
This is an electronic reprint of the original article.
This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Amir, Einat; Sofaer, Joshua; Sams, Mikko

ACT OF FICTION : Simultaneously experienced multiple perspectives of (un)reality when engaging with narrative-based art

Published in:
Leonardo Journal

DOI:
[10.1162/leon_a_02362](https://doi.org/10.1162/leon_a_02362)

Published: 01/08/2023

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Please cite the original version:
Amir, E., Sofaer, J., & Sams, M. (2023). ACT OF FICTION : Simultaneously experienced multiple perspectives of (un)reality when engaging with narrative-based art. *Leonardo Journal*, 56(4), 374-378.
https://doi.org/10.1162/leon_a_02362

This material is protected by copyright and other intellectual property rights, and duplication or sale of all or part of any of the repository collections is not permitted, except that material may be duplicated by you for your research use or educational purposes in electronic or print form. You must obtain permission for any other use. Electronic or print copies may not be offered, whether for sale or otherwise to anyone who is not an authorised user.

ACT OF FICTION: Simultaneously experienced multiple perspectives of (un)reality when engaging with narrative-based art.

Einat AMIR (artist, researcher), Aalto University, Espoo, Department of Art & Department of Neuroscience and Biomedical Engineering, Otakaari 3, FIN-02150 ESPOO, Finland. Email: einat.amir@aalto.fi ORCID: 0000-0003-0467-6406

Joshua SOFAER (artist, researcher) National Cheng Kong University, Department of Chinese Literature, No.1, Dasyue Rd, Tainan, Taiwan 701 Email: joshua@joshuasofaer.com ORCID: 0000-0002-6224-7792

Mikko SAMS (Professor of Cognitive Neuroscience) Aalto University, Espoo, Department of Neuroscience and Biomedical Engineering, Otakaari 3, FIN-02150 ESPOO, and Aalto Studios – MAGICS, Otakaari 7B, FIN-02150 ESPOO. Email: mikko.sams@aalto.fi ORCID: 0000-0002-1992-484X

© ISAST

Manuscript received 25 March 2022

Abstract

The authors propose a new conception of the mechanism that occurs during a narrative-based art experience – the “Act of Fiction”. They claim that there is no “suspension of disbelief” but rather something more similar to our decision-making systems, enabling us to simultaneously be present in the real and the unreal (fictional). The article’s main body is divided into three parts: Part 1 contains a narrative account in which an Act of Fiction takes place; it exemplifies what it also describes. Part 2 provides an analysis of this phenomenon through a review of current literature and our position in regard to it. Part 3 proposes an outline for a primary examination of what might be happening in the brain when we have an Act of Fiction. They conclude by suggesting directions for future research.

Introduction

This article outlines a unique cultural phenomenon: the simultaneous experience of different perspectives: the reality of the world around us, and the perceived *unreality* (or ‘fiction’) presented to us during our encounter with narrative-based art, such as theater, opera, film, and literature. We offer the term Act of Fiction to describe this unique experience, of holding together multiple realities simultaneously, in disbelief and belief.

The article is divided into three distinct parts.

In Part 1: A Night at the Opera we imagine (and consequently ask the reader, to imagine) a set of circumstances in which an Act of Fiction takes place. This is neither memoir nor the presentation of empirical evidence; rather it is a creative compound derived from our discussions of the phenomenon in question. Part 1 hopes to transport the reader, with a poetic license, into the world of a narrative encounter as an audience member of the opera *Madame Butterfly*, while simultaneously identifying the limits of that transportation. Part 1 then, exemplifies the Act of Fiction while describing it. We might have chosen any number of instances to describe this temporal phenomenon, from film, dance, or literature and the substantive point would remain.

In Part 2: Act of Fiction we turn to the existing literature in relevant fields, namely social psychology, cognitive neuroscience, and cognitive narratology, to look for existing research related to the Act of Fiction. The closest existing theorized phenomenon is Narrative Transportation [1]. However, transportation into a narrative is largely presented as a single scale, ranging from low to high, leaving out the level of engagement in reality. Our point is to emphasize the simultaneity of experience, in reality and in fiction at once and at the same time. We explore the existing transportation literature to ascertain the significance and different benefits of narrative engagement and hypothesize about what could be achieved with further research on the Act of Fiction.

Part 3: Brain activity during Reality vs Fiction: Experiments proposes a first step in the examination of what might be happening in the brain when we are having an Act of Fiction. So far, there is not much scientific information that can indicate the difference in brain activity while processing a fictional narrative and while processing a real-life situation. Gaining such knowledge could be an important step in understanding the ways in which the brain processes fiction, and art. (In the Appendix we offer a tentative experimental model. We do so more to stimulate discussion than to produce data, as the model we propose, while practicable, also demonstrates the limits of current brain science methods.)

Part 1 is poetic, Part 2 is systematic, Part 3 is speculative. We offer these different approaches collectively, as writers of this paper coming together from the arts and sciences. We present our proposition as a kind of ‘thought experiment’ for consideration and debate. We hope that this paper will contribute to the research of ways and directions to understand and enhance the human experience when engaging with narrative-based art [2]. We believe that this multidisciplinary attempt best reflects the approach of our transdisciplinary team, as we believe that the complexity of mind/narrative relationship can only be realized through collaborations between researchers from different fields. [3].

Part 1: A Night at the Opera

We are sitting in the stalls. I have had one glass of wine: enough to loosen up but not so much as to obliterate. While excited, I am also nervous: nervous that I should enjoy the production, that it

will be worth the money, and mostly, that they will enjoy it. I also confess, despite having been to the opera many times, to still feeling a kind of not belonging. At the same time, I perform naturalness, as a member of the opera-going public, which is accompanied by an acknowledgement of privilege, or middle-class guilt. It is all such an unnecessary burden to bring to any artform, and perhaps especially one which has the capacity to cut through social construction and appeal directly to an emotional core.

And so it is, that we peruse the program, familiarize ourselves with the plot, and settle into our seats. The heavy, deep-red velvet and neo-Roman design of the vast auditorium, with its gold embellished cartouche, lion drawn chariots and pilastered boxes is somehow simultaneously exquisite and vulgar. This is an architecture of contradictions. The lights dim. Polite applause as the conductor enters. There is a faint mechanical sound and then a whoosh, as the curtain rises. Anticipation.

This particular opera is a minefield of aesthetic and cultural problems, both within the internal world and in the way that Japan, and specifically Japanese women, are Orientalized and caricatured. The opera highlights the violence of Imperialism but also replicates it. There is nothing Japanese about the plinkety-plonk of sections of the musical treatment, it is simply to enact an othering.

And, of course, there are the familiar startling issues in opera, for example, the fact that the characters are singing in Italian, or rather – that they are singing at all. Sitting next to my Asian partner, our hands gently touching, I am suddenly embarrassed, wondering what my companion thinks about this oriental fantasy unfolding on stage. Then, as Suzuki folds the wedding kimono carefully into a neat rectangle, I am reminded that I will have to do the laundry when I get home. The cumulation of these problems (from laundry to racism) seems to distance the possibility of narrative transportation.

And yet...

There is no escape from the brutality of Madame Butterfly's mistreatment, from the power of her belief in her love, and for all of us who have been abandoned and heartbroken it is hard resisting the emotional pull and identification with her tragedy. Despite all the aforementioned problems, I am engulfed by my visceral response. I am left weeping as Madame Butterfly blindfolds her son so that he does not see her suicide, and I am overpowered by the tragic beauty of her song.

The impact of all the artifice on the audience, by some strange paradox, is one of gut instinct. The red velvet, the gold embellishments, the conductor's baton, the performers' years of training: all activate something primal in the audience. I am distraught at the pain inflicted on a fictional woman, while I am also pursuing a critique, concerned for my lover, and managing my chores – occupying several realities at once and all in the knowledge of the others.

There are always multiple perspectives to our surroundings in the brain activity. What, if anything, is the difference when experiencing art?

Sitting in the auditorium I do not think that the woman in front of me has really plunged a knife into her heart. And yet, I mourn for her. At best, this mourning is an emotional release, a purification of repressed fears –catharsis – which not only makes life more bearable but can fill it with joy. The ability to access that place of catharsis is not simply a question of the artistry on stage. Perhaps, despite all the effort that goes into the production, the greater onus is, if fact, on the audience.

I bring my emotional baggage with me into the auditorium, and I dump it at the foot of the stage. “Deal with this,” I demand. The production comes to meet it. Sometimes the distractions outweigh the artifice, and sometimes I am fully transported into the fictional world.

Why is the response so variable? What, if anything, can we do to enhance this state of being?

Part 2: Act of Fiction

In folk psychology, the Self is regarded as a coherent singular system that reflects our individual personality. However, it is nowadays accepted to think of the Self as multiple decision-making systems, that constantly have different, and even conflicting needs, that often work simultaneously for different purposes [4]. Internal conflicts, such as those we might experience at the opera, reflect simultaneous activities of those systems. These mechanisms together form our multi-voiced Self.

Folk psychology also suggests that the Self has specific characteristics, and that knowing them makes it possible to predict a person’s actions in any given situation. This may be true, but only in an abstract sense. What the Self does strongly depend on, is the context in which it operates, and on our emotional state. One example of a context that can affect the Self and its various systems in a way that is different from our other daily experiences is engaging with narrative-based art.

When we choose to engage with narrative-based art, we are transported into a fictional world. We understand and accept the behaviors of protagonists that, for example, fight dragons and fly in the sky in a very different reality from our own. There are individual differences in the degree of transportation [5], but any level of experiencing it can be meaningful [6].

What are the cognitive processes that allow us to be transported into a fictive narrative? Do we need to ‘put to sleep’ some mind activities in order to be able to identify with Madame Butterfly as she grieves for her lost love? The English poet Samuel Taylor Coleridge (1772-1834) proposed that “the willing suspension of disbelief” [7] describes what happens when we are transported into a fictional narrative. He suggested that there is a special mechanism for

disbelieving events that are not occurring in reality, and that this mechanism can be deliberately suspended when we are transported to a fictional world.

However, we argue that Suspension of Disbelief is an inaccurate description of this process. In a similar manner to the ways in which our decision-making systems work in everyday life, we are also able to be present simultaneously in real and in fictional worlds. There are two different mechanisms (level of transportation and reality monitoring [8]) that slip in-and-out of our attention, depending on several factors, including autobiographic memory and presentational context. The psychological and underlying neural mechanisms that we use for processing fiction are largely the same ones used for processing real-life events and social interactions. Therefore, the separation between what is based in reality (has an external source), and what is not (possibly represented by an external source but happening internally), is a continual challenge for our brain, and neither is “suspended” [9].

These authors suggest a more appropriate term than Suspension of Disbelief to describe what happens during transportation into an artwork. Based on our discussions, we offer the term Act of Fiction. We turn to social psychology, cognitive neuroscience, and cognitive narratology, to get a better understanding of the Act of Fiction. One important aspect of the Act of Fiction is narrative transportation. However, it is largely presented as a single scale, ranging from low to high transportation into a narrative, leaving out the reality monitoring aspect. We still find the existing transportation literature significant to the understanding of the different benefits of the Act of Fiction and to the hypothesizing process of what could be achieved with further research.

So far, transportation has been mainly researched using literary texts. Social psychologists [10] defined narrative transportation as the act of becoming cognitively, emotionally, and imaginatively immersed in a narrative. It may occur while reading any kind of text, but transportation is stronger when the narrative is of “higher quality” [11].

Based on recent research regarding narratives that are listened to or watched [12] we argue that: 1) Transportation is a cognitive process that can occur while interacting with any narrative-based art, and 2) transportation is based on the same mental, psychological, and neural mechanisms which are used in interacting with everyday reality.

A multitude of factors affect the level of transportation into an artwork. One of them is our current state of mind. Remembering that we need to do the laundry, for example, can make transportation to a fictional world more difficult. Reading a boring science article may raise our motivation to be transported and lead us to the stalls of an opera house.

Another potential enhancement of transportation could similarity manifest due to the juxtaposition of the reader’s emotional state and the narrative’s emotional tone. An important factor can be having pre-existing low-arousal positive emotions such as being in a contented,

thoughtful state. Holding beliefs that match the narrative's values and morals could increase identification with the protagonists, thus enhancing transportation [13].

Recent research [14] also found that pre-framing a narrative in a “theater condition” (telling participants the text they are about to read is part of a play) led to a higher state of transportation compared with other examined reading conditions. Additionally, a (f)MRI experiment [15] found that the vividness of imagery (for example: “...the heavy deep red velvet...with its gold embellished cartouche”) activates the participants' ‘core’ network (a set of brain regions in charge of cognitively building and keeping a complex and coherent scene) especially strongly [16]. It was also found that individuals who are more motivated to approach emotion-inducing situations in a positive manner (such as opera enthusiasts) have a higher probability to experience transportation [17].

In an experiment that involved viewing an opera, participants were assigned to one of two conditions: one with a high empathy focus (“imagine vividly how a performer feels [...] and try to feel those emotions”), and the other with an objective focus (“consider the musical descriptions and ignore intuited performer feelings”) [18]. Participants conditioned to high empathy reported more nostalgic feelings while viewing a sad aria, than those conditioned to objectivity. Participants conditioned to high empathy also reported feeling powerful and had increased respiration rate while viewing a happy aria, in comparison to the objective condition group.

What we can learn from these experiments, is that the level of transportation into a fictional world is dependent on one's emotional state, and it can be enhanced or decreased by the experience's framing. We argue that the awareness of what is real and what is fiction is never suspended – reality's mechanisms seep into narrative art, and emotions and thought manifested in fiction seep back into reality.

The constant awareness that an experience is ‘unreal’ is what allows us to be transported into the narrative by providing us with a sense of safety. Narrative-based art has a special role in our mental processes. It provides us with models and simulations of our social selves and a safe, yet deep and immersive platform for practicing interpersonal interactions. We suggest that the opportunity to have a safe environment for emotional practice of social events is an important aspect of the Act of Fiction. Being transported into a narrative allows us to emotionally experience negative consequences of one's behaviors, or failures, without needing to deal with the results of such behaviors, as one would be required to in reality. This is one of the special features of the Act of Fiction that is different from the other goal-oriented systems that are part of the Self. We can experience genuine fear when Madame Butterfly grabs a knife to stab herself, for example. These emotions feel real to us, as we are responding to a simulation of reality with the same brain processes that we would have if these events were part of reality [19],

but they are also somewhat changed by our sense of safety. This is the Act of Fiction – a unique cognitive process.

When we transport into fiction, we engage with a simplification, and compression of social information. It assists us in better understanding different forms of human interactions and prepares us for “real life” [20]. It can also make us more compassionate and improve our empathic responses towards people from groups other than our own [21]. It may also increase the feeling of belonging – which many of us are lacking nowadays – and increase satisfaction in life [22].

We would like to emphasize that the experience of going to the opera is more than the experience of the opera. If the story is good enough and the audience member is in the right emotional and cognitive space, they may be transported into the creation and undergo an Act of Fiction that will form a cognitive simulation of their social world and help them cope better with their own reality. Yet, even when we think the story and its delivery are great, this does not necessarily happen. We hope, that with advancements in cognitive neuroscience, we will be able to know more about the neural processes that activate the Act of Fiction, so we could understand more about what makes a work of fiction “work”, for us.

Part 3: Brain activity in Reality vs Fiction: Experiments

So far, there is not yet enough experimental evidence for possible separate “neural signatures” for brain processing of fictional narratives vs processing of real-life situations. Normally, we are aware of the difference between fiction and reality. However, even when we know that a narrative is fictional, we can still feel strong emotions while experiencing it. We use past knowledge stored in our memory to understand what is happening in the story, and while doing so we also somehow simulate the events of the story in our brains. This suggests that there are similarities in the way our brain perceives reality and fiction and that they are probably based on the same cognitive and neural mechanisms. Neural processing of reality and fiction, therefore, could look very similar in brain scanning. So how could we trace the differences in brain activity during reality vs fiction?

In addition to offering the novel concept of Act of Fiction, we add a neuroscience experiment outline that could serve as a starting point of research on this concept. However, it would still be too basic and abstract to provide us with insights into the unique experience of simultaneously operating in multiple worlds. Therefore, we decided not to pursue it, for the moment. We are attaching the experiment outline as an Appendix to this article, in the hope that it would be of help, or inspiration, to others.

Our outline for brain experiments aims to respond to these research questions: **Can we decode from brain activity when people are perceiving a story as fact vs fiction? What are the**

main neural structures or their connectivity patterns underlying the decoding? What do we know of the functions of these brain areas based on previous research?

Answering these questions requires several well-controlled replicated experiments. For the sake of making these experiments we would need to assume that there is a commonly agreed-on reality, existing independently of an observer. We would also assume that there is commonly agreed-on fiction, such as the opera *Madame Butterfly*.

The experimental setup is based on previous research [23]. Subjects, during functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI), perceive the same stimulus, framed in two different ways. The stimulus is a self-made audio story about love, abandonment, and eventual suicide. It is presented in two conditions: In the Documentary condition, the experimenter frames the narrative as describing real events; in the Drama condition, the experimenter frames the same narrative as purely fictional based on the story of the opera *Madame Butterfly* (see a more detailed outline in the Appendix).

We hypothesize that this experiment could result in finding different brain activations in the two conditions, and therefore contribute to the decoding of fact vs. fiction activity in the brain. This hypothesis is partially based on research done with psychotic patients while they are immersed in unreal scenarios [24].

(Not) A Conclusion

For this set of authors, the incentive for writing was to meet at a point of shared concern and to try to understand, from our diverse disciplinary perspectives, more about the Act of Fiction – a phenomenon that we have all separately experienced.

We turned to social psychology, cognitive neuroscience, and cognitive narratology, to get a better understanding of the Act of Fiction. We suggest that one of the important aspects of the Act of Fiction is that it provides us with a safe environment for emotional practice without real-life's social consequences. This is how the Act of Fiction is different from our other goal-oriented systems.

If having an Act of Fiction means to be in both reality and fiction at the same time, we fantasized about experimenting with ways to quieten reality and deepen transportation. Could we create a 'magic pill', or instructions, that can be given to whoever wants to enhance their transportation level into a work of art?

As part of our research, we came up with an experiment of Decoding Reality vs Fiction from brain activity. The resulting data could promote the understanding of the differential brain activation in states of reality and fiction, but it would still be too rudimentary to provide us with insights into the experience of simultaneously operating in multiple realities – of sitting in the

opera house with our emotional baggage, our critique, our laundry, our lover, our response to the power of the song.

We anticipate that soon, perhaps with the advancement of VR technologies in the use of cognitive neuroscience and social sciences, we can understand much more. But we also anticipate that it is a long way to go.

References and Notes

1. M.C. Green & T.C. Brock, “The Role of Transportation In The Persuasiveness Of Public Narratives,” *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 79, no. 5, 701--721 (2000)
2. For the purposes of this article, we refer to narrative-based art in the conservative meaning of storytelling, such as literature, opera, theater play, film, etc. These forms of art making are temporal. We do not discount that the same phenomenon might be at play in, for example, the interpretation of a narrative painting, illustration, or photograph.
3. D. Herman, "Cognitive Narratology (revised version; uploaded 22 September 2013)". In: Hühn, P. et al. (eds.): the living handbook of narratology. Hamburg: Hamburg University. URL = <http://www.lhn.uni-hamburg.de/article/cognitivenarratology-revised-version-uploaded-22-september-2013> [view date:12 Sep 2022]
4. See for example: A.D. Redish, *The Mind Within the Brain: How We Make Decisions and How Those Decisions Go Wrong* (New York: Oxford University Press 2015) pp 84—111 ; A. Damasio, *Self Comes To Mind: Constructing The Conscious Brain* (New York: Pantheon Press 2010) pp 191--255 ; D.T. Kenrick & V. Griskevicius, *The Rational Animal: How Evolution Made Us Smarter Than We Think* (New York: Basic Books 2013) pp 23--50 ; D. Zahavi, *Self and Other: Exploring Subjectivity, Empathy, and Shame* (Oxford: Oxford University Press 2014) pp 3—10
5. See for example: M.C. Green & T.C. Brock (2000) ; D.R. Johnson, “Transportation Into A Story Increases Empathy, Prosocial Behavior, And Perceptual Bias Toward Fearful Expressions,” *Personality and Individual Differences* 52, 150--155 (2012) ; P.M. Bal, & M. Veltkamp, “How Does Fiction Reading Influence Empathy? An Experimental Investigation on The Role of Emotional Transportation,” *PLoS ONE* 8, 055341 (2013)
6. N.N. Holland, *Literature & The Brain* (Gainesville, Florida: PsyArt Foundation 2009) pp 57--58
7. James C McKusick, “*Living Words: Samuel Taylor Coleridge and the Genesis of the OED*,” *Modern Philology* 90, no. 1, 1--45 (1992).
8. JS Simons, JR Garrison, MK Johnson, “Brain Mechanisms of Reality Monitoring,” *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 21, no.6, 462--473 (Jun 2017)
9. J. Best, “Reading Literary Fiction: More Empathy but At What Possible Cost?” *North American Journal of Psychology* 22, no. 2, 269--288 (2020)

10. M.C. Green, T.C. Brock & G.F. Kaufman, “Understanding Media Enjoyment: The Role of Transportation into Narrative Worlds,” *Communication Theory* 14, 311--327 (2004)
11. M.C. Green & T.C. Brock (2000)
12. See for example: K. Schäfer & T. Eerola, “How Listening To Music And Engagement With Other Media Provide A Sense Of Belonging: An Exploratory Study Of Social Surrogacy,” *Psychology of Music* 48, no. 2, 232--251 (2020) ; C. Scrivner, et al., (2021, July 16). “The Psychological Benefits Of Scary Play In Three Types Of Horror Fans,” *PsyArXiv* (16 July 2021) <https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/sdx6> ; M.Bacha-Trams, et al., “A Drama Movie Activates Brains Of Holistic And Analytical Thinkers Differentially,” *Social Cognitive And Affective Neuroscience* 13 (2018) <https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsy099> ; M.C Green, T.C Brock & G.F Kaufman (2004)
13. M.C. Green & T.C. Brock (2000)
14. Ibid
15. K. Oatley, “Fiction: Simulation of Social Worlds,” *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 20, no.8, 618--628 (2016)
16. J.J. Summerfield, D. Hassabis, and E. A. Maguire, “Differential Engagement of Brain Regions within a ‘core’ Network during Scene Construction,” *Neuropsychologia* 48, no. 5, 1501–1509 (2010)
17. M. Appel, & T. Richter, “Transportation and Need for Affect in Narrative Persuasion: A Mediated Moderation Model,” *Media Psychology* 13, no. 2, 101--135 (2010)
18. A.C. Miu & F. R. Baltes, “Empathy Manipulation Impacts Music- Induced Emotions: A Psychophysiological Study on Opera,” *PLoS ONE* 7, no.1, e30618. (2012) <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.003061>
19. R.A. Mar and K. Oatley, “The Function of Fiction Is the Abstraction and Simulation of Social Experience,” *Perspectives on Psychological Science* 3, no. 3, 173--192 (May 2008)
20. U. Altmann, et al., “Fact vs Fiction--How Paratextual Information Shapes Our Reading Processes,” *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience* 9, no.1, 22--29 (2014)
21. Z. Walkington, S.A. Wigman, D. Bowles, “The Impact of Narratives and Transportation on Empathic Responding,” *Poetics* 80, 1—8
22. S. Gabriel & A.F. Young, “Becoming A Vampire Without Being Bitten: The Narrative Collective-Assimilation Hypothesis,” *Psychological Science* 22, 990--994 (2011)
23. I.P. Jääskeläinen, et al., “Movies and Narratives As Naturalistic Stimuli In Neuroimaging,” *NeuroImage* 224, 117445 (2021) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.117445>
24. T.D. Cannon. “How Schizophrenia Develops: Cognitive and Brain Mechanisms Underlying Onset of Psychosis,” *Trends in cognitive sciences* vol. 19,12, 744—756 (2015)

Biographical Information

- EINAT AMIR is a doctoral candidate at Aalto University in the Department of Art and the Department of Neuroscience and Biomedical Engineering. She is supported by Aalto university's Experience Platform.
- JOSHUA SOFAER is Visiting Scholar at National Cheng Kung University in the Department of Chinese Literature. He received his PhD in performance writing from Dartington College of Arts, University of Plymouth (2005).
- MIKKO SAMS is a professor (emeritus) of Cognitive Neuroscience at Aalto University School of Science. He is the leader of the MAGICCS research infrastructure consortium and the science leader of the Aalto Studios – MAGICCS.