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Abstract—This paper deals with current-regulated V/Hz control for induction motors. The analyzed control method incorporates an outer voltage control loop and an inner current control loop. Consequently, the stator current can be limited and unnecessary overcurrent trips avoided. Stability of the control system is analyzed by means of small-signal linearization. It is shown that the current-regulated V/Hz control has similar regions of instability as conventional V/Hz control. Static output feedback can be used to increase the stable operating region and improve system damping.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In high-performance induction motor drive applications, field-oriented control schemes are the typical choice. For less demanding applications, the V/Hz-controlled drive remains a popular alternative, despite its inferior dynamic performance and reference-tracking capabilities. In many applications, however, the simplicity and ease of commissioning of the V/Hz control outweigh its drawbacks.

Due to its open-loop nature, the V/Hz control calls for the inclusion of two operating-point correcting measures, compensation for the stator resistance voltage drop and compensation for slip [1]. The former ensures the adequate production of flux, especially at low speeds, while the latter corrects the speed deviation originating from the load-dependent slip. Compensation strategies for the voltage drop in stator resistance include boosting the stator voltage at low speeds [2] and calculating the voltage drop dynamically from the stator resistance estimate and measured stator current [3]. The slip can be compensated for by altering the speed reference with a slip estimation based on either name plate data [3] or flux estimator [4].

In addition to these well-known operating point altering issues, the induction motor in open-loop control exhibits operating regions of poor dampening and small-signal instability [5], which arise from the nonlinear coupling between the electrical and mechanical subsystems [4]. These regions of instability are found in the mid-speed region under low and medium loads and in the low-speed region under heavy loads [4].

For the unstable mid-speed region, static output feedback from the stator current is a common remedy, for which several numerical tuning approaches are found in the literature [6], [7]. Recently in [4], a more analytical, passivity-based approach is taken to mitigate the small-signal instability in the middle-speed region. The unstable low-speed region under heavy loads is of different nature and likely not stabilizable by means of V/Hz control and static feedback compensators [4].

Moreover, the limitation of stator current is troublesome in V/Hz control. While many modern V/Hz control methods utilize stator current measurement in their control algorithms, the current is not directly regulated. This severely complicates the performance of V/Hz control schemes in overload conditions as the safe operation of the inverter requires that the stator current is kept within its limits. Means to arrest a stator current surge in overload conditions are necessary overcurrent trips avoided. Stability of the control loop. Consequently, the stator current can be limited and an overcurrent trip may still occur.

In the method presented in [10], a current controller is applied to V/Hz control. In this control scheme, two control loops, an inner current control loop and an outer voltage control loop, are utilized to emulate the dynamics of the open-loop V/Hz-controlled induction motor drive. The presented method retains the benefits of V/Hz control desired in medium-performance applications, while the added current control loop improves operation during overload events.

In this paper, the current-regulated V/Hz control scheme presented in [10] is further studied by means of stability analysis, simulations, and experiments. The results are compared to open-loop V/Hz methods. It is shown that the current-controlled approach suffers from similar instability issues as open-loop V/Hz methods, with the unstable regions being comparable in size if not larger, depending on the tuning of the voltage controller. Furthermore, improvements and tuning guidelines for the current-regulated V/Hz control are proposed.
and the mechanical subsystem is modeled as

\[
\begin{aligned}
\tau_m &= \frac{\mathrm{d}i_s}{\mathrm{d}t}^T \mathbf{J} \psi_R \\
J_m \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega_m}{\mathrm{d}t} &= \tau_m - \tau_L
\end{aligned}
\]

where \(J_m\) is the total moment of inertia and \(\tau_L\) is the load torque.

III. Control System

Fig. 2 displays the control system, consisting of two loops. The inner loop regulates the stator current, while the outer loop controls the stator voltage.

The stabilization method presented for V/Hz control in [4] is adopted here for the current-regulated V/Hz control approach. The stabilizing feedback requires the operating-point stator current, which can be acquired by means of low-pass filtering the stator-current reference (the output of the voltage controller). The low-pass filter is governed by

\[
\frac{\mathrm{d}i_{s,\text{lpf}}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \alpha_f (i_{s,\text{ref}} - i_{s,\text{lpf}})
\]

where \(\alpha_f\) is the filter bandwidth. Alternatively, the measured stator current could be used to calculate the operating-point stator current.

\[\text{Fig. 1. Equivalent circuit of the induction motor in stator coordinates.}\]

\[\text{Fig. 2. Block diagram of the voltage-controlled current-regulated V/Hz control method.}\]

A. Voltage Controller and Stator Frequency

The reference for the voltage controller resembles that of the V/Hz-controlled induction motor and is given by

\[
u_{s,\text{ref}} = R_s i_{s,\text{lpf}} + \omega_m \mathbf{J} \psi_{s,\text{ref}} + K(i_{s,\text{lpf}} - i_{s,\text{ref}})
\]

where the first term is the RI compensation, \(\psi_{s,\text{ref}} = [\psi_{s,\text{ref}}, 0]^T\) is a constant stator flux reference, \(\omega_k\) is the internal stator frequency reference and the last term is a stabilizing feedback from high-pass-filtered stator current reference, where \(K\) is a \(2 \times 2\) gain matrix. It should be noted, that the proportional part of the voltage controller originally in [10] is omitted here, as it would result in an algebraic loop in continuous time design.

The output of the voltage controller is the integral state \(\hat{i}_{s,\text{ref}}\) governed by

\[
\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{i}_{s,\text{ref}}}{\mathrm{d}t} = k_v (u'_{s,\text{ref}} - u_{s,\text{ref}})
\]

where \(k_v\) is the integral gain of the voltage controller and \(u_{s,\text{ref}}\) is the output of the current controller.

The internal stator frequency is given by the voltage controller block as

\[
\omega_k = \omega_{m,\text{ref}} + \hat{\omega}_l + k^T(i_{s,\text{lpf}} - i_{s,\text{ref}})
\]

where \(\omega_{m,\text{ref}}\) is the rate-limited reference frequency given by the user, \(\hat{\omega}_l\) is the approximate slip frequency and the last term is a static stabilizing feedback from the stator current reference, where \(k\) is a \(2 \times 2\) gain matrix. Orientation of the control coordinate system follows the stator flux reference angle calculated by integrating the stator frequency reference in (7).

The two gain matrices \(K\) and \(k\) function as injection points for altering the dynamics of the system. The gain matrices are selected according to the passivity-based gain design presented in [4], i.e.

\[
\begin{aligned}
K &= -R_s I + k L_\sigma (\alpha I + \omega_m \mathbf{J}) \\
k &= \frac{k_u R_s \psi_{R0}}{\psi_{F0}}
\end{aligned}
\]
where \( k \) and \( k_\omega \) are a positive design parameters and \( \psi_{R0} \) is the operating point rotor flux \( \psi_{R0} = \psi_{s,ref} - L_\sigma i_{s,lpf} \). For the slip compensation, the slip frequency can be estimated as

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega_s &= \frac{R_R \psi_{s,ref} i_{s0}}{\psi_{R0}^2} \\
\end{align*}
\]  

(9)

It should be noted that compared to V/Hz control, the current-regulated V/Hz control method introduces several additional possible static output feedback injection points. In this paper, only stator voltage and frequency were used as injection points.

B. Current Controller

The PI-type current controller regulates the stator current to the reference given by the voltage controller. The output of the current controller is

\[
\begin{align*}
u_{s,ref} &= k_p e + \omega_{i} - r_\omega i_s \\
\end{align*}
\]  

(10)

where \( e = i_{s,ref} - i_s \). The integral state \( \omega_i \) is governed by

\[
\frac{d\omega_i}{dt} = k_i (i_{s,ref} - i_s) \\
\]  

(11)

and the control gains are selected according to [12] as

\[
\begin{align*}
r_\omega &= \alpha_\omega L_\sigma - R_s \\
k_p &= \alpha_\omega L_\sigma \\
k_i &= \alpha_\omega^2 L_\sigma \\
\end{align*}
\]  

(12)

where \( \alpha_\omega \) is the desired current control bandwidth.

IV. ANALYSIS

A. Steady-state Operating Point

Stability of the nonlinear model in (1) can be analyzed by means of linearization. Here, operating point values are denoted with subscript 0. As a first step, the steady-state operating point is solved by substituting \( d/dt = 0 \). Three scalar quantities given as inputs are sufficient to uniquely define the operating point of the induction motor [4]. Here, the stator-flux magnitude \( \psi_{s0} \), stator frequency \( \omega_{s0} \), and the angular slip frequency \( \omega_{b0} \) are chosen. The other operating-point quantities can be calculated using these, e.g., the operating-point stator current is obtained from (1) as

\[
\begin{align*}
i_{s0} &= \frac{\alpha I + \omega_{b0} J}{R_R} \psi_{R0} \\
\end{align*}
\]  

(13)

The operating-point rotor flux can be solved as a function of stator flux by noting that \( \psi_{s0} = L_\sigma i_{s0} + \psi_{R0} \) and applying (13),

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi_{R0} &= \frac{R_R}{L_\sigma} (\omega_{b0} I + \omega_{b0} J)^{-1} \psi_{s0} \\
\end{align*}
\]  

(14)

where \( \omega_{b0} = (1/L_M + 1/L_\sigma) R_R \) is the breakdown slip frequency. Finally, applying (13) to (2), the steady-state electromagnetic torque can be expressed as

\[
\tau_{m0} = \frac{\omega_{b0} \psi_{R0}^T \psi_{R0}}{R_R} = \frac{\| \psi_{R0} \|^2 \omega_{s0}}{R_R} \\
\]  

(15)

B. Linearized Small-Signal Model

The local stability of the control method is studied by means of small-signal linearization. For simplicity, the inverter is assumed to be ideal, i.e., \( u_i = u_{s,ref} \). Variables marked with \( \delta \) denote the small-signal deviation about an operating point, as an example the small-signal stator current is \( \delta i_s = i_s - i_{s0} \). The model in (1) is linearized as [4]

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d\delta x_m}{dt} &= \begin{pmatrix} b_{s} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{c_{p}} I - \omega_{s0} J & \frac{1}{c_{p}} (\alpha I - \omega_{b0} J) \end{pmatrix} \delta x_m \\
&+ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \delta u_a + \begin{pmatrix} -J \psi_{R0} \end{pmatrix} \delta \omega_s + \begin{pmatrix} -J \psi_{R0} \end{pmatrix} \delta \omega_m \\
&+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \end{pmatrix} \delta \tau_M \\
\end{align*}
\]  

(16)

where \( \delta x_m = [\delta i_s, \delta \psi_{R0}]^T \) is the state vector of the plant model.

The low-pass filter (4), the voltage controller (5), and current controller (10) are collected in matrix form, yielding

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d\delta x_c}{dt} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & k_i \psi_{R0} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -k_i & -k_i & k_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{c_{p}} I - \omega_{s0} J & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \delta x_c \\
&+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \end{pmatrix} \delta u_{s,ref} \\
\end{align*}
\]  

(17)

where \( \delta x_c = [\delta u_{s,ref}, \delta \psi_{R0}, \delta \omega_s, \delta \omega_m]^T \) is the state vector of the controller, \( \delta i_{s,lpf} \) is the voltage controller state variable (or the current reference), and \( \delta \omega_m \) is the state variable of the low-pass-filtered current reference. The input matrix \( B_a \) provides an injection path for an additional voltage reference, i.e. for the compensator.

High-pass-filtered current reference is used in the voltage and frequency compensators. It is constructed using the low-pass-filtered current and its output matrix is

\[
\delta i_{s,lpf} = \delta i_{s,ref} - \delta i_{s,lpf} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \delta x_c \\
\]  

(17)

The state matrix and the input matrices of the full electrical system are constructed from these two subsystems.
As 

\[ \frac{\mathrm{d}\delta x}{\mathrm{d}t} = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0_{6,6} \\ B_s C_s & A_c \end{bmatrix} \delta x + \begin{bmatrix} B_c \\ 0_{6,2} \end{bmatrix} \delta u_a + \begin{bmatrix} b_u \\ b_m \end{bmatrix} \delta u_{s,\text{ref}} \]

where \( \delta x = [\delta x_m^T, \delta x_e^T]^T \) is the combined state vector. The linearized output equations are extended as 

\[ \delta i_s = \begin{bmatrix} C_s & 0_{2,6} \end{bmatrix} \delta x \]

\[ \delta \tau_M = \begin{bmatrix} c_m & 0_{1,6} \end{bmatrix} \delta x \]

\[ \delta i_{s,\text{hpf}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{2,4} & C_f \end{bmatrix} \delta x \]

The control law (10) in matrix form is given by 

\[ \delta u_a = k_p(\delta i_{s,\text{ref}} - \delta i_s) + \delta w_i - r_d \delta i_s = -K_c \delta x \]

where \( K_c = \begin{bmatrix} |k_y + r_a| & 0 & \ldots & -k_y | \end{bmatrix} \). The control laws of the voltage and frequency injection compensators in (5) and (7) are gathered in matrix form, yielding 

\[ \delta u_{s,\text{ref}} = (K + j \psi \Phi k^T) \delta i_{s,\text{hpf}} \]

\[ \delta \omega_s = -k^T \delta i_{s,\text{hpf}} \]

The closed-loop system matrix \( A_T \) of the electrical sub-system is acquired by inserting the linearized stator voltage control law (19) and the linearized control laws of the stator voltage and frequency injection compensators (20) into (18a), i.e.

\[ A_T = A_t - B_s K_c - B_u (K + j \psi \Phi k^T) C_f \]

Finally, the overall system matrix is obtained by interconnecting the electrical and the mechanical subsystems

\[ A_F = \begin{bmatrix} A_T & b_m \\ c_m/J_m & 0 \end{bmatrix} \]

C. Stability Analysis

The stability of the current-regulated V/Hz control method is analyzed by studying the eigenvalues of the overall system matrix of the current-regulated V/Hz control in (22). It is revealed, that the real part of the pole-pair corresponding to the current controller is placed at \(-\alpha_c\) and the real part of the pole-pair corresponding to voltage controller is placed at \(-\alpha_u\) when the voltage controller integral gain is selected as

\[ k_v = \frac{\alpha_u - \alpha_c}{\alpha_c L_s} \]

Next, the effect of the voltage integral gain is studied. In Fig. 3, the eigenvalues of the system matrix corresponding to the open-loop V/Hz-controlled induction motor [4] (shown in red) are compared to the eigenvalues of the current-regulated V/Hz controlled system in (22) for varying choices for \( \alpha_u \) (shown in blue). Only the upper half-plane poles relevant for system stability are shown due to symmetry.

In Fig. 3a the feedback compensation is disabled \((K = 0, k = 0)\). As the gain \( k_c \) or the ratio \( \alpha_u/\alpha_c \) is increased, the dominating poles move towards the left half-plane and the location of the corresponding poles of open-loop V/Hz control.

In Fig. 3b, shows the system eigenvalues with the static feedback compensation enabled. The red loci corresponds to the poles of the V/Hz controlled induction motor with static feedback compensation described in [4] \((k = 0.6\) and \(k_c = 4)\). The blue loci present the eigenvalues of the current-regulated V/Hz control method with the same stabilizing feedback gains for varying choices for \( \alpha_u \).

Without the stabilizing feedback in (5) and (7), the current-regulated V/Hz control scheme exhibits regions of instability. As the voltage controller gain is increased, the unstable region shrinks approaching that found in open-loop V/Hz control. Insufficient gain in the voltage controller will also result in an unstable region remaining, even with the stabilization enabled. The maximum limiting factor for choosing a value for the integral gain \( k_v \) is the angular sampling frequency, i.e. the pole pair at \( \alpha_u \) cannot be placed too close to it.
TABLE I
DATA OF THE 45-kW FOUR-POLE INDUCTION MOTOR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rated values</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Voltage (line-to-neutral, peak value)</td>
<td>(\sqrt{3}\times400) V</td>
<td>1 p.u.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current (peak value)</td>
<td>(\sqrt{2} \times 81) A</td>
<td>1 p.u.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>50 Hz</td>
<td>1 p.u.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed (t/m)</td>
<td>1477 t/min</td>
<td>0.985 p.u.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torque</td>
<td>291 Nm</td>
<td>0.81 p.u.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stator resistance (R_s)</td>
<td>60 m(\Omega)</td>
<td>0.02 p.u.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor resistance (R_t)</td>
<td>30 m(\Omega)</td>
<td>0.01 p.u.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leakage inductance (L_s)</td>
<td>2.2 mH</td>
<td>0.24 p.u.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetizing inductance (L_M)</td>
<td>24.5 mH</td>
<td>2.70 p.u.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor inertia (J_r)</td>
<td>0.49 kgm(^2)</td>
<td>67.4 p.u.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. RESULTS

The current-regulated voltage controlled V/Hz control method is studied with simulations and experiments. A four-pole 400-V 50-Hz 45-kW induction motor is used as an example motor, whose parameters and rated values of the motor are given in Table I. Fig. 7 shows a photo of the motor test bench used in the experiments. The control algorithm was implemented on a dSPACE MicroLabBox prototyping system. The switching and the sampling frequency of the PWM inverter are both 4kHz. The current-controller bandwidth is selected as \(\alpha_c = 3\) p.u. and integral gain of the voltage controller is selected according to (23) with \(\alpha_u = 4\alpha_c = 12\) p.u.

A. Simulations

Fig. 4 shows a simulation result where the speed reference is ramped from zero to 0.9 p.u. and then reversed to \(-0.9\) p.u. and finally brought back to zero. The load torque is zero. Fig. 4a presents the results for current-regulated V/Hz control without stabilizing feedback enabled corresponding to the method presented in [10]. The induction motor is pre-magnetized on startup. As suggested by the stability analysis in Section IV-C, the system is unstable in the middle-speed region \(\omega_s \approx \pm 0.2\) p.u.) and the dampening is poor. Fig. 4b shows the same sequence with the stabilizing feedback enabled. The oscillations in the middle-speed region are removed and the damping is significantly improved.
Fig. 5. Experimental result: Speed reference is increased to 0.9 p.u., then reversed to −0.9 p.u. and finally brought back to zero. In Fig. 5a the compensation feedback is disabled, and in Fig. 5b it is enabled ($k_v = 0.6$, $k_\omega = 4$).

Fig. 6. Experimental result: Speed reference is increased to 0.5 p.u. From $t = 6$ s to $t = 8$ s, a loadstep of 0.5 p.u. is applied. In Fig. 6a the compensation feedback is disabled, and in Fig. 6b it is enabled ($k_v = 0.6$, $k_\omega = 4$).

Fig. 7. Photo of the motor test bench. The analyzed 45-kW induction motor is on the left. The 37-kW induction servo motor on the right-hand side is used as a load machine.

**B. Experiments**

Fig. 5 shows an experiment with a sequence similar to the simulation sequence in Fig. 4. In Fig. 5a the static feedback compensation is disabled. Again, the drive becomes unstable upon reaching the unstable mid-speed region. The magnitude of the oscillations in the experiments surpasses those seen in the simulations. Stability is regained after the unstable region around $t = 3$. In addition to the unstable regions, the system exhibits poor damping. In Fig. 5b, the experiment is repeated with the compensation enabled. The system is stable and well damped.

Next, in Fig. 6, the speed reference is set to 0.5 p.u. Then, at $t = 6$ s, a load torque 0.5 p.u. is applied for a duration of 2 seconds. At $t = 10$ the speed is reduced back to zero. The slip compensator corrects the speed error. In Fig. 6a, the stabilizing feedback compensation is disabled. In addition to the instability during acceleration, poor damping...
is observed. The oscillations last for almost a second after a stepwise change in load torque. Fig. 6b shows a repeat of the same sequence, but this time the compensation feedback is enabled. The damping in the transient response is visibly improved.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The paper analyzed a V/Hz control method consisting of an inner current control loop and an outer voltage control loop. It is shown, that similarly to conventional open-loop V/Hz control, this current-regulated V/Hz control method exhibits regions of instability and poor damping. Consequently, in this paper, the current-regulated V/Hz control is enhanced with stator resistance and slip-compensation loops and stabilizing static output feedback, which is used to increase the stable operating region and damping. Compared to conventional V/Hz with stabilizing loops, the added current controller can be utilized e.g. in current limitation. Furthermore, magnetization of the machine can be easily achieved if the rated magnetizing current is known.
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