Phase A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phase B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Phase B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phase C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Phase C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary of the proposed protection ways for the second NPP is given in Table VI.

### Table VI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stroke Magnitude</th>
<th>Stroke Location</th>
<th>Surge Cap.</th>
<th>Surge Arresters</th>
<th>Operating Phases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>200 kA</td>
<td>Ground</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>400 kV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 kA</td>
<td>Phase A</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>400 kV</td>
<td>Phase A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phase B</td>
<td></td>
<td>400 kV</td>
<td>Phase B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phase C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. DISCUSSIONS

In the previous section, the results for the simulations have been investigated and protection ways with the surge arresters have been proposed. In this section, further discussions will be made about the simulation results and proposed protection ways.

According to the results, as the number of the transmission towers increases, the magnitude on each voltage level decreases. As the stroke hits to the towers in the left side, induced voltages on each level increase; however, the magnitude is still less than the scenario with fewer towers. Therefore, in order to protect the system against lightning strokes more, number of transmission towers should be more in the system.

For the first NPP, if 200 kA 1.2/50 μs direct stroke comes to the ground wire, surge arresters in all phases of 400 kV and 15.75 kV levels should operate. If a surge capacitance of 0.36 μF is used in 15.75 kV level, it reduces the voltage magnitude in 15.75 kV level almost to the half and makes the operation of surge arresters in 15.75 kV level unnecessary. If 20 kA 1.2/50 μs direct stroke comes to the conductors, one surge arrester operation in the corresponding phase of 400 kV makes the system safe.

For the second NPP, if 200 kA 1.2/50 μs direct stroke comes to the ground wire, surge arresters in all phases of 400 kV should operate. As BIL of 20 kV level is higher in this case, there is no need to operate the surge arresters in 20 kV level. If a surge capacitance of 0.36 μF is used in 20 kV level, it reduces the voltage magnitude almost to the half. If 20 kA 1.2/50 μs direct stroke comes to the Phase A and B conductors, one surge arrester operation in the corresponding phase of 400 kV level makes the system safe. For Phase C conductor, there is no need to operate any surge arresters.

For both NPPs, although protection ways have been proposed for 200 kA 1.2/50 μs direct stroke to the ground wire, the proposed protections are not enough to keep Phase A of 400 kV level under BIL, although the other levels are safe. Therefore, a surge arrester with different ratings is needed in 400 kV level.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, lightning over-voltages in two different NPPs have been investigated. Modeling of the NPPs have been explained and simulation results have been given for different scenarios. Protection ways have been proposed according to simulation results.

This study shows that even if the lightning magnitude is extreme, 200 kA, it is possible to protect the system mostly. As an average, lightning magnitude is 20 kA and for that magnitude the system is protected well according to simulation results. Also, this study shows that using a surge capacitance in 15.75 kV and 20 kV levels is beneficial for the protection as it reduces induced voltage values almost to the half.

In order to obtain better results, the primary transformer should be modeled more accurately as it determines the magnitude of the transferred voltage to the other levels. Also, grounding of the transmission towers and the equipment should be studied in more detail because grounding is one of the key factors to determine equivalent impedance of the system parts.
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