



This is an electronic reprint of the original article. This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Wu, Chenchen; Guo, Xiangdong; Duan, Yu; Lyu, Wei; Hu, Hai; Hu, Debo; Chen, Ke; Sun, Zhipei; Gao, Teng; Yang, Xiaoxia; Dai, Qing Ultrasensitive Mid-Infrared Biosensing in Aqueous Solutions with Graphene Plasmons

Published in: Advanced Materials

DOI: 10.1002/adma.202110525

Published: 07/07/2022

Document Version Peer-reviewed accepted author manuscript, also known as Final accepted manuscript or Post-print

Please cite the original version:

Wu, C., Guo, X., Duan, Y., Lyu, W., Hu, H., Hu, D., Chen, K., Sun, Z., Gao, T., Yang, X., & Dai, Q. (2022). Ultrasensitive Mid-Infrared Biosensing in Aqueous Solutions with Graphene Plasmons. *Advanced Materials*, *34*(27), Article 2110525. https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202110525

This material is protected by copyright and other intellectual property rights, and duplication or sale of all or part of any of the repository collections is not permitted, except that material may be duplicated by you for your research use or educational purposes in electronic or print form. You must obtain permission for any other use. Electronic or print copies may not be offered, whether for sale or otherwise to anyone who is not an authorised user.

Ultrasensitive Mid-Infrared Biosensing in Aqueous 1 **Solutions with Graphene Plasmons** 2

- 3
- Chenchen Wu^{1,2}, Xiangdong Guo^{1,2}, Yu Duan¹, Wei Lyu¹, Hai Hu^{1,2}, Debo Hu^{1,2}, Ke Chen^{1,2}, Zhipei Sun³, Teng Gao¹, Xiaoxia Yang^{1,2*} and Qing Dai^{1,2*} 4
- 5
- ¹CAS Key Laboratory of Nanophotonic Materials and Devices, CAS Key Laboratory 6
- 7 of Standardization and Measurement for Nanotechnology, CAS Center for Excellence
- 8 in Nanoscience, National Center for Nanoscience and Technology, Beijing 100190,
- 9 China
- 10 ²Center of Materials Science and Optoelectronics Engineering, University of Chinese
- 11 Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China
- 12 ³Department of Electronics and Nanoengineering and QTF Centre of Excellence,
- 13 Department of Applied Physics, Aalto University, Espoo 02150, Finland.
- 14 * Corresponding authors: daiq@nanoctr.cn, yangxx@nanoctr.cn

15 Abstract

16 Identifying biomolecules in aqueous solutions by Fourier transform infrared 17 spectroscopy (FTIR) provides an in-situ and non-invasive method for exploring the 18 structure, reactions, and transport of biologically active molecules. However, the strong 19 and broad infrared (IR) absorption band of water molecules overwhelms the respective 20 vibrational fingerprints of the biomolecules. Along these lines, we exploit the tunable 21 graphene plasmons to identify ~2 nm protein molecules in physiological conditions. 22 More specifically, the ultrahigh confinement effect of graphene plasmons (confined to 23 \sim 15 nm) minimizes the environmental noise and permits the implementation of 24 nanoscale sensitivity. Most importantly, the acquired dynamic tunability eliminates the 25 impact of the water IR absorption outside the graphene plasmonic hotspots and renders 26 the selective probe of the protein vibrations at different frequencies. Therefore, the 27 deuterium effects on monolayer proteins are characterized within an aqueous solution. 28 Additionally, the proposed tunable graphene plasmon-enhanced FTIR technology 29 provides a novel platform for the in-situ identification of biologically active molecules 30 in an aqueous solution at the nanoscale.

31 **Keywords:** graphene plasmons, surface-enhanced infrared spectroscopy, biosensing, 32 aqueous solutions

33 Introduction

34 The length scale of a biomolecule is usually in a few nanometers.¹ For instance, 35 proteins that are considered the most complex nanoscale molecular machines are found 36 within cells, whereas the biomolecular corona interface composition, the host-pathogen recognition interactions, and the nanomedicine targeting effects also happen at the 37 nanoscale level.²⁻⁴ Thus, it is important to develop in-situ and nondestructive methods 38 39 with nanoscale resolutions to understand biological processes in physiological environments.⁵⁻⁷ Along these lines, Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) 40 41 serves as a label-free, non-invasive, and fast method for identifying biomolecules by detecting their individual molecular vibrational fingerprints.⁸ However, achieving 42 aqueous FTIR with nanoscale sensitivity remains a challenge since the broad and strong 43 44 infrared (IR) band of water (H₂O) always masks the vibrational fingerprints of the biomolecules, especially in the mid-IR range.^{9,10} 45

46 To implement the vibrational fingerprints masked by H_2O , deuterium oxide (D_2O) 47 is used for aqueous samples in FTIR measurements, since its IR absorption band is 48 shifted away from H₂O absorption band. However, the abnormal structure and function 49 expression of biomolecules induced by the presence of D₂O prevents the in-situ study of bioactivities.^{11,12} Another potential route is to shorten the effective IR optical path in 50 an aqueous solution in order to suppress the interference of H₂O, such as the attenuated 51 52 total reflectance (ATR).^{13,14} Nevertheless, neither solvent replacement nor ATR can enhance nanoscale sensitivity for the limited FTIR instrumental detection sensitivity. 53 54 Therefore, the surface-enhanced infrared spectroscopy (SEIRA) technique was 55 developed for in-situ probing nanoscale samples through the evanescent field of the surface plasmons that are directly associated with the inner reflection process.^{9,15-17} 56 57 Although the metal plasmon-enhanced FTIR effect has already achieved high 58 sensitivity, the detection limit is ultimately restricted to monolayer molecules by the 59 relatively poor light confinement of the metal in the mid-IR.

The extreme light confinement effect with graphene plasmon renders it attractive for SEIRA applications. Interestingly to notice that the sensitivity of graphene plasmonenhanced FTIR can be enhanced to the sub-nanometer scale, which has been previously applied on identifying molecules in the solid phase¹⁸⁻²¹ and gas phase²². Graphene plasmon has also been employed to increase the sensitivity of the aqueous FTIR via the 65 inner reflection $process^{23,24}$, but the lack of tunability, as well as the utilization of bulky 66 ATR instrumentation, have prevented it from practical use²⁵.

67 In this work, we developed a tunable graphene plasmon-enhanced FTIR 68 technology to identify nanoscale proteins in physiological conditions. This effect was 69 attained by eliminating the noise constituted by strong water absorption outside the 70 graphene IR plasmon hotspots via enforcing an in-situ background subtracting method 71 in FTIR measurements by external electrical gating. As a result, a nanoscale sensitivity 72 with ~2 nm thickness of protein molecules was demonstrated due to the highly confined 73 electromagnetic field distribution of the graphene plasmon (~15 nm for 90% mode energy confinement)¹⁸. Moreover, the reported lowest detection limit in aqueous 74 solutions (~2 nm) enabled by our approach, in turn, allows the direct monitoring of the 75 76 protein hydrogen(H)/deuterium(D) exchange process within an aqueous solution by 77 performing FTIR transmission measurements.

78 Results and Discussion

79 The tunable graphene plasmon-enhanced FTIR platform for protein80 identification

81 The proposed tunable graphene plasmonic aqueous IR (GP-aIR) biosensor is 82 schematically illustrated in Fig. 1a. As can be ascertained, it is composed of a graphene 83 plasmonic device and an IR transparent microfluidic system (more details in Methods 84 and Supplementary Fig. S1). We have to underline that the high IR transmittance is the 85 foundation for the implementation of aqueous graphene plasmon-enhanced FTIR 86 measurements. To achieve high IR transparency in aqueous FTIR measurements, a 200 87 μ m thick calcium fluoride (CaF₂) crystal was chosen as the top window, whereas an 88 atomically thin SiO₂/Si substrate supporting graphene devices as the bottom window of 89 the microfluidic chamber. More importantly, the height of the microfluidic chamber at 90 the graphene nanoribbon region (100 μ m × 200 μ m) was designed to be less than 5 μ m 91 for ensuring the steady flowing of the solution, as well as a high IR transparency for 92 the FTIR measurements. As a result, the IR absorbance of this microfluidic chamber in 93 the graphene nanoribbon region was less than 0.6 after filling with a protein solution 94 (the blue curve in Fig. 1b).

95 To excite mid-IR plasmons, the graphene on the substrate was patterned to 96 nanoribbon arrays with widths between 50 nm and 100 nm by enforcing the electron 97 beam lithography and the oxygen plasma etching techniques (details in Methods and 98 Supplementary Fig. S2). Consequently, a pair of gold electrodes with a titanium 99 adhesion layer was evaporated on graphene as the source and drain contacts, whereas 100 another electrode was evaporated outside graphene to play the role of the gate contact. 101 The sub-nanometer thin electric double layer (EDL) formed on graphene and gold 102 electrodes in the protein solution, works as a dielectric layer of the capacitor so that the 103 graphene Fermi level can be modulated by varying gate based on the parallel plate 104 capacitor theory. On top of that, a 200 nm thick PMMA film as the passivation layer 105 was coated on the source and drain electrodes for preventing the direct interaction of 106 the electrode materials with the aqueous solution and minimizing the current leakage. 107 The extinction spectra were obtained by modulating the gate voltage, i.e., $1-T_{EF}/T_0$, where T_{EF} is the transmittance measured at a specific graphene Fermi level (*EF*), 108 109 whereas T_0 is measured at the graphene charge neutral point (CNP). In addition, there 110 is no graphene plasmon excited at CNP, and we employed the T_0 measured at this 111 condition as a background signal for the FTIR measurement. Then, graphene 112 nanoribbons were also doped by external gating to excite graphene plasmons, while we 113 consequently measured the extinction spectrum as $1-T_{EF}/T_0$, which is the plasmonic 114 response of the biosensor system. Thus, the background signal outside the plasmonic 115 hotspot region was eliminated.

116 The red curve in Fig. 1b depicts a typical extinction spectrum as measured with 5 117 mg/ml protein solution after flowing for 1 hour. It is interesting to notice that two sharp dips at the wavenumbers of 1545 cm⁻¹ (marked with green line) and 1655 cm⁻¹ (marked 118 119 with purple line) on the broad graphene plasmonic resonance peak can be detected. This 120 effect is ascribed to the destructive interference of the graphene plasmon and the molecular vibrations. Therefore, the recorded dip at 1545 cm⁻¹ can be identified as the 121 amide II band, which is a typical protein molecular signature. However, the dip at 1655 122 cm⁻¹ is at a region overlapped by the OH-bending mode of the water and amide I band 123 of the protein. In order to shed light on these outcomes, we magnified the region 124 between the wavenumber from 1600 cm⁻¹ to 1700 cm⁻¹, and compared it with the 125 126 respective graphene plasmon-enhanced extinction spectrum of the normal saline (as it 127 is divulged in Fig. 1c). Without protein, the extinction spectrum of the saline solution exhibits the water O-H bending mode at around 1645 cm⁻¹ (the grey curve in Fig. 1c),
while an 8 cm⁻¹ shift to the 1655 cm⁻¹ for the protein solution can also be recorded.
Thus, we can assign the 1655 cm⁻¹ dip to the amide I band other than the water OHbased bending mode.

132 Surprisingly, the signal strength of the protein exceeds that of water in the 133 extinction spectrum, implying the existence of more protein molecules than water 134 molecules in the plasmon hotspots on the graphene nanoribbons. By carrying out 135 theoretical calculations (see Supplementary Fig. S3 and Note 1), we found that the density of the protein molecules is enriched by $\sim 3 \times 10^4$ times on the graphene 136 nanoribbons compared with that of the protein solution. This result implies the protein 137 138 adsorption on the graphene surface. It is well established from the literature that molecules are likely to be adsorbed on graphene by using several adsorption 139 mechanisms, such as physisorption^{22,26-28}, optical trapping²⁹⁻³¹, and static 140 dielectrophoretic forces³²⁻³⁴. By considering our previously reported theoretical 141 calculations, the physisorption of molecules on graphene is regarded as the driving 142 force, while the others are negligible.^{27,35} More importantly, a hydrophobic-based 143 144 graphene surface is more likely to adsorb the protein molecules with hydrophobic groups.³⁶ Furthermore, the enriched protein molecules on graphene nanoribbons are 145 146 schematically revealed on the right of Fig. 1a. We have to underline that the 147 electromagnetic field distribution is confined around the graphene nanoribbons due to 148 the manifestation of the plasmonic resonances effects (illustrated as the red chamber 149 shown in Fig. 1c). Therefore, as the protein molecules are adsorbed on the nanoribbon's 150 structures, their IR absorptions are enhanced dramatically by the graphene plasmon. 151 Thus, our tunable graphene plasmon-enhanced FTIR platform discloses superior 152 sensitivity for the identification of proteins even in normal saline.

153 Additionally, the liquid gate via EDL permits the modulation of the graphene 154 plasmons in a wide frequency range. More specifically, a typical transfer characteristic 155 curve of the GP-aIR biosensor after the physisorption-based saturation of the protein 156 molecules saturated in the aqueous solution is highlighted in Fig. 2a (blue line). The 157 graphene Fermi energy can be calculated by applying a parallel plate capacitor model. 158 The sub-nanometer thin electric double layer (EDL) is formed on both the graphene and gold electrodes in the protein solution, which operates via a liquid gate and has a 159 160 higher modulation efficiency than the back-gate with the SiO_2 dielectric layer³⁷. The 161 estimated liquid-gate capacitance of our GP-aIR biosensor in the protein solution is 162 $C_{top} \sim 385 \text{ nF/cm}^2$ (see Supplementary Note 2), whereas the corresponding Fermi energy 163 is depicted by the green curve in Fig. 2a.

164 Moreover, the extinction spectra of the GP-aIR biosensor at different Fermi levels are divulged in Fig. 2b. As can be ascertained, the plasmon resonance frequency 165 is dynamically modulated from the value of ~ 1300 cm⁻¹ to 1700 cm⁻¹ when the Fermi 166 level is adjusted from ~0.11 to 0.25 eV. More importantly, the coupling strength of the 167 168 protein vibrational mode is enhanced as the detuning of the graphene plasmons and the 169 molecular vibrational modes decrease, which is consistent with the acquired numerical 170 calculation results (the dash curves in Fig. 2b). It is interesting to notice that when the resonance frequency of the graphene plasmons is shifted from ~1200 cm⁻¹ to 1560 cm⁻¹ 171 172 ¹, the coupling strength between graphene plasmon and amide II band is enhanced, while the dip at 1545 cm⁻¹ is getting even deeper. With the further increase of the Fermi 173 level at the value of 0.25 eV, the dip at the amide II band becomes shallower, whereas 174 175 the dip at 1655 cm⁻¹gradually deepens due to the stronger coupling between the amide 176 I band and graphene plasmon. Furthermore, the coupling mechanism between graphene 177 plasmons and molecular vibrational modes can be described as a coupling process 178 between two harmonic oscillators. Although the far-field incident IR light cannot 179 efficiently drive the molecular vibration due to the significant size mismatch, the 180 stronger oscillation properties of graphene plasmon can more efficiently drive the molecular vibration.^{38,39} Therefore, it is possible to tune the graphene Fermi energy and 181 182 realize selective plasmonic response in the mid-IR in order to identify protein 183 vibrational fingerprints by enforcing different gate voltages.

184 Protein identification with ultralow detection limit

185 Here, we investigate the detection limit of the proposed GP-aIR biosensor by monitoring the adsorption process of protein on the graphene nanoribbons. When 186 187 protein solution was injected into the GP-aIR biosensor, the protein molecules were 188 gradually adsorbed on the graphene nanoribbons and finally reached a saturated state 189 in 20 min. The extinction spectra of the GP-aIR biosensor, which were measured at 190 different times during this process, are disclosed in Supplementary Fig. S4. To exhibit 191 the plasmon-enhanced molecular signals more clearly, we extracted them from the 192 plasmon resonance peaks in the as-measured extinction spectra, as demonstrated in Fig.

193 3a. At the beginning of injecting the protein solution (0 min), only one broad peak at 194 around 1645 cm⁻¹ can be recorded due to the OH-bending mode of the water. As the 195 adsorption time becomes bigger (injecting the protein solution for 7 min, 8 min, 16 min, 196 20 min), the acquired IR response of the amide II band (~1545 cm⁻¹) appears and 197 constantly enhances as indicated by a green arrow. The IR response near 1645 cm⁻¹ is 198 blue shifting to 1655 cm⁻¹, which can be identified as the amide I protein band (purple 199 arrow).

200 To further understand this process, we performed finite-element method (FEM) 201 simulations (see details in Methods and Supplementary Fig. S3). Interestingly, the 202 obtained extinction spectra are in excellent agreement with the respective experimental 203 spectra when considering the adsorbed protein layer with the following thickness values: 204 0 nm, 2 nm, 4 nm, 6 nm, and 8 nm (Fig. 3c). We have also to underline that the thickness 205 of the protein layer is also consistent with the experimentally measured values. On top 206 of that, we carried out atomic force microscopy (AFM) measurements on the graphene 207 nanoribbons at different adsorption time. The GP-aIR biosensor taken out of the protein 208 solution was washed with deionized water several times and dried in flowing nitrogen. 209 As can be observed from Fig. 3b and Supplementary Fig. S5, the height of the graphene 210 nanoribbons increases from ~ 2 nm to ~ 8 nm with increasing adsorption time, which 211 verifies that protein molecules are gradually adsorbed on the graphene nanoribbons.

212 The acquired electrical response can also support the measured results of the 213 proposed GP-aIR biosensor. The protein molecules are negatively charged in our 214 protein solution since its pH value (about 7) is larger than the isoelectric point of the bovine serum albumin (BSA) protein $(5.3)^{37}$. Thus, there are electrons transferred to 215 216 graphene film after the protein adsorption procedure. The transfer characteristics of the 217 GP-aIR biosensor were also measured at different times during the protein adsorption 218 process to calculate the change of the CNP value extracted from the transfer 219 characteristic curve (see Supplementary Fig. S5), which arises from the protein 220 adsorption. As can be ascertained from Fig. 3d, the protein adsorption shifts the Fermi 221 level of the graphene toward the Dirac point, while it changes fast at the beginning. 222 Subsequently, the speed of the change becomes slow and finally reaches saturated adsorption ($\Delta E_{\rm F} \sim 90$ meV). In order to exclude the n-doping effect of other ions in the 223 protein solution⁴⁰⁻⁴², normal saline was first injected inside until the CNP of the GP-224 225 aIR biosensor stabilizes, and then the protein solution with the same NaCl concentration as normal saline was injected. The change law and the time required for the saturated
adsorption were displayed by the electrical results, which corroborated the outcomes of
the GP-aIR biosensor.

More specifically, these results point out that the GP-aIR biosensor is sensitive to the presence of proteins in aqueous solution due to the high sensitivity of the graphene plasmons to the absorbed protein (obvious response for 2 nm protein), as well as to the adsorption of molecules on graphene. Thus, we measured a solution with different protein concentrations, and the outcomes of 100 pg/mL and 100 ng/mL solution are revealed in Supplementary Fig. S6. After flowing in the solution for 2 hours, both the amide I and amide II bands can be clearly detected by the GP-aIR biosensor.

236 Monitoring of protein molecules during a H/D exchange process

237 H/D exchange is widely used in exploring protein structures and functions for identifying and understanding the complex biological processes and developing 238 pharmaceutical drugs⁴³⁻⁴⁶. The exposure of a protein to D_2O induces amide H/D 239 240 exchange in disordered regions that lack stable hydrogen bonding. We have to underline 241 that the tightly folded elements are much more protected from the H/D exchange resulting thus in slow isotope exchange.⁴⁷ The investigation of the interaction rate and 242 243 sites of the H/D exchange between the amide hydrogens of the protein backbone and 244 its surrounding solvent reflects not only the folded state of the protein and its dynamics but also the intrinsic chemical properties of the underlying amino acid sequence.⁴⁵ 245 246 Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (NMR) is currently the most important 247 method to characterize proteins in solution by analyzing the NMR signal of the nuclei 248 of atoms in the protein, but it relies on expensive and complicated equipment and strict 249 sample preparation. A fast and non-invasive method in order to directly monitor the 250 proton exchange process in an aqueous solution is still missing.

Along these lines, we demonstrate that the tunable graphene plasmon-enhanced FTIR platform can directly monitor the H/D exchange mechanism of nanoscale protein molecules within an aqueous solution. Initially, the GP-aIR biosensor flows with a protein solution (solvent: H₂O water) for 1 hour for reaching saturated adsorption of the protein molecules on the graphene nanoribbons. Subsequently, D₂O was injected into the sensor cell for 0.5 hours in order to make sure a complete H/D exchange for the proteins. Then, H₂O and D₂O were alternately injected several times, while the 258 extinction spectra of graphene plasmon were measured (see Supplementary Fig. S7). 259 For comparison, the plasmon-enhanced protein responses were extracted and disclosed 260 in Fig. 4a. The most obvious change in the acquired spectra is that the peak corresponding to the amide II band shifts from 1545 cm⁻¹ to 1457 cm⁻¹ by replacing the 261 H₂O with D₂O, and it recovers when reinjecting H₂O. We have to underline that 262 according to the literature the 1457 cm⁻¹ is assigned to the amide II of deuterated 263 protein.48 The amide II band arises from the coupling of the N-H/D bending and C-N 264 265 stretching modes (Fig. 4b). As a result, the red shift of the amide II band provides direct 266 evidence of the H/D exchange. Additionally, during this H/D exchange process, the amide I band (C=O stretching) of protein at 1655 cm⁻¹ has a slight redshift, because 267 hydrogen bonds effects vary on secondary structure in different solvents. It is 268 269 interesting to notice that, due to the hygroscopicity of D₂O, there are also a small 270 amount of H₂O in our D₂O since the measurements were taken under atmosphere 271 conditions, as is demonstrated by the manifestation of the following bending modes in 272 the IR spectrum of D₂O: H-O-D (1457 cm⁻¹), D-O-D (1212 cm⁻¹) and H-O-H (1645 cm⁻¹) ¹) (Supplementary Fig. S7a). Therefore, the peak at 1457 cm⁻¹ may not only originate 273 274 from the shifted amide II, but also from the H-O-D.

275 Furthermore, we investigated the H/D protein exchange process on the protein 276 molecular structures in solution by employing different concentrations (17%, 34%, 277 51%, 68%, 85%) of D₂O. The extinction spectra of graphene plasmons-enhance the 278 FTIR response at each concentration are collected (Supplementary S7), while the 279 plasmonic enhanced signals are extracted and plotted in Fig. 4c. Compared with the 280 respective extinction spectrum in H₂O, the coupling strength of both the amide I band (around 1655 cm⁻¹) and the amide II band (around 1545 cm⁻¹) decreases by increasing 281 282 the D₂O concentration. On top of that, the ratio of H/D exchange is consistent with the 283 concentration of heavy water, indicating that within the nanoscale protein, the H/D 284 exchange process occurs with high efficiency and reaches a dynamic equilibrium at a 285 high concentration level of D₂O, because nanoscale proteins have a larger proportion 286 of surface structures that exposed to the environment. From this outcome, we can 287 conclude that our approach exhibits high detection sensitivity and can monitor the 288 proton exchange process of nanoscale-based proteins.

289 Discussion

290 In conclusion, we adapted graphene plasmons to identify nanoscale protein 291 fingerprints in physiological conditions by employing a tunable graphene plasmon-292 enhanced FTIR platform. The induced graphene plasmons can essentially enhance the 293 light-matter interaction and push the sensitivity down to the value of 2 nm thickness 294 which is the highest reported sensitivity of the aqueous FTIR. More specifically, the 295 protein fingerprints identification within the aqueous solution is achieved due to the 296 highly confined optical field and tunability of the graphene plasmons. Meanwhile, we 297 exhibit the dynamic and reversible H/D exchange on the protein molecular structure 298 with the assistance of the GP-aIR biosensor. Interestingly, D₂O was found to affect the 299 nanoscale proteins structures by hydrogen bonds effects on secondary structure and 300 NH/ND exchange on the amide bonds. The exciting performance of our approach paves 301 the way for the implementation of an in-situ studying bioprocess within complex 302 physiological environments with ultralow detection limit, which provides a new 303 strategy for studying the nano-bio interface and opens an inspiring outlook for both 304 nanotoxicology and nano pharmacology.

305 Methods

306 Aqueous graphene plasmonic IR biosensor

307 The proposed graphene plasmonic device is composed of connected graphene 308 nanoribbon arrays patterned on a SiO₂/Si substrate by employing electron beam 309 lithography and oxygen plasma etching techniques. Then it is well encapsulated with 310 the microfluidic system by utilizing O rings made by nitrile butadiene. The single 311 graphene layer was grown on copper foil by chemical vapor deposition method and 312 consequently was transferred to a 285 nm SiO₂/500 μ m SiO₂ substrate using the wet 313 transfer method. The graphene film is of high quality and continuous, as it was confirmed by the optical image (Supplementary Fig. S2a), the scanning electron 314 315 microscope image (Supplementary Fig. S2b), and the Raman spectra (Supplementary 316 Fig. S2c). The carrier mobility of the graphene is $\sim 900 \text{ cm}^2/(\text{V*s})$, which is extracted 317 from the transfer characteristic curve of the aGP-IR biosensor. Next, a polymethyl 318 methacrylate (950 K) film was spin-coated onto the sample. The nanoribbon arrays 319 were patterned in graphene by using electron-beam lithography (Vistec 5000+ES, 320 Germany) and then etched with oxygen plasma (SENTECH, Germany). The electrodes 321 (5 nm Ti and 50 nm Au) were patterned and evaporated using electron-beam

322 lithography combined with electron beam evaporation (OHMIKER-50B, Taiwan). 323 Moreover, the in-situ IR microfluidic system was custom-made from Zeptools Co. The 324 thickness of the microfluidic chamber at the graphene nanoribbon region (100 μ m * 325 200 μ m) was designed to be less than 10 μ m controlled by a gold spacer. In comparison, 326 the thickness of the remaining region was 200 μ m fabricated by photolithography 327 (SUSS Ma-6, Germany) and deep reactive ion etching (Oxford Plasmalab System 100 328 ICP 180, England).

329 Characterization of the graphene plasmon devices.

330 The morphologies and thicknesses of the fabricated graphene nanoribbons were 331 characterized by employing scanning electron microscopy (NOVA Nano SEM 430) 332 and atomic force microscopy (Bruker ICON2-SYS) measurements. As far as the quality 333 of the graphene and defect density of the nanoribbons are concerned, they were 334 measured by Raman spectroscopy (Horiba Jobin Yvon LabRAM HR800) with laser 335 excitation at 514 nm, laser power is 10%, and laser beam spot is $\sim 1 \mu m$. The electrical 336 properties were determined by using a source meter (Keithley 2636B). In addition, 337 FTIR transmission measurements were performed with Thermo Fisher Nicolet iN10 338 with an IR microscope (10x objective). The aperture was set as 100 μ m*200 μ m for 339 each measurement, while the resolution was 8 cm⁻¹ and scans were 128. The extinction spectra in this work were calculated with the following equation: Extinction= $1-T_{EF}/T_0$, 340 where T_{EF} is the transmittance of graphene plasmons at certain fermi levels, and T_0 is 341 342 the transmittance of the sensor at the graphene charge neutral point (CNP). The volume 343 required to fill the liquid cell and permit the steady flow was approximately 0.1 mL, 344 measured by filling with a syringe pump. The chamber was filled with a protein solution 345 for static measurements, whereas the inlet and outlets were sealed to prevent flow. During the flowing measurements, a constant flow rate of 0.0083 mL·min⁻¹ was 346 maintained. The Keithley 2636B sourcemeter was also employed in order to tune the 347 348 top gate voltage.

349 Near-Field Optical Microscopy Measurements.

Near-field imagining was conducted by using a commercially available s-SNOM (Neaspec GmbH), equipped with IR lasers (890-1700 cm⁻¹). The P-polarized IR light from the monochromatic quantum cascade lasers was focused via a parabolic mirror onto both the tip and sample at an angle of 60° to the surface normal. The probes were made initially for metalized atomic force microscope (AFM) with an apex radius of \approx 20 nm (Nanoworld).

356 Electromagnetic simulations and theory.

357 The electromagnetic simulations were conducted by using the commercial field 358 solver, COMSOL Multiphysics. The graphene optical response was described via the 359 Drude model. The employed protein solution parameters, including oscillator strength 360 to each FTIR peak, were extracted, and fit the BSA film's measured IR absorbance. The 361 simulation results were calculated by constructing a model utilizing a finite element 362 electromagnetic simulation method. The graphene nanoribbon was modeled as a 363 material with finite thickness and an equivalent relative permittivity distribution that depends on the thickness. The equal relative permittivity ε_q was derived from the 364 surface conductivity σ of the graphene, calculated by the following expression: $\varepsilon_q =$ 365 $1 + i\sigma/\varepsilon_0 \omega t_q$, where ε_0 is the permittivity of the free space, ω is the angular frequency 366 of the incident light and t_g is the graphene layer thickness. The graphene was modeled 367 368 as a thin film and treated as the transition boundary condition with a thickness of just 369 0.34 nm. At room temperature (T = 300 K), the graphene surface conductivity can be approximately calculated from the Drude model:^{49,50} 370

$$\sigma = \frac{ie^2 EF}{\pi \hbar^2 (\omega + i/\tau)}$$

372 *e* is the electron charge, \hbar is the reduced Planck constant, and *EF* is the Fermi energy 373 of graphene. The relaxation time $\tau = \mu * EF/ev_F$, where $v_F = 1 \times 10^6$ m/s is the Fermi 374 velocity, and $\mu \sim 900$ cm²/(V*s) is the carrier mobility that extracted from experimental 375 results.

The protein permittivity was retrieved from the experimental results by adjusting a
Lorentzian permittivity^{17,18}:

378
$$\varepsilon_{protein} = \varepsilon_{\infty} + \sum_{j=1}^{2} \frac{S_{k}^{2}}{\omega_{j}^{2} - \omega^{2} - i\Gamma_{j}\omega}$$

The extracted protein permittivity parameters from the experimental protein IR spectrum are: $\varepsilon_{\infty} = 2.08$, $\omega_1 = 1655$ cm⁻¹, $\omega_2 = 1545$ cm⁻¹, $S_1 = 213$ cm⁻¹, $S_2 = 124$ cm⁻¹, $\Gamma_1 = 55.6$ cm⁻¹, $\Gamma_1 = 62$ cm⁻¹. The simulation outcomes are in good agreement with the experimental absorption data. In the protein solution, the water IR absorption signal is

- 383 much stronger than protein molecules. Assuming that a monolayer protein is adsorbed
- 384 on the graphene surface and considering the field contribution of the graphene plasmons,
- a protein layer with a thickness of 8 nm and a water layer with a thickness of 50 nm
- 386 was utilized in the model for simplifying the calculation.

387 The chemicals sampling

- 388 The normal saline is 0.9% NaCl solution was prepared by dissolving NaCl (purity larger
- than 99.5%) in deionized water with a 100 mL volumetric flask. Moreover, the protein
- 390 solution was prepared by dissolving bovine serum albumin (from KEH, Biotechnology
- 391 Grade) in normal saline. D_2O was purchased from Macklin with 99.9 atom % D.

393 Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are included with the manuscript as Supplementary Information. Any other relevant data are also available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

397 Acknowledgements

398 This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China 399 (51925203, 52022025, 52102160, 51972074, 11674073, and U2032206), the Key 400 Program of the Bureau of Frontier Sciences and Education, Chinese Academy of 401 Sciences (QYZDB-SSW-SLH021), the Strategic Priority Research Program of the 402 Chinese Academy of Sciences (XDB30000000 and XDB36000000), the Key Research 403 Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (ZDBS-SSW-JSC002), Youth Innovation Promotion Association C.A.S., C.A.S. Interdisciplinary Innovation Team 404 405 (JCTD-2018-03), and Academy of Finland (314810, 333982, 336144 and 336818), the 406 Academy of Finland Flagship Programme (320167, PREIN), the European Union's 407 Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (820423, S2QUIP; 965124, 408 FEMTOCHIP), the EU H2020-MSCA-RISE-872049 (IPN-Bio), The Business Finland 409 (ALDEL), and ERC (834742).

410 Author contributions

The concept for the experiment was initially developed by Q.D. and X.Y. FTIR experiments were performed by C.W. assisted by Y.D., W.L., H.H. and K.C., and FEM simulations and theoretical analysis were performed by C.W. and X.G. assisted by X.Y., D.H. Data processing and analysis were performed by C.W. assisted by Q.D., X.Y., Z.S. and T.G. C.W. wrote the manuscript with input from Q.D., X.Y. and Z.S. All authors discussed the results at all stages and participated in the development of the manuscript.

418 Additional information

Supplementary information is available in the online version of the paper. Reprints and
permissions information is available online at www.nature.com/reprints. Publisher's
note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published
maps and institutional affiliations. Correspondence and requests for materials should

423 be addressed to Q.D. or X.Y.

424

425 Competing financial interests

426 The authors declare no competing financial interests.

427

- 429 Reference
- 4301.Dawson, K.A. & Yan, Y. Current understanding of biological identity at the
nanoscale and future prospects. *Nat. Nanotech.* **16**, 229-242 (2021).
- 432 2. Monopoli, M.P., Åberg, C., Salvati, A. & Dawson, K.A. Biomolecular coronas
 433 provide the biological identity of nanosized materials. *Nat. Nanotech.* 7, 779434 786 (2012).
- 435 3. Schöttler, S. et al. Protein adsorption is required for stealth effect of poly(ethylene glycol)- and poly(phosphoester)-coated nanocarriers. *Nat.*437 *Nanotech.* **11**, 372-377 (2016).
- 438 4. Kelly, P.M. et al. Mapping protein binding sites on the biomolecular corona of 439 nanoparticles. *Nat. Nanotech.* **10**, 472-479 (2015).
- 440 5. Gomes, B.S., Simões, B. & Mendes, P.M. The increasing dynamic, functional 441 complexity of bio-interface materials. *Nat. Rev. Chem* **2**, 0120 (2018).
- 442 6. Tenzer, S. et al. Rapid formation of plasma protein corona critically affects 443 nanoparticle pathophysiology. *Nat. Nanotech.* **8**, 772-781 (2013).
- Chen, F. et al. Complement proteins bind to nanoparticle protein corona and undergo dynamic exchange in vivo. *Nat. Nanotech.* **12**, 387-393 (2017).
- 8. Stuart, B.H. *Infrared spectroscopy: fundamentals and applications*, (John Wiley & Sons, 2004).
- 448 9. Etezadi, D., Warner, J.B.t., Lashuel, H.A. & Altug, H. Real-Time In Situ
 449 Secondary Structure Analysis of Protein Monolayer with Mid-Infrared Plasmonic
 450 Nanoantennas. *ACS Sens.* **3**, 1109-1117 (2018).
- 451 10. Pupeza, I. et al. Field-resolved infrared spectroscopy of biological systems.
 452 *Nature* 577, 52-59 (2020).
- 453 11. Yang, H. et al. Obtaining information about protein secondary structures in aqueous solution using Fourier transform IR spectroscopy. *Nat. Protoc.* 10, 382-396 (2015).
- 456 12. Makhatadze, G.I., Clore, G.M. & Gronenborn, A.M. Solvent isotope effect and
 457 protein stability. *Nature Struct. Biol.* 2, 852-855 (1995).
- 458 13. Goulden, J.D.S. & Manning, D.J. Infra-red Spectra of Aqueous Solutions by the
 459 Attenuated Total Reflectance Technique. *Nature* **203**, 403-403 (1964).
- 460 14. Lu, R. et al. High-sensitivity infrared attenuated total reflectance sensors for in
 461 situ multicomponent detection of volatile organic compounds in water. *Nat.*462 *Protoc.* 11, 377-386 (2016).
- 463 15. Adato, R. & Altug, H. In-situ ultra-sensitive infrared absorption spectroscopy of
 464 biomolecule interactions in real time with plasmonic nanoantennas. *Nat.*465 *Commun.* 4, 2154 (2013).
- 466 16. Limaj, O. et al. Infrared Plasmonic Biosensor for Real-Time and Label-Free
 467 Monitoring of Lipid Membranes. *Nano Lett.* 16, 1502-1508 (2016).
- John-Herpin, A., Tittl, A. & Altug, H. Quantifying the Limits of Detection of
 Surface-Enhanced Infrared Spectroscopy with Grating Order-Coupled Nanogap
 Antennas. *ACS Photonics* 5, 4117-4124 (2018).
- 471 18. Daniel Rodrigo, O.L., Davide Janner, Dordaneh Etezadi, F. Javier García de
 472 Abajo, Valerio Pruneri, Hatice Altug. Mid-infrared plasmonic biosensing with
 473 graphene. *Science* **349**, 165-168 (2015).
- 474 19. Lee, I.H. et al. Graphene acoustic plasmon resonator for ultrasensitive infrared
 475 spectroscopy. *Nat. Nanotech.* (2019).
- 476 20. Hu, H. et al. Far-field nanoscale infrared spectroscopy of vibrational fingerprints
 477 of molecules with graphene plasmons. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 12334 (2016).

- 478 21. Yang, X. et al. Far-Field Spectroscopy and Near-Field Optical Imaging of
 479 Coupled Plasmon-Phonon Polaritons in 2D van der Waals Heterostructures. *Adv.*480 *Mater.* 28, 2931-2938 (2016).
- 481 22. Hu, H. et al. Gas identification with graphene plasmons. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 1131 (2019).
- 483 23. Hu, Y., López-Lorente, Á.I. & Mizaikoff, B. Versatile Analytical Platform Based
 484 on Graphene-Enhanced Infrared Attenuated Total Reflection Spectroscopy.
 485 ACS Photonics 5, 2160-2167 (2018).
- 486 24. Zheng, B. et al. Graphene Plasmon-Enhanced IR Biosensing for in Situ
 487 Detection of Aqueous-Phase Molecules with an Attenuated Total Reflection
 488 Mode. *Anal. Chem.* **90**, 10786-10794 (2018).
- 489 25. Oh, S.-H. et al. Nanophotonic biosensors harnessing van der Waals materials.
 490 *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 3824 (2021).
- 491 26. Leenaerts, O., Partoens, B. & Peeters, F.M. Adsorption ofH2O,NH3, CO,NO2, and NO on graphene: A first-principles study. *Phys. Rev. B* 77(2008).
- 493 27. Khaliji, K. et al. Plasmonic Gas Sensing with Graphene Nanoribbons. *Phys. Rev.*494 *Appl.* 13, 011002 (2020).
- 495 28. Kulkarni, G.S., Reddy, K., Zhong, Z. & Fan, X. Graphene nanoelectronic
 496 heterodyne sensor for rapid and sensitive vapour detection. *Nat. Commun.* 5,
 497 4376 (2014).
- 498 29. Garoli, D., Yamazaki, H., Maccaferri, N. & Wanunu, M. Plasmonic Nanopores
 499 for Single-Molecule Detection and Manipulation: Toward Sequencing
 500 Applications. *Nano Lett.* **19**, 7553-7562 (2019).
- Juan, M.L., Righini, M. & Quidant, R. Plasmon nano-optical tweezers. *Nat. Photon.* 5, 349-356 (2011).
- Maragò, O.M. et al. Optical trapping and manipulation of nanostructures. *Nat. Nanotech.* 8, 807-819 (2013).
- 505 32. Barik, A. et al. Graphene-edge dielectrophoretic tweezers for trapping of biomolecules. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 1867 (2017).
- 507 33. Freedman, K.J. et al. On-Demand Surface- and Tip-Enhanced Raman
 508 Spectroscopy Using Dielectrophoretic Trapping and Nanopore Sensing. ACS
 509 Photonics 3, 1036-1044 (2016).
- 510 34. Freedman, K.J. et al. Nanopore sensing at ultra-low concentrations using 511 single-molecule dielectrophoretic trapping. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 10217 (2016).
- 512 35. Hu, H. et al. Gas identification with graphene plasmons. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 1131 (2019).
- 51436.Russo, C.J. & Passmore, L.A. Controlling protein adsorption on graphene for515cryo-EM using low-energy hydrogen plasmas. *Nat. Methods* **11**, 649-652516(2014).
- 517 37. Ohno, Y., Maehashi, K., Yamashiro, Y. & Matsumoto, K. Electrolyte-Gated
 518 Graphene Field-Effect Transistors for Detecting pH and Protein Adsorption.
 519 Nano Lett. 9, 3318-3322 (2009).
- 520 38. Yang, X. et al. Nanomaterial-Based Plasmon-Enhanced Infrared Spectroscopy.
 521 Adv. Mater. **30**, e1704896 (2018).
- 39. Neubrech, F. et al. Surface-Enhanced Infrared Spectroscopy Using Resonant
 Nanoantennas. *Chem Rev* **117**, 5110-5145 (2017).
- 524 40. Li, S. et al. Large transport gap modulation in graphene via electric-field-525 controlled reversible hydrogenation. *Nat. Electron.* **4**, 254-260 (2021).
- Ahmadian Yazdi, A., Xu, J. & Berry, V. Phononics of Graphene Interfaced with
 Flowing Ionic Fluid: An Avenue for High Spatial Resolution Flow Sensor
 Applications. *ACS Nano* **15**, 6998-7005 (2021).

- 529 42. Jia, X. et al. Kinetic Ionic Permeation and Interfacial Doping of Supported 530 Graphene. *Nano Lett.* **19**, 9029-9036 (2019).
- 531 43. Engen, J.R. et al. Developments in Hydrogen/Deuterium Exchange Mass
 532 Spectrometry. *Anal. Chem.* **93**, 567-582 (2021).
- Atzrodt, J., Derdau, V., Kerr, W.J. & Reid, M. Deuterium- and Tritium-Labelled
 Compounds: Applications in the Life Sciences. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 57, 17581784 (2018).
- 536 45. Masson, G.R. et al. Recommendations for performing, interpreting and
 537 reporting hydrogen deuterium exchange mass spectrometry (HDX-MS)
 538 experiments. *Nat. Methods* 16, 595-602 (2019).
- 539 46. Loh Yong, Y. et al. Photoredox-catalyzed deuteration and tritiation of pharmaceutical compounds. *Science* **358**, 1182-1187 (2017).
- 541 47. Konermann, L., Pan, J. & Liu, Y.-H. Hydrogen exchange mass spectrometry for
 542 studying protein structure and dynamics. *Chem. Soc. Rev* 40, 1224-1234
 543 (2011).
- 48. Weiss, J.J. Nature of the Iron–Oxygen Bond in Oxyhæmoglobin. *Nature* 202, 83-84 (1964).
- 54649.Ordal, M.A. et al. Optical properties of the metals Al, Co, Cu, Au, Fe, Pb, Ni, Pd,547Pt, Ag, Ti, and W in the infrared and far infrared. *Appl. Opt.* 22, 1099-1119548(1983).
- 549 50. Rodrigo, D. et al. Double-layer graphene for enhanced tunable infrared 550 plasmonics. *Light Sci. Appl.* **6**, e16277 (2017).







554 Figure 1. The tunable graphene plasmon-enhanced FTIR platform. (a) Schematic 555 view of the tunable graphene plasmon-enhanced FTIR platform. (b) Transmission spectrum of protein solution (5 mg/mL) in the sensor cell with/without graphene 556 557 plasmon enhancement. (c) The magnified mid-IR region (1600-1700 cm⁻¹) for graphene plasmon-enhanced FTIR before and after protein adsorption in the aqueous 558 environment, $E_{\rm F}$ =-0.3 eV, graphene nanoribbon width is ~60 nm, the period is ~120 559 560 nm; Insert: The near-field optical image of p doped graphene nanoribbon at an IR 561 wavelength of λ =10.526 µm (scale bar is 200 nm).





Figure 2: Tunable graphene plasmons via the effective gate voltage. (a) The transfer 565 characteristic curve and the respective Fermi energy of the graphene plasmonic device 566 567 after 1 mg/mL protein solution flows 2h, the graphene nanoribbon width is ~50 nm, and 568 the period is ~100 nm. (b) The solid curves are experiment results of graphene plasmon 569 response at different gate voltages, after 1 mg/mL protein solution flows 2h; The dashed 570 curves are simulation results of graphene nanoribbon plasmon response are at different 571 Fermi energies. The protein layer thickness is 8 nm, water thickness is 50 nm, graphene 572 carrier mobility is set as $900 \text{ cm}^2/(\text{V*s})$.





575 Figure 3: Protein adsorption and Graphene plasmons enhancement. (a) Plasmon-576 enhanced protein IR responses (AExtinction) at different adsorption times (0 min, 7 min, 577 8 min, 16 min, 20 min, graphene nanoribbon width is \sim 70 nm, the period is \sim 140 nm, 578 and protein solution concentration is 5 mg/mL. (b) Morphologies and respective AFM 579 height data of graphene nanoribbon before and after protein adsorption, scale bar is 200 580 nm. (c) Simulation results of graphene plasmon-enhanced protein IR spectrum with 581 different adsorption thickness (0 nm, 2 nm, 4 nm, 6 nm, 8 nm), graphene nanoribbon 582 width is 70 nm, the period is 140 nm, water thickness is 50 nm, graphene carrier 583 mobility and Fermi energy are set as 900 $\text{cm}^2/(\text{V*s})$ and -0.25 eV separately. (d) The 584 CNP changes of the GP-aIR biosensor during protein adsorption in the physiological 585 environment, extracted from Supplementary Fig. S2d.





588

589 Figure 4: H/D exchange processes monitored by the graphene plasmonic sensor. 590 (a) In-situ and real-time protein identification in H₂O and heavy water, the protein concentration is 1 mg/mL, graphene nanoribbon width is 60 nm, the period is 120 nm, 591 592 $E_{\rm F}$ =-0.23 eV. The plasmon-enhanced protein responses (Δ Extinction) are extracted 593 from Supplementary Fig. 7c. (b) The coupled peak area of protein and D₂O vibrational modes extracted from graphene plasmon-enhanced FTIR at 594 different D₂O concentrations in Supplementary Fig. S7d. (c) Illustration of D₂O/H₂O induced 595 596 molecular structure change and hydrogen bond interaction.

598