
This is an electronic reprint of the original article.
This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Zhao, Jie; Wu, Longhao; Li, Tiefu; Liu, Yu Xi; Nori, Franco; Liu, Yulong; Du, Jiangfeng
Phase-Controlled Pathway Interferences and Switchable Fast-Slow Light in a Cavity-Magnon Polariton System

Published in:
Physical Review Applied

DOI:
[10.1103/PhysRevApplied.15.024056](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevApplied.15.024056)

Published: 23/02/2021

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Please cite the original version:
Zhao, J., Wu, L., Li, T., Liu, Y. X., Nori, F., Liu, Y., & Du, J. (2021). Phase-Controlled Pathway Interferences and Switchable Fast-Slow Light in a Cavity-Magnon Polariton System. *Physical Review Applied*, 15(2), Article 024056. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevApplied.15.024056>

This material is protected by copyright and other intellectual property rights, and duplication or sale of all or part of any of the repository collections is not permitted, except that material may be duplicated by you for your research use or educational purposes in electronic or print form. You must obtain permission for any other use. Electronic or print copies may not be offered, whether for sale or otherwise to anyone who is not an authorised user.

Phase-Controlled Pathway Interferences and Switchable Fast-Slow Light in a Cavity-Magnon Polariton System

Jie Zhao,^{1,2,3,4} Longhao Wu^{1,2,3}, Tiefu Li,^{5,6} Yu-xi Liu,⁵ Franco Nori,^{7,8} Yulong Liu,^{6,9,*} and Jiangfeng Du^{1,2,3,†}

¹Hefei National Laboratory for Physical Sciences at the Microscale and Department of Modern Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China

²CAS Key Laboratory of Microscale Magnetic Resonance, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China

³Synergetic Innovation Center of Quantum Information and Quantum Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China

⁴National Laboratory of Solid State Microstructures, School of Physics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China

⁵Institute of Microelectronics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

⁶Beijing Academy of Quantum Information Sciences, Beijing 100193, China

⁷Theoretical Quantum Physics Laboratory, RIKEN, Saitama 351-0198, Japan

⁸Department of Physics, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109-1040, USA

⁹Department of Applied Physics, Aalto University, P.O. Box 15100, Aalto FI-00076, Finland



(Received 29 August 2020; revised 29 December 2020; accepted 1 February 2021; published 23 February 2021)

We study the phase-controlled transmission properties in a compound system consisting of a three-dimensional copper cavity and an yttrium-iron-garnet (YIG) sphere. By tuning the relative phase of the magnon pumping and cavity-probe tones, constructive and destructive interferences occur periodically, which strongly modify both the cavity-field transmission spectra and the group delay of light. Moreover, the tunable amplitude ratio between pump-probe tones allows us to further improve the signal absorption or amplification, accompanied by either significantly enhanced optical advance or delay. Both the phase and amplitude ratio can be used to realize *in situ* tunable and switchable fast-slow light. The tunable phase and amplitude ratio lead to the zero reflection of the transmitted light and an abrupt fast-slow light transition. Our results confirm that direct magnon pumping through the coupling loops provides a versatile route to achieve controllable signal transmission, storage, and communication, which can be further expanded to the quantum regime, realizing coherent-state processing or quantum-limited precise measurements.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevApplied.15.024056](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevApplied.15.024056)

I. INTRODUCTION

Interference, due to superposed waves, plays a considerable role in explaining many classical and quantum physical phenomena. Based on the phase-difference-induced interference patterns, ultraprecise interferometers have been created, impacting the development of modern physics and industry [1]. In addition to the phases, waves or particles propagating through different pathways can also introduce interference patterns. Among various types of multiple-path-induced interference, the Fano resonance [2] and its typical manifestations, the electromagnetically induced transparency (EIT) and electromagnetically induced absorption (EIABS) [3,4], are the most well-known ones. The Fano resonance and EIT-like (or

EIABS-like) line shapes are not only experimentally observed in quantum systems but also in various classical harmonic-resonator systems. Quantum examples include quantum dots [5], quantum wells [6], superconducting qubits [7–10], as well as Bose-Einstein condensates [11]. Classical examples [12] include coupled optical cavities [13–16], terahertz resonators [17,18], microwave resonators [19,20], mechanical resonators [21,22], optomechanical systems [23]. However, whether in quantum or in classical systems, the Fano resonance, EIT- or EIABS-like spectra are normally experimentally realized separately. The switchable electromagnetically induced transparency and absorption, as well as fast and slow light, have been proposed using dressed superconducting qubits [8], hybrid optomechanical system [24,25], dark-mode breaking [26–28], and so on. Particularly, there appears growing interest to control the EIT and EIABS by introducing exceptional points [29–31]. Photon stops [32,33],

*liuyl@baqis.ac.cn

†djf@ustc.edu.cn

chiral EIT [34], and infinite slow light [33] have recently been realized around exceptional points. Motivated by their potential applications in rapid transitions between fast and slow light, which facilitate coherent state storage and retrieval, it is highly desirable to have experimental realizations of *in situ* tunable and switchable absorption, transparency, and even amplification.

Meanwhile, cavity magnon polaritons in an yttrium-iron-garnet (YIG) sphere-cavity coupled system has attracted much attention due to its strong [35–42] and even ultrastrong couplings [43–45]. The compatibility and scalability with microwave and optical light enable magnons to be a versatile interface for different quantum devices [46–51]. At low temperatures, strong coupling between magnons, superconducting resonators, and qubits have been demonstrated [52–56]. Subsequently, the EIT-like magnon-induced transparency (MIT) or the EIABS-like magnon-induced absorption (MIABS) of the transmitted cavity field were observed for different external coupling conditions [57]. The underlying mechanism is attributed to interferences between two transition pathways, i.e., the direct cavity pathway and the cavity-magnon-cavity pathway, to transmit the probe field.

In addition to the coupling strength [57] and frequency detuning [58–60] between coupled modes, phases play a vital role in wave interference control. We thus focus on the controllability of pathway interferences through the phase difference between the cavity-probe tone and the magnon-pump tone, which is introduced by the coupling loops' technology [61–64]. The direct magnon pump is becoming useful in realizing the light-wave interface [46–48], enhancing the Kerr nonlinearity [65–67], and has also been adopted to observe the magnetostriction-induced quantum entanglement [68–72], among other applications.

Together with the cavity-probe tone, a magnon-pump tone introduces a controllable relative phase to the system, and thus the path interference can be real-time controlled. Changing the two-tone phase difference, we can *switch the cavity-probe spectra from the original magnon-induced transparency instantly to the magnon-induced absorption, or even the Fano line shape*. Furthermore, the tunable pump-probe amplitude ratio allows us to further improve the signal absorption, transparency, or amplification, accompanied by a significant enhancement by nearly 2 orders of magnitude of the optical advance or delay time compared to the case without magnon pump [57]. In particular, the tunable phase and amplitude ratio also lead to the zero reflection of the transmitted light, which is accompanied by an abrupt transition of delay time. Our results confirm that direct magnon pumping provides a versatile route to control signal transmission, storage, and communication, and can be further expanded to coherent state processing in the quantum regime.

II. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

As shown in Fig. 1(a), our system consists of a 3D copper (Cu) cavity with an inner dimension of $40 \times 20 \times 8$ mm³ and an YIG sphere with a 0.3 mm diameter. A static magnetic field H_{static} applied in the x - y plane tunes the magnon frequency. The simulated cavity-mode magnetic field distribution is shown at the bottom of Fig. 1(a), where the arrows and colors indicate the cavity mode magnetic field directions and amplitudes. The YIG sphere is placed near the magnetic field antinode of the cavity TE₁₀₁ mode. The magnetic components (along the z axis) of the microwave field at this antinode is perpendicular to the static magnetic bias field.

Here, we are only interested in the low excited states of the Kittel mode, in which all the spins precess in phase. Under the Holstein-Primakoff transformation, such collective spin mode can be simplified to a harmonic resonator, which introduces the magnon mode. In our setup, the cavity mode couples to the magnon mode with coupling strength $g = 7.6$ MHz, which is larger than the magnon decay rate $\kappa_m = 1.2$ MHz, but smaller than the cavity decay rate $\kappa_c = 113.9$ MHz.

In our experiment, a beam of coherent microwave is emitted from port 1 of a VNA and then divided through a splitter into two beams, one of which is used to probe the cavity (probe tone) and another beam is used to pump the magnon (pump tone) by incorporating the coupling loop technique, which is schematically shown in the dashed rectangle of Fig. 1(a). The probe tone is injected into the cavity through antenna 1, which induces the cavity external decay rate $\kappa_{c1} = 21.8$ MHz. The pump tone is injected through antenna 2, which introduces the magnon external decay rate $\kappa_{m1} = 0.6$ MHz. Note that the phase $\varphi_c = 0$ and amplitude ε_c of the probe tone are fixed (i.e., working as a reference), and the phase φ and amplitude ε_m of the magnon-pump tone are tunable and controlled by an arbitrary wave generator with an in-phase and quadrature mixer (I - Q mixer).

III. MODEL

By considering the cavity-magnon coupling, as well as the pump and probe tones [model in Fig. 1(b)], the system Hamiltonian becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 H = & \omega_c a^\dagger a + \omega_m m^\dagger m + g(a^\dagger m + m^\dagger a) \\
 & + i\sqrt{2\eta_c \kappa_c} \varepsilon_c (a^\dagger e^{-i\omega_p t} - a e^{i\omega_p t}) \\
 & + i\sqrt{2\eta_m \kappa_m} \varepsilon_m (m^\dagger e^{-i\omega_p t - i\varphi} - m e^{i\omega_p t + i\varphi}). \quad (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Here, a^\dagger (a) and m^\dagger (m) are the creation (annihilation) operators for the microwave photon and the magnon at frequencies ω_c and ω_m , respectively, and we choose units with $\hbar = 1$. The magnon frequency ω_m linearly depends on the static bias field H_{static} and is tunable within the




FIG. 1. Measurement setup and phase-induced interference mechanism diagrams. (a) The system consisting of a three-dimensional (3D) copper cavity and a YIG sphere, which is coherently pumped by the coupling loops shown as a black coil surrounding the YIG sphere. The red arrows and colors indicate the magnetic field directions and amplitudes of the TE_{101} mode distribution, respectively. The YIG sphere is placed at the area with maximum magnetic field distribution inside a 3D copper cavity box to obtain a strong cavity-magnon coupling. A small hole at the cavity sidewall is assembled with a standard Sub-Miniature version A connector (SMA connector), allowing us to do the reflection measurement S_{11} of the probe field, i.e., such a SMA connector works as both the signal input and readout port. A beam of coherent microwave comes out from port 1 of the vector network analyzer (VNA) and splits into two beams, working as the magnon-pump tone and the cavity-probe tone. Here, we use an in-phase and quadrature mixer (I - Q mixer) and an arbitrary waveform generator (AWG) to control and tune the phase difference φ and pump-probe amplitude ratio $\delta = \epsilon_m/\epsilon_c$ between the pump and probe tones. The interfering results are extracted by the circulator and finally transferred to port 2 of the VNA. (b) Diagram showing the relative phase between the magnon pump and cavity probe in the cavity-magnon coupled system. (c) The corresponding energy-level diagram. Two transition pathways to the higher energy level: ① probe-tone-induced direct excitation, and ② pump-tone excites magnons and then coherently transfers there to cavity photons. (d) Measurements of the reflection spectra S_{11} versus the detuning $\Delta_p = \omega_c - \omega_p = \omega_m - \omega_p$. The relative phase difference between pump and probe tones can be developed to realize an *in situ* switchable constructive and destructive interference, presented as MIABS with $\varphi = 0.35\pi$, $\delta = 1.2$ and MIT with $\varphi = 1.35\pi$, $\delta = 1.2$.

range of a few hundred MHz to about 45 GHz; ϵ_c (ϵ_m) is the microwave amplitude applied to drive the cavity (magnon). Here, we introduce the coupling parameter

$$\eta_c = \kappa_{c1}/\kappa_c, \quad (2)$$

$$\eta_m = \kappa_{m1}/\kappa_m \quad (3)$$

to classify the working regime of the cavity (the magnon). The parameter η_c (η_m) classifies three working regimes for the cavity (magnon) into three types: overcoupling regime for η_c (η_m) $> 1/2$; critical-coupling regime for η_c (η_m) = $1/2$; and undercoupling regime for η_c (η_m) $< 1/2$. In our experiment, the cavity works in the undercoupling regime ($\eta_c < 1/2$) and the magnon works in the critical coupling regime ($\eta_m = 1/2$).

Experimentally, the reflection signal from the cavity is circulated and then transferred to port 2 of the VNA to carry out the spectroscopic measurement, which corresponds to the steady-state solution of the Hamiltonian Eq. (1). The transmission coefficient t_p of the probe field is defined as the ratio of the output-field amplitude ϵ_{out} to the input-field amplitude ϵ_c at the probe frequency ω_p : $t_p = \epsilon_{out}/\epsilon_c$. With the input-output boundary condition,

$$\epsilon_{out} = \epsilon_c - \sqrt{2\eta_c\kappa_c} \langle a \rangle, \quad (4)$$

we can solve the transmission coefficient t_p of the probe field as [73]

$$t_p = t_{probe} + t_{pump}, \quad (5)$$

with

$$t_{probe} = 1 - \frac{2\eta_c\kappa_c (i\Delta_p + \kappa_m)}{(i\Delta_p + \kappa_c) (i\Delta_p + \kappa_m) + g^2}, \quad (6)$$

$$t_{pump} = \frac{ig\sqrt{2\eta_c\kappa_c}\sqrt{2\eta_m\kappa_m}\delta e^{-i\varphi}}{(i\Delta_p + \kappa_c) (i\Delta_p + \kappa_m) + g^2}. \quad (7)$$

Here Δ_p is the detuning between the probe frequency ω_p and either the cavity resonant frequency ω_c or the magnon frequency ω_m . In our experiment, the cavity is resonant with the cavity, i.e.,

$$\Delta_p = \omega_c - \omega_p = \omega_m - \omega_p; \quad (8)$$

and

$$\delta = \epsilon_m/\epsilon_c \quad (9)$$

is the pump-probe amplitude ratio. Equation (5) clearly shows that the transmission coefficient can be divided into two parts:

1. t_{probe} in Eq. (6), the contribution from the cavity-probe tone, represents the traditional pathway-induced interference;

2. t_{pump} in Eq. (7), the contribution from the magnon-pump field, affects the interference and modifies the transmission of the probe field.

As shown in Fig. 1(c), there exist two transition pathways for the cavity: the probe-tone-induced direct excitation, and the photons transferred from magnon excitations. When the cavity decay rate (analog to broadband of states) is much larger than the magnon decay rate (analog to a narrow discrete quantum state in other quantum systems), Fano interference happens and has been successfully used to explain the MIT and MIABS phenomenon in cavity magnon-polariton systems [57]. Besides pathway-induced interference, the steered phase φ of the wave provides another useful way to generate and especially control the interferences, as shown in Fig. 1(d).

We emphasize that in this paper we focus on *how the phase difference φ and pump-probe ratio $\delta = \varepsilon_m/\varepsilon_c$ affect the interference, and we explore its potential applications, such as controllable field transmission and in situ switchable slow-fast light*. The S_{11} spectrum and group-time delay measurement are carried out on the VNA and then fitted by

$$T = |t_p| \quad (10)$$

and

$$\tau = -\frac{\partial [\arg(t_p)]}{\partial \Delta_p}, \quad (11)$$

respectively.

IV. PHASE-INDUCED INTERFERENCE AND CONTROLLABLE MICROWAVE FIELD TRANSPORT

We first study how the phase of the magnon-pump tone affects the transmission of the cavity-probe field. In Fig. 2(a), we present experimental results of the transmission, when the pump-probe ratio is $\delta = \varepsilon_m/\varepsilon_c = 1.7$. In this setup, the phase φ is continuously increased from 0 to 2π using an I - Q mixer, and is shown in the x axis of Fig. 2(a). Then we conduct the S_{11} measurements and the recorded spectra are plotted versus the detuning frequencies Δ_p . The colors represent the relative steady-state output amplitude (in dB units) at different frequency and pump-probe ratios. Figure 2(a) shows that the interference mainly happens around $\Delta_p = 0$ and can be controlled *in situ* by changing the phase φ .

As shown in Fig. 2(b), where φ is set to 0.35π , destructive interference happens and an obvious dip




FIG. 2. S_{11} spectrum versus relative phase difference φ . (a) Measured transmission spectrum S_{11} versus phase φ and detuning Δ_p . The colors indicate the transmitted amplitudes in dB units. (b) Measured output spectrum S_{11} with phases: ① $\varphi = 0.35\pi$, ② $\varphi = 0.85\pi$, ③ $\varphi = 1.35\pi$, and ④ $\varphi = 1.85\pi$. Here, the pump-probe amplitude ratio is fixed at $\delta = 1.7$. Red-solid lines are the corresponding theoretical results.

appears around $\Delta_p = 0$. This behavior can be regarded as MIABS. However, if we set $\varphi = 1.35\pi$, constructive interference happens and an obvious amplification window appears around $\Delta_p = 0$. This behavior can be described as magnon-induced amplification (MIAMP). When φ is set to 0.85π or 1.85π , sharp and Fano-interference-like asymmetry spectra are observed even when the cavity and magnon are exactly resonant.

Although the interference originates from the coherent cavity-magnon coupling, Fig. 2 clearly shows that *the phase φ plays a key role in realizing an in situ tunable and controllable interference (e.g., constructive or destructive interference)*, which can be further engineered to control the probe-field transmission. Note that in previous studies [57] MIABS was only observed in the cavity overcoupling regime (i.e., $\eta_a > 1/2$) and MIT was only observed in the

cavity undercoupling regime (i.e., $\eta_a < 1/2$). In contrast to this, here we realize a phase-dependent and switchable MIABS and MIT, *as well as* MIAMP in a fixed undercoupling regime ($\eta_c = 0.19$ in our experiment). We emphasize that the destructive interference-induced MIABS is a unique result of phase modulation. The observed asymmetric Fano line shapes could be useful to realize Fano-interference sensors or precise measurements, using the magnon-pump method realized in our work.

V. AMPLITUDE-RATIO-OPTIMIZED MAGNON-INDUCED ABSORPTION

Recall the magnon-pump transmission coefficient t_{pump} in Eq. (7). There, the phase φ determines the type of interference, e.g., constructive or destructive. However, the pump-probe ratio $\delta = \varepsilon_m/\varepsilon_c$ also affects the degree of interference, and thus can be used to control the probe-field transmissions t_p . As shown in Fig. 3(a), a color map is used to present the experiment results. Along the x axis, the amplitude ratio δ is continuously increased from 0 to 6.5, by changing the overall voltage amplitude applied to the I and Q ports of an I - Q mixer. Then we conduct the S_{11} measurements and the steady-state output-field amplitudes are plotted versus the frequency detuning Δ_p . The colors in Fig. 3(a) represent the relative strength of the steady-state output field (in dB units) at a different frequency. Here, the chosen phase $\varphi = 0.35\pi$ results in MITs when $\delta < 0.32$, while MIABSs dominate the output response in the regime $\delta > 0.32$. We then study how the pump-probe ratio δ affects the central absorption window of the S_{11} spectra.

Figure 3(a) shows that interference occurs around $\Delta_p = 0$ and is *in situ* controlled by changing the pump-probe ratio δ . The center blue-colored area represents an ideal absorption (transmission $T < 0.01$) of the probe field.

Figure 3(b) shows the extreme values of the transmission coefficients around $\Delta_p = 0$ versus the pump-probe ratio δ . In the yellow area, we find the local maximum values of the MITs, and the local minimum values are found for MIABSs in the blue area. An obvious dip appears around $\delta = 3$ and the minimum transmission value is less than 1% (voltage amplitude ratio), which corresponds to an optimized and ideal probe-field absorption.

Figure 3(c) shows the evolution process from MIT to MIABS by gradually increasing the pump-probe ratio δ . When $\delta = 0$, corresponding to case ① of Fig. 3(c), our scheme recovers the traditional MIT case when no magnon pump is applied. When the magnon pump is introduced and its strength is continuously increased, the transparency window disappears and is replaced by an obvious absorption dip, as shown in cases ② and ③ of Fig. 3(c). With an even larger pump-probe ratio, the MIABS dips become asymmetry gradually, such as the spectrum in case ④ of Fig. 3(c). Comparing with other results in Fig. 3(c), we




FIG. 3. Measured transmission spectrum S_{11} versus pump-probe amplitude ratio δ with phase fixed at $\varphi = 0.35\pi$. (a) Measured output spectrum versus amplitude ratio δ and detuning Δ_p . The colors indicate transmitted power in dBs. (b) The extreme values of the S_{11} transmission spectra of the output field versus the amplitude ratio parameter δ . In the light-yellow (light-blue) regime, the extreme values represent the maximum (minimum) transmission amplitudes of the peaks (dips) around $\Delta_p = 0$. (c) Measured transmission spectrum S_{11} with amplitude ratio: ① $\delta = 0$, ② $\delta = 0.3$, ③ $\delta = 3.0$, and ④ $\delta = 5.7$. Red-solid lines are the corresponding theoretical results.

can find that the experimental data do not fit so well with the theory in case ④ of Fig. 3(c). This is induced by the additional cavity-antenna 2 coupling. Due to the existence of this tiny coupling, the magnon pump signal also pumps the cavity. With a modest magnon-pump strength, the additional cavity pump does not affect the system seriously,

so that the theory fit the experiment data well. With a relatively strong magnon pump, the side effects of the additional cavity pump become larger, though it does not change the line shape. Therefore, the experiment data and theory do not fit so well when the magnon pump is relatively strong [73]. Similar phenomena can also be observed in case ④ of Fig. 4(c).

We emphasize one main result of this paper: *the absorption dips appear with an under-coupling coefficient of $\eta_a = 0.19$ in our experiment. However, absorptions only happen in the overcoupling regime in traditional cases.* Moreover, Figs. 3(a) and 3(c) show that δ can be used to switch the transmission behavior from the magnon-induced transparency to the magnon-induced absorption. Note that the type of interference, destructive interference or constructive interference, depends on the value of the phase φ . However, the interference intensity is determined and optimized by the pump-probe ratio δ . As shown in Fig. 3(c), the dip of S_{11} is 42 dB lower than the baseline. The dip amplitude is quite close to zero, which indicates that a zero reflection is generated by the destructive interference.

VI. AMPLITUDE-RATIO-OPTIMIZED MAGNON-INDUCED AMPLIFICATION

We now study how the amplitude ratio of $\delta = \varepsilon_m/\varepsilon_c$ affects the MIAMP. In this case, the phase is fixed at $\varphi = 1.35\pi$, where constructive interference dominates the transmission of the output field. As shown in Fig. 4(a), a color map is used to present the measurement results. Along the x axis, the pump-probe ratio δ is continuously increased from 0 to 6.5. Then we conduct the S_{11} measurement, and the steady-state transmission spectra are plotted versus the frequency detuning parameter Δ_p . The colors in Fig. 4(a) represent the transmission amplitudes of the steady-state output field (in dB units) at different frequencies. We then study how the amplitude δ affects the center amplification window of the S_{11} spectra.

Figure 4(a) clearly shows that constructive interference happens around $\Delta_p = 0$ and are *in situ* controlled by changing the pump-probe ratio δ . Magnon-pump-induced constructive interference happens when the probe field is nearly resonant with the cavity (also the magnon), and amplification windows appear. Around $\Delta_p = 0$, the color changes from light blue to orange when the pump-probe ratio δ increases from 0 to 6.5. This indicates that the higher amplification can be obtained with a larger pump-probe ratio δ .

Figure 4(b) shows how the peak values in the amplification window change versus the amplitude ratio δ . The amplification coefficient is monotonously dependent on the increment of the pump-probe ratio δ . Although the maximum pump-probe ratio is $\delta = 6.5$ in our experiment, we emphasize that a higher transmission gain can be obtained using a larger pump power.




FIG. 4. Measured transmission spectrum S_{11} versus pump-probe amplitude ratio $\delta = \varepsilon_m/\varepsilon_c$ with phase fixed at $\varphi = 1.35\pi$. (a) Measured output spectra S_{11} versus amplitude ratio δ and frequency detuning Δ_p . The colors indicate the transmitted amplitude in dB units. (b) The extreme values of the S_{11} transmission spectra of the output field versus the amplitude-ratio parameter δ . The extreme values represent the maximum transmission amplitude of the peaks around $\Delta_p = 0$. (c) Measured transmission spectra S_{11} with amplitude ratios: ① $\delta = 0$, ② $\delta = 0.9$, ③ $\delta = 1.2$, and ④ $\delta = 4.5$. The red-solid lines are the corresponding theoretical results.

Figure 4(c) clearly shows the evolution of the transmission spectrum from MIT to MIAMP when we gradually increase the pump-probe ratio δ . When $\delta < 1.2$, an obvious transparency window appears. When $\delta = 1.2$, the peak value of the transparency window equals the value of the baseline, showing the ideal MIT phenomenon. Further

increasing the pump strength, we can observe MIAMP. When $\delta = 4.5$, an obvious amplification window appears, producing MIAMP.

Note that the phase is fixed at $\varphi = 1.35\pi$ to produce constructive interference. When the amplitude ratio is set to $\delta = 0$, i.e., no magnon pump, our scheme also recovers the traditional case without a magnon pump and only MIT is observed. This result is, of course, the same as case ① in Fig. 3(c). We point out another main result that *the pump-probe ratio δ can be used to realize and control the magnon-induced amplifications*. Figures 4(a)–4(c) show that δ can be used to switch the system response from MIT to MIAMP. Note that the interference type, such as constructive interference discussed here, depends on the value of the phase φ ; however, the interference intensity is determined and optimized by the pump-probe ratio δ .

VII. SWITCHABLE FAST- AND SLOW-LIGHT BASED ON THE PHASE AND AMPLITUDE RATIO

The group delay or advance of light always accompanies EIT or EIABS. In this experiment, we show that the group delay (slow light) and group advance (fast light) can also be realized in our cavity magnon-polariton system. Similar to the discussions above, the phase φ is the key parameter that determines the interference type, e.g., destructive or constructive. Therefore, the phase φ provides a tunable and *in situ* switched group advance or delay of the probe field. The extreme values of the delay time are measured and presented in Fig. 5, choosing the same phases $\varphi = 0.35\pi$ and $\varphi = 1.35\pi$, which are also used in Figs. 3 and 4, respectively.

In Fig. 5(a), the phase is set to $\varphi = 0.35\pi$. When we increase the pump-probe ratio δ , a longer advance time is achieved, but immediately changes to time delay when $\delta > 3.0$. Further increasing δ reduces the delay time. In Fig. 5(c), we present the phase of transmission signals at different probe frequencies with $\delta = 2.7$ (case ①) and $\delta = 3.3$ (case ②). The phase changes drastically around $\Delta_p = 0$ with opposite directions. The drastic changes of the phase result in a long advance or delay time, while the phase-change direction reversal results in the sharp transition from time advance to time delay. Accompanying the sharp transition in Fig. 5(a), we observe the longest either delay or advance times. Therefore, the pump-probe ratio δ allows to *optimize and switch the probe microwave from fast to slow light, or inversely*. Comparing the abrupt transition in Fig. 5(a) with the zero reflection discussed in Sec. V, we find that the delay time abrupt transition and the zero reflection occur at the same parameter setup. It is notable that the discontinuity and abrupt transition are always accompanied by the zero reflection in coupled resonator systems. In Fig. 5(b), we set the phase to $\varphi = 1.35\pi$ and mainly observe constructive interference. In this case, the time delay monotonously increases with




FIG. 5. Measured time delay versus pump-probe ratio δ for the phase $\varphi = 0.35\pi$ (a); and $\varphi = 1.35\pi$ (b). Light-yellow area indicates the group-delay regime, and the light-blue area indicates the group-advance regime. (c) Measured unwrapped phase versus frequency detuning Δ_p with $\delta = 2.7$ [point ① in (a)] and $\delta = 3.3$ [point ② in (a)] for $\varphi = 0.35\pi$.

the pump-probe ratio δ . Note that the pump-probe ratio used in Fig. 5(b) is not its limitation, therefore longer delay times can be achieved by further increasing δ .

Figure 5 also shows that when the amplitude ratio $\delta \leq 3.0$, the delay time is a negative number, which corresponds to fast light with $\varphi = 0.35\pi$, and the positive delay time corresponds to slow light with $\varphi = 1.35\pi$. Thus the phase parameter φ can also be used to switch fast and slow light. When $\delta = 0$, i.e., no magnon pump, our scheme recovers the traditional MIT and only a 16-ns delay time is achieved. By applying the magnon pump and optimizing φ and δ , *the time delay, as well as advance, can be enhanced by nearly 2 orders of magnitude compared with the case without magnon pump*. For our scheme, the pump-probe amplitude ratio and phase difference mediated path interference can result in the zero reflection, which is accompanied with a delay time abrupt transition. In our

TABLE I. Summary of MIT, MIABS, MIAMP, and Fano resonance observed experimentally for different values in parameter space.

		Amplitude ratio δ					
		0–0.3	0.3	0.3–1.2	1.2	1.2–3.0	>3.0
Phase φ	0.35π	MIT	NULL	MIABS	MIABS	MIABS	Fano
	1.35π	MIT	MIT	MIT	MIT (perfect)	MIAMP	MIAMP

experiment, Fig. 5(a) clearly shows such an abrupt transition and greatly enhanced fast-slow light around this point. We can find that the experimental data deviates from the theoretical result around the abrupt transition. This is mainly induced by the imperfect system setups, such as limited output precision of AWG, imperfectness of the I - Q mixer, and unstable magnon frequency [73].

VIII. CONCLUSION

We experimentally study how the magnon pump affects the probe-field transmission, and the observed results are summarized in Table I. Two parameters, the relative phase φ and the pump-probe ratio δ between pump and probe tones, are studied in detail. The main results of this work are as follows:

- (a) the unconventional MIABS of the transmitted microwave field is observed with the cavity in the under-coupling condition;
- (b) the magnon-pump-induced-amplification (MIAMP) phenomena is realized in our experiment;
- (c) asymmetric Fano-resonance-like spectra are observed even when the cavity is resonant with the magnon;
- (d) by tuning the phase of the magnon pump, we can easily switch between MIT, MIABS, and MIAMP;
- (e) by tuning the pump and probe ratio, the MIABS and MIAMP can be further optimized, accompanied by greatly enhanced advanced or slow light by nearly 2 orders of magnitude;
- (f) the tunable phase and amplitude ratio can lead to the zero reflection of the transmitted light and abrupt fast-slow light transitions;
- (g) both the φ and δ can be used to carry out the *in situ* switch of fast and slow light.

Our results confirm that direct magnon pumping through the coupling loops provides a versatile route to achieve controllable signal transmission, storage, and communication, which can be further expanded to coherent state processing in the quantum regime. Furthermore, by exploiting multi-YIG spheres or multimagnon modes systems, the amplification or absorption bandwidth can be increased, resulting in a broadband coherent signal store device. The sharp peak and asymmetric Fano line shape indicate that

our platform has great potential in the application of high-precision measurement of weak microwave fields [74,75]. Our two-tone pump scheme and phase-tunable interference can also be accomplished in other coupled-resonator systems, such as optomechanical resonators, which explores effects of mechanical pump on light transmission [76–84], and even in circuit-QED systems, in which photon transmission can be controlled through a circuit-QED system [85–88].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (Grant No. 2018YFA0306600), the CAS (Grants No. GJJSTD20170001 and No. QYZDY-SSW-SLH004), Anhui Initiative in Quantum Information Technologies (Grant No. AHY050000), and the Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) (Grant No. 12004044). F.N. is supported in part by: NTT Research, Army Research Office (ARO) (Grant No. W911NF-18-1-0358), Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST) (via the CREST Grant No. JPMJCR1676), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) (via the KAKENHI Grant No. and the JSPS-RFBR Grant No. JPJSBP120194828), the Asian Office of Aerospace Research and Development (AOARD), and the Foundational Questions Institute Fund (FQXi) via Grant No. FQXi-IAF19-06.

J. Zhao and L. Wu contributed equally to this work.

Note added.—Recently, we became aware of a study presenting an infinite group delay and abrupt transition in a magnonic non-Hermitian system [33].

- [1] M. Born, E. Wolf, A. B. Bhatia, P. C. Clemmow, D. Gabor, A. R. Stokes, A. M. Taylor, P. A. Wayman, and W. L. Wilcock, *Principles of Optics: Electromagnetic Theory of Propagation, Interference and Diffraction of Light* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999), 7th ed.
- [2] A. E. Miroshnichenko, S. Flach, and Y. S. Kivshar, Fano resonances in nanoscale structures, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82**, 2257 (2010).
- [3] M. Fleischhauer, A. Imamoglu, and J. P. Marangos, Electromagnetically induced transparency: Optics in coherent media, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **77**, 633 (2005).
- [4] P. M. Anisimov, J. P. Dowling, and B. C. Sanders, Objectively Discerning Autler-Townes Splitting from Electromagnetically Induced Transparency, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 163604 (2011).

- [5] M. Kroner, A. O. Govorov, S. Remi, B. Biedermann, S. Seidl, A. Badolato, P. M. Petroff, W. Zhang, R. Barbour, B. Gerardot, *et al.*, The nonlinear Fano effect, *Nature* **451**, 311 (2008).
- [6] D. Golde, M. Wagner, D. Stehr, H. Schneider, M. Helm, A. Andrews, T. Roch, G. Strasser, M. Kira, and S. W. Koch, Fano Signatures in the Intersubband Terahertz Response of Optically Excited Semiconductor Quantum Wells, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 127403 (2009).
- [7] L. Zhou, Z. Gong, Y.-x. Liu, C. P. Sun, and F. Nori, Controllable Scattering of a Single Photon inside a One-Dimensional Resonator Waveguide, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 100501 (2008).
- [8] H. Ian, Y.-x. Liu, and F. Nori, Tunable electromagnetically induced transparency and absorption with dressed superconducting qubits, *Phys. Rev. A* **81**, 063823 (2010).
- [9] Q. C. Liu, T. F. Li, X. Q. Luo, H. Zhao, W. Xiong, Y. S. Zhang, Z. Chen, J. S. Liu, W. Chen, and F. Nori, Method for identifying electromagnetically induced transparency in a tunable circuit quantum electrodynamics system, *Phys. Rev. A* **93**, 053838 (2016).
- [10] J. Long, H. S. Ku, X. Wu, X. Gu, R. E. Lake, M. Bal, Y.-x. Liu, and D. P. Pappas, Electromagnetically Induced Transparency in Circuit Quantum Electrodynamics with Nested Polariton States, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 083602 (2018).
- [11] M. J. Akram, F. Ghafoor, M. M. Khan, and F. Saif, Control of Fano resonances and slow light using Bose-Einstein condensates in a nanocavity, *Phys. Rev. A* **95**, 023810 (2017).
- [12] C. Garrido Alzar, M. Martinez, and P. Nussenzeig, Classical analog of electromagnetically induced transparency, *Am. J. Phys.* **70**, 37 (2002).
- [13] M. F. Limonov, M. V. Rybin, A. N. Poddubny, and Y. S. Kivshar, Fano resonances in photonics, *Nat. Photonics* **11**, 543 (2017).
- [14] Y.-C. Liu, B.-B. Li, and Y.-F. Xiao, Electromagnetically induced transparency in optical microcavities, *Nanophotonics* **6**, 789 (2017).
- [15] B. Peng, Ş. K. Özdemir, W. Chen, F. Nori, and L. Yang, What is and what is not electromagnetically induced transparency in whispering-gallery microcavities, *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 1 (2014).
- [16] Ş. Özdemir, S. Rotter, F. Nori, and L. Yang, Parity–time symmetry and exceptional points in photonics, *Nat. Mater.* **18**, 783 (2019).
- [17] Z. Zhao, X. Zheng, W. Peng, J. Zhang, H. Zhao, and W. Shi, Terahertz electromagnetically-induced transparency of self-complementary meta-molecules on Croatian checkerboard, *Sci. Rep.* **9**, 1 (2019).
- [18] R. Yahiaoui, J. A. Burrow, S. M. Mekonen, A. Sarangan, J. Mathews, I. Agha, and T. A. Searles, Electromagnetically induced transparency control in terahertz metasurfaces based on bright-bright mode coupling, *Phys. Rev. B* **97**, 155403 (2018).
- [19] J. Johansson, G. Johansson, and F. Nori, Optomechanical-like coupling between superconducting resonators, *Phys. Rev. A* **90**, 053833 (2014).
- [20] C. Eichler and J. R. Petta, Realizing a Circuit Analog of an Optomechanical System with Longitudinally Coupled Superconducting Resonators, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 227702 (2018).
- [21] H. Xu, D. Mason, L. Jiang, and J. Harris, Topological energy transfer in an optomechanical system with exceptional points, *Nature* **537**, 80 (2016).
- [22] F. Liu, M. Ke, A. Zhang, W. Wen, J. Shi, Z. Liu, and P. Sheng, Acoustic analog of electromagnetically induced transparency in periodic arrays of square rods, *Phys. Rev. E* **82**, 026601 (2010).
- [23] M. Aspelmeyer, T. J. Kippenberg, and F. Marquardt, Cavity optomechanics, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **86**, 1391 (2014).
- [24] M. J. Akram, M. M. Khan, and F. Saif, Tunable fast and slow light in a hybrid optomechanical system, *Phys. Rev. A* **92**, 023846 (2015).
- [25] L. Li, W. Nie, and A. Chen, Transparency and tunable slow and fast light in a nonlinear optomechanical cavity, *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 35090 (2016).
- [26] D.-G. Lai, X. Wang, W. Qin, B.-P. Hou, F. Nori, and J.-Q. Liao, Tunable optomechanically induced transparency by controlling the dark-mode effect, *Phys. Rev. A* **102**, 023707 (2020).
- [27] D. P. Lake, M. Mitchell, B. C. Sanders, and P. E. Barclay, Two-colour interferometry and switching through optomechanical dark mode excitation, *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 1 (2020).
- [28] M. C. Kuzyk and H. Wang, Controlling multimode optomechanical interactions via interference, *Phys. Rev. A* **96**, 023860 (2017).
- [29] Y.-L. Liu, R. Wu, J. Zhang, Ş. K. Özdemir, L. Yang, F. Nori, and Y.-x. Liu, Controllable optical response by modifying the gain and loss of a mechanical resonator and cavity mode in an optomechanical system, *Phys. Rev. A* **95**, 013843 (2017).
- [30] B. Wang, Z.-X. Liu, C. Kong, H. Xiong, and Y. Wu, Mechanical exceptional-point-induced transparency and slow light, *Opt. Express* **27**, 8069 (2019).
- [31] H. Lü, C. Wang, L. Yang, and H. Jing, Optomechanically Induced Transparency at Exceptional Points, *Phys. Rev. Appl.* **10**, 014006 (2018).
- [32] T. Goldzak, A. A. Mailybaev, and N. Moiseyev, Light Stops at Exceptional Points, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 013901 (2018).
- [33] Y. Yang, Y.-P. Wang, J. Rao, Y. Gui, B. Yao, W. Lu, and C.-M. Hu, Unconventional Singularity in Anti-Parity-Time Symmetric Cavity Magnonics, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 147202 (2020).
- [34] C. Wang, X. Jiang, G. Zhao, M. Zhang, C. W. Hsu, B. Peng, A. D. Stone, L. Jiang, and L. Yang, Electromagnetically induced transparency at a chiral exceptional point, *Nat. Phys.* **16**, 334 (2020).
- [35] M. Goryachev, W. G. Farr, D. L. Creedon, Y. Fan, M. Kostylev, and M. E. Tobar, High-Cooperativity Cavity QED with Magnons at Microwave Frequencies, *Phys. Rev. Appl.* **2**, 054002 (2014).
- [36] Y. Tabuchi, S. Ishino, T. Ishikawa, R. Yamazaki, K. Usami, and Y. Nakamura, Hybridizing Ferromagnetic Magnons and Microwave Photons in the Quantum Limit, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 083603 (2014).
- [37] D. Zhang, X.-M. Wang, T.-F. Li, X.-Q. Luo, W. Wu, F. Nori, and J. Q. You, Cavity quantum electrodynamics with ferromagnetic magnons in a small yttrium-iron-garnet sphere, *npj Quantum Inf.* **1**, 1 (2015).

- [38] D. Zhang, X.-Q. Luo, Y.-P. Wang, T.-F. Li, and J. Q. You, Observation of the exceptional point in cavity magnon-polaritons, *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 1 (2017).
- [39] B. Yao, Y. Gui, J. Rao, S. Kaur, X. Chen, W. Lu, Y. Xiao, H. Guo, K.-P. Marzlin, and C.-M. Hu, Cooperative polariton dynamics in feedback-coupled cavities, *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 1 (2017).
- [40] L. Bai, M. Harder, P. Hyde, Z. Zhang, C.-M. Hu, Y. Chen, and J. Q. Xiao, Cavity Mediated Manipulation of Distant Spin Currents Using a Cavity-Magnon-Polariton, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 217201 (2017).
- [41] S. Kaur, B. Yao, J. Rao, Y. Gui, and C.-M. Hu, Voltage control of cavity magnon polariton, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **109**, 032404 (2016).
- [42] I. Boventer, C. Dörflinger, T. Wolz, R. Macêdo, R. Lebrun, M. Kläui, and M. Weides, Control of the coupling strength and linewidth of a cavity magnon-polariton, *Phys. Rev. Res.* **2**, 013154 (2020).
- [43] N. Kostylev, M. Goryachev, and M. E. Tobar, Superstrong coupling of a microwave cavity to yttrium iron garnet magnons, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **108**, 062402 (2016).
- [44] G. Flower, M. Goryachev, J. Bourhill, and M. E. Tobar, Experimental implementations of cavity-magnon systems: From ultra strong coupling to applications in precision measurement, *New J. Phys.* **21**, 095004 (2019).
- [45] J. Bourhill, N. Kostylev, M. Goryachev, D. Creedon, and M. Tobar, Ultrahigh cooperativity interactions between magnons and resonant photons in a YIG sphere, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 144420 (2016).
- [46] D. Lachance-Quirion, Y. Tabuchi, A. Gloppe, K. Usami, and Y. Nakamura, Hybrid quantum systems based on magnonics, *Appl. Phys. Express* **12**, 070101 (2019).
- [47] R. Hisatomi, A. Osada, Y. Tabuchi, T. Ishikawa, A. Noguchi, R. Yamazaki, K. Usami, and Y. Nakamura, Bidirectional conversion between microwave and light via ferromagnetic magnons, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 174427 (2016).
- [48] X. Zhang, N. Zhu, C.-L. Zou, and H. X. Tang, Optomagnonic Whispering Gallery Microresonators, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 123605 (2016).
- [49] S. V. Kusminskiy, H. X. Tang, and F. Marquardt, Coupled spin-light dynamics in cavity optomagnonics, *Phys. Rev. A* **94**, 033821 (2016).
- [50] S. V. Kusminskiy, Cavity optomagnonics, *arXiv:1911.11104* (2019).
- [51] Z.-X. Liu, C. You, B. Wang, H. Xiong, and Y. Wu, Phase-mediated magnon chaos-order transition in cavity optomagnonics, *Opt. Lett.* **44**, 507 (2019).
- [52] Y. Tabuchi, S. Ishino, A. Noguchi, T. Ishikawa, R. Yamazaki, K. Usami, and Y. Nakamura, Coherent coupling between a ferromagnetic magnon and a superconducting qubit, *Science* **349**, 405 (2015).
- [53] Y. Tabuchi, S. Ishino, A. Noguchi, T. Ishikawa, R. Yamazaki, K. Usami, and Y. Nakamura, Quantum magnonics: The magnon meets the superconducting qubit, *C. R. Phys.* **17**, 729 (2016).
- [54] R. Morris, A. Van Loo, S. Kosen, and A. Karenowska, Strong coupling of magnons in a YIG sphere to photons in a planar superconducting resonator in the quantum limit, *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 1 (2017).
- [55] Y. Li, T. Polakovic, Y.-L. Wang, J. Xu, S. Lendinez, Z. Zhang, J. Ding, T. Khaire, H. Saglam, R. Divan, *et al.*, Strong Coupling between Magnons and Microwave Photons in On-Chip Ferromagnet-Superconductor Thin-Film Devices, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 107701 (2019).
- [56] J. T. Hou and L. Liu, Strong Coupling between Microwave Photons and Nanomagnet Magnons, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 107702 (2019).
- [57] X. Zhang, C.-L. Zou, L. Jiang, and H. X. Tang, Strongly Coupled Magnons and Cavity Microwave Photons, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 156401 (2014).
- [58] P.-C. Xu, J. Rao, Y. Gui, X. Jin, and C.-M. Hu, Cavity-mediated dissipative coupling of distant magnetic moments: Theory and experiment, *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 094415 (2019).
- [59] Y. Yang, J. Rao, Y. Gui, B. Yao, W. Lu, and C.-M. Hu, Control of the Magnon-Photon Level Attraction in a Planar Cavity, *Phys. Rev. Appl.* **11**, 054023 (2019).
- [60] M. Harder, Y. Yang, B. Yao, C. Yu, J. Rao, Y. Gui, R. Stamps, and C.-M. Hu, Level Attraction due to Dissipative Magnon-Photon Coupling, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 137203 (2018).
- [61] Y.-P. Wang, G.-Q. Zhang, D. Zhang, X.-Q. Luo, W. Xiong, S.-P. Wang, T.-F. Li, C.-M. Hu, and J. Q. You, Magnon kerr effect in a strongly coupled cavity-magnon system, *Phys. Rev. B* **94**, 224410 (2016).
- [62] Y.-P. Wang, G.-Q. Zhang, D. Zhang, T.-F. Li, C.-M. Hu, and J. Q. You, Bistability of Cavity Magnon Polaritons, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 057202 (2018).
- [63] J. Zhao, Y. Liu, L. Wu, C.-K. Duan, Y.-x. Liu, and J. Du, Observation of Anti- \mathcal{PT} -symmetry Phase Transition in the Magnon-Cavity-Magnon Coupled System, *Phys. Rev. Appl.* **13**, 014053 (2020).
- [64] I. Boventer, M. Kläui, R. Macêdo, and M. Weides, Steering between level repulsion and attraction: Broad tunability of two-port driven cavity magnon-polaritons, *New J. Phys.* **21**, 125001 (2019).
- [65] C. Kong, H. Xiong, and Y. Wu, Magnon-Induced Non-reciprocity Based on the Magnon Kerr Effect, *Phys. Rev. Appl.* **12**, 034001 (2019).
- [66] G. Zhang, Y. Wang, and J. Q. You, Theory of the magnon kerr effect in cavity magnonics, *Sci. China Phys., Mech. Astron.* **62**, 987511 (2019).
- [67] S.-N. Huai, Y.-L. Liu, J. Zhang, L. Yang, and Y.-x. Liu, Enhanced sideband responses in a PT-symmetric-like cavity magnomechanical system, *Phys. Rev. A* **99**, 043803 (2019).
- [68] X. Zhang, C.-L. Zou, L. Jiang, and H. X. Tang, Cavity magnomechanics, *Sci. Adv.* **2**, e1501286 (2016).
- [69] J. Li, S.-Y. Zhu, and G. Agarwal, Magnon-Photon-Phonon Entanglement in Cavity Magnomechanics, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 203601 (2018).
- [70] J. Li and S.-Y. Zhu, Entangling two magnon modes via magnetostriuctive interaction, *New J. Phys.* **21**, 085001 (2019).
- [71] J. Li, S.-Y. Zhu, and G. Agarwal, Squeezed states of magnons and phonons in cavity magnomechanics, *Phys. Rev. A* **99**, 021801 (2019).
- [72] M. Yu, H. Shen, and J. Li, Magnetostrictively Induced Stationary Entanglement between two Microwave Fields, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 213604 (2020).
- [73] See Supplemental Material at <http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevApplied.15.024056> for (a) the

- derivation of the transmission spectra, (b) the side effect of the antenna 2, and (c) error analysis.
- [74] J. A. Sedlacek, A. Schwettmann, H. Kübler, R. Löw, T. Pfau, and J. P. Shaffer, Microwave electrometry with Rydberg atoms in a vapour cell using bright atomic resonances, *Nat. Phys.* **8**, 819 (2012).
- [75] K.-Y. Liao, H.-T. Tu, S.-Z. Yang, C.-J. Chen, X.-H. Liu, J. Liang, X.-D. Zhang, H. Yan, and S.-L. Zhu, Microwave electrometry via electromagnetically induced absorption in cold Rydberg atoms, [arXiv:2002.00855](https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.00855) (2020).
- [76] Y. Chang, T. Shi, Y.-x. Liu, C. Sun, and F. Nori, Multistability of electromagnetically induced transparency in atom-assisted optomechanical cavities, *Phys. Rev. A* **83**, 063826 (2011).
- [77] H. Suzuki, E. Brown, and R. Sterling, Nonlinear dynamics of an optomechanical system with a coherent mechanical pump: Second-order sideband generation, *Phys. Rev. A* **92**, 033823 (2015).
- [78] S.-C. Wu, L.-G. Qin, J. Jing, T.-M. Yan, J. Lu, and Z.-Y. Wang, Microwave-controlled optical double optomechanically induced transparency in a hybrid piezo-optomechanical cavity system, *Phys. Rev. A* **98**, 013807 (2018).
- [79] W. Jia, L. Wei, Y. Li, and Y.-x. Liu, Phase-dependent optical response properties in an optomechanical system by coherently driving the mechanical resonator, *Phys. Rev. A* **91**, 043843 (2015).
- [80] X.-W. Xu and Y. Li, Controllable optical output fields from an optomechanical system with mechanical driving, *Phys. Rev. A* **92**, 023855 (2015).
- [81] C. Jiang, Y. Cui, Z. Zhai, H. Yu, X. Li, and G. Chen, Phase-controlled amplification and slow light in a hybrid optomechanical system, *Opt. Express* **27**, 30473 (2019).
- [82] T.-X. Lu, Y.-F. Jiao, H.-L. Zhang, F. Saif, and H. Jing, Selective and switchable optical amplification with mechanical driven oscillators, *Phys. Rev. A* **100**, 013813 (2019).
- [83] H. Jing, Ş. K. Özdemir, Z. Geng, J. Zhang, X.-Y. Lü, B. Peng, L. Yang, and F. Nori, Optomechanically-induced transparency in parity-time-symmetric microresonators, *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 9663 (2015).
- [84] H. Wang, X. Gu, Y.-x. Liu, A. Miranowicz, and F. Nori, Optomechanical analog of two-color electromagnetically induced transparency: Photon transmission through an optomechanical device with a two-level system, *Phys. Rev. A* **90**, 023817 (2014).
- [85] Y.-x. Liu, X.-W. Xu, A. Miranowicz, and F. Nori, From blockade to transparency: Controllable photon transmission through a circuit-QED system, *Phys. Rev. A* **89**, 043818 (2014).
- [86] X. Gu, S.-N. Huai, F. Nori, and Y.-x. Liu, Polariton states in circuit QED for electromagnetically induced transparency, *Phys. Rev. A* **93**, 063827 (2016).
- [87] X. Wang, A. Miranowicz, H.-R. Li, F.-L. Li, and F. Nori, Two-color electromagnetically induced transparency via modulated coupling between a mechanical resonator and a qubit, *Phys. Rev. A* **98**, 023821 (2018).
- [88] H.-C. Sun, Y.-x. Liu, H. Ian, J. Q. You, E. Il'ichev, and F. Nori, Electromagnetically induced transparency and Autler-Townes splitting in superconducting flux quantum circuits, *Phys. Rev. A* **89**, 063822 (2014).