Evidence for genetic regulation of the human parieto-occipital 10-Hz rhythmic activity
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Abstract

Several functional and morphological brain measures are partly under genetic control. The identification of direct links between neuroimaging signals and corresponding genetic factors can reveal cellular-level mechanisms behind the measured macroscopic signals and contribute to the use of imaging signals as probes of genetic function. To uncover possible genetic determinants of the most prominent brain signal oscillation, the parieto-occipital 10-Hz alpha rhythm, we measured spontaneous brain activity with magnetoencephalography in 210 healthy siblings while the subjects were resting, with eyes closed and open. The reactivity of the alpha rhythm was quantified from the difference spectra between the two conditions. We focused on three measures: peak frequency, peak amplitude and the width of the main spectral peak. In accordance with earlier electroencephalography studies, spectral peak amplitude was highly heritable (h² > 0.75). Variance component-based analysis of 28 000 single-nucleotide polymorphism markers revealed linkage for both the width and the amplitude of the spectral peak. The strongest linkage was detected for the width of the spectral peak over the left parieto-occipital cortex on chromosome 10 (LOD = 2.814, nominal P < 0.03). This genomic region contains several functionally plausible genes, including GRID1 and ATAD1 that regulate glutamate receptor channels mediating synaptic transmission, NRG3 with functions in brain development and HRT7 involved in the serotonergic system and circadian rhythm. Our data suggest that the alpha oscillation is in part genetically regulated, and that it may be possible to identify its regulators by genetic analyses on a realistically modest number of samples.

Introduction

The constitution of individuals is under strict genetic control, readily illustrated by the morphological similarity of monozygotic twins, and such similarities extend to several functional properties regulated by polymorphic genes. Genetic-functional correlations are expected also in system-level functional measures with large variation between – but relatively constant appearance within – individuals. Measures of many neural processes are known to fulfill these criteria, and they can be quantified with brain imaging (van Beijsterveldt et al., 1996; Smit et al., 2006; Renvall et al., 2012). Such results suggest that it is feasible to search for genetic influences on neuronal processes and to attribute their genetic regulation to specific loci in the genome by combining neuroimaging and genetic mapping techniques.

The human cerebral cortex shows several intrinsic rhythms that can be characterized with non-invasive neuroimaging methods such as magnetoencephalography (MEG) and electroencephalography (EEG). The most prominent of them is the ~10-Hz ‘alpha’ rhythm recorded over the parieto-occipital cortices (Berger, 1929; Adrian & Matthews, 1934; Adrian, 1944). In humans, the cortical sources of alpha activity have been located at the bilateral visual cortices and the parieto-occipital sulcus (Salmelin & Hari, 1994; Manshanden et al., 2002). Intracortical recordings in dogs (Lopes da Silva et al., 1980) and monkeys (Bollimunta et al., 2011) have revealed...
simultaneous activity in the thalamic nuclei, suggesting involvement of both brain regions in the rhythm generation. Indeed, human patients with thalamic infarction show abnormal spectral spreading of alpha activity to a wide frequency range (Mäkelä et al., 1998).

The alpha rhythm is strongly attenuated by opening of the eyes, and it is modulated by tasks requiring visual attention (Worden et al., 2000; Jensen et al., 2002; Palva & Palva, 2007; Kelly et al., 2009; Saalmann et al., 2012; Gray et al., 2015), visual imagery (Salenius et al., 1995) and working memory also outside of the visual modality (Jensen et al., 2002; Jokisch & Jensen, 2007; Tuladhar et al., 2007; Haegens et al., 2010; Poch et al., 2014). Recent electrocortical recordings in monkeys have demonstrated that cortical alpha oscillations depend on the attentional state of the animal (Saalmann et al., 2012). In addition, alpha oscillations have been suggested to modulate the thalamo-cortical (Amzica & Lopes da Silva, 2011) and cortico-cortical information transfer (Klimesch et al., 2007; Jensen & Mazaheri, 2010), and to facilitate sensory perception (Klimesch et al., 2007). The alpha rhythm thus most likely does not reflect mere cortical idling, but seems to have important functional roles (for a review, see e.g., Lopes da Silva, 2013). The reactivity of the alpha rhythm has also been used to probe cortical functions in several neuropsychiatric diseases (for review, see e.g., Yener & Başar, 2013).

EEG studies (van Beijsterveldt et al., 1996; van Beijsterveldt & van Baal, 2002; Smit et al., 2006; Zietsch et al., 2007) have demonstrated high heritability of the alpha rhythm, but little is known about its underlying genetic determinants. Here, we adopted a sib-pair design that allowed us to estimate the heritability of 10-Hz parieto-occipital rhythmic activity and attempt genetic mapping of neural features to specific genetic loci.

Materials and methods

Subjects

Altogether 210 Finnish-speaking adults participated in the study. The subject group consisted of 91 full-sibling pairs, eight families with three siblings and one family with four siblings (mean ± SEM age 30 ± 1 years; 148 females, 62 males; 206 right-handed, three ambidextrous and one left-handed; no monozygotic twins). None of the subjects had a history of neurological, psychiatric, or hearing disorders. All participants gave their written informed consent. The study conforms with the Declaration of Helsinki, and it had a prior approval from the Ethics Committee of the Hospital District of Helsinki and Uusimaa.

MEG experiment

Spontaneous cortical activity was recorded in a magnetically shielded room while the subject was seated with the head supported against the helmet-shaped bottom of the 306-channel Vectorview™ (Elekta Oy, Helsinki, Finland) neuromagnetometer. The device contains 102 identical triple sensors, with two orthogonal planar first-order gradiometers that measure the tangential derivatives $\partial B_i / \partial x$ and $\partial B_i / \partial y$ of the magnetic field component normal to the helmet surface at the sensor location, and one magnetometer, each of them coupled to a SQUID (Superconducting QUantum Interference Device). The planar gradiometers detect the maximum signal directly above the active cortical area. Four head-position-indicator coils were attached to the scalp, and their positions were measured with a three-dimensional digitizer; the head coordinate frame was anchored to the two periauricular points and the nasion. The head position with respect to the sensor array was determined by briefly feeding current to the marker coils before the actual measurement.

Three minutes of resting-state data in both eyes-closed and eyes-open conditions were recorded. The MEG signals were band-pass filtered to 0.03–200 Hz and sampled at 600 Hz. For external artifact suppression, the signal space separation method (Taulu & Kajola, 2005) was applied, and each individual MEG measurement was transferred to the same head position using a signal space separation-based head transformation algorithm (Taulu et al., 2004), implemented in MaxFilter™ software (Elekta Oy).

The power spectra in both eyes-closed and eyes-open conditions were estimated using Welch’s method, with 4096-point Fast Fourier Transformation resulting in frequency resolution of 0.15 Hz, eight data segments overlapping by 50% and Hamming windowing. We focused on the spectral peak at ~10 Hz. The relevant measures were its maximum amplitude, the frequency at which the maximum amplitude was reached, and the spectral width (full-width at half maximum, determined based on the first frequency bin where the ascending slope reached 50% point of the maximum and the last bin where the descending slope remained above the 50% of the maximum; see Fig. 1B). Given that the sources of alpha activity are spatially distributed with typical source clusters bilaterally at the visuo-occipital cortices and at the parieto-occipital sulcus (Salmelin

Fig. 1. MEG signals. (A) Difference spectra between eyes-closed and eyes-open conditions were measured at the maximum channels over three sensor regions (marked with light gray, dark gray and white over the helmet). (B) Example responses from one subject at a representative channel in the eyes-closed (solid line) and eyes-open (dotted line) conditions. (C) Vector sum of each channel pair was used for estimating (i) the amplitude of the ~10-Hz spectral peak, (ii) the exact frequency at which the maximum amplitude was reached, and (iii) the spectral width (difference between the 50% point of the ascending and descending slopes).
& Hari, 1994; Hari & Salmelin, 1997; Mäkelä et al., 1998; Manshanden et al., 2002), three separate subsets of planar gradiometers covering the parieto-occipital cortex were selected for the analyses (left, middle, right; see Fig. 1A). In each of the three regions, at the channel pair showing the maximum ~10-Hz amplitude, the spectral peak was quantified from the difference waveform (eyes closed – eyes open) as vector sum \( \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial B_L}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial B_R}{\partial x}\right)^2} \) of the power spectra at the two gradiometer channels. Such sensor-level measurements are well replicable and in accordance with results obtained by source modeling approaches (Virtanen et al., 1998). Importantly, these measures can be obtained with minimal subjective decisions on the data. Subsequently, the measured values were submitted to statistical testing, including heritability and linkage analyses (see below).

Genotyping

Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) was extracted from blood samples with FlexiGene DNA Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and genotyped on Affymetrix 250Kstry Single-Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP) arrays (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, USA). For all samples with an initial success rate > 92.9%, genotypes were called with Affymetrix Genotyping Console software using the BRLLM algorithm. Additional quality control filtering was done using Plink version 1.07 (Purcell et al., 2007; http://pngu.mgh.harvard.edu/purcell/plink/). The exclusion criteria for SNPs were as follows: (i) genotyping success rate < 98%; (ii) minor allele frequency < 5% and (iii) deviation from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium with \( P < 0.0001 \) in two subsets of 98 unrelated samples. Individuals with genotyping success rate < 95% were excluded. The relatedness of siblings and the unrelatedness of other pairs of individuals was then checked based on levels of allele sharing. In addition, individuals with no remaining siblings were removed, resulting in a dataset of 203 individuals and 153 640 SNPs. For linkage analysis, SNPs were further pruned based on linkage disequilibrium (LD; \( r^2 > 0.1 \)) to eliminate the inflation of linkage measures. After the pruning, 203 individuals and 28 184 autosomal SNPs remained (average distance between SNPs \( \sim 0.1 \) cm). The lowest genotyping success per individual was 97.9% in the pruned and 98.1% in the non-pruned dataset, and the overall genotyping success rate in each dataset was 99.8%.

Heritability, linkage and association analyses and phenotypic correlations

Phenotype heritabilities were calculated based on all successfully phenotyped and genotyped individuals (\( n = 203 \)) using the variance component option of Merlin 1.1.2 (Abecasis et al., 2002). The genotypes were checked using the error detection and correction option of Merlin, and the likely erroneous genotypes were coded as missing. A linkage analysis (\( n = 203 \)) was conducted with the variance component option in Merlin, using the built-in LD correction feature to account for the density of SNPs (Abecasis & Wigginton, 2005) and the built-in normality correction feature (InverseNormal), because most of the phenotypes were not normally distributed and did not yield well to standard normality corrections.

The genome-wide empirical significance of the observed loga-rithm of odds (LOD) scores was determined by simulations. Datasets (\( n = 1001 \)) without any genetic linkages but with phenotypes, relatedness between individuals, and missing genotype patterns identical to the real data were simulated in Merlin. For each simulation and phenotype, the highest simulated LOD score per chromosome was recorded, resulting in an empirical distribution of LOD scores. The significance of the observed LOD scores was evaluated by counting from the distribution of simulated LODs the expected number of linkage peaks (\( n_{\text{exp}} \)) with a LOD score equal to or higher than the observed LOD score. Thus, we estimated how many times per simulation, on average, a LOD score exceeding the observed one was detected. The lower the \( n_{\text{exp}} \), the less likely it is to obtain as high a LOD score by chance, without the involvement of any genes. According to the standard guidelines by Lander & Kruglyak (1995), observed LOD scores with \( n_{\text{exp}} < 0.05 \) were declared significant and those with \( n_{\text{exp}} < 1 \) suggestive.

Because linkage scans were performed for several phenotypes, the overall significance level was corrected for multiple comparisons. First, the best simulated LOD scores per chromosome and across simulations (i.e., \( n = 22 \times 1001 \) LOD scores) were ranked for a given phenotype. Then the observed LOD scores for that phenotype were compared to the simulated ones, and the rank(s) of the observed

| Table 1. Phenotype distributions and heritabilities |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Phenotype | \( h^2 \) value | Median | Q1 | Q3 | rho | \( P \) value |
| L peak amplitude | 0.83 | 126.36 | 41.15 | 254.00 | 0.40 | 0.000030 |
| M peak amplitude | 0.87 | 143.42 | 46.30 | 289.24 | 0.42 | 0.000010 |
| R peak amplitude | 0.75 | 59.30 | 20.87 | 118.75 | 0.36 | 0.00018 |
| L peak width | 0.36 | 0.88 | 0.44 | 1.25 | 0.17 | 0.046 |
| M peak width | 0.12 | 0.73 | 0.44 | 1.32 | 0.055 | 0.30 |
| R peak width | 0.36 | 0.88 | 0.59 | 1.54 | 0.14 | 0.091 |
| L peak frequency | 0.17 | 10.03 | 9.30 | 10.55 | 0.073 | 0.24 |
| M peak frequency | 0.48 | 10.11 | 9.67 | 10.62 | 0.23 | 0.011 |
| R peak frequency | 0.44 | 9.96 | 9.38 | 10.55 | 0.23 | 0.011 |

The heritability estimates (\( h^2 \)) of the measured 10-Hz spectral peak phenotypes (from Merlin; \( n = 203 \)), their median, first quartile (Q1) and third quartile (Q3) values calculated from 100 unrelated subjects, and their Spearman correlation between siblings (rho, \( P \) value). L, left; M, middle; R, right.
nominally significant/suggestive LOD(s) were recorded. The ranks for
the k observed significant or suggestive linkage peaks were then com-
pared to the k best ranks (across phenotypes) obtained on each simula-
tion round. The probability of having observed the k significant or
suggestive LODs by chance was calculated as the proportion of the
simulation rounds where the observed LODs were exceeded, so that
the best simulated LOD exceeded the best observed LOD in rank, the
second-best simulated LOD exceeded the second-best observed LOD
in rank, etc., to the ranks of the kth simulated vs. observed LOD.

Association analysis was performed with R package GENABEL (Aul-
chenko et al., 2007), using a specific mixed linear model called
GRAMMAR-gamma (Svischeva et al., 2012). This method pro-
duces a correct distribution of the test statistics and unbiased esti-
mates of regression coefficients. It corrects for the relatedness of the
individuals by estimating a relatedness matrix from the genetic data
and using this as a random effect in the mixed model.

Phenotype correlations between siblings were calculated using
Spearman’s correlation in R version 3.0.1. Within each family, sib-
lings were randomly designated as Sibling 1 and Sibling 2 (and Sib-
ling 3 in the families represented by three individuals), and the
correlation between Sibling 1 and Sibling 2 was calculated for each
phenotype. Across 100 such random designations, the median rho
and P value of the correlation (rho > 0) were recorded. Phenotype
correlation between unrelated individuals was calculated by similar
random assignment of 100 sets of 98 pairs of unrelated individuals.
Correlations between the nine phenotypes were calculated using all
phenotyped individuals (n = 210).

Results

We first considered the within-individual replicability and inter-indi-
vidual variability in different measures of the signal patterns. For
individual participants, the peak width and peak amplitude of the
10-Hz spectral peak (eyes-closed vs. eyes-open conditions) over the
middle parieto-occipital region remained fairly consistent even
across measurements separated by 16 months, whereas the peak fre-
quencies varied (Fig. 2A). The spectral peak amplitudes were also
very similar between siblings (Fig. 2B, Table 1; Spearman’s
rho = 0.42, P < 0.001) but varied by more than tenfold between
pairs of unrelated individuals (Fig. 2C; Spearman’s rho = −0.013,
P = 0.55). At individual level, correlations between the phenotypes
from the left, middle and right parieto-occipital region were rela-
tively high for each of the three measures (Spearman’s rho 0.54–
0.62 for the spectral peak width, 0.73–0.78 for the peak frequency
and 0.83–0.93 for the peak amplitude), whereas correlations between
the three measures were generally lower (Spearman’s rho from
−0.055 to 0.12 between spectral peak width and frequency, from
−0.036 to −0.082 between peak amplitude and frequency and from
−0.33 to −0.54 between spectral peak width and amplitude).

We calculated heritability ($h^2$) values for phenotypes with large
variation between subjects (spectral peak width and amplitude;
Table 1, Fig. S1) as well as for spectral peak frequency that had
been reported heritable in previous EEG studies (van Beijsterveldt
& van Baal, 2002; Smit et al., 2006). The measured spectral peak
amplitudes showed high heritability ($h^2 > 0.75$), also in accordance

![Fig. 3. Linkage analysis for ‘spectral peak width’ phenotype. LOD scores of variance component-based linkage analysis between the three width-value pheno-
types and ~28 000 autosomal SNPs, plotted against genomic location in centimorgan units. The threshold LOD scores for significant and suggestive results
are indicated by continuous and dashed lines, respectively. The gray-and-white shading denotes the succession of even- and odd-numbered chromosomes.](image-url)
with earlier EEG results (van Beijsterveldt et al., 1996; van Beijster- 
veldt & van Baal, 2002; Smit et al., 2006; Zietsch et al., 2007), 
whereas the heritability did not exceed 0.5 for frequency or spectral 
peak width (Table 1).

We proceeded to genetic linkage analysis using 28 184 pruned 
autosomal SNPs and the variance component option of Merlin. The 
linkage analysis of the nine phenotypes revealed one nominally 
significant and 11 suggestive linkage peaks; altogether seven linkage 
peaks were seen for the spectral peak width phenotypes, four for the 
amplitudes, and one for the frequencies (Figs 3 and 4; Table 2). The 
overall probability of observing an equally significant result by 
chance in nine linkage scans was \( P = 0.046 \). The significant linkage 
was observed on chromosome 10q23.2 for the phenotype of left 
hemisphere spectral peak width. The effect seemed fairly localized 
to the left side, as no linkage at this locus was found for the middle 
or right-sided signals (Fig. 3).

On chromosome 10, the region with strongest evidence of linkage 
(defined as the area with at least suggestive linkage, i.e., 
\( LOD > 1.778 \)) spanned approximately 12.7 Mb (from 79.8 Mb to 
92.4 Mb on the hg38 map assembly; Fig. 5A). The region contained 
144 annotated loci (Table S1), including 65 protein-coding genes 
(Fig. 5B). Many of the genes in the region are expressed in the 
brain, either specifically or ubiquitously, but not all are well anno-
tated for function. Genes with suggestively interesting functions rel-

tent for this study include \( GRD1 \) (glutamate receptor, ionotropic, 
delta 1), \( ATAD1 \) (ATPase family, AAA domain-containing, member 
1), \( NRG3 \) (neuregulin-3) and \( HTR7 \) (5-hydroxytryptamine 
receptor 7).

An association analysis of the non-LD-pruned dataset (153 640 
SNPs) revealed a suggestive association with left-hemisphere spectral 
peak width close to the corresponding linkage peak on chromo-

some 10 (Fig. 5A). The region was located directly adjacent to the 
suggestive linkage peak region and contained five SNPs with nomi-


al \( P \) value smaller than 0.001; the most significantly associated 
SNP was rs529442 with \( P = 7.91 \times 10^{-05} \). The second-best associated 
SNP (rs17391134, \( P = 1.80 \times 10^{-04} \)) was situated intronically in the 
\( KCNMA1 \) gene (\( Homo sapiens \) potassium channel, calcium activated 
large conductance subfamily M alpha, member 1). However, consid-


ering the number of SNPs tested (\( n > 1600 \) within the linkage peak 
region), the observed significances do not survive correction for 
multiple testing.

Discussion

In this study, we focused on the genetic basis of the human 10-Hz 
rhythm, measured with MEG, by studying the heritability of selected 
phenotypic measures and performing a genetic linkage mapping 
study in a sib-pair setup. Our present MEG data and heritability 
analysis confirm earlier observations of high heritability for peak 
amplitude of the 10-Hz rhythm (van Beijsterveldt et al., 1996; van 
Beijsterfeldt & van Baal, 2002; Smit et al., 2006; Zietsch et al., 
2007). Furthermore, we observed significant genetic linkage for left-
hemisphere spectral peak width emerging in the genome-wide analy-

sis for one locus, and suggestive linkage for 11 loci across pheno-
types, which would be an empirically unlikely finding in 
randomized data (\( P = 0.046 \)).

The heritability estimates for alpha peak amplitudes (0.75–0.87) 
resembled closely those reported in the EEG literature (e.g., van 
Beijsterveldt & van Baal, 2002). However, the heritability estimates 
for peak frequencies were lower than reported in the earlier EEG 

studies. Part of this discrepancy may be explained by our estimates 
being based on the difference spectra between eyes-closed and eyes-

open conditions, whereas the EEG studies have typically reported 
peak frequencies while subjects have their eyes closed. Importantly, 
EEG and MEG measurements reflect partially different neuronal 
populations, and the alpha peak frequency has been demonstrated to 

to vary between EEG and MEG recordings within the same individuals 
(Srinivasan et al., 2006). This is most likely related to EEG picking
up both frontal and occipital 10-Hz activity and being sensitive to radial and tangential distributed neuronal layers, while MEG is rather restricted to tangentially oriented activity in the occipital region with very little frontal contribution to the measurements (Srinivasan et al., 2006).

The spectral width of the alpha peak probably reflects variability in the subjects' alpha frequency range during the measurement. Alpha wave bandwidth is known to differ between individuals (Niedermeyer, 2004), and its morphology has been related for example to subjects' memory performance (Klimesch et al., 2000). For migraine patients, alpha bandwidth increased during preattack period (Björk et al., 2009), and patients with thalamic infarctions showed spreading of alpha rhythm to lower frequencies (Mäkelä et al., 1998). The cellular-level mechanisms behind the variations in alpha peak width have earlier been related to desynchronization in the cortical, or thalamocortical, neural generators (Björk et al., 2009). Alpha peak frequency has been shown to increase together with cognitive load, possibly related to, e.g., engagement of different neuronal networks (Haegens et al., 2014).

Our results are in line with earlier studies in suggesting that the variability in the alpha rhythm is partly genetically regulated; in our study the finding was strongest for the spectral peak width. Obviously, we cannot exclude weak genetic effects for any of the suggestedly linked loci or loci undetected here, but focus here only on the one significant locus. The significantly linked region contains four genes with known relevance to brain function. Several other genes in the region are also expressed in the brain according to the comprehensive FANTOM5 database (FANTOM, 2014), but as their functions and relevance to brain physiology are poorly known, we here exclude them from discussion.

Glutamate receptor channels are responsible for the major part of the rapid, excitatory synaptic transmission in the brain, and they have been implicated also in synaptic plasticity (Yamazaki et al., 1992; Debanne et al., 2003). GRID1, located nearest to the linkage peak along with ATAD1, is a subunit in the glutamate receptor channels, and it is highly expressed in different parts of the brain with almost no expression in peripheral tissues (Nagase et al., 1999). GRID1 has been associated with susceptibility to schizophrenia in

### Table 2. Linkage analysis results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenotype</th>
<th>chr</th>
<th>LOD</th>
<th>( n_{exp} )</th>
<th>Best SNP Location</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L peak width</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.814</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>rs10509410</td>
<td>Intron in PAPSS2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R peak width</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2.339</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>rs11861062</td>
<td>Intergenic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M peak width</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.118</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td>rs9288662</td>
<td>Intron in SP140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L peak amplitude</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.912</td>
<td>0.373</td>
<td>rs1293703</td>
<td>3’in DRRX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M peak amplitude</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2.031</td>
<td>0.390</td>
<td>rs3699400</td>
<td>Interon in NLRP7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R peak width</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.996</td>
<td>0.414</td>
<td>rs7015661</td>
<td>Interon in FAM9IA1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M peak amplitude</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.827</td>
<td>0.661</td>
<td>rs9945030</td>
<td>Interon in RP11-47G4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L peak amplitude</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.631</td>
<td>0.738</td>
<td>rs226042</td>
<td>Interon in CRVM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R peak width</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.775</td>
<td>0.804</td>
<td>rs7333894</td>
<td>Intergenic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M peak frequency</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.980</td>
<td>0.902</td>
<td>rs11116750</td>
<td>Interon in COL241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L peak amplitude</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.849</td>
<td>0.941</td>
<td>rs10486813</td>
<td>Interon in SUGCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L peak amplitude</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.802</td>
<td>0.949</td>
<td>rs6766603</td>
<td>Interon in AQP4-AS1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Suggestive and significant linkages for the nine phenotypes, along with their chromosomal location (chr), significance based on 1001 permutations \( n_{exp} \), name of best-linked SNP and its location relative to genes. PAPSS2, 3’-phosphoadenosine 5’-phosphosulfate synthase 2; SP140, SP140 nuclear body protein; DRRX, divergent-paired related homeobox; NLRP7, NLR family, pyrin domain-containing 7; FAM9IA1, family with sequence similarity 91 member A1; RP11-47G4.2, gene RP11-47G4.2; CRVM, crystallin mu; COL241, collagen, type XXIV, alpha 1; SUGCT, succinyl-CoA,glutarate-CoA transferase; AQP4-AS1, AQP4 antisense RNA 1. L, left; M, middle; R, right parieto-occipital region.

![Linkage region on chromosome 10](image)

**Fig. 5.** The linkage region on chromosome 10. (A) Association results for left-hemisphere spectral peak width in and adjacent to the linkage peak. The SNPs tested for association are depicted as gray dots, plotted according to their genomic location on the x axis and \(-\log(P)\) value from the association test on the y axis. The linkage analysis LOD score across the region is shown as bold black curve, with horizontal lines depicting the empirical thresholds for significant linkage (solid line) and suggestive linkage (dashed line). Vertical lines mark the region of suggestive linkage. (B) The location of all known protein-coding genes in the linkage region from A, with color denoting their direction of transcription (black, from centromeric to telomeric; gray, from telomeric to centromeric). The four brain-related genes treated in Discussion are on the two bottom lines, denoted by an asterisk at the end of their name.
several independent studies (Fallin et al., 2005; Guo et al., 2007; Zhu et al., 2009), and in healthy subjects to gray matter variation in prefrontal and anterior thalamic regions (Nenadic et al., 2012). ATAD1 is involved in the regulation of cell surface expression of neuronal glutamate receptor complex proteins, specifically GRIP1 (glutamate receptor-interacting protein 1) and GLUR2 (glutamate receptor 2) with which it interacts and which bind and regulate AMPA (alpha-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazole propionate) type receptors postsynaptically (Dai et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2011). Atad1 knock-out mice have severe seizures, and deficits in short-term memory and in spatial working memory tasks (Zhang et al., 2011). Thus, either GRID1 or ATAD1 might have a specific functional effect in the regulation of brain rhythmic activity through genetic variation affecting glutamate signaling pathways.

Neuregulins, including NRG3 located in the present linkage region on chromosome 10, are a family of proteins that are expressed specifically in neurons (Zhang et al., 1997; Carton et al., 2006). NRG3 binds specifically the ERBB4 receptor tyrosine kinase and stimulates its phosphorylation (Zhang et al., 1997). Developmental NRG3 overexposure results in deficits in social behavior in adult mice (Paterson & Law, 2014), and, in humans, variations in NRG3 have been associated with several neuropsychiatric and neurocognitive disorders, such as schizophrenia (Fallin et al., 2003; Faraone et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2008; Kao et al., 2010) and autism (Balcuniene et al., 2007).

Serotonin, or 5-hydroxytryptamine, can bind to a number of distinct receptors, one of which is HTR7 in the present linkage region on chromosome 10. The serotonin receptors are G-protein coupled receptors and can use alternative downstream signaling pathways; HTR7 signals through adenyly cyclase activation. In addition to neurotransmission, HTR7 has been shown to structurally regulate brain reward pathways postnatally (Adriani et al., 2006; Leo et al., 2009), modulate hippocampal neuronal morphology (Kobe et al., 2012) and enhance neurite outgrowth (Speranza et al., 2013). Furthermore, HTR7 has been associated with frontal brain theta oscillations (Zlojutro et al., 2011), circadian rhythms and the sleep-wake cycle (Leopoldo et al., 2011; Adriani et al., 2012).

Conceivably, any of these genes, with highly specific brain expression patterns, relevant functions in synaptic signaling, and association with brain oscillations, might be candidates to control part of the variation in the 10-Hz rhythmic activity. The cellular-level mechanisms underlying the thalamo-cortico-thalamic loop of 10-Hz oscillations remain under debate, but they seem to involve the GABergic connections of the reticular nucleus (Steriade, 1999). In addition, the activation of glutamate receptors (mGluR) in the thalamus has been shown to induce rhythmic activity resembling alpha oscillations (Hughes et al., 2004), with a suggested role in blocking unwanted stimuli from reaching the cortex (Vijayan & Kopell, 2012). The role of glutameric functions in the generation of alpha oscillation is especially interesting in light of the potential genetic link to GRID1 and ATAD1 proposed by our present findings. Another plausible link in this study points to HTR7 – serotoninergic HTR7 receptors are highly abundant in the thalamus (Ruat et al., 1993), and serotoninergic hallucinogen psilocybin was recently shown to modulate cortical alpha activity in humans (Kometer et al., 2013).

Left-lateralized modulation of alpha activity has been recently described in relation to suppression of task-irrelevant information (Okazaki et al., 2014), and genetic correlates for functional differences in the brain have been reported (e.g., Daski et al., 2012). Hemispheric differences in the functionality of alpha activity would thus be in reasonable agreement with our finding that significant genetic linkage for 10-Hz spectral peak width was observed exclusively in the left hemisphere. On a more general level, our finding might point to a genetic architecture of the phenotype where the regulatory loci and/or their effect sizes differ between the hemispheres. The observed lateralized genetic linkage could thus stem from an influence of a larger number of genes on the right than left hemispheric activity, with individual genes having too small effects to be detected in this study, or it may suggest a more profound stochastic or environmental component on the right hemispheric activity.

Similarly, genetic architecture may explain the observed differences in heritability (highest for peak amplitude) vs. linkage results (significant for spectral peak width) – peak amplitude may be governed by a combination of small effects of many genes, which this study has limited power to detect, whereas the regulation of spectral peak width may be more oligogenic, and its large-effect determinant(s) therefore easier to locate. However, we also wish to point out that a part of the difference – as well as of the lateralization discussed above – may result from the limited power of this study, leading to imprecise estimates of the heritabilities and linkage strength and to suggestive rather than significant linkage signals; obviously, replication studies will be needed to determine the significance of the suggestive linkages detected here. Further increases in resolution and power could be achieved by larger sample sizes, still within practical limits. Importantly, our previous results (Renvall et al., 2012) and those reported here emphasize the applicability and promise of the present genetic mapping scheme for selected functional brain measures, even at modest sample sizes. Given that not only the alpha rhythm but also all other prominent spectral bands of the human EEG/MEG, i.e., delta, theta, beta and gamma rhythms have been demonstrated to be highly heritable (van Beijsterveldt et al., 1996; van Beijsterveldt & van Baal, 2002; Zietsch et al., 2007; van Pelt et al., 2012), studies on their genetic determinants would be of high interest for further uncovering their functional roles.

Supporting Information

Additional supporting information can be found in the online version of this article:

Fig. S1. Distributions of the phenotype measurements for spectral peak width (A), peak frequency (B) and peak amplitude (C). The distributions depict two sets of 98 unrelated individuals (Set1 and Set2) and measurements from the left (L), middle (M) and right (R) parieto-occipital region.

Table S1. List of annotated loci in the linkage peak region on chromosome 10.
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