



This is an electronic reprint of the original article. This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Liao, Mengzhou; Nicolini, Paolo; Du, Luojun; Yuan, Jiahao; Wang, Shuopei; Yu, Hua; Tang, Jian; Cheng, Peng; Watanabe, Kenji; Taniguchi, Takashi; Gu, Lin; Claerbout, Victor E.P.; Silva, Andrea; Kramer, Denis; Polcar, Tomas; Yang, Rong; Shi, Dongxia; Zhang, Guangyu Ultra-low friction and edge-pinning effect in large-lattice-mismatch van der Waals heterostructures

Published in: Nature Materials

DOI: 10.1038/s41563-021-01058-4

Published: 01/01/2022

Document Version Peer-reviewed accepted author manuscript, also known as Final accepted manuscript or Post-print

Please cite the original version:

Liao, M., Nicolini, P., Du, L., Yuan, J., Wang, S., Yu, H., Tang, J., Cheng, P., Watanabe, K., Taniguchi, T., Gu, L., Claerbout, V. E. P., Silva, A., Kramer, D., Polcar, T., Yang, R., Shi, D., & Zhang, G. (2022). Ultra-low friction and edge-pinning effect in large-lattice-mismatch van der Waals heterostructures. *Nature Materials*, *21*(1), 47-53. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41563-021-01058-4

This material is protected by copyright and other intellectual property rights, and duplication or sale of all or part of any of the repository collections is not permitted, except that material may be duplicated by you for your research use or educational purposes in electronic or print form. You must obtain permission for any other use. Electronic or print copies may not be offered, whether for sale or otherwise to anyone who is not an authorised user.

1
T

Ultra-low friction and edge-pinning effect in large-latticemismatch van der Waals heterostructure

3

2

Mengzhou Liao^{1,2}, Paolo Nicolini², Luojun Du^{1,3}, Jiahao Yuan^{1,4}, Shuopei Wang^{1,9,a},
Hua Yu^{1,4}, Jiang Tang^{1,4}, Peng Cheng⁵, Kenji Watanabe⁶, Takashi Taniguchi⁶, Lin
Gu^{1,4}, Victor E. P. Claerbout², Andrea Silva⁷, Denis Kramer^{7, 8}, Tomas Polcar^{2, 7}, Rong
Yang^{1, 9,a}, Dongxia Shi^{1,4,9} and Guangyu Zhang^{1,4,9,a*}

8

9 ¹ Beijing National Laboratory for Condensed Matter Physics and Institute of Physics,

10 Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

² Department of Control Engineering, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Czech

12 Technical University in Prague, Technicka 2, 16627 Prague 6, Czech Republic

³ Department of Electronics and Nanoengineering, Aalto University, Tietotie 3, FI-

- 14 02150, Finland
- ⁴School of Physical Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing
- 16 100190, China
- ⁵ Oxford Instruments (Shanghai) Co. Limited, Shanghai 201109, China
- ⁶ National Institute for Materials Science, 1-1 Namiki, Tsukuba 305-0044, Japan
- 19 ⁷ National Centre for Advanced Tribology Study at University of Southampton,
- 20 Southampton SO171BJ, United Kingdom
- ⁸ Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Helmut Schmidt University, 22043, Hamburg,
 Germany
- ⁹ Beijing Key Laboratory for Nanomaterials and Nanodevices, Beijing 100190, China
- ^a Songshan Lake Materials Laboratory, Dongguan, Guangdong 523808, China
- 25
- ²⁶ * Corresponding author. E-mail: <u>gyzhang@iphy.ac.cn</u> (G.Z.)
- 27

28 Abstract

Two-dimensional heterostructures are excellent platforms to realize twistangle independent ultra-low friction due to their weak interlayer van der Waals interactions and natural lattice mismatch. However, for finite-size interfaces, the effect of domain edges on the friction process remains unclear. Here, we report on the superlubricity phenomenon and the edge pinning effect at MoS₂/graphite and MoS₂/h-BN van der Waals heterostructure interfaces. We find that friction coefficients of these heterostructures are below 10⁻⁶. Molecular dynamics simulations corroborate experiments highlighting the contribution of edges and interface steps to friction forces. Our experiments and simulations provide more information on the sliding mechanism of finite low-dimensional structures, which is vital to understand the friction process of laminar solid lubricants.

40

41 Introduction

Friction causes massive energy dissipation and mechanical abrasion between 42 machine component parts in the world every year^{1,2}. Understanding the mechanism of 43 the frictional processes and searching for an optimum material combination, ideally 44 providing a near frictionless state, is thus essential. The concept of superlubricity was 45 proposed by K. Shinjo and M. Hirano in the 1990s³, which describes the phenomenon 46 of vanishing friction between two contact surfaces. Superlubricity has been widely 47 found in van der Waals (vdW) materials, as their crystalline structures are kept 48 together by weak vdW forces⁴. However, superlubricity in two dimensional (2D) 49 homostructures shows a strong twist-angle dependence⁵⁻⁷. Layers prefer to rotate and 50 lock in the commensurate state when sliding occurs, leading to the disappearance of 51 superlubricity^{6,8}. VdW heterojunctions may reduce the commensuration problem 52 since the lattice mismatch between the two contact materials will come into play. 53 Micro-scale superlubricity has been uncovered in the graphene/hexagonal boron 54 nitride (h-BN) heterostructure with a significant reduction of twist-angle dependence⁹⁻ 55 ¹¹. However, the twist-angle dependence is still present in graphene/h-BN 56 heterostructure, perhaps due to the small lattice mismatch. Thus, it is crucial to 57 explore the lattice mismatch influence on the superlubricity of 2D heterostructures. 58 Furthermore, the effect of widespread domain edges and interface steps on the 59 superlubricity of the finite-size 2D interfaces may prevent superlubricity. 60

In this work, we characterized 2D heterojunction interfaces with different lattice mismatches: molybdenum disulfide (MoS_2) /graphite, MoS_2 /h-BN, and graphene/h-BN by Lateral Force Atomic Force Microscope (LF-AFM). Our results show that the coefficient of friction of the large lattice mismatch MoS_2 /graphite and MoS_2 /h-BN heterojunction interfaces is below 10^{-6,} and twist-angle dependence is suppressed. We demonstrate that the friction forces of these two heterojunctions are dominated by 67 pinned edges or substrate steps effects rather than resistance to interface sliding, from e.g. potential energy corrugation. We also measured graphene/h-BN, a heterojunction 68 with a small lattice mismatch, for comparison. Interface sliding resistance still 69 dominates the friction process. Classical molecular dynamics (MD) simulations 70 indicate that atoms near the edges of the flake play a distinctive role during the sliding 71 dynamics, presenting enhanced structural distortions with respect to the rest of the 72 flake. Given the non-reactive nature of the force fields employed in the MD 73 simulations, we also indirectly prove that dangling bonds at the edge of the domains 74 contribute mostly to the friction force observed in climbing substrate steps. 75

76

77 **Results**

78 Growth and characterization of vdW heterostructures

VdW heterostructure samples investigated in this work include MoS₂/graphite, 79 MoS₂/h-BN, and graphene/h-BN with lattice mismatches of 26.8%, 24.6%, and 1.8%, 80 respectively. Figs. 1a-c show the structures of these three heterostructures. All 81 samples were prepared by an epitaxial growth technique described in our previous 82 works¹²⁻¹⁴. (see the Methods section for more details). Figs. 1d-f show typical AFM 83 topographic images; these epitaxial interfaces are ultra-clean. The heights of 84 monolayer MoS₂ on graphite and h-BN are 0.83 nm and 0.72 nm, respectively, and 85 the height of monolayer graphene on h-BN is 0.35 nm, all in agreement with previous 86 reports^{14,15}. We used selected area electron diffraction (SAED) to characterize the 87 lattice alignment of our MoS2/graphite and MoS2/h-BN heterostructures. As 88 illustrated in Fig. S1b and 1e, the hexagonal diffraction spots of both MoS₂ and 89 graphite (h-BN) have the same orientation, indicating either 0° or 60° twisting angle 90 between the as-grown MoS₂ and graphite (h-BN) substrate. Fig. S1h shows that the 91 period of the moiré superlattice of as-grown graphene/h-BN heterostructure is ~16 92 nm, also suggesting a 0°twist angle. Raman and photoluminescence (PL) spectra in 93 94 Fig. S1c and 1f also demonstrate a high sample quality. For more information, please refer to Supplementary Note 1. 95



97 Fig. 1 Friction characterizations of 2D heterostructures. a-c Atomic structures and d-f
98 AFM images of three heterostructures: MoS₂/graphite, MoS₂/h-BN, and graphene/h-BN, respectively. g
99 Diagram of friction force measurements. h and i Two different strategies for friction force
100 characterizations of MoS₂/graphite and MoS₂/h-BN heterostructures: h by pushing from the edge of
101 domain, or i by dragging with the tip placed at the center of the domain, the sign of the force indicates
102 the sliding direction.

103

96

104 Superlubricity behavior of vdW heterostructures

105 We performed friction force measurements by AFM in dry N₂ atmosphere and under ambient conditions. Fig. 1g shows a schematic of our measurements process. 106 Based on a manipulation technique we developed previously^{11,16}, we can slide atop 107 epitaxial domains on the substrate by using an AFM tip and monitor the lateral force 108 during the sliding simultaneously. (please refer to the Methods section and 109 Supplementary Note 2 for more details). In the experiment, we explore two 110 approaches to slide on-top domains on substrates by using AFM tips. The first is 111 illustrated in Fig. 1h, where we laterally push the edge of the top domain and detect 112 the difference of lateral force before and after on-top domain sliding. The second way 113

114 is shown in Fig. 1i. For $MoS_2/graphite$ and $MoS_2/h-BN$ heterostructures, we could 115 slide the top domains back and forth laterally by engaging the tip onto the center of 116 the domain, with a load from 0.4 to 5µN, since the friction force between the tip and 117 MoS_2 is much greater than that between MoS_2 and graphite (or h-BN).

118 According to Amontons' law, the dependence of the friction force F_r on the load *L* 119 is expressed by

120

$$F_r = \mu \cdot L_s$$

where μ is the coefficient of friction (COF). By taking advantage of both approaches, we can change the applied tip load L_{tip} from zero to a few μ N (zero tip load achieved by pushing the edge). The normal force experienced by the flake can be decomposed into two contributions

125

$$L = L_0 + L_{tip},$$

with L_0 being the adhesion between MoS₂ and graphite (or h-BN) and L_{tip} the load applied to the tip. It follows that:

128

$$F_r = \mu \cdot (L_0 + L_{tip}) = \mu \cdot L_0 + \mu \cdot L_{tip} = F_{r0} + \mu \cdot L_{tip}.$$

As shown in Fig. 2**a** and **b**, under N₂, the friction forces of MoS₂/graphite and MoS₂/h-BN heterostructures are almost the same for different values of L_{tip} , which indicates constant F_{r0} and ultralow COF. As shown in Fig. 2, the coefficient of friction of both MoS₂/graphite and MoS₂/h-BN heterostructure interfaces is well below 10⁻³, which is considered the threshold for superlubricity¹⁷. To calculate the friction coefficient precisely from the slope in Fig 2**a** and **b**, a much higher resolution and noise reduction of the AFM signal would be needed.

Nevertheless, we can use an alternative approach to estimate the COF. The adhesive force between MoS₂ domains and graphite or h-BN represents the major contribution to the load L^7 . Thus, we can estimate the magnitude of the COF, μ , by using:

$$\mu = F_{r0}/L_0$$
$$L_0 = G \cdot A$$

where $G=1.131\pm0.014$ GPa is the critical adhesive pressure between graphite and MoS₂ sheets¹⁸; and A is the area of the domain. From Fig. S3**a**, the area of our largest MoS₂ domain on graphite is A = 15 µm² and the friction force is $F_{r0} = 44.15$ nN giving the adhesive force $L_0 = 16.97$ mN and the COF of the MoS₂/graphite heterostructure interfaces as $\mu_{MG} = 2.6 \times 10^{-6}$. This value is almost two orders of magnitude smaller than those reported in previous studies^{7,19,20}. For the MoS₂/h-BN heterostructure, according to Fig. S3b, the COF value is around $\mu_{MB} = 2.29 \times 10^{-6}$, similar to MoS₂/graphite.

To further explore the twist-angle dependence of superlubricity in large lattice 148 mismatch heterostructures, we performed the friction test on the MoS₂/graphite 149 heterostructure with different twist angles. Although the heterostructures can be 150 rotated to any twist angle²¹, they are only stable at large twist angles during sliding. 151 We compare the friction force between aligned structures $(0^{\circ}/60^{\circ})$ and large twist 152 angles of different MoS₂ domains. As shown in Fig.3c, the measured friction forces 153 from MoS₂/graphite heterostructures before and after twisting show no angular 154 dependence. This phenomenon is due to the fact that the in-plane interface friction 155 force is almost zero even at 0° due to incommensurability, and the influence of the 156 twist angle on the friction force has a negligible contribution to the total friction force. 157 (please refer to Supplementary Note 3 for more details). 158



161 Fig. 2 Superlubricity of MoS₂/graphite and MoS₂/h-BN heterostructure interfaces. a and b 162 Friction force as a function of the tip load of MoS₂/graphite and MoS₂/h-BN heterostructures, obtained 163 by AC200TS and AC240TS tips under N₂ gas environment. The red dashed lines are the fits, the blue 164 dashed line shows the limit of superlubricity. **c** The ratio of friction force before and after twisting of 165 the MoS₂/graphite heterostructure. $F_{0^{\circ}}$ and $F_{Twisted}$ are the friction forces of a MoS₂ domain before 166 (aligned) and after twisting. The red dashed line is the fit.

167

160

159

168 Edge pinning effect of large lattice mismatch vdW heterostructures

Although the interface COFs of MoS_2 /graphite and MoS_2 /h-BN heterostructure interfaces are small, there is still a constant friction force F_{r0} independent of load. Previous results pointed out that the friction force could be affected by many parameters, such as edges, interface steps, and contaminations²²⁻²⁴. To determine the origin of this constant friction force, we define two parameters: shear strength S and domain edge pinning strength E. Considering the finite size of our samples, S and E 175 are defined as follows:

 $S = F_r / A$ $E = F_r / P,$

176

where *A* and *P* are the area and the perimeter of domains, respectively. We can expect three situations: 1) the in-plane interface sliding resistance predominantly contributes to the friction force and S is thus constant; 2) the in-plane interface friction is negligible and the edge pinning effect dominates the friction process and E is constant; 3) if both effects contribute significantly to the friction force, then both S and E cannot be constant.

We first measured the friction force of the aligned heterostructure samples with 183 various contact areas under a dry N2 atmosphere. The results are consistent even 184 though different tips were used (Fig. S3), suggesting that our calibration method is 185 very reliable. Fig. 3a shows a plot of the shear strength (S) of the MoS₂/graphite 186 heterostructure as a function of domain area (A), clearly revealing a non-constant S. 187 In contrast, when we plot the data as E vs. P, as shown in Fig. 3b, the edge pinning 188 strength E is constant with $E = 1.99^{+0.35}_{-0.25}$ nN/µm. These results indicate that the 189 interface (in-plane) friction within MoS₂/graphite is negligible, and the edges of the 190 MoS₂ domains are pinned to the surface of graphite. MoS₂/h-BN exhibits similar 191 behavior, as shown in Fig. 3c and 3d, where $E = 1.94^{+0.80}_{-0.59}$ nN/µm being very close to 192 that of MoS₂/graphite. It is also worth noting that the thickness of MoS₂ domains has 193 194 no apparent effect on the friction force due to the negligible interface friction (please also refer to Fig. S2d). As described above, the friction force of large lattice mismatch 195 MoS₂/graphite and MoS₂/h-BN heterostructures mainly comes from the pinned edges. 196 197 Therefore, the friction coefficient of infinite interfaces should be significantly lower than 10^{-6} . 198

The behavior of the aligned graphene/h-BN heterostructure, which has a small lattice mismatch (1.8%), is different from the MoS₂/graphite and MoS₂/h-BN heterostructures. From Fig. 3e and 3f, we can see that the shear strength of the graphene/h-BN heterostructure is constant and equal to $S = 2.20 \pm 0.39$ MPa, suggesting that the in-plane interface friction is dominant. The dominance of in-plane friction in graphene/h-BN can be understood by considering its commensurate nature at small twist angles, where moiré superlattice can always be found ^{14,25,26}.



206 207 208 Fi

Fig. 3 Source of friction for three different heterostructure interfaces. a and b are plots of
friction characterization of MoS₂/graphite as a function of domain area A and perimeter P, respectively.
c and d are similar plots for MoS₂/h-BN. e and f Friction characterization of graphene/h-BN as a
function of A and P.

212

213

3 Simulation results of edge pinning effect of MoS₂/graphite heterostructure

To understand the origin of the edge pinning effect for the MoS₂/graphene 214 heterostructure, we performed a set of molecular dynamics (MD) simulations. The 215 computational setups are illustrated in Fig. 4a and b. We considered triangular MoS₂ 216 217 flakes with different side lengths ranging from 2 to 20 nm. Since no reactive force fields are available for the MoS₂/graphene system, we described the interlayer 218 interactions by means of Stillinger-Weber²⁷ and AIREBO²⁸ potentials for MoS₂ and 219 graphene, respectively. The interlayer interactions are modeled via a Lennard-Jones 220 potential, which we recently parameterized²⁹ using ab initio data. According to this 221 potential, the critical adhesive pressure between MoS_2 and graphite is G=1.20 GPa, 222 which is in good agreement with both experiments and *ab initio* calculations¹⁸. All 223 systems have been equilibrated at room temperature, after which non-equilibrium 224 simulations have been performed by applying a constant speed protocol and 225 calculating the lateral force acting on the flake. For more details, please refer to the 226 Methods section. 227

Fig. 4 summarizes the simulation results. The shear strength (S) and the edge pinning strength (E) are reported as a function of MoS_2 domain area (A) and perimeter (P), respectively. As shown in Fig. 4c, S presents a decreasing profile as A increases, 231 while E is almost constant. We also calculate the shear strength of the infinite heterostructure, S_{infinite}= 4.95 kPa, which is at least one order of magnitude smaller 232 than that of our finite heterostructures. These trends are consistent with the 233 experimental observations. To get a more in-depth insight into the underlying 234 mechanisms, we analyzed the results in terms of structural and energetical parameters. 235 Fig. 4d reports the map of the atomic root mean square displacement (RMSD) with 236 respect to the equilibrium positions for different atomic layers of MoS₂, and averaged 237 over the MD trajectory. The mean displacement of edge atoms is significantly larger 238 than for center atoms. Mo-S bond length data of the edge and center region in Fig. 239 S4a also shows that edge atoms have both a larger bond length and broader 240 distribution. Potential energy maps of different atom types within the MoS₂ layer (Fig. 241 4e) show that the edge S atoms have higher potential energy than S center atoms. 242 Edge Mo atoms also present a similar trend but not as significant as for S atoms 243 (please also refer to potential energy data in Fig.S4c and d). For the kinetic energy 244 maps in Fig.4f, there is no apparent difference between edge atoms and center atoms. 245

The MD simulations indicate that, during sliding, the edge atoms are more "active" and present more energetic distortions, absorbing and dissipating more energy than the center atoms, providing the greatest contribution to the friction force. Indeed, in Fig. S5, we observe more pronounced lattice distortions at the edges using high-resolution transmission electron microscopy, which supports the simulation results.

252



253

Fig. 4 MD simulation results of a MoS₂ flake sliding on graphite. a and b Side and top views of the MD computational setup, respectively. c Calculated shear strength as a function of MoS₂ flake area; the inset shows the calculated edge pinning strength as a function of MoS₂ flakes' perimeter. d Root mean square displacement maps of different atomic layers in the MoS₂ flake calculated with respect to the optimized geometry for a typical trajectory (flake size ~ 16 nm). e and f Per-atom average potential and kinetic energy maps of different atomic layers in the MoS₂ flake, respectively. For the potential energy, values are reported as the difference with respect to the optimized system.

261

262 Step pinning effect at MoS₂/graphite heterostructure interfaces.

We have found experimentally that substrate steps can impede the sliding of 263 MoS₂ domains even when they only have the height of a graphene monolayer (~0.4 264 265 nm). As shown in Fig. 5a, the friction force increases almost tenfold when the MoS_2 domains approach the atomic height graphite step. The interface step pinning strength 266 in Fig.5a is $\sim 100 \text{ nN/}\mu\text{m}$, which is two orders of magnitude larger than the edge 267 pinning strength mentioned above. Therefore, the friction force will rise dramatically 268 if interface steps are present, and the superlubricity phenomenon will disappear. We 269 also used MD simulations to monitor the friction force when a MoS₂ flake is pushed 270 toward a graphite step with different angles with respect to the sliding direction, as 271 272 shown in Fig. 5b and c. From Fig. 5c, we can see that, regardless of the orientation of the step, all profiles show a qualitatively similar behavior; the friction force will rise 273 274 several times when the MoS₂ layer approaches the step. However, this increase in friction force is smaller than that observed in experiments. The difference between 275

simulations and experiments can be ascribed to the fact that our model accounts only for non-bonded vdW interactions between flake and substrate (i.e., the model does not allow the formation of chemical bonds between MoS_2 and graphene atoms). Previous works show that chemical bonds on graphite steps have a strong influence on the friction force³⁰, so we attribute the step pinning effect to the interaction between the MoS_2 layer and the free chemical bonds on the graphite step.

282





Fig. 5 Effects of interface steps on friction force. a Lateral force measurements when 285 pushing one MoS₂ domain across a monolayer step of graphite. The red dashed line marks the graphite step, and the blue arrow shows the tip route. The I, II, III, IV tags the different stages of tip 286 287 movement: I, the tip is moving on graphite; II, the tip is pushing the MoS₂ domain; III, the MoS₂ 288 domain is moving across the step of graphite; IV, the MoS₂ domain locks on the step and the tip jump 289 onto the MoS_2 surface. **b** MD computational setup. The dashed line marks the graphite step and the direction normal to the sliding direction (orange arrow). c Average friction force experienced by the 290 MoS_2 flake as a function of the distance traveled. The II, III mark the same stages as **a**. 291

292

293 Conclusion

In conclusion, we demonstrated that large lattice mismatch MoS₂/graphite and 294 MoS₂/h-BN heterojunction interfaces provide ultralow coefficients of friction, $\sim 10^{-6}$, 295 without any twist angle dependence. Both experiments and molecular dynamics 296 calculations indicated that pinned edges and interface steps in MoS₂/graphite and 297 MoS₂/h-BN heterojunctions dominate the friction process, whereas small lattice 298 mismatch in graphene/h-BN results in a significant contribution to the interface 299 friction. Our results show that the large lattice mismatch of 2D heterojunctions and 300 absence of interface steps are key components to designing a near-frictionless sliding 301 pair. 302

303

304 Methods

305 Sample preparation. MoS₂ domains were grown by three-temperature-zone chemical

306 vapor deposition (CVD). S (Alfa Aesar, 99.9%, 4 g) and MoO₃ (Alfa Aesar, 99.999%, 50 mg) powders were used as sources, loaded separately in two inner tubes and placed 307 at zone-I and Zone-II, respectively. Substrates were loaded in zone-III. During the 308 growth, Ar/O₂ (gas flow rate: 75/3 sccm) was flowed as carrying gases and 309 temperatures for the S-source, MoO₃-source and wafer substrate are 115 °C, 530 °C, 310 and 930 °C, respectively. Graphite and h-BN substrates were mechanically exfoliated 311 from HOPG, Graphenium graphite (Manchester Nanomaterials), h-BN are used as 312 substrates in this experiment. 313

PECVD Growth of graphene/h-BN heterostructure. h-BN flakes were prepared by mechanical exfoliation of h-BN crystals onto 300-nm SiO₂/Si substrate by Scotch tape. Before growth, the substrate was annealed in hydrogen at 400 °C for 30 min to remove tape residues. Subsequently, the epitaxial growth was carried out by R-PECVD at a substrate temperature of ~500 °C with pure CH₄ as the carbon source, and the gas pressure and plasma power were 0.2 torr and 100 W, respectively. The growth period was about 1.5h.

Sample Characterizations. AFM measurements were performed on Asylum Research
 Cypher S with AC240TS-R3 and AC200TS-R3 tips. PL and Raman characterizations
 were performed in a Horiba Jobin Yvon LabRAM HR-Evolution Raman system. The
 laser wavelength is 532 nm. SAED was performed in a TEM (Philips CM200)
 operating at 200 kV.

Environment control and Cantilever calibration. We placed Cypher S in a specialized glove box. Under dry N₂ conditions, O₂ and H₂O were below 0.5ppm. We used standard Sader's method to calibrate the cantilever in the vertical direction and a noncontact method for the lateral direction^{31,32}. During our measurements, two types of silicon AFM tips (AC200TS-R3 and AC240TS-R3) with different mechanical properties were used. The velocity of the tips is 0.6μ m/s. For more details, please see Supplementary Note 2.

Simulation. Crystal structures for MoS_2^{33} and graphite³⁴ were retrieved from the Crystallography Open Database³⁵. The unit cell of bulk MoS_2 was transformed into a monolayer structure by removing half of the atoms in the cell and adding 20 Å of vacuum along the direction perpendicular to the basal plane in order to avoid interactions between images. The structure was replicated in the *a* and *b* directions and triangular flakes with zigzag edges (ending with sulfur atoms) and varying sides from 20 to 200 Å were cut out. The flake structures were then optimized according to

the Stillinger-Weber potential for MoS₂²⁷. A bilayer graphene structure was obtained 340 in a similar way and then optimized using the AIREBO decription²⁸. The flake 341 structures were then placed on top of the substrates within an orthogonal cell and 342 finally optimized via energy minimizations with the conjugate gradients method. The 343 interlayer interactions were modeled using a pure Lennard-Jones potential. Since the 344 parameterization available in literature²⁷ proved to be unsuitable for describing the 345 stacking interaction of MoS₂ and graphene correctly, we refined the actual parameters 346 using Density Functional Theory calculations as a reference. More details about the 347 procedure can be found elsewhere²⁹. All structures were then thermalized at 300 K for 348 50 ps using a Nosé-Hoover thermostat^{36,37}. In order to obtain results with statistical 349 significance, ten independent runs were performed for each setup by initializing the 350 atomic velocities to the target temperature with different seeds for the random number 351 generator. After this, sliding simulations of 2 ns were carried out by imposing a 352 constant speed of 1 m/s to three atoms (whose relative positions were not allowed to 353 change) within the top S layer and equidistant from the corners of the flake's. In order 354 to prevent the whole system from moving, the positions of the C atoms belonging to 355 the bottommost graphene layer were tethered to their initial positions by applying 356 357 harmonic potentials with a spring constant of 0.3 N/m. Forces acting on the externally controlled group of atoms and along the sliding direction were stored and then 358 359 averaged over the production trajectory. Final averages and standard deviations over the ten independent trajectories were then calculated and reported in the main text. 360 361 For the simulations involving the graphitic step, in order to mimic the AFM setup, the motion of the MoS₂ flake was obtained by imposing a constant speed to three atoms at 362 the center of the flake edge opposite to the graphitic step. For all MD simulations a 363 time step of 0.2 fs was employed. All calculations were carried out using the 364 LAMMPS package³⁸. 365

366

367 Acknowledgments

We are grateful to B. J. Irving for carefully reading the manuscript. G.Z. thanks the 368 369 National Science Foundation of China (NSFC, grant nos 11834017 and 61888102) and the Strategic Priority Research Program of CAS (grant no. XDB30000000) for 370 their support. M.L. thanks the ESI Fund and the OPR DE International Mobility of 371 Researchers MSCA-IF III CTU 372 at in Prague (no.

CZ.02.2.69/0.0/0.0/20 079/0017983) for their support. L.D. gratefully acknowledges 373 the financial support from the Academy of Finland (grant no. 333099). D.S. thanks 374 the support from NSFC (grant no. 61734001). K.W. and T.T. acknowledge support 375 from the Elemental Strategy Initiative conducted by the MEXT, Japan, A3 Foresight 376 by JSPS and the CREST (JPMJCR15F3), JST. M.L., P.N. and T.P. acknowledge 377 support from the project Novel Nanostructures for Engineering Applications 378 CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16 026/0008396. This work was supported by the Ministry of 379 Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic through the e-INFRA CZ 380 (ID:90140). T.P., V.E.P.C. and A.S. acknowledge support from the European Union's 381 Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement no. 721642: 382 SOLUTION. The data and materials are available from the corresponding authors 383 384 upon request. The authors acknowledge the use of the IRIDIS High Performance Computing Facility, and associated support services at the University of 385 Southampton, in the completion of this work. 386

387

388 Author contributions

G.Z. designed the research; M.L. performed the AFM measurements and data
analysis; L.D., H.Y., Z.W performed the sample growth, TEM and spectroscopic
characterizations; L.G. performed TEM; P.N., V.E.P.C and A.S performed the MD
simulations; M.L., P.N. and G.Z wrote, and all authors commented on the manuscript.

393

394 References

395

1	Holmberg, K., Andersson, P. & Erdemir, A. Global energy consumption due to friction in
	passenger cars. Tribol Int 47, 221-234, doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.triboint.2011.11.022</u>
	(2012).
2	Holmberg, K. & Erdemir, A. Influence of tribology on global energy consumption, costs and
	emissions. Friction 5, 263-284, doi:10.1007/s40544-017-0183-5 (2017).
3	Shinjo, K. & Hirano, M. Dynamics of Friction - Superlubric State. Surf Sci 283, 473-478, doi:Doi
	10.1016/0039-6028(93)91022-Н (1993).
4	Geim, A. K. & Grigorieva, I. V. Van der Waals heterostructures. Nature 499, 419-425,
	doi:10.1038/nature12385 (2013).
5	Dienwiebel, M. et al. Superlubricity of graphite. Phys Rev Lett 92, 126101,
	doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.92.126101 (2004).
6	Zheng, Q. et al. Self-retracting motion of graphite microflakes. Phys Rev Lett 100, 067205,
	doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.067205 (2008).
7	Li, H. <i>et al</i> . Superlubricity between MoS2 Monolayers. <i>Adv Mater</i> 29 , 1701474-n/a,
	doi:10.1002/adma.201701474 (2017).
8	Filippov, A. E., Dienwiebel, M., Frenken, J. W., Klafter, J. & Urbakh, M. Torque and twist
	against superlubricity. Phys Rev Lett 100, 046102, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.046102
	(2008).
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

414	9	Ribeiro-Palau, R. et al. Twistable electronics with dynamically rotatable heterostructures.
415		Science 361, 690-693, doi:10.1126/science.aat6981 (2018).
416	10	Song, Y. et al. Robust microscale superlubricity in graphite/hexagonal boron nitride layered
417		heterojunctions. Nature Materials 17, 894-899, doi:10.1038/s41563-018-0144-z (2018).
418	11	Wang, D. et al. Thermally Induced Graphene Rotation on Hexagonal Boron Nitride. Phys Rev
419		Lett 116, 126101, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.126101 (2016).
420	12	Yu, H. et al. Precisely Aligned Monolayer MoS2 Epitaxially Grown on h-BN basal Plane. Small
421		13 , 1603005-n/a, doi:10.1002/smll.201603005 (2017).
422	13	Du, L. J. et al. Modulating PL and electronic structures of MoS2/graphene heterostructures
423		via interlayer twisting angle. Applied Physics Letters 111, doi:Artn 263106
424	10.1063	3/1.5011120 (2017).
425	14	Yang, W. et al. Epitaxial growth of single-domain graphene on hexagonal boron nitride.
426		Nature Materials 12 , 792, (2013).
427	15	Radisavljevic, B., Radenovic, A., Brivio, J., Giacometti, V. & Kis, A. Single-layer MoS2
428		transistors. Nat Nanotechnol 6, 147-150, doi:10.1038/nnano.2010.279 (2011).
429	16	Liao, M. et al. Twist angle-dependent conductivities across MoS2/graphene heterojunctions.
430		Nature Communications 9, 4068, doi:10.1038/s41467-018-06555-w (2018).
431	17	Müser, M. H. in Fundamentals of Friction and Wear on the Nanoscale NanoScience and
432		Technology (eds Enrico Gnecco & Ernst Meyer) Ch. Chapter 11, 209-232 (Springer
433		International Publishing, 2015).
434	18	Li, B. et al. Probing van der Waals interactions at two-dimensional heterointerfaces. Nature
435		Nanotechnology, doi:10.1038/s41565-019-0405-2 (2019).
436	19	Martin, J. M., Donnet, C., Lemogne, T. & Epicier, T. Superlubricity of Molybdenum-Disulfide.
437		Physical Review B 48, 10583-10586, doi:DOI 10.1103/PhysRevB.48.10583 (1993).
438	20	Berman, D., Deshmukh, S. A., Sankaranarayanan, S. K., Erdemir, A. & Sumant, A. V. Friction.
439		Macroscale superlubricity enabled by graphene nanoscroll formation. Science 348, 1118-
440		1122, doi:10.1126/science.1262024 (2015).
441	21	Liao, M. et al. Twist angle-dependent conductivities across MoS 2/graphene heterojunctions.
442		Nature communications 9 , 4068 (2018).
443	22	Dietzel, D., Feldmann, M., Schwarz, U. D., Fuchs, H. & Schirmeisen, A. Scaling laws of
444		structural lubricity. Phys Rev Lett 111, 235502, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.235502 (2013).
445	23	de Wijn, A. S. (In)commensurability, scaling, and multiplicity of friction in nanocrystals and
446		application to gold nanocrystals on graphite. <i>Physical Review B</i> 86, 085429,
447		doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.86.085429 (2012).
448	24	Muser, M. H., Wenning, L. & Robbins, M. O. Simple microscopic theory of Amontons's laws
449		for static friction. <i>Phys Rev Lett</i> 86 , 1295-1298, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.1295 (2001).
450	25	Yankowitz, M. et al. Emergence of superlattice Dirac points in graphene on hexagonal boron
451		nitride. <i>Nature Physics</i> 8 , 382, doi:10.1038/nphys2272 (2012).
452	26	Wang, E. et al. Gaps induced by inversion symmetry breaking and second-generation Dirac
453		cones in graphene/hexagonal boron nitride. <i>Nature Physics</i> 12 , 1111 (2016).
454	27	Ding, Z. W., Pei, Q. X., Jiang, J. W., Huang, W. X. & Zhang, Y. W. Interfacial thermal
455		conductance in graphene/MoS2 heterostructures. Carbon 96, 888-896,
456		doi:10.1016/j.carbon.2015.10.046 (2016).
457	28	Brenner, D. W. et al. A second-generation reactive empirical bond order (REBO) potential
458		energy expression for hydrocarbons. <i>J Phys-Condens Mat</i> 14 , 783-802, (2002).
459	29	Andrea Silva, V. E. P. C., Tomas Polcar, Denis Kramer, Paolo Nicolini. Exploring the stability of
460		twisted van der Waals heterostructures. doi:arXiv:2001.05298 (2020).
461	30	Chen, Z., Khajeh, A., Martini, A. & Kim, S. H. Chemical and physical origins of friction on
462		surfaces with atomic steps. <i>Sci Adv</i> 5 , eaaw0513, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aaw0513 (2019).
463	31	Sader, J. E., Chon, J. W. M. & Mulvaney, P. Calibration of rectangular atomic force microscope
464		cantilevers. <i>Rev Sci Instrum</i> 70 , 3967-3969, doi:Doi 10.1063/1.1150021 (1999).
465	32	wagner, K., Cheng, P. & Vezenov, D. Noncontact method for calibration of lateral forces in
466	22	scanning force microscopy. <i>Langmuir</i> 27 , 4635-4644, doi:10.1021/la1046172 (2011).
467	33	Schonteid, B., Huang, J. J. & Moss, S. C. Anisotropic Mean-Square Displacements (Msd) in
468		Single-Crystals of 2h-Mos2 and 3r-Mos2. Acta Crystallogr B 39 , 404-407, doi:Doi
469	24	10.110//S0108/68183002645 (1983).
470	34	Zemann, J. Crystal structures, 2nd edition. Vol. 1 by R. W. G. Wyckoff. Acta Crystallographica

- 471 **18**, 139-139, doi:10.1107/S0365110X65000361 (1965).
- 472 35 Grazulis, S. *et al.* Crystallography Open Database (COD): an open-access collection of crystal
 473 structures and platform for world-wide collaboration. *Nucleic Acids Res* 40, D420-D427,
 474 doi:10.1093/nar/gkr900 (2012).
- 475 36 Nose, S. A Unified Formulation of the Constant Temperature Molecular-Dynamics Methods. J
 476 Chem Phys 81, 511-519, doi:Doi 10.1063/1.447334 (1984).
- 477 37 Hoover, W. G. Canonical Dynamics Equilibrium Phase-Space Distributions. *Phys Rev A* 31, 1695-1697, doi:DOI 10.1103/PhysRevA.31.1695 (1985).
- 479 38 Plimpton, S. Fast Parallel Algorithms for Short-Range Molecular-Dynamics. *J Comput Phys*480 **117**, 1-19, doi:DOI 10.1006/jcph.1995.1039 (1995).
- 481