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Abstract—The recoverable proven reserves of fossil fuel sources are projected to be exhausted by the end of this century. In response to the exhaustion of fossil resources, there is a serious need to find alternative fuel sources. Bioenergy is one of the potential candidates to counteract the fossil-fuel depletion challenge. Despite bioenergy sources appear to be renewable and net-zero GHG emitting, bioenergy undergoes competition with food, feed and other crucial applications. Since earth’s eco system has a limited capacity of land and water resources, overuse of these resources in bioenergy production could cause adverse social and environmental impacts. This paper summarizes the key sustainability issues involve in bioenergy chain, and examine the potential role of bioenergy in dealing with these sustainability issues. We found that bioenergy can be a sustainable source of energy provided that it has maintained irrationality is using of natural resources and several limits. In contrary, bioenergy would provoke further social and environmental problems if the sustainability issues are not given proper consideration.

Index Terms—Bioenergy, food, land, water.

I. INTRODUCTION

Conventional fossil fuel resources such as coal, oil and natural gas account for more than 80% of the global primary energy supply. If the current trend of consumption and energy supply pattern continue, the fossil fuel reserve will be completely exhausted by the end of this century. Fossil fuels are also making environmental degradation and causing climate change. Depletion of fossil fuel reserves and the impact of environmental pollution have led to the search for suitable alternatives for energy [1]. Biomass is one of the abundant renewable energy resources to switch fossil fuels to renewable and to mitigate emissions [2]. Since biomass is formed by fixing CO$_2$ in the atmosphere during the process of photosynthesis, it is carbon neutral in its lifecycle. Considering the renewability and carbon neutral nature, bioenergy has received a great attention. Despite the benefits of bioenergy over other energy types, bioenergy is also perceived as a less prospective and low status fuel. Wider acceptance of biomass as an environment friendly resource is still restrained by many misconceptions [3]. Sustainability issues of bioenergy have been studied by many researchers [3]-[8]. Nevertheless, there is a lack of understanding whether bioenergy is fully environment friendly or its sustainability is subject to constraints and limits. This study highlights the key sustainability issues involved in the bioenergy development chain and discuss to what extents bioenergy deals with these issues.

II. BIOMASS AND BIOENERGY

All forms of organic material originated from living species i.e. forests, plants, crops, algae, forestry, municipal waste, garbage, manure etc. are biomass. Sun’s energy when intercepted by plants and converted by the process of photosynthesis into chemical energy is fixed or stored in the form of terrestrial and aquatic vegetation. When biomass is converted into energy it is called bioenergy. Bioenergy is an inclusive term for all forms of biomass and biofuels. Modern bioenergy are produced from biomass through industrial process, and used in industries, power plants, and transport sectors [9]. The ways to transform biomass to bioenergy are usually consist of thermochemical, thermal, and biochemical conversion processes. There are three forms of bioenergy i.e. biofuels, power, and heat.

III. SUSTAINABILITY ISSUES IN BIOENERGY

The major inputs into bioenergy production are land and water resources, which are also very essential for food and feed productions [10]. Though biomass is a renewable energy resource, bioenergy faces a number of sustainability issues. Addressing of these sustainability issues are the prerequisite for the sustainable bioenergy. To conduct the sustainability assessment of bioenergy, several key factors were identified by the researchers [11]. Bioenergy will be sustainable if it has successfully gone through a comprehensive examination under all the sustainability issues in regards to social, economic, and environmental dimensions. In contrary, failure to conform to these sustainability issues would provoke further societal and environmental problems [7]. The key issues, which to be conform by the bioenergy development chain for its sustainability are presented in Table I. Against each issue, we have presented discussion to what extents the bioenergy conforms to meet these issues and what are their threshold limits.

A. Land Availability

The bioenergy potential depends to a great extent on land availability. Currently, the amount of land devoted to growing biofuels is only 0.025 Gha or 0.19% of the world’s total land area of 13.2 Gha and 0.5-1.7% of global agricultural land of 1.53 Gha. Estimates of the total global bioenergy production potential in 2050 ranged from 33 to 1,135 EJ annually [12].
Energy crops from surplus agricultural land have the potential of 988 EJ/year [15].

\[ M' = M^p \times F^{\text{surf}} \]  

(1)

where, \( M' \) is surplus biomass after all ‘6F’ applications, \( M^p \) is total biomass production.

C. Employment

Bioenergy offers creation and retention of local jobs in rural economy. For biomass power systems, extra 15 to 20 jobs for each MW are created for fuel processing and delivery. Bioenergy also caused greater occupational hazards associated with the possibilities of increased employment. Agriculture sector are accountable for 25% more injuries per man-day than all other private industries [16].

D. Life Cycle GHG Emissions

The use of bioenergy sources could reduce the GHG (greenhouse gas) emissions. One study says that sustainable use of biomass to bioenergy emits net zero CO\(_2\) to the atmosphere [17]. The lifecycle emissions for different renewable and non-renewable sources are summarized in Table II [18]. The table shows that biomass emits very little emissions comparing to non-renewable energy sources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of sources</th>
<th>Sources</th>
<th>Configuration and technology</th>
<th>Emission (gCO(_2)/kWh)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bioenergy and other renewables</td>
<td>Geothermal</td>
<td>80 MW, hot dry rock</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hydroelectric</td>
<td>300 kW-3.1 MW, run-off-river</td>
<td>10-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wind</td>
<td>1.5 MW, onshore, offshore</td>
<td>9-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biogas</td>
<td>Anaerobic digestion</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biomass</td>
<td>Biomass in various configuration</td>
<td>14-41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Solar thermal</td>
<td>80 MW, parabolic trough</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Solar PV</td>
<td>Polycrystalline silicone</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-renewables</td>
<td>Natural gas</td>
<td>Various combined cycle turbines</td>
<td>443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oil</td>
<td>Various generator and turbine types</td>
<td>778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>Various generator types with scrubbing</td>
<td>960</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Food, Feed and Other Competitions

The bioenergy will be sustainable only when the feedstock biomass come after meeting the needs for other so called “6F” applications namely food, fodder, fertilizer, fiber, feedstock, and further uses. These challenges can be overcome if feedstock are chosen from two ways—biomass that are produced in surplus land and biomass that are surplus after other applications. To estimate the surplus biomass, it is necessary to establish the present utilization pattern of biomass. Then it gives the surplus availability factor (\( F^{\text{surf}} \)) of the biomass. The surplus biomass can be determined as Eq. 1. Several studies show that energy production from surplus biomass can be sufficient to meet the energy needs [14].

In individual bases, the minimum arable land required to sustainably support one person is 0.07 ha whereas per capita available arable land in 2050 is projected as 0.17 ha (Fig. 1) [13]. Beyond arable land, 0.25 ha per capita is also available as permanent meadow and pasture land, which could be suitably upgraded to cropland (Fig. 2).

![Fig. 1. Per capita distribution of arable land: 1950-2060.](image1)

![Fig. 2. Per capita distribution of total meadow and pasture land in global scale: 2000-2050.](image2)

### TABLE I: Key Sustainability Issues Connected with Bioenergy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Social issue</th>
<th>Environmental issue</th>
<th>Economic issue</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Land availability</td>
<td>Life-cycle GHG emissions</td>
<td>The energy production price</td>
<td>[6], [11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food, feed and other competitions</td>
<td>Depletion of fresh water resource</td>
<td></td>
<td>[8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment opportunity</td>
<td>Biodiversity</td>
<td></td>
<td>[3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Soil erosion</td>
<td>Nutrient removal and losses</td>
<td>[7]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE II: Life Cycle Emissions for Different Renewable and Non-Renewable Fuels [18]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of sources</th>
<th>Sources</th>
<th>Configuration and technology</th>
<th>Emission (gCO(_2)/kWh)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bioenergy and other renewables</td>
<td>Geothermal</td>
<td>80 MW, hot dry rock</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hydroelectric</td>
<td>300 kW-3.1 MW, run-off-river</td>
<td>10-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wind</td>
<td>1.5 MW, onshore, offshore</td>
<td>9-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biogas</td>
<td>Anaerobic digestion</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biomass</td>
<td>Biomass in various configuration</td>
<td>14-41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Solar thermal</td>
<td>80 MW, parabolic trough</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Solar PV</td>
<td>Polycrystalline silicone</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-renewables</td>
<td>Natural gas</td>
<td>Various combined cycle turbines</td>
<td>443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oil</td>
<td>Various generator and turbine types</td>
<td>778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>Various generator types with scrubbing</td>
<td>960</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reconciliations of water resources among competing applications are the main tools to overcome this challenge.

F. Biodiversity (Natural Biota, Habitats and Wildlife)

Conversion of natural ecosystems into energy-crop plantations will change both the habitat and food sources of wildlife and other natural biota. Alteration of forests and wetlands will reduce many preferred habitats and mating areas of some mammals, birds and other biota. The clearance of forestland and its subsequent use for crop production cause significant environmental problems thus the forest land needs to be excluded form energy production [10].

G. Soil Erosion

Soil erosion is a gradual process of detaching and removing soil particles due to impact of water and wind. Soil erosion negatively affects the crop productivity of the soil with losses of water holding capacity, soil nutrients, soil density and soil organic matters. Eroded soils are deposited in rivers, lakes and reservoirs, and resulting in navigation and other economic losses. During the last 50 years, soil erosion has contributed to the loss of 430 Mha of cropland in the world, which account for one-third of the total arable land. Thus, soil erosion is a crucial factor to the sustainability of bioenergy production and agriculture productivity. Biomass energy production projects can exacerbate soil erosion problems. For instance, the deforestation is performed for fuel wood and several other cultivations, which is a major source of soil erosion. It has been studied that displacing annual crops with perennial biomass crops would reduce run-off, decreasing soil erosion and improving water quality [20].

H. Nutrient Removal and Losses

Significant nutrient loss will be incurred by the harvesting of crop residues for biomass energy. With the corn yield of 7840 kg/ha, the nutrients contained in both grain and residues are 224 kg N, 37 kg P, 140 kg K, and 6 kg Ca; nearly half of the nutrients are on the residues. Thus, nitrogen and other nutrients must be replaced for each subsequent crop. In contrast to high-yield food crops that pull nutrients from the soil, energy crops improve soil quality. Further, the conversion of land from intensive annual crop production to perennial herbaceous species progressively increases the soil’s organic matter content [21].

I. The Energy Production Cost

Bioenergy production costs vary widely over feedstock, conversion process, scale of production, and regions. A study summarizes bioenergy production costs from different feedstock s and configurations [11]. The production costs range from -7.5 to 16.4 US$/kWh, which give an average cost of 6.9 US$/kWh. According to this estimate, the energy production from biomass is not cost competitive with other fossil fuel technologies, which produce electricity energy with the range of 4.2–4.8 US$/kWh. However, when all the externality costs and direct and indirect subsidies are included in the comparison, the energy production costs for bioenergy become much lower than fossil based energy. The externality costs are the various indirect costs incurred due to the effects of GHG emissions, water pollution, land use, health problems, accidents etc. Sovacool [22] reported the externality costs for different fossil fuels and biomass based electricity (Table III).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Power generation fuel</th>
<th>Externality costs (US$/kWh)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>19.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil and natural gas</td>
<td>12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear power</td>
<td>11.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass power</td>
<td>6.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. EXCLUSIVE PATHWAY TO AVOID COMPETITION

Bioenergy systems that do not compete with other inevitable applications and not beyond the threshold limits of bio-capacity can be the exclusive pathways for bioenergy. Bioenergy from forest and agricultural residues, dedicated energy crops on surplus lands, and second generation biofuels are the potential pathways for bioenergy.

A. Forest and Agriculture Residues

Bioenergy based on surplus forest and agriculture residues require no additional land resources. The surplus residues are obtained after meeting the inevitable environmental and social requirements, thus it will not cause competition with other applications. Bioenergy has a big potential within the present agricultural system through the use of surplus agricultural and forest residues.

B. Biomass Cultivation on Degraded and Marginal Land

Degraded and marginal land could be rehabilitated by bioenergy plantations which also could combat desertification and other environmental concerns. Sufficient land can be available as agricultural land from grassland and marginal lands.

C. Second Generation Biofuels

Second generation biofuels, are seen as a prominent candidate for realizing efficient use of land and bio recourses [21]. Sustainability of many first-generation biofuels, which are produced primarily from food crops such as grains, sugarcane and vegetable oils have been increasingly questioned over concerns such as reported displacement of food-crops. However, second-generation biofuels produced from agricultural or forestry residues do not require cultivation of additional land.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Bioenergy has the potential to address fossil fuel depletion and environmental degradation challenges. The sustainable bioenergy supply capacity would be around 900 EJ/y, which is sufficient to provide global energy demands. The major input for bioenergy are land and water resources, thus challenges lay in competition with these natural resources. Bioenergy can also be sources for many issues such as depletion of water resources, soil erosion and loss of biodiversity, however, rational bioenergy production could successfully address these issues. Bioenergy releases almost net zero GHG emissions to the atmosphere on lifecycle basis. Bioenergy has potential to integrate with rural development and could bring other benefit such as employment facility,
rural development, improve soil quality remove fossil fuel dependence. Conversely, inappropriate bioenergy development could provoke further social and environmental damage. Bioenergy development could address fossil fuel depletion and environmental challenges or equally cause significant damages depending on the paths taken.
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