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Abstract—Cutting of the electrical steel sheets to appropriate shape and size is performed during the manufacturing of electrical machines which leads to the magnetic material deterioration near the cutting edge. Epstein frame measurements of electrical steel samples of different widths cut by laser cutting are carried out in the range of 20 Hz to 400 Hz frequency of sinusoidal excitations. Two stators one with laser cut and another one with electric discharge wire cutting were manufactured and the effect of cutting on core losses was analyzed. The effect of cutting on the magnetic permeability and core losses are modeled with analytical equations. Furthermore, the model is implemented in the finite element simulation of the Epstein frame test setup. The presented loss model is found to reproduce the measurement results reasonably. The loss model is then applied to the simulation of a cage induction machine with time-stepping finite element analysis. A significant increase in core losses was observed both in simulations and measurements due to the effect of laser cutting. The presented cutting model closely follows the measured core losses.

Index Terms—Core loss, cutting, cut edge, electrical machines, finite element modeling, steel cutting, steel laminations.

I. INTRODUCTION

Manufacturing of electrical machines, in general, involves cutting of electrical steel sheets to appropriate sizes, interconnecting steel sheets (welding, glueing, interlocking, etc.) to form stack and shrink fitting. Each of these manufacturing steps have an impact on losses in the final manufactured machine [1], [2]. Among these manufacturing effects, those related to the cutting of sheets have garnered the interest of the research community in the last couple of decades. Electrical sheets are typically cut by punching or laser cutting, which have a significant impact on the magnetic properties of iron. Researchers have measured its magnetic properties with the help of standard Epstein frame and single sheet tester. The generated plastic, residual and thermal stresses have been reported to translate into a drop in permeability and an increase in core loss density [1]–[5]. Furthermore, [6] and [7] investigated the effect of cutting on the optimal design of the electrical machines.

Based on the measurements on electrical steel samples, various researchers have proposed loss models that include the effect of cutting in the electrical machine simulation models [8], [9] and [10] proposed building factors to include the effect of cutting in the core losses. Others such as [11] and [12] proposed a separate uniform degradation region near the cut edge to account for cutting effect. The continuous loss models have also been studied widely which takes into account the distance from the cut-edge [7], [13]–[17].

The mechanical cutting phenomenon is widely modeled by analytical functions which predict a gradual increase in flux density from cut-edge to middle of the lamination either by exponential functions [7], [13], [15] or polynomial function [14]. The measurements of laser cut steel samples are presented in [3], [18], [19]. To model the laser cutting effect [11] derives a uniform degradation layer near the cut-edge while [17] applies the same polynomial function based loss model developed for the mechanical cutting [14]. Irrespective of the cutting effect it is widely known that there will be higher degradation near the cut-edges. The analytical functions-based continuous loss models have the benefit of computational efficiency in finite element (FE) simulations with the help of higher or mixed order elements as shown in [20] and [21]. Therefore, this paper will modify the analytical function based continuous loss model [13] which is then applied with higher order elements. A simple analytical expression to include the cutting effect in hysteresis and excess loss coefficients is presented. The accuracy of the presented loss model is proved with the Epstein frame measurements as well as the measurements of machine prototypes.

As presented in the above literature; there has been extensive research on quantifying the effect of cutting with the help of magnetic measurements on electrical steel sheets. However, relatively fewer efforts have been made to quantify the effect of cutting in the full machine. This is because of challenges in validating the machine’s simulated core losses with measurements. There are significant challenges in modeling of the rotational core losses, the effect of stresses caused by shrink fitting, interlaminar short circuits, welding effect, and possible
local eddy currents etc. Each of these physical phenomena affects the core losses. Typically at the modeling stage, most of such effects are ignored which will make it difficult to compare the simulated and measured results especially in case of cutting where the difference due to cutting may be as low as 5–10 % in the measured core losses. Therefore for reliable experimental measurement, we should have two identical machine prototypes which only differ with respect to the cutting method. Such an approach is followed in this paper.

[22] and [17] include measurement results from single machine prototype cut by mechanical cutting and laser cutting respectively. [13] compares two permanent magnet synchronous machines which are cut by wire cutting and shear. However, the focus was on the electromotive force measurement and the core losses were not measured. [16] studies the effect of laser cutting on the performance of permanent magnet assisted synchronous reluctance machines; here also the effect of cutting was considered only on the magnetic permeability and other performance parameters such as torque and direct and quadrature axis inductances. A loss model for the cutting effect on the core losses and the respective measurements are missing. [10] presents the magnetic measurements of stator laminations with and without heat treatment. As heat treatment is known to reduce the stress effect and the measured difference will partially show the effect of cutting. The ring core measurements were performed in [10]; therefore, the cutting effect in the stator teeth area which is one of the most affected parts is missing. Similarly, only ring core measurement with laser cut stator laminations are presented in [11]. Although, two identical prototypes which only differ in the cutting technique i.e. electron discharge machining (EDM) cut and laser cut were measured in [9], no loss segregation was given. The effect of cutting was considered as an additional factor in the total core loss which will make it difficult to scale the model to different machine geometries and operational frequencies.

This paper focuses on a reliable quantification of the effect of cutting on the core losses. The Epstein frame test was performed on the laser cut steel samples. From measurements, then a loss model was developed, as done in [13]. Further, the presented loss model is applied in finite element analysis (FEA) of the Epstein frame test setup and simulation results are compared with the measurements. Finally, the cutting effect was analyzed with core loss measurements in two different stators. The presented research work is an extension of the conference paper [23]. The novelty of the paper comes from the following points

1) A new modified loss model and validation
2) Comparative analysis of measured and simulated core losses of EDM cut and laser cut stators to analyze the cutting effect on the full machine.

This paper is divided into four sections. The current section provides the background, aim, and novelty of this research work. The following section describes the procedure of the Epstein frame measurements, the cutting loss model and finite element model. The corresponding results are presented in the respective subsections of the results section. Finally, the key findings of the research work are summarised in the conclusion and discussion section.

II. METHOD

This section is divided into five subsections: the first subsection deals with the magnetic measurements of the laser cut samples of different widths with the standard Epstein frame test setup. The second subsection describes the proposed loss model while the subsequent subsection describes the FEA model of the simplified Epstein frame test setup. The last two subsections present a time-stepping finite element model with higher order elements to efficiently model the cutting effect and a stator core loss measurement setup with unsloted rotor.

A. Epstein frame measurements

Magnetic measurements are usually performed on electrical steel samples with Epstein frame or single sheet testers in the industry as well as academia. As presented in the literature, many researchers have studied the effect of cutting by studying electrical steel samples of different widths. Following the same lines, this paper presents the results of five different types of samples having the same total material mass. The non-oriented electrical steel sheets of grade M400-50A having the lamination thickness of 0.5 mm are investigated in this paper. The assembly of samples of different widths is also shown in Fig. 1. The samples are cut by solid state continuous wave 400 W fiber laser Trumpf Trulaser Cell 3010 with 250 µm beam diameter at the cutting speed of 11 m/min [24]. The details of the measured samples are presented in Table I.

Fig. 1: Assembly of different width samples for magnetic measurements. Sample E is assembled in similar manner.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Detail</th>
<th>Total width (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>One sample of 30 mm</td>
<td>1 × 30 = 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Two 15 mm samples joined together</td>
<td>2 × 15 = 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Three 10 mm samples joined together</td>
<td>3 × 10 = 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Six 5 mm samples joined together</td>
<td>6 × 5 = 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Ten 3 mm samples joined together</td>
<td>10 × 3 = 30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
magnetic test is having 16 strips, each of width 30 mm and joined by double tapped joints at the corners. The conducting edges are insulated by thin tape while assembling samples B, C, D, and E. The supply voltage was controlled to provide sinusoidal magnetic flux density. The electrical steel samples were demagnetized before the measurements and air flux compensation was considered with the help of the mutual inductor in the test setup.

B. Loss model

To account for the cutting effect, the following analytical expression is presented. The presented loss model is based on [13] with the modifications listed at the end of this subsection.

1) Effect on permeability: The effect of cutting on the magnetic permeability is mainly dependent on two parameters: the magnetic field strength ($H$) and width of the sample. Local magnetic flux density was analyzed with experiments of mechanical cut samples of four different materials and an exponential function was fitted to reproduce the measured results in [15], [26] showed that an exponential function for both CO2 laser cut and mechanical cut samples provided satisfactory results irrespective of cutting technique. Further, [18] studied the magnetic domain structure and domain wall movement by the magneto-optical Kerr effect (MOKE) to determine the local magnetic properties in terms of magnetic contrast. The local magnetic contrast shows similar results for mechanical cut and laser cut samples especially for lower field strength where the cutting effect is prominent. Analytical functions-based continuous loss model was proved computationally efficient in FE modeling of the cutting effect in [20], [21] where an exponential function is applied. Therefore, this paper applies exponential function for modeling the cutting effect.

Based on Ampere’s law the measured magnetic field strength ($H$) is considered constant across the measured sample. The local magnetic permeability $\mu(H, x)$ is presented in (1). Here $\Delta \mu(H)$ and $\alpha$ are fitting parameters, therefore, $n$ number of data points will result in $n + 1$ fitting parameters. $\mu_{nd}(H)$ is the magnetic permeability of non-degraded material and the distance from cut-edge is $x$. It should be noted that we will obtain the average measured permeability ($\mu_{m}$) from magnetic measurements and corresponding average calculated permeability ($\tilde{\mu}_c$) can be determined from (2) for a sample of lamination width $w$.

$$\mu(H, x) = \mu_{nd}(H) - \Delta \mu(H) e^{-\alpha x}$$
$$\tilde{\mu}_c(H, w) = \frac{2}{w} \int_0^{w/2} \mu(H, x)dx$$

2) Effect on core losses: Similar to the permeability of the measured material, it is also quite clear that core losses are affected by the cutting. [18] performed the conductivity measurements on the strips cut by different cutting techniques including laser and showed that conductivity is unaffected by the cutting process. Therefore, this paper will modify the hysteresis loss and excess loss coefficients for modeling the cutting effect and eddy current loss coefficient will remain unaffected. On the other hand, the eddy current losses will be mildly affected due to change in permeability due the cutting effect; this effect is ignored at low frequencies (<400 Hz). As the hysteresis and excess loss depends on the local grain properties and domain wall movement, the effect of cutting is modeled in a similar fashion as local permeability i.e. considering the effect of magnetic flux density and an exponential function for distance from the cutting edge on the loss coefficients.

The three component core loss formula (3) was selected to improve the overall fitting of the cut-edge loss model [27]. The parameters such as coefficients of eddy current $K_e$ and exponent $\alpha$ are fixed at non-degraded value. The effect of cutting is then included in the hysteresis loss coefficient $K_h(B, x)$ and excess loss coefficient $K_{exc}(B, x)$. As we get the average hysteresis and excess loss coefficients $K_{hm}(B, w)$ and $K_{exc}(B, w)$ from measurements, (4) and (5) were averaged along $w$ to obtain the corresponding calculated average hysteresis and excess loss coefficients $\tilde{K}_{hc}(B, w)$ and $\tilde{K}_{exc}(B, w)$.

$$P(B, x) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} K_h(B, x)B^{\alpha(B)}f_n + K_e B^2 f_n$$
$$K_h(B, x) = K_{h0} + \Delta K_h(B)e^{-bx}$$
$$K_{exc}(B, x) = K_{exc0} + \Delta K_{exc}(B)e^{-cx}$$
$$\tilde{K}_{hc}(B, w) = \frac{2}{w} \int_0^{w/2} K_h(B, x)dx$$
$$\tilde{K}_{exc}(B, w) = \frac{2}{w} \int_0^{w/2} K_{exc}(B, x)dx$$

The non-degraded permeability $\mu_{nd}(H)$ in (1) and parameters $K_{h0}$, $\alpha$, $K_e$, and $K_{exc0}$ are obtained by magnetic measurement of standard Epstein frame laser cut sample of width 30 mm (sample A). This paper ignores the effect of cutting on the widest sample i.e. sample A while deriving the cutting effect; this effect is ignored at low frequencies (<400 Hz). As the hysteresis and excess loss depends on the local grain properties and domain wall movement, the effect of cutting is modeled in a similar fashion as local permeability i.e. considering the effect of magnetic flux density and an exponential function for distance from the cutting edge on the loss coefficients.

1) The fitting parameter $\alpha$ is made independent of field strength H. The modification helps in easier generation of the local BH curves with monotonicity. The monotonicity is needed for better convergence of the Newton Raphson method applied to deal with the non-linearity in FE simulations.

2) A three-component core formula [27] was adopted to improve the numerical fitting.

3) Fitting parameter $\Delta K_h(B)$ is a cubic polynomial of magnetic flux density instead of a linear polynomial and parameter $b$ is independent of $B$.

The procedure to derive the fitting parameters is summarized as a flowchart in Fig. 2.
Magnetization and core loss measurements at different widths and excitation frequencies

Select suitable $H$ data points and interpolate BH curves for these points

Calculate $\mu_n$ from the non degraded sample and $\mu_m$ from different width samples

Obtain average calculated permeability $\mu_c$ from (2)

Get $\Delta \mu(H)$ and $\alpha$ with least square fitting of $\mu_m$ and $\mu_c$

Get $K_{h0}$, $\alpha$, $K_c$ and $K_{ex0}$ based on [27]

For fixed $\alpha$ and $K_c$ calculate $K_{hm}(w, B)$ and $K_{exm}(w, B)$ based on [27] for width $w$

For each selected data point $H$ and lamination width $w$
1) Calculate $B(H, x)$ based on (1)
2) Calculate $K_h(B(H, x), x)$ and $K_{ex}(B(H, x), x)$
3) Calculated average hysteresis and excess loss coefficients $K_{hc}(w, B)$ and $K_{exc}(w, B)$.

From least square fitting between $K_{hc}(w, B)$ and $K_{hm}(w, B)$ get the parameters $\Delta K_h(B)$ and $b$. Similarly, $\Delta K_{ex}(B)$ and $c$ can be obtained. The size of matrices $K^c_{hc}$, $K^c_{exc}$, $K^c_{exm}$ and $K^c_{hm}$ is number of different width (w) samples $\times$ number of $H$ data points.

Fig. 2: Flowchart of the loss model

C. FEA model of Epstein frame test setup

The presented loss model can be verified against the finite element simulation of a simplified Epstein frame measurement system and the measurement results. We obtain single value curve in term of the peak value of magnetic flux density and the peak value of field strength along with core loss density as W/kg from the measurements. Magnetic vector potential based formulation ($AV$) can be used to solve the magnetic field distribution along the width of the lamination. To avoid the complexities arising from modeling air flux compensation in the Epstein frame, the measured magnetic flux is enforced in a rectangular steel sample. Hence, the primary and secondary coils of the Epstein frame test setup are not included in the FE simulations. The magnetic flux can be enforced with the help of a highly dense mesh and Dirichlet boundary condition as presented in Fig. 3. The resultant system of equations is presented in (10) and (11). Here $S$, $f$, and $A$ are stiffness matrix, source vector and magnetic vector potential respectively. As there is no current source in the model, $f$ can be replaced with a zero vector. Finally the simplified equation (11) can be solved to determine the vector potential ($A_n$) at the non Dirichlet nodes of the mesh. The subscript $n$ represents the non Dirichlet nodes whereas $d$ represents the Dirichlet nodes.

$$\text{SA} = f$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

$$S_{n,n}A_n + S_{n,d}A_d = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

D. Time-stepping FE simulation

The time-stepping FE analysis of a cage induction machine and presented mock-up machine test setup is based on the voltage source model presented in [28]. The effect of end winding inductances and rotor bar resistances (in case of induction motor) are taken into account with the help of circuit equations. The effect of welding on the stator core and conductivity in steel laminations is ignored in FE simulations. Finite element formulation based on well known $AV$ formulation is written in MATLAB environment. The rotation of the rotor is modeled by remeshing air-gap elements at each timestep. Furthermore, to study the effect of cutting in the finite element simulation, higher order finite element formulation is adopted, which has not only proved to be computationally efficient [21] but also eliminate the need to make narrow geometric regions in machine geometry to model the cutting effect. As this is a recently published work, hence, in-house MATLAB based solver is used instead of commercial FE solvers. As presented by the authors earlier in the case of time-harmonic analysis, the effect of cutting is included in the stiffness matrix $S$ in this time-stepping simulation. The entries of the stiffness matrix $S_{ij}$ can be represented in terms of the reluctivity $\nu$ and the nodal shape functions $\phi$ in the domain $\Omega$. The effect of cutting in terms of the degradation of permeability from (1) is
presented in the form of the cut distance dependent relucivity \( \nu(A, x) \).

\[
S_{ij} = \int_{\Omega} \nu(A, x) \nabla \phi_i \cdot \nabla \phi_j d\Omega \quad (12)
\]

### E. Mock-up machine test set-up for stator core loss measurement

Two stators and one laminated rotor were manufactured. The geometrical dimensions of stators and rotor are the same as presented in Table V. The laminated rotor is manufactured without any bars or slots for bars. This test setup for the measurement of core losses is termed as “mock-up machine” in this paper. One of the stators was manufactured with the laser cutting while the second one was with the EDM cutting. EDM cutting is known to produce lesser stress and deliver a comparatively better quality of cutting [9], [13], [14].

The measured difference between the core losses of the two stators should reflect the effect of cutting. Following steps were taken to ensure the accuracy of the measurements to quantify the cutting effect in the core losses.

1) Other than the cutting method all other manufacturing parameters are identical. Both the stators are manufactured from electrical steel from the same mother coil to avoid variation in the electrical steel manufacturing. Both have identical winding, welding technique and number of weld spots to stack the stators. Both the stators are laser welded with 13 welding spots each by Trumpf Trulaser Cell 3010 [24].

2) As the focus of the measurement was core losses; blocked rotor test was performed to avoid inclusion of the friction losses in the total measured losses.

3) The applied BH curve during the FE simulations and developed cutting loss model was derived from the Epstein frame measurements. The Epstein frame test was done on the samples cut from the same mother coil as the manufactured stators and rotor.

### III. RESULTS

In this section, the fitting parameters obtained for the loss model is presented and the validation of the loss model is discussed. Further, the loss model is applied to a cage induction motor and FE time-stepping analysis is carried out at different load conditions. As the most prominent effect due the cutting was observed in the core losses, the last part deals with the comparative measurements of the EDM cut and laser cut stators.

### A. Magnetic measurements on the different width samples

Epstein frame measurements of different widths samples described in the section II-A were performed. Five different sinusoidal excitation frequencies were considered: 20 Hz, 50 Hz, 100 Hz, 200 Hz and, 400 Hz. As a result, single valued magnetization curve in form of a peak value of magnetic flux density \( B_p \) and the peak value of magnetic field strength \( H_p \) along with specific core loss density curve in form of loss density \( P_c \) versus \( B_p \) were obtained. The effect of cutting on magnetic permeability can be analyzed from Fig. 4 which shows the \( BH \) curve at 50 Hz of different samples. Hysteresis loops of least degraded sample i.e. sample A and most degraded sample i.e sample E at peak flux magnetic flux densities of 1 T and 1.5 T are presented in Fig. 5. Further, the core loss increase due the cutting is presented in terms of core loss density in Figs. 6a and 6b, measured at excitation frequencies 50 Hz and 400 Hz respectively.

### B. Parameter fitting of the loss model

Under measurements, we have obtained the peak value of average magnetic flux density and average core loss density along the width of a sample. Therefore, the proposed local distributions (1), (4) and (5) need to be averaged in order to compare with the measurements. For the fitting, nonlinear least squares toolbox of MATLAB was used.

In the case of BH curve fitting, special care needs to be taken for the selection of the data points. Relatively more data points are needed between field strength 30 A/m to 1000 A/m due to more prominent cutting-effect in this range. In this paper, about 80% of the field strength data points are selected uniformly in the range 30 A/m to 1000 A/m whereas remaining 20% upto 10000 A/m. The obtained \( \Delta \mu \) is presented in Fig. 7.
Table II: Fitting results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>b₁</th>
<th>b₂</th>
<th>b₃</th>
<th>b₄</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.5 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>-5.1 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>6.5 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>1.6 × 10⁻⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c₁</td>
<td>c₂</td>
<td>c₃</td>
<td>c₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>-1.3 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>9.7 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>2.9 × 10⁻⁵</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 9: Magnetic flux density distribution when average flux density of 1 T was enforced on the sample A. The cutting effect was considered on left and right edges; hence the magnetic flux is concentrated in middle of the sample.

Fig. 6: Measured core loss curves of different width samples at (a) 50 Hz (b) 400 Hz.

Fig. 7: Fitted parameter Δµ. Effect of cutting will be mainly below 1000 A/m field strength.

Fig. 8: Parameter (a) ΔKₕ (b) ΔKₑₓ.

Similar to the BH curve data, the average hysteresis (Kₕₘ) and excess (Kₑₓₘ) loss coefficients were obtained and fitted with the corresponding calculated hysteresis (Kₕₑ) and excess (Kₑₓₑ) loss coefficients. The obtained fitting parameters ΔKₕ and ΔKₑₓ are presented in Fig. 8. The corresponding fitting coefficients in SI units are summarized in Table II. The profiles of Δµ, ΔKₕ and ΔKₑₓ show that the effect of cutting reduces towards the magnetic saturation. Based on the obtained fitting parameters a, b, and c, the damaged region is up to about 5 mm where the exponential degradation reduces to 10% of the peak value at the cutting edge. Here, the measurement data points are between 0.1 T and 1.6 T. As the loss coefficients are dependent on B, loss coefficients out of the measured range are kept constant at the respective limits of B.

C. Validation of proposed loss model

FE analysis of Epstein frame test setup is performed with the presented loss model. The details of the FE model is described in section II-C. The cut-edge dependent local permeability distribution (1) will be applied. This resulted in a flux density distribution (Fig. 9) which clearly shows the effect of cutting. The core losses in the sample are further computed in a post-processing manner using (3), (4) and (5). Figs 10a and 10b represent the calculated and measured core losses at different enforced average magnetic flux densities at different sample widths at 50 Hz and 400 Hz excitation frequencies respectively. The calculated core losses match the measured values reasonably. The loss model is generated from the measured flux density range between 0.1 T to 1.6 T. However, the presented loss model predicts losses with good accuracy in extrapolated region as shown in Fig 10a for enforced flux density of 1.7 T. Other than low enforced flux density of 0.4 T the relative error is less than 10% as shown in the Figs 11a and 11b for samples C and E which are considered degraded. The comparatively high relative error (~10%) in sample A was expected, as non-degraded parameters are derived from sample A. However, during FE simulations cutting effect was considered in sample A as well.
D. Cutting effect on machine performance

Time-stepping finite element analysis of a cage induction machine was performed. The motor data is presented in the appendix section in Table V. The simulation results were obtained by either considering or not the presented permeability and core-loss models. The effect of cutting is quite easily visible in a number of machine parameters. First, as this is a voltage source simulation model, the no load current has increased due to lower permeability of iron near the cut-edges as presented in Table III. The core losses computed at no load and full load, both indicate a clear increase in core-loss of the full machine as presented in Table III and Table IV. The total core-loss has increased by about 14 % due to the cutting effect. The full load current and torque show negligible effect due to the cutting effect.

Additionally, this paper extends the application of computationally efficient time-harmonic based higher order finite element analysis [21] to higher order time-stepping analysis with the cutting effect. The computation time is about 40 seconds for solving the resultant system for 200 time-steps. The finite element mesh contains 1516 third order elements and 6901 nodes. The simulation PC has 16 GB RAM and clock speed of 3.3 GHz.

E. Measurement of core losses with mock-up machine

From the simulation of 37 kW induction machine, it is clear that the core losses are affected the most as compared to other parameters such as supply current, torque, etc. Therefore this

### Table III: Cutting effect at no-load

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No load without cutting effect</th>
<th>No load with cutting effect</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supply current (A)</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core loss (W)</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator core loss (W)</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator hysteresis loss (W)</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator excess loss (W)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table IV: Cutting effect at full-load

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Full load without cutting effect</th>
<th>Full load with cutting effect</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supply current (A)</td>
<td>67.8</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torque (Nm)</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core loss (W)</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator core loss (W)</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator hysteresis loss (W)</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator excess loss (W)</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
section focuses on reliable and accurate measurement of stator core losses and studying the effect of cutting on it.

The blocked rotor mock-up machine setup is presented in the Fig. 12. The mock-up machine has identical stator geometry and winding configuration as the simulated 37 kW induction machine in the previous subsection. However, the cage rotor is replaced with a unslotted laminated rotor having the same outer and inner rotor diameter as presented in Table V. The supply voltages, current and power measurements are recorded by Fluke Norma 4000 power analyzer [29]. The current probe to the power analyzer is connected with Fluke Norma 30 A shunt registers to measure the supply current. From the measurement setup total losses, supply power, supply frequency, supply voltage were noted. As this is a blocked rotor test, the measured total power loss consists of the copper losses and the core losses. Further, four different sinusoidal supply frequencies were considered as 15 Hz, 25 Hz, 33.33 Hz, and 50 Hz. The supply at a different frequency is provided by a synchronous generator coupled with a DC motor. The supply voltages are then controlled to have the same voltage to frequency (V/f) ratio. Under this study, V/f ratio of 1, 2, 4, 6, and 8 were considered. At rated supply (400 V, 50 Hz, V/f = 8), the simulated flux density distribution of the blocked rotor test is presented in Fig 13a.

The copper losses are calculated from the measured supply current and resistance of the winding. The difference between the total loss and copper loss is then considered as total core loss (stator core loss + rotor core loss). As the rotor is same in both the measurements, rotor core losses can be considered identical at a given operating condition. The simulated rotor core loss is subtracted from the total core loss to arrive at the stator core loss. The effect of harmonics generated due to stator slots and the magnetic saturation is considered while calculating the simulated core losses. Fig. 13b shows the stator core loss of measured laser cut, EDM cut and simulations with and without considering the cutting effect at 50 Hz.

The effect of cutting in terms of difference in the stator core losses is analyzed by measurements and the presented cutting loss model.

- $\Delta P_m$ is difference in measured stator core losses between laser cut and EDM cut stators.
- $\Delta P_s$ is difference in simulated stator core losses with and without considering the cutting effect.

As shown in Fig. 13c the measured stator core loss increase due to cutting effect was about 9% at the rated supply (400V, 50 Hz). The increase in core losses was in the range of 30% to 9% across the different supply voltages at 50 Hz. Moreover, the measurements at different supply frequencies are presented in Fig. 14 in terms of the difference in stator core losses. The simulated results closely follow the measured results which prove the applicability of the presented loss model.

The differences between computed stator losses from simulations without considering cutting effect and measurements of EDM cut stator are about 5% at rated supply voltage and about 15% at lower voltages (flux). Similar differences are observed in the laser cut measurements and simulations with cutting effect. The presented differences are considered well within the limits for the core loss measurements. Further, The error in power measurements done by Norma 4000 [29] is under 1% based on the data manual.

IV. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

This paper studies the effect of laser cutting in electrical machines. Laser cutting is performed for small scale production of machines in the industry. The presented research work should allow the machine designers to account for the cutting related losses at the design stage.
A cutting model of the electrical steel sheet is proposed, and the parameters are estimated from standard Epstein frame measurements of samples of different widths. The selection of suitable data points for least squares fitting and generation of monotonically increasing BH curve seems to be major challenges of the cutting model. The computed core losses from the FE simulation of the Epstein frame test setup matches the respective measured values reasonably, hence validates the presented loss model. Indeed, it is difficult to justify the physical basis of the presented local permeability and core-loss distributions. While developing a model for a complex natural phenomenon like cutting, the simplest natural function like exponential that qualitatively matches the phenomenon (cutting effect decays with distance) and reasonably well replicates observations, is a good choice for the time being, until and unless more detailed measurements are performed. Similarly, a cubic polynomial for the effect of cutting on the core loss coefficients is a fair assumption of the underlying physical function.

Further, the effect of cutting was analyzed by time-stepping FE simulation of a typical industrial motor (37 kW cage induction motor). The machine performance parameters such as core-losses, supply current and torque were discussed. The comparative core loss measurements on the EDM cut and laser cut stator core losses were 9% (24 W) more than the EDM cut stator. The presented cutting loss model follows the measurements results closely over the studied data points.

Finally, the proposed methodology should be suitable for analyzing other cutting methods also and thus be suitable for designing the mass-produced machines. The verification of the proposed method with other cutting methods would be part of the future work.
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